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## Chapter 1:

Towards the Development of New Tethers for Intramolecular C-H Insertion Reactions

Research in chapter 1 was performed under Dr. Herman O. Sintim's supervision

## I. Introduction

### 1.1 Carbenes and metal carbenes

Carbenes are highly reactive neutral species containing a carbon atom with six valence electrons. One method to generate carbenes is the decomposition of diazocarbonyl compounds (Scheme 1). ${ }^{1}$ Diazocarbonyl compounds are used as carbene precursors because they are easily prepared and posses an electronwithdrawing carbonyl group that stabilizes the negative charge of the diazocarbonyl compound. The formation of gaseous nitrogen is the driving force for this reaction (Scheme 1). ${ }^{1}$


Scheme 1. Formation of carbenes via the decomposition of diazocarbonyl compounds.

The utility of diazocarbonyl compounds as carbene precursors became more attractive once transition metals were used. ${ }^{1}$ Metal-complexed carbenes are known as metal carbenes; these metal carbenes can either be nucleophilic of electrophilic depending on the metal itself and the ligands associated with it (Scheme 2). ${ }^{1}$ Metal carbenes are less reactive than free carbenes and hence can undergo the same types of

[^0]reactions with higher selectivity and greater yield than the corresponding reactions of free carbenes. The reactivity and stability of metal carbenes is influenced by the metal itself, the ligands attached to the metal, and the degree of $\pi$-back donation from the metal to the carbene. ${ }^{1}$


Scheme 2. Electrophilic and nucleophilic metal carbenes.
Metal carbenes undergo insertion reactions with $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{S}-\mathrm{H}$, and CH bonds as well as react with heteroatoms to form ylides. This chapter will focus on C-H insertion reactions whereas the next two chapters will discuss oxonium ylide formation and rearrangement.

### 1.2 C-H functionalization by metal carbene insertion:

Introduction of new functionalities in C-H bonds, directly through transforming them, opens up new avenues in organic synthesis. Although significant progress has been made towards $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ functionalization, ${ }^{2}$ this field still presents unsolved problems in synthetic chemistry. A promising C-H functionalization method involves the insertion of metal carbenes into C-H bonds. ${ }^{2}$ This methodology has experienced a considerable amount of interest ${ }^{3}$ due to its broad applicability to the synthesis of complex natural products and potential pharmaceutical agents.

Functionalization of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond via the metal carbene has shown great promise for transforming unactivated C-H bonds. ${ }^{2}$ The metal carbene is formed from its diazocarbonyl precursor and the metal atom does not interact directly with the

[^1]alkane $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond. The highly reactive metal carbene inserts into the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond to form the C-H insertion product and regenerates the metal for another catalytic cycle (Figure 1). ${ }^{4}$
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Z}= & \text { alkyl, aryl, } \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OR} \mathrm{R}^{\prime}, \mathrm{NR}_{2}^{\prime} \\
\mathrm{R}= & \text { alkyl, aryl, } \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COZ}, \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{R}, \\
& \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]



Figure 1. Metal carbene C-H insertion.
Intramolecular C-H insertion reactions have proven to be useful technologies for constructing complex polycycles. ${ }^{5}$ One of the first systems to be investigated was $\alpha$-diazo- $\beta$-ketoester 7. ${ }^{7}$ Taber showed that C-H insertion can be efficient, even in acyclic and freely rotating systems, such as compound 7, to afford 2-carbalkoxy cyclopentanones $\mathbf{8}$ in up to $77 \%$ yield (Scheme 3). ${ }^{6}$

[^2]

Scheme 3. Preparation of highly functionalized cyclopentane derivatives by intramolecular C-H insetion.

### 1.3 Factors affecting reactivity and selectivity of C-H insertion reactions:

Intramolecular C-H insertion reactions typically occur with high levels of regioselectivity. ${ }^{2-5}$ A hallmark of such processes is the strong bias towards five-membered-ring formation via a 1,5-C-H insertion. However, steric and electronic factors may override this preference for five-membered ring formation. Notable exceptions have been reported, especially in the reactions of diazoacetoacetamides ${ }^{7}$ and sterically constrained systems ${ }^{8}$ which undergo a 1,4-intramolecular C-H insertion to for $\beta$-lactams. Furthermore, $1,3,{ }^{9} 1,6,{ }^{10}$ and even $1,7^{11} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion reactions have all been observed when the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond is activated by a neighboring heteroatom or if the system is structurally rigid.

The reactivity of the C-H site increases with an increasing number of alkyl subsituents; the general order of reactivity is methine $>$ methylene $\gg$ methyl. Taber has shown that the ring-size effects predominate over this order. ${ }^{7}$ For example, treatment of diazoacetate 9 with rhodium acetate afforded cyclopentanone 10 in 55\% yield (Scheme 4).

[^3]

Scheme 4. Ring-size effects predominate over the order of reactivity of the C-H bond.

There are a number of factors that should be taken into account when employing C-H insertion reactions to construct complex molecules. Substituents on the diazocarbonyl functional group ( $\mathbf{Z}$, in Scheme 5) have a significant effect on the reactivity of diazocarbonyl compounds. ${ }^{12}$ For example, diazocarbonyl compounds where $\mathrm{Z}=$ hydrogen or an alkyl substituent are substantially more reactive than those with an electron-withdrawing substituent $\left(\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{COR}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{PO}(\mathrm{OR})_{2}, \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right)$ that further stabilize the negative charge of the diazocarbonyl compound. ${ }^{12}$


Scheme 5. General scheme of C-H insertion and the groups around the diazo functionality $\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COR}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{PO}(\mathrm{OR})_{2}, \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right)$.

Electronic factors have a tremendous effect on directing C-H insertion reactions. ${ }^{8}$ Electron-donating substituents activate adjacent C-H bonds whereas electron withdrawing substituents deactivate $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds in metal carbene insertion reactions. ${ }^{12,13}$ This can be explained by hyperconjugative effects where the lone pair

[^4]of a heteroatom donates into the ${ }^{*} \sigma$ of the C-H adjacent to it , raising the HOMO level and making it more reactive. ${ }^{13}$

Stork and Nakatani ${ }^{14}$ have reported the influence of electronic effects on the regioselectivity of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion reaction. They reported that electronwithdrawing groups such as carboxyl groups can deactivate the methylene group adjacent to it ( $\beta$ or $\gamma$ position). Treatment of diazoketone $\mathbf{1 3}$ with rhodium acetate formed cyclopentanone $\mathbf{1 4}$ in $81 \%$ yield. No C-H insertion into the methylene group adjacent to the ester functionality was observed.


Scheme 6. Electronic effects on the regioselectivity of C-H insertion.
Rhodium(II) carboxylates are the catalysts of choice for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion reactions. ${ }^{1-3}$ The ligands on the dirhodium catalysts play an important role in determining the regioselectivity of the C-H insertion product. ${ }^{15}$ Doyle has shown that treatment of diazoacetate 15 with $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ resulted in the formation of nearly equal amounts of the $\gamma$-lactones 16 and $\mathbf{1 7}$ (Table 1). ${ }^{16}$ Interestingly, the use of $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{pfb})_{4}$ afforded more of $\gamma$-lactone 17, molar ratio of 16:17 equals 32:68, while the use of catalyst $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\text { acam })_{4}$ led to the exclusive formation of lactone $16 .{ }^{16}$ As enumerated in Table 1, the regioselectivety for C-H insertion varied depending on which rhodium(II) catalyst was used.

[^5]Table 1. The effect of ligand variation on regioselectivity in C-H insertion reactions.


| Entry | Catalyst | Ratio (16:17) | Yield (\%) (16+17) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ | $53: 47$ | 81 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{pfb})_{4}$ | $32: 68$ | 56 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\text { acam })_{4}$ | $99: 1$ | 96 |

Furthermore, variation of the steric bulk of the ligand also influences selectivity. Ikegami ${ }^{17}$ demonstrated that the bulky rhodium(II) triphenylacetate, $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{TPA})_{4}$, favours insertion into a methylene $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond rather than a methine $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond (Table 2).

Table 2. The effect of bulky ligand variation on regioselectivity in C-H insertion reactions.


| Entry | Catalyst | Ratio (19:20) | Yield (\%) (19+20) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ | $37: 63$ | 64 |

[^6]| 2 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{TPA})_{4}$ | $96: 4$ | 75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Varying the ligands on the dirhodium catalysts can provide exceptional chemoselectivity in catalytic metal carbene reactions. ${ }^{18}$ For example, Doyle and Padwa have reported that by changing the dirhodium(II) ligand from perfluorobutyrate to caprolactam, the metal carbene reaction can be transformed from aromatic substitution, product 22, to cyclopropanation, product 23 (Table 3). ${ }^{18}$ In these two transformations chemoselectivity varies based on the electronic demand of the ligands from the dirhodium(II) carbene intermediate; with the perfluorobutyrate ligands being more electron withdrawing than those of caprolactam. The perfluorobutyrate ligands result in exclusive formation of the aromatic substitution product, while the caprolactam ligands afford only the cyclopropanation product.

Table 3. Dirodium(II) ligand effect on metal carbene reactions.


| Entry | Catalyst | Ratio (22:23) | Yield (\%) 22 | Yield (\%) 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ | $52: 48$ | 48 | 44 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{pfb})_{4}$ | $100: 0$ | 86 | 0 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{cap})_{4}$ | $0: 100$ | 0 | 75 |

[^7]Conformational preferences can also affect the selectivity of the C-H insertion reaction. ${ }^{19}$ Doyle argues that conformational preferences of the rhodium metal carbene intermediate in diazoacetoacetamides can influence the site selectivity of the intramolecular rhodium metal carbene mediated C-H insertion reaction. ${ }^{19 \mathrm{~d}}$ He further postulates that the nonbonding nitrogen electrons overlap with the LUMO of the carbonyl group thus fixing the amide conformation and resulting in the preferred reactive conformer. This conformer is represented by $\mathbf{A}$ in Figure 2, where the larger $N$-substituent is placed syn to the sterically less demanding amide carbonyl group. Consequently, the smaller N -substituent is placed in close proximity to the reactive rhodium metal carbene center for facile $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion.


Figure 2. Reactive conformers based on conformational preferences.
When such a conformational bias is present, site selectivity for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion is retained. This can clearly be seen in the results from intramolecular metal carbene reactions of diazoacetoacetamides (Scheme 7). ${ }^{20}$ Neither C-H insertion into the C-H bond of the tert-butyl group nor C-H insertion into the position $\alpha$ to the ester functional group occurred, even though both would have produced an ordinarily favored five-membered ring. However, the methylene group adjacent to the ester functionality is deactivated due to the presence of an electron-withdrawing group.

[^8]The large $N$-tert-butyl substituent fixes the amide conformation and results in the preferred reactive conformer A (Figure 2), allowing C-H insertion only into the smaller $N$-substituent.


Scheme 7. $\beta$-lactam formation due to conformational influence.

The examples presented in this section illustrate that the controlling features of C-H insertion reactions include both electronic and steric interactions that define the preferred conformation of the reactive rhodium(II) metal carbene.

### 1.4 Reaction mechanism:

Doyle describes a three-centered concerted transition state of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion mechanism (Scheme 8). ${ }^{21}$ Doyle suggests that there is overlap of the metal carbene's p-orbital with the $\sigma$-orbital of the reacting $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond. This initiates the formation of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond concurrently with dissociation of the metal as shown in the three-centered concerted transition state. ${ }^{21}$


Scheme 8. Mechanism of the C-H insertion according to Doyle.

[^9]Nakamura et al ${ }^{22}$ used B3LYP density functional theory to perform studies on the dirhodium tetracarboxylate-catalyzed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion reaction of methyl diazoacetate/diazomethane with methane or propane. These studies revealed the energetics, the electronic nature, and the geometry of important intermediates and transition states in the catalytic cycle. ${ }^{23}$ The first step involves nucleophilic addition of methyl diazoacetate to the metal complex and breakage of the $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{Rh}$ bond, followed by loss of dinitrogen to generate the metal carbene. The two most crucial CH insertion steps in Nakamura's model involve transfer of hydrogen from the alkane to the carbene carbon, and the other is regeneration of the $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{Rh}$ bond and formation of a new C-C bond, as depicted in transition state 29 (Scheme 9). ${ }^{23}$ Nakamura's theoretical calculations confirmed the mechanistic proposal originally advanced by Doyle. ${ }^{23}$


[^10]Scheme 9. Mechanism of the C-H insertion reaction according to Nakamura.

### 1.5 Tethered Intramolecular C-H insertion:

Intramolecular C-H insertion reactions often display a high degree of both regio- and stereoselectivity which is important in the synthesis of complex molecules. Tethering groups have been used as protecting groups in intramolecular reactions and have also been transformed into other functionalities. ${ }^{24}$ This strategy, for example, can involve tethering the reactants, carrying out the intramolecular reaction, and finally removing the tether (Scheme 10). ${ }^{24}$ The nature of the tether is critical; if the tether is not desired in the final product; mild reagents should remove the tether chemoselectively. Even more desirable is using a tether that can be utilized in subsequent reactions.


Scheme 10. The use of tethering groups.
Both the silyl and the sulfonate groups have emerged as tethers for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ functionalization. ${ }^{25}$ Marsden reported the synthesis of stereocontrolled polyol 33 via C-H insertion reactions of silicon tethered diazoacetates 31 (Scheme 11). ${ }^{25 a}$ The versatility of the silyl group, such as being able to be transformed into hydroxyl functionalities via the Tamoa-Fleming oxidation, ${ }^{26}$ has allowed the synthesis of

[^11]polyols in a stereo- and regio-controlled manner. However, the C-H insertion reactions of silicon tethered diazoacetates $\mathbf{3 1}$ were relatively low yielding for most substrates tested. ${ }^{27}$


Scheme 11. Silicon tethered intramolecular C-H insertion reactions.
Another tether reported for intramolecular C-H insertion is the sulfonate tether. Novikov ${ }^{25 b}$ and Du Bois ${ }^{25 c}$ devised sulfonate ester derivatives, exemplified by 34, that are strongly biased towards 1,6-C-H insertion and thus offer a general method for assembling $\delta$-sulfones $\mathbf{3 5}$. The value of these heterocycles is demonstrated in both reductive and oxidative reactions that make possible the excision of the sulfonate group (Scheme 12). Nonetheless, the removal of the sulfonate group requires the use of sodium cyanide and oxalyl chloride, which makes the sulfonate tether less attractive as a synthetic intermediate en route to complex molecules.


Scheme 12. Sulfonate tethered intramolecular C-H insertion reactions.
The developments by Marsden, Novikov, and Dubois are examples of the metal carbene inserting into the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond that is beta and gamma to the heteroatom bearing the silicon tethered diazoacetates and the diazosulfonate moiety, respectively.

[^12]To further expand on the versatility of tethered intramolecular C-H insertion reactions, we aimed to use a new tether, the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ tether, where insertion of the metal carbene into the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond that is alpha to the heteroatom bearing the diazo functionality is favored. Once the $1,5-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion into the hydrogen alpha to the heteroatom has occurred, cleavage of the N-O bond provides facile synthesis of enantiopure tertiary alcohols (Scheme 13). This methodology serves to address a void in methods to making tertiary alcohols in optically active form.


Scheme 13. The use of labil N-O tether in intramolecular C-H insertion reactions.
One approach to making tertiary alcohols is the enantioselective addition of organolithium, or Grignard reagents, to ketones. ${ }^{28}$ Seebach and Weber developed an effective asymmetric addition of alkyl Grignard reagents to ketones 40, however, they used stoichiometric amounts of (R,R)-TADDOL 41 (Scheme 14). ${ }^{28}$

 7-90\%, 66-98\% ee


42


Scheme 14. Seebach and Weber's asymmetric approach towards the synthesis of tertiary alcohols.

[^13]The synthesis of tertiary alcohols has also been reported in Sharpless's asymmetric dihyroxylation (Scheme 15). ${ }^{29}$ Tertiary alcohols 45, (S)-2-hydroxy-2,3-dihydro- $1 H$-inden-1-one and (S)-2-hydroxy-3,4-dihydronaphthalen- $1(2 H)$-one, are obtained with high enantioselctivity by asymmetric dihydroxylation of 1,1disubstituted, trisubstituted, and tetrasubstituted olefins. ${ }^{29}$ However, the synthesis of tertiary diols $44,(1 R, 2 S)$-dihydroindene-1,2-diol and $(1 R, 2 S)$-tetrahydronaphthalene-1,2-diol, using asymmetric dihydroxylation introduces an additional hydroxyl functionality that might not be required in the desired product.


Scheme 15. Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation.
There remains a lack of an efficient methodology to access optically-pure tertiary alcohols despite the progress achieved towards their synthesis. Therefore, there is still a need to further explore an efficient, wide scope, and a facile way of making tertiary alcohols. The labile N-O tether methodology has the potential to produce the "difficult-to-make" tertiary alcohols in optically active form.

[^14]
## 2. Research Discussion

### 2.1 Synthesis of model substrate for N-O tethered C-H insertion:

The preparation of the starting material, $N$-alkoxydiazoamides 49, was accomplished via a three-pot synthesis, starting from secondary alcohol 46 (Scheme 16). Gram quantities of the diazo compound 49 were first synthesized by isolating each intermediate in seven separate steps. In order to make our methodology more efficient, we explored combining several steps in the same pot without isolation/purification. The Scheme below outlines the three-pot synthesis of the N alkoxydiazoamide 49.


Scheme 16. Three-pot synthesis of $N$-alkoxydiazoamide 49.
We first tried to perform the Mitsunobu reaction ${ }^{30}$ with the subsequent oxime formation in one pot. However, combining these two reactions was not successful. We conjectured that the byproduct from the Mitsunobu reaction, diisopropyl hydrazine-1,2-dicarboxylate, might have inhibited oxime formation by reacting with the aldehyde. Therefore, phthalimide 47 was purified after the Mitsunobu reaction to remove the diisopropyl hydrazine-1,2-dicarboxylate. The Mitsunobu reaction was sensitive to the ester alkyl group of the azocarboxylate used. For example, the use of

[^15]diethylazocarboxylate resulted in a complex product mixture whereas both isopropyl and tert-butyl azocarboxylates gave phthalimide 47 in $72 \%$ yield.

Next, we explored whether hydrazine hydrolysis of phthalimide 47 followed by tandem imine formation and sodium cyanoborohydride reduction could afford N alkoxyamine 48 in one pot (Scheme 16 , pot 2 ). While performaing the hydrazine hydrolysis, I noticed that the oxime was forming from adventitious acetone which prompted me to believe that hydrazine hydrolysis and oxime formation could be performed in the same pot. The one-pot synthesis of $N$-alkoxyamine 48 via hydrazine hydrolysis, oxime formation, and sodium cyanoborohydride reduction proceeded smoothly to afford $N$-alkoxyamine 48 in $80-92 \%$ yield (Table 4).

Table 4. Synthesis of $N$-alkoxyamine 48.


| Entry | $\mathbf{R}$ | Yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Methyl | 90 |
| 2 | Ethyl | 92 |
| 3 | Isopropyl | 79 |
| 4 | Isobutyl | 80 |
| 5 | Mesityl | 83 |
| 6 | Benzyl | 87 |
| 7 | $p$-methoxy benzyl | 81 |
| 8 | $p$-nitro benzyl | 91 |

### 2.2 Different methods for the synthesis of N -alkoxydiazoamides substrates

With gram quantities of $N$-alkoxyamine 48 in hand, we proceeded to synthesize the $N$-alkoxydiazoamides 49 needed for the C-H insertion studies. We investigated three different methods for this synthesis; and the yields ranged from 50$90 \%$, depending on the R group and the method used. The following subsections provide a breakdown of the three methods that were used for the synthesis of N alkoxydiazoamides 49 and the challenges faced with each one.

## 2.2a Method A (using diketene or diketene acetone adduct)



Scheme 17. Synthesis of N -alkoxydiazoamide 49, method A.
A one-pot conversion ${ }^{31}$ of 48 into $N$-alkoxydiazoacetamide 49 was accomplished by treating compound 48 with freshly distilled diketene ${ }^{32}$ in THF overnight. Mesyl azide and triethylamine were then added, and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight. Lastly, six equivalents of LiOH in water was added, and the diazo compound 49 was finally obtained in an average yield of $80 \% \pm 5$. Despite the good yields obtained using the diketne reagent, looking into an alterative method for the synthesis of $N$-alkoxydiazoamides 49 was unavoidable due to commercial shortage of the diketene reagent. A different chemical, 2,2,6-trimethyl-4H-1,3-dioxin-4-one, also known as the diketene acetone adduct, was used to react with N -

[^16]alkoxyamine 48 in xylene at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{33}$ The diketene acetone adduct method resulted in moderate yields ( $60 \%$ yield, $\mathrm{R}=$ methyl) but we continued to consider other alteranive methods to synthesize $N$-alkoxydiazoamides 49 to achieve better yields (70-90 \% yield).

## 2.2b Method B (using methyl malonyl chloride)



Scheme 18. Synthesis of $N$-alkoxydiazoamide 49, method B.
A one-pot conversion ${ }^{34}$ of N -alkoxyamine 48 into N -alkoxydiazoacetamide 49 was accomplished by treating compound $\mathbf{4 8}$ with methyl malonyl chloride in DCM at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. Mesyl azide and triethylamine were then added, and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight. The problem that was faced with this method was the deacylation step. The deacylation step would slowly take place but only after treating the diazo compound with at least 24 equivalants of sodium hydroxide overnight. The amount of either LiOH or NaOH that was required makes this method ineffiecient and hence our efforts to find a better method to synthesize $N$-alkoxydiazoamides 49 continued.

## 2.2c Method C (using phthalylglycyl chloride)

[^17]

Scheme 19. Synthesis of $N$-alkoxydiazoamide 49, method C.
This method was the most successful for the synthesis of N alkoxydiazoacetamide 49. Adding phthalylglycyl chloride ${ }^{35}$ to $N$-alkoxyamine 48 affords the phthalimide product 50 in excellent yields (92-99\%). Subjecting phthalimide 50 to hydrazine hydrolysis followed by diazotization using sodium nitrite in acidic media in one pot gives the desired $N$-alkoxydiazoamides 49 in moderate yields (50-60\%). The overall yield of the synthesis of $N$-alkoxydiazoamides 49 using this method was $70-80 \%$ yield.

Attempts to synthesize $N$-alkoxydiazoacetamide 49, where $\mathrm{R}=p$-nitrobenzyl, were not successful. The synthesis of phthalimide $\mathbf{5 1}$ was achieved in excellent yield (92\%) by treating $N$-alkoxyamine 48 with phthalylglycyl chloride. hydrazine hydrolysis of phthalimide 51 was achieved in $56 \%$ yield. However, the diazotization step was problematic. Adding acetic acid and sodium nitrite and leaving the reaction overnight afforded alcohol product 46 in $83 \%$ yield whereas quenching the diazotization reaction after 30 minutes yielded the alcohol product 46 in $20 \%$ yield and product 52 in $30 \%$ yield (Scheme 20). The desired N -alkoxydiazoacetamide substrate 49, ( $\mathrm{R}=p$-nitrobenzyl) was not observed.

[^18]

Scheme 20. Towards the synthesis of $N$-alkoxydiazoamide 49, ( $\mathrm{R}=p$-nitrobenzyl).

### 2.3 C-H insertion reaction, screening and optimization:

Next, Jingxin Wang investigated the intramolecular C-H insertion reaction alpha to the oxygen atom bearing the diazocarbonyl moiety (Scheme 21).


Scheme 21. Intramolecular C-H insertion.

Jingxin initially explored the intramolecular C-H insertion reaction using different solvents to observe whether there is any solvent effect on the yield of the C-H insertion reaction (Table 5). We concluded that the polarity of the solvent does not affect the efficiency of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion step; similar yields for the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion products were obtained in non-polar solvents such as hexane (entry 6 , Table 5) and in more polar solvents such as DCM and DCE (entries 1 and 2, Table 5). Also, the product yield obtained in $\alpha, \alpha, \alpha$-trifluorotoluene is similar to that obtained using
toluene as the solvent, albeit in lower yields, possibly due to the rhodium acetate being less soluble in these solvents (entry 3 and 4, Table 5).

Table 5. Solvent screening.

| Entry | Solvent | Yield (\%) 53 $^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DCM | $50^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| 2 | DCE | $50^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| 3 | Trifluorotolune | $33^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| 4 | Toluene | $20^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| 5 | THF | $48^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| 6 | Hexane | $60^{\mathrm{c}}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Isolated yield of 53 , trace amount of 1,7-C-H insertion product was observed, average ratio $95: 5 .{ }^{\mathrm{b}} 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of rhodium acetate, 20 h , and at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}} 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of rhodium acetate, 1.5 h , and at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Yield reported by Jingxin Wang. ${ }^{36}$
After exploring the effects of solvents on the yield of the C-H insertion reaction, Jingxin examined the effect of the dirhodium calatysts on product yield (Table 6). A number of different rhodium catalysts was screened, and the yield of the $1,5-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion product 53 was significant with $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{tfa})_{4}(80 \%$ yield) and moderate with $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{pfb})_{4}\left(50 \%\right.$ yield), $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ (50\% yield), $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{oct})_{4}$ (44\% yield), and

[^19]$\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{esp})_{2}(53 \%$ yield). While the expected $1,5-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion product was the major product with all dirhodium catalysts, a C-H insertion byproduct resulting from the 1,7-C-H insertion was observed with all dirhodium catalysts (average ratio 95:5) except for those with the trifluoroacetate and perfluorobutyrate ligands. However, when using the electron withdrawing ligands, trifluoroacetate and perfluorobutyrate, the formation of the 1,7-C-H insertion byproduct was increased (ratio 62:38). We decided to choose rhodium acetate as our catalyst of choice because it was available in abundance.

Table 6. Catalyst optimization.

| Entry $^{[\mathrm{ax}}$ | Catalyst | Yield $^{\text {a (\%) 53 }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ | $50^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{esp})_{2}$ | $53^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{tfa})_{4}$ | $79^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{pfb})_{4}$ | $48^{\mathrm{e}}$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{oct})_{4}$ | $44^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{cap})_{4}$ | $22^{\mathrm{f}}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ All reactions are based on a 0.5 mmole scale. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst, 20 h , and at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, in DCM. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}} 0.5 \mathrm{~mol}$ $\%$ catalyst, DCM, $1 \mathrm{~h}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst, $\mathrm{DCM}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$. Yield reported by Jingxin Wang. ${ }^{44 \mathrm{e}}$ $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst, $\mathrm{DCM}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$, using a different substrate. Yield reported by Jingxin Wang. ${ }^{\mathrm{f}} 0.5$ mol $\%$ catalyst, $\mathrm{DCE}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Next, Jingxin and I investigated the effect that the reaction temperature plays in product yield (Table 7). Most C-H insertion reactions in the literature have been performed in DCM, at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ reflux. A few others were done at room temperature, and very few at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. We obtained better yields ( $60 \%$ ) when the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion reaction was performed at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (compare entries $1,2,3$ in Table 7). Since the boiling point of
dichloromethane is $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 1,2-dichloroethane was used for the higher temperatures $\left(84^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ (entry 5, Table 7). In addition, the duration of the reaction did not affect the product yield at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The same conditions were used to perform the C-H insertion reactions (entry 3, 4, Table 7) that were left to stir for 20 hours and for one hour at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. These reactions afforded the 1,5-C-H insertion product 53 in $60 \%$ yield.

Table $7^{\text {a }}$. Temperature optimization.

| Entry $^{[\text {a] }}$ | Temp. $^{\mathbf{}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{C}$ | Time/h | Yield (\%) 53 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 20 | 20 | 50 |
| 2 | 0 | 20 | 40 |
| 3 | 40 | 20 | 61 |
| 4 | 40 | 1 | 60 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ All reactions were performed using $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of rhodium acetate in DCM. Trace amount of the 1,7-C-
H insertion product was observed, average ratio 92:8.

Finally, Jingxin and I explored the catalyst loading effects on the yield of the intramolecular C -H insertion of N -alkoxydiazoacetamide. Lowering the catalyst loading from $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ to $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ did not drastically change the yield of the reaction (compare enteries 1-3, Table 8). The 1,5-C-H insertion product 53 can be obtained by using a catalyst loading as low as $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$. After exploring different conditions for the intramolecular C-H insertion reaction, the C-H insertion reactions were performed using rhodium acetate in refulx dichloromethane.

Table $\mathbf{8}^{\text {a }}$. Catalyst loading optimization.

| Entry $^{\mathbf{a}}$ | Solvent | Catalyst Load (mol <br> \%) | Temp./ ${ }^{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{C}$ | Yield (\%) 53 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DCM | 2 | 40 | 60 |
| 2 | DCM | 1 | 40 | 71 |
| 3 | DCM | 0.5 | 40 | 71 |
| 4 | DCE | 0.5 | 70 | 74 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ All reactions were performed using rhodium acetate, 1 h on a 1.0 mmole reaction scale. Trace amount of the 1,7-C-H insertion product was observed average ratio 95:5.

Next, Jingxin investigated the decomposition reaction of enantiomerically enriched $N$-alkoxydiazoacetamide 54 ( $99 \%$ ee) (Scheme 22). ${ }^{37}$ The C-H insertion reaction is stereospecific, ${ }^{38}$ it occurs with retention of stereochemistry in line with literature precedent. ${ }^{38}$ Accordingly, subjecting enantiopure $N$-alkoxydiazoacetamide compound 54 to dirhodium catalysis resulted in enantiomerically enriched compound $55(99 \%$ ee $)$. Then treating the enantiopure $1,5-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion product 55 with raney nickel afforded enantiopure teriary alcohol 56 in $99 \%$ ee; conforming that racemization does not occur in $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ tetered $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion reactions.

[^20]
99\% ee

$86 \%$ yield, $99 \%$ ee

$\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


71\% yield

Scheme 22. Intramolecular C-H insertion on an enantiomerically enriched substrate.
In addition to cleaving the N-O linkage using Raney Ni, I examined the feasibility of the cleavage of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ moiety in $\mathbf{5 8}$ after reducing the carbonyl group to afford 1,3- hydroxy amino compound 59 (Scheme 23). The reduction of the carbonyl group and the N-O moiety can be convenietly achieved by treatment of $\mathbf{5 8}$ with Red-Al in anhydrous THF and toluene, followed by a subsequent treatment with zinc dust to afford the desired tertiary alcohol 59 in $88 \%$ yield.


Scheme 23. N-O cleavage to afford the 1,3 hydroxy amino functionality.

### 2.4 Substrate scope of the intramolecualr C-H insertion reaction:

To evaluate the scope and the generality of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ tethered intramolecular C H insertion method Jingxin investigated the effect of the $N$-substituent on the outcome of the C-H insertion step. The following table (Table 9) contains the substrates that were investigated by varying the substituent on nitrogen. The major product for all substrates was the $1,5-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion product 53 regardless of whether the R group was an alkyl or an aromatic substituent. Some byproducts were also observed such as the $1,7-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion, $1,6-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion and the ketone byproduct. There was no C-H insertion to the substituent on nitrogen that was observed.

Table 9. Substrate scope of the C-H insertion reaction.


| Entry | $\mathbf{R}$ | Yield (\%) $^{\text {[a] }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Methyl | 41 |
| 2 | Ethyl | 61 |
| 3 | Isopropyl | 69 |
| 4 | Isobutyl | 51 |
| 5 | Mesityl | 58 |
| 6 | Benzyl | 59 |
| 7 | $p$-methoxy benzyl | 62 |

[^21]To further expand our knowledge and understanding of the effect the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ linkage has on product selectivity, I synthesized two similar N -alkoxydiazoacetamide compounds where $\mathbf{6 0}$ contains the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ linkage, and $\mathbf{6 3}$ does not (Scheme 24). ${ }^{40}$ Preliminary results performed by Jingxin Wang show that the major product of the diazo decomposition of compound $\mathbf{6 3}$ (without the N-O linkage) is the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion product whereas the major product for the diazo decomposition of compound $\mathbf{6 0}$ (with the N-O linkage) is the aromatic cycloaddition product (Scheme 24). ${ }^{41}$ Furthermore, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion product of the two $N$-alkoxydiazoacetamide decompositon reactions are different. In the presence of the N-O linkage (compound 60) the carbene inserts next to the oxygen hetreoatom whereas in the absence of the N-O linkage (compound 63) insertion occurs into the methyl group of the isopropyl nitrogen substituent. This result further supports our hypothesis that electronics of

[^22]the N -alkoxydiazoacetamide play a role in determining the N -alkoxydiazoacetamide substrate conformation.


Scheme 24. C-H insertion versus cyclopropanation.
We have shown that the desired $1,5-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion product is a significant result of the C-H insertion reaction. We can, however, manipulate the substrate to deactivate the $1,5-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond and promote the $1,7-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion product to take place. This was nicely illustrated using $N$-alkoxydiazoacetamide compounds 66a-b, when the carbon $\alpha$ to the oxygen hetreoatom is a methylene group $\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}\right)$ the amount of the $1,7-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion product increased (Table 10, entry 1-2). Furthermore, with N alkoxydiazoacetamide compounds $66 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}$ deactivating the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond adjacent to the oxygen heteroatom was achieved by positioning an electron withdrawing group next to that C-H bond, and thus the $1,7-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion product was predominately formed (Table 10 , entry $3-4$ ).

Table 10 ${ }^{\text {a }}$. 1,5 vs. 1,7-C-H insertion.


| Entry | Substrate | R1 | R2 | R3 | $\mathbf{R 4}$ | Yield $^{\mathbf{b}}$ (\%) <br> $\mathbf{( 6 7 + 6 8 )}^{2}$ | Ratio $^{\mathbf{c}}$ <br> $\mathbf{6 7 : 6 8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 66 a | Ph | H | H | $p$-methoxy benzyl | 65 | $40: 25$ |
| 2 | 66 b | Ph | Me | H | $p$-methoxy benzyl | 65 | $36: 29$ |
| 3 | 66 c | Me | H | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | Bn | 53 | $5: 48$ |
| 4 | 66 d | Ph | H | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | Bn | 54 | $0: 54$ |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion reactions performed by Jingxin Wang. ${ }^{49}$ Yield of isolated product. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Ratio based on the yields of pure isolated products.

## 3. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have devised a novel labile tether, the N-O tether, for intramolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion reactions that has the potential to be used for the synthesis of tertiary alcohols in optically active form. The present work represents the first example of intramolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion reaction of N -alkoxydiazoacetamide. The resulting C-H insertion products were converted to tertiary-amino alcohols via cleavage of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ tether. This approach allows the regioselective insertion of metal carbenes into the C-H bond alpha to a heteroatom and leads to the formation of tertiary stereocenters.

## 4. Experimental

General: All reagents were obtained commercially unless otherwise noted. Reactions were performed using oven-dried glassware under an atmosphere of argon. Air- and moisture-sensitive liquids and solutions were transferred via syringe or stainless steel cannula. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Merck Kieselgel 60 $\mathrm{F}_{254}$ plates. Visualization of the developed chromatogram was accomplished by ultraviolet light or by staining with iodine, butanolic ninhydrin, $p$-anisaldehyde, or phosphomolybdic acid (PMA) solution. Chromatographic purification of products was accomplished using forced-flow chromatography on silica gel (230x400 mesh). Compounds purified by chromatography on silica gel were typically applied to the absorbent bed using the indicated solvent conditions with a minimum amount of added dichloromethane as needed for solubility. Unless otherwise described, reactions were carried out at ambient temperature. Combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Solvents were removed from the reaction mixture or combined organic extracts by concentration under reduced pressure using a rotary evaporator with bath at $30-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

NMR spectra were obtained on Bruker AV-400, Bruker DRX-400 ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ at 400 MHz , ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ at 100 MHz ), Bruker DRX-500 ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ at $500 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ at 125 MHz ), or Bruker AVIII-600 $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ at $600 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ at 150 MHz$)$. Absorptions and their splitting from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra are recorded as follows relative to residual solvent peaks: $(\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, d $=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ doublet of doublets, $\mathrm{td}=$ triplet of doublets, dq $=$ doublet of quartets, $\mathrm{ddd}=$ doublet of doublet of doublets, tdd triplet of doublet of doublets, dddd $=$ doublet of doublet of doublet of doublets, $m=$ multiplet, comp $=$ composite), coupling constant (Hz), and integration. Chemical shifts ( $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra are reported relative to the residual solvent peak. All spectra are
recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as solvent, unless otherwise described. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a JEOL AccuTOF-CS system (ESI positive, needle voltage $1800-2400 \mathrm{eV}$, flow rate $50 \mathrm{uL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO FT-IR-4100 instrument. Optical rotations for chiral compounds were measured using a digital polarimeter (DIP-1000). The rhodium catalysts were obtained commercially from Sigma-Aldrich.

## General Experimental Procedures

## Procedure for the Mitsunobu Reaction of 2-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione



4-Phenyl-2-butanol (5.0g, 0.033 moles), $N$-hydroxyphthalimide $(5.4 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 0.033$ mmoles), and triphenylphosphine ( $8.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.033$ moles) were dissolved in THF (166 mL ) and treated with diisopropyl azodicarboxylate ( $7.1 \mathrm{ml}, 0.036$ moles). The reaction mixture became dark-red and the color disappeared after a few minutes. The reaction is exothermic as heat was produced on mixing of the reagents. The solution was left to stir at room temperature for 24 hours. The solvent was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and then evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate (98\% to $90 \%$ hexane); $72 \%$ yield, based on a 0.033 mole scale of 4 -phenol-2-butanol. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.92-7.82$ (comp, 2H), 7.82-7.72 (comp, 2H), 7.347.28 (comp, 4H), 7.24-7.19 (m, 1H), 4.46 (sext., $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100
$\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 164.4,141.7,134.4,128.9,128.4,128.3,125.8,123.4,83.7$, 36.7, 31.4, 18.9. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 296.1287, found 296.1297.

## 2-(3-phenylbutoxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione



The Mitsunobu reaction procedure of 2-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione was repeated with 3-phenyl-1-butanol. The residue from the reaction was purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $98 \%$ hexane) $99 \%$ yield, based on a 5.3 mmole scale of 3-phenyl-1-butanol. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.89-7.79(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.70(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.34-7.27 (comp, 4H), 7.23-7.18 (m, 1H), 4.16-4.07 (m, 2H), 3.1 (sext., $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.00(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .13 \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 163.6,146.1$, 134.4, 128.9, 128.4, 127.0, 126.2, 123.4, 76.7, 36.5, 36.1, 22.1. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 296.1287, found 296.1290 .

## Ethyl 2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yloxy)pentanoate



The Mitsunobu reaction procedure of 2-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione was repeated with ethyl 2-hydroxyvalerate. The residue from the reaction was purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate (98\% to $95 \%$ hexane) $97 \%$ yield, based on a 10 mmole scale of ethyl-2-hydroxy valerate.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.70-7.63(\mathrm{comp}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.6(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.16-4.04 (m, 2H), 1.93-1.83(m, 1H), 1.80-1.71 (m, 1H), 1.53-1.44 (comp, 2H), 1.15 $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 169.2, 162.8, 134.3, 128.4, 123.2, 84.9, 77.0, 61.1, 32.4, 17.8, 13.6, 13.3. HRMS (ESI + ): expected mass 292.1179, found 292.1181.

## Ethyl 2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yloxy)-4-phenylbutanoate



The Mitsunobu reaction procedure of 2-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione was repeated with ethyl 2-hydroxy-4-phenylbutyrate. The residue from the reaction was purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $97 \%$ hexane) $97 \%$ yield, based on a 5.3 mmole scale of $(R)$-ethyl 2 -hydroxy-4-phenylbutanoate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.88-7.84$ (comp, 2H), 7.79-7.76 (comp, 2H), 7.34-7.29 (comp, 4H), 7.24-7.21 (m, 1H), $4.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 7.8, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 4.30-4.20 (comp, 2H), $2.98(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.22(\mathrm{comp}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 169.2, 163.2, 140.6, 134.6, 128.8, 128.5, 128.4, 126.1, 123.6, 84.72, 61.6, 32.6, 30.9, 14.0. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 354.1336, found 354.1342.

## Procedure for the Preparation of Hydroxylamine Substrates



## N -isopropyl-O-(4-phenylbutan-2-yl) hydroxylamine

2-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione (7.1g, 0.024 moles) was dissolved in ethanol ( 240 mL ) and to that mixture was added hydrazine ( $2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.072 \mathrm{moles}$ ). An immediate change of color was observed when hydrazine was added; the solution was a bright red/orange color then soon afterwards it became less red before immediately becoming an insoluble milky white suspension. The reaction was left to stir for one hour at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Once the reaction was complete, as judged by TLC analysis, excess dry acetone ( $4.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.072$ moles) was added; and the reaction was left to stir at room temperature for one hour. After TLC analysis showed complete consumption of starting material, $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}\left(8.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.14\right.$ moles) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the pH was adjusted to three by adding drops of hydrochloric acid ( $35 \%$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). The reaction was left to stir for one hour in open air and warmed to room temperature. The ethanol was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the solution was neutralized to pH eight by adding solid NaOH . The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ three times. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure.

## Characterization Data for hydroxylamine substrates

## Formaldehyde $O$-4-phenylbutan-2-yl oxime



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $98 \%$ hexane); $86 \%$ yield, based on a 4.2 mmole scale of 2-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.36-7.32$ (comp,

2H), 7.27-7.22 (comp, 3H), 7.09 (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47$ (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33$ (sext., $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.69(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 142.0,136.4,128.3,128.3$, 125.7, 78.5, 37.3, 31.6, 19.7. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 177.12, found 178.13.

## N -methyl-O-(4-phenylbutan-2-yl) hydroxylamine



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $95 \%$ hexane); $94 \%$ yield, based on a 3.3 mmole scale of formaldehyde $O$ -4-phenylbutan-2-yl oxime. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.32-7.27$ (comp, 2H), 7.23-7.18 (comp, 3H), 4.92 (s, br, 1H), 3.75 (sext., $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.78-2.62 (comp, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 142.3,128.3,128.3,125.6,77.6,39.7,37.0,31.9$, 19.3. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 179.13, found 180.14.

## Acetaldehyde $\boldsymbol{O}$-4-phenylbutan-2-yl oxime



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $98 \%$ hexane); $98 \%$ yield, based on a 29 mmole scale of 2-(4-phenylbutan-2yloxy) isoindoline-1,3-dione. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.47(\mathrm{q}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33$ (t, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.24 (dd, $J=14.3,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.25 (sext., $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.69(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.79$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 145.8,142.2$,
128.3, 128.2, 125.6, 77.7, 37.3, 31.7, 19.7, 15.3. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 192.1388, found 192.1380.

## $N$-ethyl- $O$-(4-phenylbutan-2-yl) hydroxylamine



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $98 \%$ hexane); $86 \%$ yield, based on a 29 mmole scale of acetaldehyde $O-4-$ phenylbutan-2-yl oxime. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.31-7.27$ (comp, 2H), 7.22-7.17 (comp, 3H), 5.24 (s, br, 1H), 3.74 (sext., $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.98 (q, $J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.78-2.62(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 142.4,128.3$, 128.3, 125.6, 78.0, 46.8, 37.1, 31.9, 19.3, 12.5. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 194.1545, found 194.1541.
$N$-isopropyl-O-(4-phenylbutan-2-yl) hydroxylamine


Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate (98\% to $95 \%$ hexane); $79 \%$ yield, based on a 20 mmole scale of 2-(4-phenylbutan-2yloxy) isoindoline-1,3-dione. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 7.31-7.27 (comp, 2H), 7.23-7.17 (comp, 3H), 5.07 (s, br, 1H), 3.75 (sext., $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.16 (hept., $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73-2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.73$
$(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.3,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 142.2,128.1,128.0,125.4,78.0,51.3,37.1,31.8,20.0,19.1$. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 208.1701, found 208.1691.
$N$-isobutyl- $O$-(4-phenylbutan-2-yl) hydroxylamine


Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $98 \%$ hexane); $80 \%$ yield, based on a 3.2 mmole scale of Phenol butoxyphthalimide. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.33-7.29$ (comp, 2H), 7.257.19 (comp, 3H), 3.76 (sext., $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.76 (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.79-2.63 (comp, 2H), 1.97-1.84 (comp, 2H), 1.77-1.68(m, 1H), $1.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 142.4,128.3,128.2,125.6$, $77.8,60.3,37.0,31.9,25.9,20.6,20.6,19.3$. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 222.1858, found 222.1864.

## $O$-(4-Phenylbutan-2-yl)- N -(2,4,6-trimethylbenzyl) hydroxylamine



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $98 \%$ hexane); $83 \%$ yield, based on a 5.4 mmole scale of 2-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ ppm 7.36-7.32 (comp,

2H), 7.26-7.22 (comp, 3H), $6.92(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80($ sext., $J=$ $6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.66(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.80-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2,3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 142.3, $137.8,137.0,129.6,128.9,128.3,128.2,125.6,77.7,50.4,36.9,31.8,20.9,19.6$, 19.3. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 298.2171, found 298.2179.

## $N$-Benzyl-O-(4-phenylbutan-2-yl) hydroxylamine



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $98 \%$ hexane); $87 \%$ yield, based on a 3.6 mmole scale of 2-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 7.45-7.40 (comp, 5H), 7.37-7.34 (comp, 2H), 7.26-7.21 (comp, 3H), $5.46(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78$ (sext., $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.78-1.69 (m, 1H), $1.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 142.3, 137.6, 129.0, 128.3, 128.2, 127.3, 125.6, 78.0, 56.9, 36.9, 31.8, 19.3. HRMS (ESI + ): expected mass 256.1701, found 256.1710.

## N -(4-methoxybenzyl)-O-(4-phenylbutan-2-yl) hydroxylamine



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $98 \%$ hexane); $81 \%$ yield, based on a 4.1 mmole scale of 2-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.34-7.28$ (comp, 4H), 7.22-7.18 (comp, 3H), 6.91-6.88 (comp, 2H), 5.40 (s, br, 1H), 4.01 (s, 2H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.74 (sext., $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.86$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ ppm 158.9, 142.4, 130.3, 129.6, 128.3, 128.2, 125.6, 113.7, 78.0, 56.3, 55.2, 36.9, 31.8, 19.3. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 286.1807, found 286.1814.

## N -(4-nitrobenzyl)-O-(4-phenylbutan-2-yl) hydroxylamine



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $96 \%$ hexane); $91 \%$ yield, based on a 3.4 mmole scale of 2-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 8.24-8.18 (comp, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.53$ (comp, 2H), 7.30-7.25 (comp, 2H), 7.23-7.18 (m, 1H), 7.15-7.12 (comp, 2H), $5.62(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68$ (sext., $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.50$ (comp, 2H), 1.90-1.80(m, 1H), 1.71-1.62 (m, 1H), $1.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 147.2,145.9,142.1,129.6,128.3,128.2,125.7$, 123.5, 78.3, 55.9, 36.8, 31.7, 19.1. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 301.1552, found 301.1556.

## O -benzyl- N -isopropyl hydroxylamine



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $97 \%$ hexane); $83 \%$ yield, based on a 16 mmole scale of $O$-benzylamine hydrochloride. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ ppm 7.39-7.28 (comp, 5H), 5.36 (s, br, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73$ (s, 2H), 3.21 (sept., $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 137.9,128.3,128.3,127.7,76.7,51.6,20.1$. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 166.1232 , found 166.1240 .

## N -(4-Methoxybenzyl)-O-(3-phenylbutyl) hydroxylamine



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate $(100 \%$ to $98 \%$ hexane); $93 \%$ yield, based on a 4.1 mmole scale of 2-(3-phenylbutoxy)isoindoline-1,3-dione. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.35-7.26$ (comp, 4H), 7.22-7.17 (comp, 3H), 6.90-6.88 (comp, 2H), $5.50(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82($ sext., $J=$ 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.91-1.81 (comp, 2H), $1.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \operatorname{ppm} 158.9,147.0,130.1,129.5,128.3,126.9,125.9,113.7,72.1,55.8,55.2$, 36.9, 36.5, 22.3. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 286.1807, found 286.1810.

## Ethyl 4-phenyl-2-(propan-2-ylideneaminooxy)butanoate



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate (94\% hexane); $80 \%$ yield, based on a 2.0 mmole scale of ethyl 2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yloxy)-4-phenylbutanoate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ppm 7.32-7.27 (comp, 2H), 7.22-7.19 (comp, 3H), 4.59-4.56 (m, 1H), 4.25-4.16 (comp, 2H), 2.85-2.72 (comp, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.11$ (comp, 2H), $1.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ppm 172.6, 156.5, 141.2, 128.4, 128.4, 126.0, 79.9, 60.7, 33.0, 31.5, 21.8, 15.8, 14.2. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 264.1600, found 264.1609.

## Ethyl 2-(benzylaminooxy) pentanoate



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate (90\% hexane); $70 \%$ yield, based on a 3.4 mmole scale of ethyl 2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2yloxy)pentanoate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.35-7.27(\mathrm{comp}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.16(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.13-4.03(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.56(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.42-1.24 (comp, 2H), $1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl 3 ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 173.2,137.3,128.9,128.3,127.3,81.9,60.5,56.2,33.3$, 18.6, 14.2, 13.6. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 252.1594, found 252.1603.

## Procedure for the Preparation of $\boldsymbol{N}$-alkoxy diazoacetamides - Method A



## $N$-Ethyl- $N$-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)-2-diazoacetamide

To a solution of N -ethyl- O -(4-phenylbutan-2-yl)hydroxylamine ( $0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 2.8$ mmoles) in freshly distilled anhydrous xylene ( 11 mL ) was added 2,2,6-trimethyl-4H-1,3-dioxin-4-one $(0.59 \mathrm{~g}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmoles})$. The reaction was heated from room temperature to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an oil bath. After one hour at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the cooled reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The reaction mixture was dissolved in THF (3.5 $\mathrm{mL})$ and methyl sulfonylazide ${ }^{42}(1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 5.7 \mathrm{mmoles})$ was added to that followed by dry triethylamine ( 1 mL ). The reaction was left to stir under argon at room temperature overnight. Once the second reaction was complete judged by TLC analysis, eight equivalents of lithium hydroxide in water $(5.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction mixture and left to stir for eight hours at room temperature. The reaction was neutralized to pH 7 , measured using pH paper, by adding drops of hydrochloric acid ( $35 \%$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), then the solvent was extracted with ethyl acetate twice, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered.

## Procedure for the Preparation of $N$-alkoxy diazoacetamides - Method B


$N$-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)-N-(2,4,6-trimethylbenzyl)-2-diazoacetamide
A solution of $O$-(4-phenylbutan-2-yl)- $N$-(2,4,6-trimethylbenzyl)hydroxylamine ( $0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmoles}$ ) and triethyl amine $(0.58 \mathrm{~g}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmoles})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(1.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to a solution of methyl malonyl chloride ( $0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmoles}$ ) in DCM ( 1.3 mL ) at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was warmed up to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and left to stir. After two hours the reaction was complete as judged by TLC analysis. The reaction was quenched by water, and the organic and aqueous layer were separated. The organic

[^23]layer was washed with $10 \%$ aqueous solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The reaction mixture was dissolved in THF (1.2 $\mathrm{mL})$, and methyl sulfonylazide ${ }^{5}(0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 1.82 \mathrm{mmoles})$ was added to the solution followed by triethylamine $(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmoles})$ that was dried with molecular sieves. The reaction was left to stir under argon at room temperature overnight. Once the second reaction was complete judged by TLC analysis, 24 equivalents of sodium hydroxide in water ( 3.6 mL ) was added to the reaction mixture and left to stir overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was neutralized to pH 7 , measured using pH paper, by adding drops of hydrochloric acid ( $35 \%$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), then extracted with ethyl acetate twice and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$.

Procedure for the Preparation of $N$-alkoxy diazoacetamides - Method C


2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)- N -isobutyl- N -(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)acetamide
To a mixture of phthalylglycyl chloride $(0.39 \mathrm{~g}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol})$, alkoxylamine $N$-isobutyl-$O$-(4-phenylbutan-2-yl)hydroxylamine $(0.38 \mathrm{~g}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8.6$ $\mathrm{mL})$, dry $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(0.36 \mathrm{~g}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added slowly via a syringe under argon. After stirring the reaction mixture at room temperature for 2 h , the solution was concentrated under reduced pressure and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The product on TLC could be visualized under UV light, or by staining with PMA solution followed by gentle heating.

## $N$-Isobutyl- $N$-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)-2-diazoacetamide

To an ethanol solution ( 8.0 mL ) of phthalimide 2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)- N -isobutyl- $N$-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)acetamide $(0.66 \mathrm{~g}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, hydrazine hydrate $(0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 8.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at room temperature and the reaction mixture was heated to $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 1 h , a white suspension was formed, and the reaction mixture was left to stir overnight at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to reach completion before cooling. The reaction mixture was then filtered, and the white solid was washed thoroughly with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL} \times 5)$. The combined filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The material was dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(8.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and acetic acid (0.80 mL ) was added at room temperature. After the reaction was allowed to stirr, sodium nitrite $(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in water $(1.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred rapidly for 30 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, resulting with a golden transparent solution. The reaction mixture was then neutralized by 1 M NaHCO 3 immediately and was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 4)$. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The product on TLC was visualized under UV light, or by staining with PMA solution followed by gentle heating.

## Characterization Data for N -alkoxydiazoacetamide substrates

## $N$-Ethyl- $N$-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)-2-diazoacetamide - Method A



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $94 \%$ hexane); $97 \%$ yield, based on a 2.8 mmole scale of $N$-ethyl- $O-(4-$ phenylbutan-2-yl) hydroxylamine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.32-7.28$
(comp, 2H), 7.23-7.18 (comp, 3H), 5.30 (s, 1H), 3.91 (sext., $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.74 ( $\mathrm{qd}, J=14.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{qd}, J=14.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78-2.64(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.02-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 169.9,141.2,128.5,128.2,126.1,78.4$, 47.2, 42.6, 36.5, 31.7, 18.7, 11.7. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 262.1556, found 262.1555.

## $N$-Isopropyl- $N$-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)-2-diazoacetamide - Method A



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $90 \%$ hexane); $76 \%$ yield, based on a 7.2 mmole scale of $N$-isopropyl- $O-(4-$ phenylbutan-2-yl) hydroxylamine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.32-7.28$ (comp, 2H), 7.22-7.18 (comp, 3H), 5.33 (s, 1H), 4.42 (sept., $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.95 ( sext., $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.69(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 172.4,141.2,128.4,128.2,126.0,80.7,52.7,48.0,36.8,31.9,19.9,18.6$. HRMS (ESI + ): expected mass 276.1712, found 276.1725.

## $N$-Isobutyl- $N$-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)-2-diazoacetamide - Method A or Method

 C

Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $95 \%$ hexane); $70 \%$ yield, based on a 2.3 mmole scale of $N$-isobutyl- $O-(4-$
phenylbutan-2-yl) hydroxylamine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.32-7.28$ (comp, 2H), 7.23-7.17 (comp, 3H), 5.31 (s, 1H), 3.94 (sext., $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.51 (dd, $J=14.5,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.5,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78-2.63(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.13-2.02 (m, 1H), 2.01-1.92 (m, 1H), 1.83-1.74 (m, 1H), $1.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 169.1, 141.1, 128.5, 128.2, 126.1, 78.0, 54.2, 46.7, 36.5, 31.7, 26.6, 20.1, 20.0. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 290.1869, found 290.1881.
$N$-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)- $N$-(2,4,6-trimethylbenzyl)-2-diazoacetamide - Method B


Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $96 \%$ hexane); $50 \%$ yield, based on 1.0 mmole scale of $O$-(4-phenylbutan-2-yl)- $N$-(2,4,6-trimethylbenzyl)hydroxylamine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 7.31-7.26 (comp, 2H), 7.24-7.19 (m, 1H), 7.07-7.04 (comp, 2H), $6.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29-3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-$ $2.35(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 172.1, 141.3, 138.1, 137.3, 129.1, 128.9, 128.4, 128.1, 125.9, 80.5, 47.8, 47.6, 36.4, 31.7, 20.9, 19.8, 18.2 (2C). HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 366.2176, found 366.2191.
$N$-benzyl-2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)- $N$-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy) acetamideMethod C


Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $85 \%$ hexane); $99 \%$ yield, based on 1.0 mmole scale of $N$-(benzyl)- $O$-(4-phenylbutan-2-yl)-hydroxylamine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ ppm 7.92 (dd, $J=$ $5.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.76$ (dd, $J=5.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.22(\mathrm{comp}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10$ (sext., $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71-2.59(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 168.8, 167.9, 141.0, $135.8,133.9,132.2,128.5,128.4,128.2,128.1,127.6,126.0,123.4,78.4,51.1,39.5$, 36.2, 31.5, 18.4. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 443.1971, found 443.1959.
$N$-benzyl- $N$-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)-2-diazoacetamide - Method C


Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $90 \%$ hexane); $60 \%$ yield, based on a 1.3 mmole scale of $N$-benzyl-2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)-N-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)acetamide. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.34-7.27(\mathrm{comp}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.18(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.11$ (comp, 2H), $5.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91($ sext., $J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 6.0 Hz, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 170.0,141.1,136.5,128.4,128.4$,
128.4, 128.2, 127.5, 126.0, 78.7, 51.7, 47.2, 36.4, 31.6, 18.6. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 324.1712 , found 324.1722 .

## 2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)- $N$-(4-methoxybenzyl)- $N$-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy) acetamide - Method C



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $90 \%$ hexane); $99 \%$ yield, based on 2.2 mmole scale of $N$-(4-methoxybenzyl)-O-(4-phenylbutan-2-yl)-hydroxylamine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{NMR} \quad(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-$ 7.28 (comp, 2H), 7.23-7.13 (comp, 5H), 6.87-6.83 (comp, 2H), 4.76 (d, $J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07$ (sext., $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.71-2.59(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 168.7, 167.9, 159.0, 141.0, 133.9, $132.2,129.5,128.4,128.2,127.9,126.0,123.4,113.9,78.3,55.1,50.4,39.5,36.2$, 31.5, 18.4. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 473.2071, found 473.2089.

## $N$-(4-methoxybenzyl)-N-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)-2-diazoacetamide - Method C



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $90 \%$ hexane); $60 \%$ yield, based on a 2.0 mmole scale of 2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)- N -(4-methoxybenzyl)- N -(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)acetamide. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 7.31-7.27 (comp, 2H), 7.25-7.18 (comp, 3H), 7.147.12 (comp, 2H), 6.86-6.82 (comp, 2H), $5.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66$ (d, $J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90$ (sext., $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.59(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.97-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 170.1,159.0,141.1,129.8,128.7,128.4,128.2,126.0,113.7,78.7$, 55.2, 51.2, 47.3, 36.4, 31.7, 18.6. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 354.1818, found 354.1796.

## 2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)- $N$-(4-nitrobenzyl)- $N$-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy) acetamide - Method C



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $80 \%$ hexane); $92 \%$ yield, based on a 2.8 mmole scale of $N$-(4-nitrobenzyl)-$O$-(4-phenylbutan-2-yl)-hydroxylamine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ppm 8.18 (d, $J$ $=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.29(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.10(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.82(\mathrm{q}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04$ (sext., $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ppm 169.4, 167.9, 147.4, 143.2, 140.6, 134.1, 132.1,
128.7, 128.6, 128.2, 126.3, 123.9, 123.5, 78.9, 50.8, 39.3, 36.1, 31.4, 18.5. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 488.1822, found 488.1830.

N -(benzyloxy)-2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)- N -isopropyl acetamide - Method C


Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $85 \%$ hexane); $95 \%$ yield, based on a 4.1 mmole scale of N -isopropyl- O benzyl hydroxyamine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ppm 7.86 (dd, $J=5.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.38(\mathrm{comp}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.55-4.49 (m, 1H), $1.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ppm 169.0, $167.9,134.3,134.0,132.2,129.0,128.8,128.7,123.4,79.7,51.5,39.6,19.7$ (2C). HRMS (ESI + ): expected mass 353.1501, found 353.1478.
$N$-(benzyloxy)- $N$-isopropyl-2-diazoacetamide - Method C


Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $98 \%$ hexane); $60 \%$ yield, based on a 3.8 mmole scale of $N$-(benzyloxy)-2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)- N -isopropylacetamide. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ppm 7.41-7.37 (comp, 5H), $5.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60($ sept., $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ppm 170.7, 134.8, 128.8, 128.7, 127.0, 79.6, 51.2, 47.5, 19.5 (2C). HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 234.1243, found 234.1264. 2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)- N -isopropyl- N -phenethyl acetamide - Method C


Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $85 \%$ hexane); $50 \%$ yield over two steps, based on a 8.0 mmole scale of phenethylamine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ppm 7.93-7.89 (comp, 2H), 7.77-7.74 (comp, 2H), 7.42-7.20 (comp, 5H), 4.58 (s, 2H), 4.10 (sept., $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.433.38 (comp, 2H), 2.92-2.88 (comp, 2H), 1.31 (d, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ppm 168.1, 164.8, 139.4, 134.0, 132.3, 128.8, 128.4, 126.2, 123.5, 47.9, 43.7, 39.5, 35.3, 21.1 (2C). HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 351.1703, found 351.1699.

## $N$-Isopropyl- $N$-phenethyl-2-diazoacetamide - Method C



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $90 \%$ hexane); $60 \%$ yield, based on a 1.9 mmole scale of 2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)- N -isopropyl- N -phenethylacetamide. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ppm 7.34-7.30 (comp, 2H), 7.25-7.21 (comp, 3H), 4.97 (s, 1H), 3.39-3.19 (comp, 3H), 2.87 (t, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ppm 165.5, 139.2, 128.9, 128.8, 126.8, 47.2 (2C), 44.4, 37.1, 21.1 (2C). HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 232.1450, found 232.1451.

## 2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)- N -(4-methoxybenzyl)- N -(3-phenylbutoxy)acetamideMethod C



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $85 \%$ hexane); $96 \%$ yield, based on a 3.3 mmole scale of $N$-(4-methoxybenzyl)-O-(3-phenylbutyl)hydroxylamine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ ppm $7.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.27(\mathrm{comp}$, 2H), 7.22-7.19 (m, 1H), 7.17-7.13 (comp, 4H), 6.85-6.83 (comp, 2H), 4.64 (q, $J=$ $15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.74(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.81$ (sext., $J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.86(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 167.8,159.2,145.6,134.0,132.2,129.7,128.6,127.8,126.8,126.4$, 123.4, 123.4, 113.9, 73.3, 55.3, 49.8, 38.9, 36.4, 36.0, 22.8. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 473.2076, found 473.2078.

## $N$-(4-methoxybenzyl)- $N$-(3-phenylbutoxy)-2-diazoacetamide- Method C



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate (98\% to $92 \%$ hexane); $50 \%$ yield, based on a 2.6 mmole scale of 2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)-N-(4-methoxybenzyl)-N-(3-phenylbutoxy)acetamide. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.32-7.28$ (comp, 2H), 7.23-7.19 (comp, 3H), 7.14-7.11 (comp, 2H), 6.85-6.82 (comp, 2H), $5.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{q}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.56(\mathrm{comp}$, 2H), 2.76 (sext., $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.89-1.80 (comp, 2H), 1.22 (d, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$

NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 168.5,159.0,145.8,129.9,128.5,126.8,126.4$, 126.1, 113.8, 73.2, 55.2, 50.3, 46.5, 36.5, 35.9, 22.7. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 354.1818, found 354.1825 .

## Ethyl 2-(2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)- N -isopropylacetamidooxy)-4phenylbutanoate - Method C



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $87 \%$ hexane); $99 \%$ yield, based on a 2.2 mmole scale of ethyl 4-phenyl-2-(propan-2-ylideneaminooxy)butanoate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ppm 7.86 (dd, $J$ $=5.4,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.29(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.21$ (comp, 3H), $5.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.6,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{t}, J=$ 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.40-4.28 (comp, 2H), 4.26-4.20 (m, 1H), 2.85-2.71 (comp, 2H), 2.232.18 (comp, 2H), $1.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ppm 170.4, 168.0, 140.0, 133.9, 132.3, 128.6, 128.3, 126.4, 123.3, 82.8, 61.7, 53.7, 40.4, 32.9, 30.9, 20.1, 19.5, 14.2. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 453.2020, found 453.2030.

## Ethyl 2-(N-benzyl-2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)acetamidooxy)pentanoate Method C



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $90 \%$ to $85 \%$ hexane); $99 \%$ yield, based on a 0.76 mmole scale of ethyl 2-
(benzylaminooxy)pentanoate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 7.88$ (dd, $J=5.5$, $3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.32$ (comp, 2H), 7.31-7.27 (comp, $3 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-$ $1.68(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.24(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 170.6, 167.9, 135.6, 133.9, 132.3, 128.6, 128.0, 127.7, 123.4, 81.6, 61.6, 51.3, 39.8, 33.3, 18.2, 14.1, 13.7. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 439.1864, found 439.1871 .

## Ethyl 2-(N-benzyl-2-diazoacetamidooxy)pentanoate- Method C



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate (95\% to $80 \%$ hexane); $46 \%$ yield, based on a 1.2 mmole scale of Ethyl 2-( $N$-benzyl-2-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)acetamidooxy)pentanoate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ 7.33-7.27 (comp, 5H), $5.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.62(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-$ $1.23(\operatorname{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 171.3,171.0,136.3,128.5,128.4,127.6,82.2,61.2,52.6,48.1$, 33.3, 18.2, 14.1, 13.7.HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 320.1605, found 320.1608 .

## Procedure for the C-H insertion Reaction



## 2-isopropyl-5-methyl-5-phenethylisoxazolidin-3-one

Thoroughly dried $N$-isopropyl- $N$-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)-2-diazoacetamide $(0.15 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.53 mmoles) was dissolved in DCM ( 4.0 mL ) and was transferred to an additional funnel that was attached to a dried three-neck flask. Rhodium acetate $(4.7 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mol}$ \%), dissolved in DCM ( 7.0 mL ), was next transferred to the three neck flask. Both the diazo starting material and the catalyst were degassed for 20 minutes. After degassing, $N$-isopropyl- $N$-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)-2-diazoacetamide was added dropwise to the reaction mixture via the additional funnel over an hour. The reaction mixture was left to stir at reflux $\left(40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for one hour. The solvent was then evaporated under reduced pressure and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$.

## Characterization Data for C-H insertion substrates

## 2-Isopropyl-5-methyl-5-phenethylisoxazolidin-3-one



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate (95\% to $85 \%$ hexane); $71 \%$ yield, based on a 0.53 mmol scale of 2 -diazo- $N$-isopropyl- $N$-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy) acetamide. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ ppm 7.32-7.28 (comp, 2H), 7.22-7.18 (comp, 3H), 4.44 (sept., $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.80-2.64 (comp, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.88(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 166.2,141.1,128.4,128.1,126.0,82.1,45.9,44.5,40.4,30.2$, 23.7, 19.3, 19.1. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 248.1651, found 248.1658. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{21}=-$ $5.48\left(\mathrm{c}=1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 2-ethyl-5-methyl-5-phenethylisoxazolidin-3-one



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $80 \%$ hexane); $61 \%$ yield, based on a 0.79 mmole scale of 2-diazo- $N$-ethyl-$N$-(4-phenylbutan-2-yloxy)acetamide. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ppm 7.32-7.28 (comp, 2H), 7.22-7.17 (comp, 3H), $3.59(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.64(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.89$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$ 167.2, 141.2, 128.5, 128.2, 126.1, 82.4, 44.2, 40.7, 39.3, 30.3, 24.0, 12.2. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 234.1494, found 234.1498.

## Procedure for the N-O Cleavage Reaction



## 1-(isopropylamino)-3-methyl-5-phenylpentan-3-ol

Thoroughly dried 2 -isopropyl-5-methyl-5-phenethylisoxazolidin-3-one ( $0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1$ mmloes) was dissolved in THF ( 0.69 mL ) and toluene ( 1.4 mL ). The mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while Red-Al (2.0g, 10 mmoles) was added dropwise via an additional funnel. Once the reaction mixture turned to a clear solution it was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was left to stir at that temperature for two hours. After the reaction was complete,
judged by TLC analysis, it was hydrolyzed with $\mathrm{NaOH}(1 \mathrm{M}, 38 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) carefully because unreacted Red-Al reacts vigorously with water. The two layers were then separated and the organic layer was washed with water twice and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. A $1: 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{NaOH}: T H F(2 \mathrm{M}, 60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the organic layer and left to stir for one hour then the organic layer was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 X 50mL). Hydrochloric acid (2M, 100 mL ) was added to the ethyl acetate layer, left to stir for 10 minutes, then the two layers were separated into $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$. (a) Solid sodium hydroxide was added to the aqueous layer ( $\mathrm{pH}=$ 9) then the water layer was extracted with DCM , dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. (b) Sodium hydroxide ( $2 \mathrm{M}, 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to the organic layer and left to stir for five minutes. The two layers were separated and the organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting matreial ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmoles}$ ) was dissolved in AcOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.9 \mathrm{~mL}: 0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and degassed for ten minutes. After degassing was complete, Zn dust ( $0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmoles}$ ) was added to the mixture and left to stir overnight at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the reaction was complete judging by TLC analysis, the reaction mixture was quenched with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and solid $\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{pH}$ $=9)$. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \mathrm{X} \mathrm{50mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the solvent was evaporated over reduced pressure.

## Characterization Data for N-O cleavage products

## 1-(isopropylamino)-3-methyl-5-phenylpentan-3-ol



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution; chloroform(80\%) : methanol (20\%); 70\% overall yield, based on a 2.1 mmol scale of 2-isopropyl-5-methyl-5-phenethylisoxazolidin-3-one. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ppm 9.28 (s, bs, 1H), 7.28-7.24 (comp, 2H), 7.19-7.15 (comp, 3H), 3.33-3.26 (m, 1H), 3.15-3.07 (comp, 2H), 2.75-2.59 (comp, 2H), 2.21 (s, bs, 1H), 1.89-1.71 (comp, 4H), 1.31 (d, J $=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$ 142.1, 128.3, 128.3, 125.7, 72.5, 50.1, 43.9, 41.5, 36.4, 30.0, 25.3, 19.2, 19.0. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 236.2014, found 236.2020. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}=-1.41\left(\mathrm{c}=.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
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## Chapter 2:

Control of selectivity in the generation and reactions of oxonium ylides via [1,2]Stevens rearrangement

## I. Introduction

### 1.1 Ylides

Ylides are reactive neutral dipolar intermediates in which a formally positively charged heteroatom is connected to a formally negatively charged atom. The anionic site $\mathrm{Y}^{-}$is originally a carbon atom while the $\mathrm{X}^{+}$can be a number of heteroatoms (Scheme 25). In this chapter we will discuss a subclass of ylides known as oxonium ylides having the negative charge on carbon, that originated from a metal carbene, and the positive charge on oxygen.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{R} \stackrel{\oplus}{\mathrm{X}}-\stackrel{\ominus}{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{R}_{2} \\
\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{Se} \\
\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{C}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Scheme 25. Ylides

Some metal carbenes act as Lewis acids and can accept electrons from a Lewis base. The interaction of the lone pair of a heteroatom (Lewis base) with the electron deficient carbon of the metal carbene intermediate (Lewis acid) generates a metal associated ylide which can dissociate to a free ylide (Scheme 26). ${ }^{40}$

[^24]


Scheme 26. Generation of ylides from metal carbenes.
The Lewis bases that are known to trap carbenes in order to generate ylides include frequently investigated ethers, sulfides, and amines. Fewer investigations have been reported for the generation of ylides with heteroatoms such as selenium, phosphorous and halogens (Scheme 26). ${ }^{40}$ Ylide intermediates are known to undergo synthetically useful transformations, such as rearrangements ([1,2]-Stevens and [2,3]sigmatropic rearrangemets) and dipolar cycloaddition reactions to form stable products. The chemistry of oxonium ylides have received little attention compared to the chemistry of ammonium and sulfonium ylides. Highlighting the chemistry of oxonium ylides will be the primary focus of discussion within this chapter. ${ }^{41}$

### 1.2 Oxonium ylides

The formation of oxonium ylides is readily achieved by transition metal catalyzed decomposition of diazocarbonyl compounds in the presence of oxygen containing compounds. The metal complex (generally from copper(I) or dirhodium(II)) acts as a

[^25]Lewis acid and accepts electrons from the diazo carbon at its vacant coordination site (Scheme 27). Electron back donation from the metal to the carbene carbon results in the concomitant loss of dinitrogen that generates a metal carbene species. The metal carbene intermediate can accept electrons from the oxygen heteoroatom of an ether to generate the metal associated ylide which could eventually lose the metal to form a free ylide. ${ }^{42}$ Whether you form a metal associated ylide or a free ylide depends on the relative strength of the metal-carbene and carbene-oxygen bonds, since, in principle, these processes are reversible.


Scheme 27. Generation of oxonium ylides via transition metal catalyzed decomposition of diazocarbonyl compounds.

### 1.3 Oxonium ylide rearrangements

Unlike ammonium and sulfonium ylides from which stable ylide intermediates have been isolated and characterized, ${ }^{43}$ oxonium ylides are known for their instability and

[^26]high reactivity. They have not yet been isolated and characterized. ${ }^{44}$ Most of the evidence for the existence of oxonium ylides is based on analysis of the final products after the reaction has occurred. Until the end of 1970's, the carbene complexes which generated ylides were usually carried out in the presence of copper in different oxidation states. ${ }^{43}$ However, these transformations were relatively unselective and dirhodium(II) carboxylates ${ }^{45}$ emerged as highly efficient catalysts for ylide generation.

Oxonium ylides can find a widespread upsurge of synthetic utility due to their ease of formation by the reactions of dirhodium(II) carboxylates with ethers and alcohols. ${ }^{46}$ Once formed, there are multiple reaction pathways that allyl-substituted oxonium ylides may undergo, of which the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement and the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement are the most common. The oxonium ylides may also undergo competing reactions such as $\beta$-hydride elimination, ${ }^{47}[1,4]$-shift reactions, ${ }^{48}$ and reactions with nucleophiles ${ }^{49}$ after a ring opening of the oxonium ylide has occured

Tetrahedron Lett., 1997, 38, 3323-3326. (f) Ollis, W. D.; Rey, M.; Sutherland, I. O. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1983, 1009-1027. (g) Padwa, A.; Snyder, J. P.; Curtis, E. A.; Sheehan, S. M.; Worsencroft, K. J.; Kappe, C. O. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 8155-8167.
${ }^{44}$ Sweeney, J. B. Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 1027-1038.
${ }^{45}$ (a) Noels, A. F.; Hubert, A. J.; Teyssie, P. J. Organomet. Chem., 1979, 166, 79-86. (b) Nazarova, L. A.; Maiorova, A. G. Zh. Neorg. Khim., 1976, 21, 1070-1074, Noels, A. F.; Hubert, A. J.; Teyssie, P. J. Organomet. Chem., 1979, 166, 79-86. (c) Anciaux, A. J.; Hubert, A. J.; Noels, A. F.; Petiniot, N.; Teyssie, P. J. Org. Chem., 1980, 45, 695-702. (d) Doyle, M. P. Acc. Chem. Res., 1986, 19, 348-356. (e) Doyle, M. P. Chem. Rev., 1986, 86, 919-940. (f) Maas, G. Topics in Current Chemistry; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, West Germany, 1987.
${ }^{46}$ (a) Doyle, M. P.; Forbes, D. C. Chem. Rev., 1998, 98, 911-935. (b) Hodgson, D. M.; Pierard, F. Y. T. M.; Stupple, P. A. Chem. Soc. Rev., 2001, 30, 50-61.
${ }^{47}$ Roskamp, E. J.; Johnson, C. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 6062-6063.
${ }^{48}$ Pirrung, M. C.; Brown, W. L.; Rege, S.; Laughton, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 8561-8562.
(Scheme 28). These transformations can be in competition with each other, and the outcome of the oxonium ylide reaction is governed by the electronic nature of the substrate as well as the catalyst that is being employed.


Scheme 28. Reactions and rearrangements of oxonium ylides.

## 1.3a [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement of oxonium ylides

## 1.3a.(i) Examples of [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement of oxonium ylides

In 1966 Nozaki and co-workers reported the first example of an intermolecular [1,2]-oxonium ylide rearrangement in a catalytic reaction with a diazocarbonyl
${ }^{49}$ (a) Oku, A.; Ohki, S.; Yoshida, T.; Kimura, K. Chem. Commun., 1996, 1077-1078. (b) Sawada, Y.; Mori, T.; Oku, A. J. Org. Chem., 2003, 68, 10040-10045. (c) Muthusamy, S.; Krishnamurthi, J.; Suresh, E. Chem. Commun., 2007, 861-863. (d) Lu, C.-D.; Liu, H.; Chen, Z.-Y.; Hu, W.-H.; Mi, A.-Q. Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 83-86. (e) Hu, W.; Xu, X.; Zhou, J.; Liu, W.-J.; Huang, H.; Hu, J.; Yang, L.; Gong, L.-Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 7782-7783.
compound. ${ }^{50}$ In that report a copper(II) catalyzed reaction of phenyloxetane $\mathbf{6 9}$ with ethyl diazoacetate 70 using $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ afforded diastereoisomeric tetrahydrofurans 71 in $87 \%$ yield (Scheme 29). ${ }^{50}$


Scheme 29. First example of intermolecular [1,2]-oxonium ylide rearrangement reported by Nozaki in 1966.

Roskamp and Johnson ${ }^{51}$ investigated the intramolecular generation and rearrangement of oxonium ylides. They showed that treating (S)-1-diazo-4-methoxy-4-phenylpentan-2-one $\mathbf{7 2}$ with three distinct catalysts gave different ratios of the diastereomeric cyclobutanones 74 and 75 (Table 11). ${ }^{51}$ The authors proposed that a change in the product ratios, as a result of changing the catalyst employed, meant that the rearrangement proceeds via metal associated complex intermediates 73 and not a free ylide.

Table 11. Intramolecular generation and rearrangement of oxonium ylides by Roskamp and Johnson.


[^27]| Entry | Catalyst | Ratio (74:75) | Yield (\%) 74 | Yield (\%) 75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ | $3: 1$ | 57 | 17 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}$ | $1: 6$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ | $1: 10$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The absolute configuration at the quaternary center in 74 was established by conversion to $S$ -dimethyl-3-methyl-3-phenyl-1,4-dibutanoate using $\left[\mathrm{Mo}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}\right)_{6}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right] \mathrm{Br}_{2} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

The nature of the catalyst employed not only has an effect on the diastereomeric ratios of the rearrangement products due to the rearrangement proceeding via a metal associated complex, as discussed previously, it can also impact ylide reactivity. ${ }^{52}$ West reported the competitive formation of two different oxonium ylide intermediates that are formed via the same metal carbene (Table 12). ${ }^{52}$ These two oxonium ylide intermediates differ in their ring sizes (five vs. six) and the type of migrating group they poses (benzylic $v s$. allylic) (Table 12). West and co-workers investigated the decomposition reaction of diazoketone 76 where the metal carbene could undergo reaction at either of the two ether oxygens to form either a five or a six-membered oxonium ylide intermediate 77 and 79 (Table 12). ${ }^{52}$ When $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\text { tpa })_{4}$ were employed as catalysts, the fivemembered [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product 78 product was formed as the major product (entry 2 and 3, Table 12). However, by using $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{tfacac})_{2}$ as the catalyst the selectivity was altered; affording predominately the six-membered rearrangement product $\mathbf{8 0}$ in $67 \%$ yield (entry 1, Table 12). Both rearrangement products $\mathbf{7 8}$ and $\mathbf{8 0}$ were formed as mixtures of cis and trans diasterioisomers with varying ratios ${ }^{53}$ which indicates that the ylide intermediate that is formed in the reaction is a metal-associated ylide.

[^28]Table 12. Catalyst and ring size effects on the selectivity of oxonium ylide rearrangements.



| Entry | Catalyst | Temp. ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Ratio 78:80 | Yield (\%) | Yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{tfacac})_{2}$ | 40 | $19: 81$ | 16 | 67 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ | 25 | $65: 35$ | 50 | 27 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{tpa})_{4}$ | 25 | $71: 29$ | 54 | 22 |

In the same report, West ${ }^{52}$ further investigated whether oxonium ylide rearrangements favor proceeding through five-membered or six-membered cyclic
oxonium ylides. Diazoketone $\mathbf{8 1}$ was treated with a number of rhodium(II) and copper catalysts to afford predominately the five-membered [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product 82 product which is formed via a five-membered oxonium ylide (Table 13). West ${ }^{52}$ and Pirrung ${ }^{54}$ both have shown that oxonium ylide rearrangements proceeding through fivemembered cyclic oxonium ylides usually result in significantly greater product yield than their counterparts which involve six-membered ylides.

Table 13. Preference for five-membered oxonium ylide formation of diazoketone 81.


| Entry | Catalyst | Temp. <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | Ratio 82:83 | Yield (\%) <br> $\mathbf{8 2}^{\mathbf{a}}$ | Yield (\%) 83 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Cu}(\text { (facac })_{2}$ | 40 | $80: 20$ | 33 | 8 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Cu}(\text { hfacac })_{2}$ | 40 | $79: 21$ | 48 | 13 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ | 25 | $81: 19$ | 56 | 13 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\text { tpa })_{4}$ | 25 | $76: 24$ | 58 | 18 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Isolated yields after chromatography. Both diasteriomers of $\mathbf{8 2}$ and $\mathbf{8 3}$ were isolated in some cases with ratios varying from $2: 1$ to $4: 1$.

The two oxonium ylide reaction pathways for diazoketone 76 presented a dilemma; while ring size preferences should favor the five-membered ylide 77, the migrating group preferences (allylic $v s$. benzylic) should favor the formation of pyranone

[^29]$\mathbf{8 0}$ that arises from the facile allylic [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement. Interestingly, when diazoketone $\mathbf{7 6}$ was treated with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{tfacac})_{2}$, pyranone $\mathbf{8 0}$ was formed in $67 \%$ yield and furanone 78 was formed in $16 \%$ yield, whereas reactions using $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{II})$ catalysts resulted in the formation of mainly furanone 78 (Table 12). These results seem to indicate that the nature of the migrating group (allylic vs. benzylic) in the subsequent rearrangement process can override the inherent selectivity for five-membered ylide intermediates. However, further catalyst screenings, particularly copper catalysts, are needed to confirm these observations.

In addition to the choice of the catalyst directly affecting the outcome of the oxonium ylide reaction, the nature of the migrating group also has an effect on the end result of the reaction. Johnson and Roskamp ${ }^{55}$ have shown that vinyl and aryl substituents yield [2,3] and [1,2] rearrangement products, respectively, whereas alkyl substituents do not. Diazoketone 84 did not furnish the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product but instead it afforded the elimination product 85 in $70 \%$ yield (Scheme 30 ).


Scheme 30. Elimination product formation.

## 1.3a.(ii) Mechanism of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement of oxonium ylides

The mechanism of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement of oxonium ylides has been a subject of considerable discussion. Three possible mechanisms have been introduced for

[^30]the [1,2]-rearrangement of oxonium ylides: 1) initial homolysis of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond $^{56}$ to afford a radical pair intermediate that could be held close in a solvent cage, 2) heterolysis of the C-O bond to give a zwitterion pair intermediate, or 3) a concerted mechanism ${ }^{57}$ of the oxonium ylide intermediate which directly leads to the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product.

West ${ }^{56}$ has provided evidence for the existence of the radical-pair mechanism through isolation of homodimers during an investigation into the synthesis of functionalized tetrahydrofuranones $\mathbf{8 7}$ from the corresponding diazoketone $\mathbf{8 6}$ (Table 14). Isolation of homodimers $\mathbf{8 8}$ and $\mathbf{8 9}$ suggests the existence of radical-pair intermediates. ${ }^{56}$ It is important to note that dimerization products have not been reported elsewhere with oxonium ylides reactions.

Table 14. Isolation of homodimers in the decomposition reaction of diazoketone $\mathbf{8 6}$.


| Entry | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Yield (\%) 87 | Yield (\%) 88 | Yield (\%) 89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | H | H | 64 | 27 | 16 |
| 2 | Me | H | 65 | 17 | 16 |
| 3 | H | Me | 52 | -- | 11 |

[^31]Interestingly, West ${ }^{58}$ also reported that no radical homodimers were observed/isolated when $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{II})$ were used to catalyze the decomposition of diazoketone $\mathbf{9 0}$, which is the next higher homolog of $\mathbf{8 6}$ (Table 15). The fact that the same group had reported isolation of homodimers with the decomposition of diazoketone 86 and didn't isolate homodimers with the decomposition of diazoketone 90 , using the same catalyst and solvent, seems contradictory and raises questions about the mechanism of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement process with oxonium ylides.

Table 15. Lack of isolation of homodimers in the decomposition reaction of diazoketone 90.


| Entry | Catalyst | T[ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right]$ | Yield (\%) 91 | Yield (\%) 92 | Yield (\%) 93 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ | 25 | 16 | 47 | -- |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Cu}(\text { hfacac })_{2}$ | 40 | 35 | 6 | 24 |

[^32]Due to the absence of homodimers, West proposed a concerted mechanism similar to what was previously proposed by Johnson ${ }^{59}$ where metal-associated oxonium ylides $\mathbf{9 5}$ or $\mathbf{9 6}$ undergo transfer of the migrating group to the metal to give intermediate 97 which then undergoes reductive elimination to afford the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement produt 91 (Scheme 31).





Scheme 31. Concerted mechanism for the decomposition of diazoketone 90, as proposed by West.

[^33]The two aforementioned examples published by West (Table 14 and 15) indicate that there is uncertainty regarding the mechanism of the oxonium ylide rearrangement process and whether it takes place by a concerted mechanism or by a stepwise mechanism via radical-pair intermediates.

## 1.3b [2,3]-Sigmatropic rearrangement of allylic oxonium ylides

The [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement constitutes an exceptionally versatile class of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond forming processes that is widely used in the construction of complex molecules as well as developing methodologies for organic synthesis. ${ }^{60}$ This rearrangement proceeds through a six-electron, five-membered cyclic transition state that occurs in the presence of an allylic group (Scheme 32). Consequently, the presence of an alkene provides the possibility of competing cyclopropanation reactions during ylide formation. ${ }^{61}$

$$
\mathrm{Y}=\text { anions, non-bonding electron pair, ylides }
$$

Scheme 32. [2,3]-sigmatropic reaction.
Despite the fact that cyclopropanation reactions are able to compete with [2,3]sigmatropic rearrangement processes, there are many reports demonstrating that ylide formation and subsequent [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement products occur in high yields.

[^34]Doyle and co-workers ${ }^{62}$ have shown that allylic oxonium ylides, generated by rhodium(II) acetate-catalyzed decomposition of diazocarbonyl compounds in the presence of allyl methyl ethers, undergo almost exclusively the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement with high degree of diastereoselectivity. Treatment of cinnamyl methyl ether $\mathbf{9 8}$ with diazoketone/diazoacetate $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ in the presence of rhodium acetate yielded mostly the erythro product 101, whereas treating cis-cinnamyl methyl ether 99 with diazoketone/diazoacetate $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ resulted in mostly threo product 102 (Table 16).

Table 16. Intermolecular generation of allylic oxonium ylides and their stereoselective [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement.


99

| Entry | Allyl ether | $\mathbf{Z}$ | Ratio <br> $(\mathbf{1 0 1 : 1 0 2 )}$ | Yield (\%) <br> $(\mathbf{1 0 1 + 1 0 2 )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{9 8}$ | Ph | $91: 9$ | 86 |
| 2 | $\mathbf{9 9}$ | Ph | $19: 81$ | 70 |

[^35]| 3 | $\mathbf{9 8}$ | OEt | $83: 17$ | 73 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $\mathbf{9 9}$ | OEt | $6: 94$ | 95 |

Doyle's results can be explained by steric and/or electronic influences in the transition state structures 103-106 (Figure 3 ). ${ }^{62}$ The alkene geometry plays a major role in dictating the stereochemical outcome of the reaction. The rearrangement of the oxonium ylide is thought to proceed mainly though transition state $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ due to their lower energies compared to transition state $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ where eclipsing interactions between the $O$-methyl substituent and the carbonyl group are present. Accordingly, the observed diastereoselectivity in products $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ is a function of the relative transition state energies for $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ and 105. ${ }^{62}$


103
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
$R_{1}=H, R_{2}=P h$
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Figure 3. Transition state structures for the intermolecular generation of allylic oxonium ylides.

In addition to investigating the intermolecular [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement reactions, attention was given to the development of the intramolecular [2,3]-sigmatropic process because the former has limited application in organic synthesis and the latter is a more widely used process in the literature. One of the examples of intramolecular oxonium ylide generation followed by the subsequent [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement
was reported by Pirrung and Werner in $1986 .{ }^{63}$ Rhodium(II) acetate catalyzed the decomposition of several diazocarbonyl/diazoacetoacetate compounds and yielded five-, six-, and eight-membered oxygen heterocycles (Scheme 33). ${ }^{63}$ Transition metal-catalyzed decomposition of $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ resulted in the formation of furanone $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ and dioxanone 110, respectively. ${ }^{63}$ When 111 was treated with rhodium acetate it afforded the eightmembered oxygen heterocycle $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ via a three-carbon ring expansion. ${ }^{63}$ While products 108 and 110 can be achieved via either the [1,2]-Stevens or the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangements, product $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ can only be achieved via the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement. The latter example clearly illustrates the preference of the oxonium ylide to undergo the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement over the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement, as also shown by others. ${ }^{64}$



[^36]Scheme 33. Intramolecular [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement affords five-, six-, and eightmembered oxygen heterocycles.

Another example of the observation of an intramolecular [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement process was reported by Johnson and Roskamp. ${ }^{65}$ When diazoketone 113 was treated with rhodium acetate it provided pyran 114 in $61 \%$ yield while propargylic ether $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ provided allene $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ in $\mathbf{7 4 \%}$ yield (Scheme 34). ${ }^{65}$ The latter example once again shows the preference of the ylide to undergo [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement over the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement and also shows that the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement is also possible with propargylic ethers.



Scheme 34. Intramolecular [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement also possible with propargylic ethers.

## 1.3c Other reactions of oxonium ylides

Other than the [2,3]-sigmatropic and the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangements, oxonium ylides can also undergo an artifact of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement known as the [1,4]shift rearrangement, fragmentation via $\beta$-hydride elimination, or react with an external nucleophile after a ring opening of the oxonium ylide has occured (see Scheme 28 on

[^37]page 108 for a description of these reactions). The latter reactions would take place when the generated oxonium ylide lacks a competent migrating group (such as a benzyl or an allyl group), as a result, the oxonium ylide can fragment and be trapped via other pathways.

In 1997, $\mathrm{Oku}^{66}$ studied the ring expansion of cyclic acetal systems. The ring expansion process is more difficult to predict due to the competing [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement pathway. Roskamp and Johnson ${ }^{67}$ first reported the rhodium-catalyzed decomposition of diazoketone $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ that afforded the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product 118 in $68 \%$ yield and the elimination product 119 in $16 \%$ yield. Oku ${ }^{66}$ and co-workers wanted to enhance the formation of the elimination product 119 by protonating the generated oxonium ylide with a bronsted acid ( AcOH ). Indeed, treating diazoketone 117 with rhodium acetate in the presence of acetic acid yielded the two ring-expansion products $\mathbf{1 1 9}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ in $87 \%$ overall yield.


Scheme 35. Ring expansion of cyclic acetal systems.

[^38]The enhanced formation of the elimination product 119 by protonating the generated oxonium ylide 122 with the bronsted acid $(\mathrm{AcOH})$ led to the suppression of the [1,2]Stevens product 118. This can be attributed to the protonation of the oxonium ylide $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ being faster than its $[1,2]$ rearrangement (Scheme 36). ${ }^{66}$
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Scheme 36. Mechanism of the ring expansion of cyclic acetal systems.

## 2. Research Discussion

### 2.1 Strategy for the synthesis of the oxabicyclo[4.2.1] nonane framework

The structurally interesting family of bridged oxa-[n.2.1] skeletons are wellrepresented and widely diverse in natural products such as platensimycin, ${ }^{68}$ bruguierol, ${ }^{69}$ mycoepoxydiene, ${ }^{70}$ and sclerophytin B (Figure 4). ${ }^{71}$ A number of synthetic approaches have been applied towards accessing these cyclic structures, ${ }^{81}$ and the broad ranging biological activity (from anti-inflammatory to antitumor properties) of these complex architectures has increased the interest in developing new synthetic methodologies to access these bridged oxa-bicyclo skeletons. ${ }^{72}$

[^39]
platensimycin

bruguierol C

mycoepoxydiene


Sclerophytin B

Figure 4. Representative examples of bridged oxa-[n.2.1] skeletons.
The synthesis and controlled reactions of oxonium ylides formed through catalytic reactions of diazocarbonyl compounds with ethers ${ }^{73}$ have high potential for the construction of diverse natural products. ${ }^{74}$ West recently reported an expedient synthesis to the fused tricyclic carbon skeleton (found in classes of diterpene natural products) via an oxonium ylide intermediate followed by the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement. ${ }^{75}$ Treatment

Eberlein, T. H.; Tester, R. W. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans., 1993, 2857-2859. (f) West, F. G.; Naidu, B. N.; Tester, R. W. J. Org. Chem., 1994, 59, 6892-6894. (g) Padwa, A.; Weingarten, M. D. Chem. Rev., 1996, 96, 223-269. (h) Clark, J. S.; Whitlock, G.; Jiang, S.; Onyia, N. Chem. Commun., 2003, 2578-2579. (i) Roberts, E.; Sancon, J. P.; Sweeney, J. B. Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 2075-2078. (j) Zhao, W. Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 1706-1745.
${ }^{73}$ (a) Li, A.-H.; Dai, L.-X.; Aggarwal, V. K. Chem. Rev., 1997, 97, 2341-2372. (b) Doyle, M. P.; McKervey, M. A.; Ye, T. Modern Catalytic Methods for Organic Synthesis with Diazo Compounds; Wiley: New York, 1998. (c) Yet, L. Chem. Rev., 2000, 100, 2963-3007. (d) Clark, J. S. Nitrogen, Oxygen, and Sulfur Ylide Chemistry. A practical Approach in Chemistry; ed.: Oxford University Press: Oxford, 2002. (e) West, F. G. in Modern Rhodium-Catalyzed Organic Reactions Evans, P. A., ed.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 2005, ch. 18. (f) Desimoni, G.; Faita, G.; Jorgensen, K. A. Chem. Rev., 2006, 106, 3561-3651. (g) Wee, A. G. H. Curr. Org. Synth., 2006, 3, 499-555. (h) Sweeney, J. B. Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 1027-1038.
${ }^{74}$ (a) Marmsaeter, F. P.; West, F. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 5144-5145. (b) Clark, J. S.; Fessard, T. C.; Wilson, C. Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 1773-1776. (c) Clark, J. S.; Baxter, C. A.; Castro, J. L. Synthesis, 2005, 3398-3404. (d) Clark, J. S.; Fessard, T. C.; Whitlock, G. A. Tetrahedron, 2005, 62, 73-78. (e) Yakura, T.; Muramatsu, W.; Uenishi, J. i. Chem. Pharm. Bull., 2005, 53, 989-994. (f) Clark, J. S.; Hayes, S. T.; Wilson, C.; Gobbi, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 437-440. (g) Clark, J. S.; Baxter, C. A.; Dossetter, A. G.; Poigny, S.; Castro, J. L.; Whittingham, W. G. J. Org. Chem., 2008, 73, 1040-1055. (h) Hodgson, D. M.; Angrish, D.; Erickson, S. P.; Kloesges, J.; Lee, C. H. Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 5553-5556.
${ }^{75}$ Stewart, C.; McDonald, R.; West, F. G. Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 720-723.
of diazoketone/diazoacetoacetate $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{hfacac})_{2}$ afforded the single [1,2]rearrangement product $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ in $80 \%$ yield (Scheme 37).


Scheme 37. Synthesis of the Tigliane-Daphnane skeleton via an oxonium ylide intermediate followed by [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement.

In our search for viable substrates that could take advantage of oxonium ylide chemistry, we considered the tetrahydro-4-pyranone framework $\mathbf{1 2 8}$ which is accessible in a two step synthetic process from synthetically available reactants (Scheme 38). The first step is the hetero-Diels-Alder reaction which has numerous variations, ${ }^{76}$ including those that are highly enantioselective. ${ }^{77}$ The subsequent step is the Mukaiyama-Michael

[^40]reaction which has recently been reported to occur in high yield. ${ }^{78}$ Exceptional diastereocontrol is well known in Lewis acid catalyzed reactions of the type $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ with silyl enol ethers. ${ }^{79}$ We anticipated that transition metal catalyzed reactions of $\mathbf{1 2 8}$ would form oxonium ylide $\mathbf{1 2 9}$ and the resultant [1,2]-rearrangement product $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ would be obtained with high selectivity ${ }^{80}$ (Scheme 38).
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Scheme 38. Synthetic strategy.

### 2.2 Synthesis of the Mukaiyama-Michael addition products

2-phenyl-2H-pyran-4(3H)-one 133a was prepared in $65 \%$ isolated yield by a $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-mediated hetero-Diels-Alder reaction between benzaldehyde and Danishefsky's diene. ${ }^{81}$ This process was followed by the Mukaiyama-Michael reaction of $\mathbf{1 3 3}$ with methyl 3-(tert-butyldimethylsilanoxy)-2-diazo-3-butenoate $\mathbf{1 2 7}$ that, after optimization ${ }^{82}$, occurred with full conversion using $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in refluxing dichloromethane. After hydrolysis and purification 134, was isolated in $99 \%$ yield (Table 17).

Table 17. Synthesis of trans-3-aryltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates 134.

[^41]

| Entry | $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ | Ar | \% yield $^{\text {a }} \mathbf{1 3 3}$ | \% yield $^{\text {a }} \mathbf{1 3 4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 131 a | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 65 | 99 |
| 2 | 131 b | $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 70 | 80 |
| 3 | 131 c | $p-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 60 | 77 |
| 4 | 131 d | $p-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 65 | 97 |
| 5 | 131 e | $p-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 63 | 92 |
| 6 | 131 f | Mesityl | 65 | 99 |
| 7 | 131 g | Anthryl | 53 | 90 |
| 8 | 131 h | $2,6-$ dimethyl-4-nitro phenyl | 50 | 95 |

[^42]The trans-stereoselectivity of 3-phenyltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates 134a was established by an observed ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOE correlation between $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{7}(1.0 \%$ NOE) as well as ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOE correlation between one of the $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ hydrogens and $\mathrm{H}_{7}(3.0 \%$ NOE). There was no ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOE correlation observed between $\mathrm{H}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ (Figure 5). These NOE results confirmed to us that indeed 3-aryltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates 134 was synthesized as solely the trans-isomer.


134a




Figure 5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOE correlation of trans-3-phenyltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates 134a. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta\left(\mathrm{H}_{7}\right) 5.29 \mathrm{ppm}$, $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) 4.55 \mathrm{ppm}, 2\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) 3.31 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $3.05 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{H}_{6} 2.87 \mathrm{ppm}$.

Exceptional diastereocontrol is well known in Lewis acid catalyzed reactions of aryl dihydropyranone $\mathbf{1 3 3}$ with silyl enol ethers; in these reactions the trans tetrahydropyranone product is formed as the sole product. ${ }^{83}$ The exclusive formation of trans-3-aryltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates 134 can be rationalized by the methyl 3-(tert-butyldimethylsilanoxy)-2-diazo-3-butenoate 127 approaching form the top face of the half-chair conformer $\mathbf{1 3 3 ( i )}$ in which the C 7 aryl substituent is oriented in the pseudoequatorial position, thus blocking the bottom face of the half-chair conformer (Scheme 39). The substrate scope of the Mukaiyama-Michael addition reaction was extended to other aryl groups as shown in Table 17. In theory, there exists two possible conformers 133(i) and $\mathbf{1 3 3}$ (ii) where the substituent is either in a pseudoequatorial 133(i) or pseudoaxial 133(ii) position (Scheme 39). However, when the C 7 substituent is an aryl

[^43]group, only one half-chair conformer is favored, $\mathbf{1 3 3}(\mathbf{i})$, where the aryl substituent is in the pseudoequatorial position which leads to the methyl 3-(tert-butyldimethylsilanoxy)-2-diazo-3-butenoate $\mathbf{1 2 7}$ adding from only one face, the one opposite to the aryl substituent, and hence leads to solely the trans isomer.





133(i)-favored


133(ii)-disfavored

Scheme 39. Rational for the exclusive formation of trans-3-aryltetrahydropyranone-5diazoacetoacetates 134.

### 2.3 Catalytic dinitrogen extrusion reactions

After obtaining trans-3-phenyltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates 134a, we proceeded to investigate catalytic dinitrogen extrusion. Rhodium(II) catalyzed decomposition of 134a, using $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of dirhodium perfluorobutyrate $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{pfb})_{4}\right]$ in refluxing dichloromethane, afforded two products in a 71:29 molar ratio, determined by ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectroscopic analysis of the reaction mixture (Figure 6). These two products were spectrally distinguishable by both ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (see Experimental

Section). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture showed two sets of singlets around 3.5 and 3.3 ppm and two sets of multiplets around 5.0 ad 5.2 ppm . All other remaining ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral peaks were also observed in sets of two. Figure six shows the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the reaction mixture.


Figure 6. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR reaction mixture of rhodium(II) catalyzed decomposition of trans-3-phenyltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates 134a.

The two products were also chromatographically distinguishable and were isolated via column chromatography. This was done by using a gradient elution of hexane and ethyl acetate by changing the solvent gradient gradually in 5\% increments starting with a 90:10 (Hx:EtOAc) mixture and ending with a $65: 35(\mathrm{Hx}: \mathrm{EtOAc})$ mixture. Each product was isolated as a white solid with a combined yield of $77 \%$.

Our intuition was that these two products were diastereoisomers of the [1,2]Stevens rearrangement product 136a that arose from the rearrangement of the oxonium ylide intermediate 135a (Scheme 40, pathway a). Another possible [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product was 137a that would also have been formed via rearrangement of the oxonium ylide intermediate 135a but through pathway $b$ (Scheme 40). The [1,2]-

Stevens rearrangement product $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ a is less likely to occur because the migrating group for pathway $b$ in the oxonium ylide intermediate 135a is less favored compared to the benzylic migrating group in pathway a. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the reaction mixture was consistent with the two products being diasteriomers of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement 136a where the two sets of multiplets around 5.0 ad 5.2 ppm corresponded to $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ in 136a and these chemical shifts don't correspond to $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ in 137a (Scheme 40). Also, the IR of each product was consistent with the absence of a cyclobutanone that has an observed carbonyl stretch frequency of $\sim 1780 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which was not observed with the two isolated compounds ( $1772 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1769 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ).
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Scheme 40. [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement possible pathways.
To test the validity of this notion, two-dimensional NMR experiments were performed on each product to confirm their structure and that they have the same connectivity. Two-dimentional COSY experiments for the two products revealed that the
$H_{b}$ proton is coupled to both $H_{e}$ and $H_{d}$ protons whereas the $H_{a}$ proton is coupled to the two $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ protons (Figure 7). Two-dimentional HMBC experiments revealed that the nonaromatic quaternanry carbon $\mathbf{q}$ is not coupled to the $H_{d}$ protons but couples to the $H_{c}$ protons, consistent with the structure of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement 136a. If the product formed was that of $\mathbf{1 3 7} \mathbf{a}$, coupling is expected to occur between the non-aromatic quaternanry carbon $\mathbf{q}$ and the $H_{d}$ protons, and no coupling should occur with $H_{c}$ protons, which is not what we observed. Two-dimentional HMBC experiments confirmed to us that the two compounds are not the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product 137a but, indeed, they are the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement 136a.

Figure 7. COSY of [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement 136a.


Figure 8. HMBC of [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement 136a.


After establishing that both of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement products were constitutionally identical and had the same connectivity, we needed to determine the stereochemistry of the two diasteriomers. The crystal structures of both [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement products were obtained (Figure 9), and they provided their identification as the syn and anti stereoisomers of 1-carbomethoxy-2-phenyl-9-oxabicyclo[4.2.1]nonan-4,8-dione 136a, with syn-136a being the major product and anti-136a being the minor one (Scheme 41). Note that the original trans-stereochemistry of the reactant $\mathbf{1 3 4 a}$ is formally inverted in forming anti-136a; this is suggested in the crystal structures of the
products by the positioning of the phenyl substituent relative to the oxygen bridge and the ester substituent which are both pseudo-trans in anti-136a, but pseudo-cis in syn-136a.


Scheme 41. Formation of two diasteriomers for the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement of trans3 -phenyltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates 134a.

syn-136a

anti-136a

Figure 9. The crystal structure of syn-136a and anti-136a.
We also investigated the influence of substituents at the para-position on the phenyl ring in $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ on the ratio of $\boldsymbol{s y n} \mathbf{- 1 3 6}$ to anti-136. Doing so would shed insight on the mechanism of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement of oxonium ylides. The presence of a substituent effect could suggest that the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement of oxonium ylides takes place via a stepwise mechanism (Scheme 42) whereas the absence of a substituent effect could be more aligned with a concerted mechanism. If the mechanism of [1,2]Stevens rearrangement of oxonium ylides is stepwise, then the ratio of $\boldsymbol{s y n} \mathbf{- 1 3 6}$ to anti-

136 should vary depending on the electronics of the substituent at the para-position on the phenyl ring. Electron-donating substituents should stabilize the diradical intermediate $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ or the zwitterion intermediate 139 (Scheme 42). These intermediates should have a longer life-time, compared to those with electron-withdrawing substituents, and hence have the opportunity to racemize.


Scheme 42. Possible intermediates with a stepwise mechanism for the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement of oxonium ylides.

The results from the investigation of the influence of substituents at the paraposition of the phenyl ring in $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ on the ratio of syn-136 to anti-136 are reported in Table 18. Identifying the products of the catalytic dinitrogen extrusion of 134a and establishing the structure of both the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement products provided assistance in analyzing the dinitrogen extrusion reaction for the rest of the substrates presented in Table 18. The dinitrogen extrusion reaction of all $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ substrates afforded two diasteriomers of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement products; as evidenced by comparison with the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\boldsymbol{s y n} \boldsymbol{- 1 3 6 a}$ and anti-136a.

Table $18^{\mathrm{a}}$. Rh (II) catalyzed decomposition of trans-3-aryltetrahydropyranone-5diazoacetoacetates 134.


| Entry | Substrate | Ar | \% yield <br> $\mathbf{1 3 6}^{\mathbf{b}}$ | syn-136: anti- <br> $\mathbf{1 3 6}^{\mathbf{c}}$ | \% yield <br> $\mathbf{1 4 0}^{\mathbf{d}}$ | trans-140: <br> $\boldsymbol{c i s}^{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{1 4 0}^{\mathbf{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 134 a | Ph | 77 | $71: 29$ | Trace | - |
| 2 | 134 b | $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 94 | $74: 26$ | Trace | - |
| 3 | 134 c | $p-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 92 | $74: 26$ | Trace | - |
| 4 | 134 d | $p-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 55 | $69: 31$ | 14 | $64: 36$ |
| 5 | 134 e | $p-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 22 | $69: 31$ | 16 | $58: 42$ |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Reactions were performed in refluxing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ for 2 h using $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{pfb})_{4}$. Results reported are averages of two or more reactions $\pm 4 \%$. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Weight yield of isolated anti-136 and syn-136 products following chromatographic separation. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Product ratio determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis with variance of $\pm 4 \%$. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ NMR yield of trans- $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ and cis- $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ products determined by the use of benzaldehyde as an internal standard.

The catalytic dinitrogen extrusion of $\mathbf{1 3 4 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 4} \mathbf{c}$ with the strongly electronwithdrawing $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ and $p-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ substituents afforded the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement products anti-136 and syn-136 from both substrates in very high yield. The ratios of syn$\mathbf{1 3 6}(\mathbf{b}$ or $\mathbf{c})$ to $\boldsymbol{a n t i} \mathbf{- 1 3 6}(\mathbf{b}$ or $\mathbf{c})$ were the same, within experimental error, as those from 134a with the same catalyst. Compounds $\mathbf{1 3 4 d}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ e, which have electron-donating para substituents, produced elimination products trans-140 and cis-140 (Figure 10) in
competition with [1,2]-rearrangement products anti-136 and syn-136. The ratios of syn$\mathbf{1 3 6}(\mathbf{d}$ or $\mathbf{e})$ to $\boldsymbol{a n t i} \mathbf{- 1 3 6}(\mathbf{d}$ or $\mathbf{e})$ from $\mathbf{1 3 4 d}$ or $\mathbf{1 3 4 e}$ were the same, within experimental error, as those from 134a with the same catalyst. Also, the $\boldsymbol{t r a n s} \mathbf{- 1 4 0 ( d )}$ and e) to cis$\mathbf{1 4 0}(\mathbf{d}$ and $\mathbf{e})$ ratios were remarkably similar to those of the corresponding ratios of $\mathbf{s y n}$ $\mathbf{1 3 6}$ to anti-136, suggesting that the diastereoselection established in the formation of $\mathbf{1 3 6}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ could have originated in the ylide formation step. The cis-stereochemistry of cis140 was established by an observed ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOE correlation ( $1 \%$ NOE) between $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ while trans-140 showed no NOE effect between those two same protons.
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Figure 10. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOE correlation of elimination product cis-139 and trans-139.
Next, the effect of dirhodium catalysts on the product ratio (syn-136: anti-136) was investigated to address if the oxonium ylide intermediate was metal-associated or a free ylide. If the $\boldsymbol{s y n} \mathbf{- 1 3 6}$ :anti-136 product ratio is dependent on the catalyst employed, the isomerization process must involve a metal-bound ylide. Results obtained from reactions with a wide spectrum of catalysts under different reaction conditions are reported in Table 19 and show a minor, but reproducible, dependence on catalyst. Product yields were high with dirhodium catalysts, but not with copper catalysts. The reactions with copper catalysts were slow and the starting material 134a did not fully
convert to the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement products. Solvent and temperature influences were also minor.

Except for reactions catalyzed by dirhodium pivalate (piv) and dirhodium triphenylacetate (TPA), the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product ratio syn-136:anti-136 ratio was invariant with common ligands on dirhodium ( $\mathrm{pfb}=$ perfluorobutyrate, $\mathrm{tfa}=$ trifluoroacetate, OAc, cap = caprolactamate) that cover a broad range of electronic influences. The minor difference in ratio of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement products with $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{TPA})_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\text { piv })_{4}$ can be attributed to the fact that both of these catalysts have ligands with significant steric bulk compared to the standard $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$. The catalyst independence of diastereoselectivity support the rationale that the oxonium ylide intermediate $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ is a free ylide and not associated to the metal employed.

Table 19 ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Catalyst screening for the decomposition of trans-3-phenyltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates 134a.

| Entry | Catalyst | Solvent | $\mathbf{T}\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C l}\right.$ | syn-136: anti-136 | \% yield ${ }^{\mathbf{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{tfa})_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 40 | $71: 29$ | 70 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 40 | $71: 29$ | 70 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{pfb})_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 40 | $71: 29$ | 77 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{cap})_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 40 | $71: 29$ | 72 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\text { piv })_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 40 | $63: 37$ | 80 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{TPA})_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 40 | $63: 37$ | 81 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(S-\mathrm{DOSP})_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 40 | $74: 26$ | 80 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{pfb})_{4}$ | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}$ | 40 | $64: 36$ | 80 |
| 9 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{pfb})_{4}$ | $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 70 | $70: 30$ | 72 |
| 10 | $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 70 | $74: 26$ | $15 \%$ conv. |
| 11 | ${\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{d}}}^{2} \mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 70 | $68: 32$ | $30 \%$ conv. |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reactions were performed in refluxing solvent for 2 h using $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of catalyst, unless otherwise noted. Results reported are averages of two or more reactions $\pm 4 \%$. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Product ratio determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
analysis with variance of $\pm 4 \%$. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Weight yield of isolated syn-136 and anti-136 products following chromatographic separation. ${ }^{\text {d }} 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of catalyst was used.

Catalytic ylide formation and rearrangement results in a mixture of two diastereoisomers formed in high yield, but with negligible dependence on either para substituents on the aromatic ring or on the catalyst that is employed. With that in mind the mechanism by which oxonium ylide $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ rearranges to afford the two diasteriomers of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product $\mathbf{1 3 6}$ was further investigated.

### 2.4 Mechanism of the Stevens rearrangement

The [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement of oxonium ylide 135a could proceed through three possible pathways to generate the anti and syn stereoisomers of 1-carbomethoxy-2-phenyl-9-oxabicyclo[4.2.1]nonan-4,8-dione 136: initial homolysis of the benzylic C-O bond ${ }^{56}$ to afford the radical pair intermediate $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ that could be held close in a solvent cage, heterolysis of the C-O bond to give zwitterion pair intermediate $\mathbf{1 3 9}$, or a concerted mechanism ${ }^{57}$ of the oxonium ylide intermediate 141 which directly leads to the [1,2]Stevens rearrangement product (Scheme 43).


Scheme 43. Possible mechanistic pathways for the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement of oxonium ylide 135a.

In our attempts to investigate the mechanism of the Stevens rearrangement using model substrate trans-3-phenyltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates 134a, we rationalized that a stepwise mechanism would involve ylide formation followed by homolytic or heterolytic cleavage of the benzyl-oxygen bond to afford ylide intermediates 138a and 139a (Scheme 44), respectively, both of which are subject to substituent effects. Bond rotation at the benzylic carbon and ring closure should lead to the formation of the observed $\boldsymbol{s y n} \mathbf{- 1 3 6}$ and anti-136 rearrangement products.


Scheme 44. Stepwise mechanism for the decomposition of trans-3-phenyltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates 134a via homolytic or heterolytic cleavage.

As discussed previously (section 2.3), we have investigated the influence of parasubstituents on the benzene ring in trans-3-aryltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ on the ratio of $\boldsymbol{s y n} \mathbf{- 1 3 6}$ to anti-136, and the results from this investigation showed no substituent effect on the ratio of the two diasteriomers. Ammonium ylides that are known to undergo a stepwise mechanism have been reported to have a substituent effect on the ratio of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement products. ${ }^{84}$ Rhodium-catalyzed reaction of 2-aryl-2-diazoacetate $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ with enantiopure $(R, R) \mathbf{- 1 4 2}$ furnished the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product 144 in good yields (Table 20). ${ }^{84}$ The reaction is believed to go through ammonium ylide intermediate 145 which then generates radical pair 146 and/or zwitterionic species $\mathbf{1 4 7}$ that upon ring-closure form the [1,2]-Stevens product 144. Interestingly, with stronger stabilization of intermediates 146 and 147 by the electronwithdrawing substituents, $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}=p-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, that surround the reactive carbon center loss of enantiomeric purity was observed and the diasteriomeric ratio of the [1,2]-Stevens product varied from the diasteriomeric ratio with electron donating substituents $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}=p\right.$ $\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ) (Table 20). The observation of different diasteriomeric ratios of the [1,2]Stevens product and the observation of decreased enantiomeric purity with electronwithdrawing substituents can be explained by the fact that radical pair $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ or zwitterionic species 147 have a longer life-time when stabilized by an electron-withdrawing substituent and thus have the opportunity to racemize through planarization. ${ }^{84}$

Table 20. Rhodium-catalyzed reaction of aryl diazoacetate 143 with enantiopure ( $R, R$ )-
142 leads to different diasteriomeric ratios of the [1,2]-Stevens product.

[^44]


| Entry | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | Yield (\%) 144 | d.r. $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ | ee (\%) 144 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ph | 71 | $10: 1$ | 99 |
| 2 | $p-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 83 | $10: 1$ | 98 |
| 3 | $p-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 70 | $12: 1$ | 97 |
| 4 | $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 82 | $20: 1$ | 64 |

The presence of a substituent effect in an ammonium ylide that is known to undergo a stepwise mechanism, ${ }^{84}$ and the absence of a substituent effect in our oxonium ylide system suggests that radical or cationic intermediates may not be involved in the oxonium ylide rearrangement process. Hence, there needs to be an alternative explanation to that of homolytic/heterolytic cleavage for the formation of the duality of products in our system.

An alternate mechanism is that the apparent isomerization arises from two ylide intermediates $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 5 1}$ formed from two conformational isomers $\mathbf{1 4 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 9}$ of the
metal carbene generated from diazoacetoacetate 134 (Scheme 45). The two conformational isomers 148 and 149 are in equilibrium, where in conformer 148 the aryl group is in the equatorial position and the diazoacetoacetate group is in the axial position whereas the aryl group in conformational isomers 149 is in the axial position and the diazoacetoacetate group is in the equatorial position. Density Functional Theory calculations ${ }^{85}$ (PBEPBE/BLANL2-DZ basis set) provided a free energy difference of only $0.16 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ between the two diazoacetoacetate conformers. ${ }^{86}$ Metal-catalyzed decomposition of each conformational isomer 148 and 149 affords the corresponding ylide intermediate 150 and 151. In this mechanism, product stereochemistry is determined by the stereochemistry of the two ylide intermediates $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 5 1}$ after they undergo rearrangement to give the corresponding [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement products syn-136 and anti-136, respectively.

[^45]

Scheme 45. Concerted mechanism for the decomposition of trans-3-aryltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ via conformational isomers.

This concerted mechanism explains why there is a minor difference in the ratio of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement products (syn-136a:anti-136a) when the dinitrogen extrusion of trans-3-phenyltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates 134a was catalyzed by dirhodium pivalate (piv) and dirhodium triphenylacetate (TPA). Both of these catalysts have ligands with significant steric bulk compared to the standard $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$. The minor difference in the ratio of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement products can be attributed to the fact that there exists two metal carbene conformational isomers $\mathbf{1 5 2}$ and 153 (Scheme 46) generated from diazoacetoacetate 134a. The equilibrium between the two metal carbenes $\mathbf{1 5 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ slightly shifts towards metal carbene $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ when larger
ligands are used such as pivalate (piv) and triphenylacetate (TPA) and as a result of that there is a slight difference in the ratio of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement products compared to that ratio obtained with the standard catalyst $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$.


Scheme 46. Dirhodium pivalate and dirhodium triphenylacetate have a minor effect on the ratio of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement products.

To test this hypothesis we decided to increase the steric size of the aryl substituent, thereby increasing the equatorial-axial to axial-equatorial conformer ratio and directing the ylide forming process to only one [1,2]-rearrangement product. The rationale is that if the mechanism of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement process proceeds via a concerted mechanism and is influenced by the conformational isomers 148 and 149 (Scheme 45), then using a large aryl group will shift the equilibrium between these two conformational isomers to only form conformational isomer 148 where the large aryl group is positioned in the equatorial position and thus lead to the formation of a single diasteriomer of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement, syn-136.

### 2.5 Conformation controls product formation

Following the two step synthesis of diazoacetoacetates discussed earlier in section 2.2, the hetreo-Diels-Alder reaction followed by the Mukaiyama-Michael addition reaction, several diazoacetoacetate compounds were synthesized where the aryl group is a
large substituent (e.g., anthranyl, mesityl, and 2,6-dimethyl-4-nitro phenyl), and these compounds were screened for their suitability in ylide formation and subsequent [1,2]Stevens rearrangement.

## 2.5a Synthesis of the Mukaiyama-Michael addition products

2-aryl-2H-pyran-4(3H)-one $\mathbf{1 3 3}(\mathbf{f}-\mathbf{h})$ were prepared in up to $65 \%$ isolated yield by a $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-mediated hetero-Diels-Alder reaction between aldehydes $\mathbf{1 3 1 f} \mathbf{- h}$ and Danishefsky's diene. ${ }^{87}$ This process was followed by the Mukaiyama-Michael reaction of 133 with methyl 3-(tert-butyldimethylsilanoxy)-2-diazo-3-butenoate 127 using $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in refluxing dichloromethane. After hydrolysis and purification 134 was isolated in up to $99 \%$ yield (Table 21) and was determined to be solely the trans isomer as observed previously.

Table 21. Synthesis of trans-3-aryltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates 134.


| Aldehyde | Ar | \% yield $^{\text {a }} \mathbf{1 3 3}$ | \% yield $^{\text {a }} \mathbf{1 3 4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 131 f | Mesityl | 65 | 99 |
| 131 g | Anthryl | 53 | 90 |
| 131 h | 2,6-dimethyl-4-nitro phenyl | 50 | 95 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Isolated yield after column chromatography.

## 2.5b Catalytic dinitrogen extrusion reactions

[^46]As expected, the dirhodium-catalyzed decomposition of diazoacetoacetates $\mathbf{1 3 4 f}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 4} \mathbf{g}$ afforded a single isomer of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement products $\boldsymbol{s y n} \mathbf{~} \mathbf{1 5 4}$ and $\operatorname{syn} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{1 5 5}$, albeit in less than $50 \%$ yield due to the formation of elimination byproducts. However, the trans-3-(2,6-dimethyl-4-nitrophenyl)tetrahydropyranone-5diazoacetoacetates $\mathbf{1 3 4 h}$ formed syn-156 in $\mathbf{7 7 \%}$ isolated yield without a measurable contribution, by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis, from the potential anti-156 diastereomer (Scheme 47).




Scheme 47. Formation of a single diasteriomer of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product when the aryl group is a large substituent.

By increasing the size of the aryl group, the equilibrium completely shifted between the two diazoacetoacetate conformational isomers to only form conformational isomer 148 where the large aryl group is positioned in the equatorial position, thus
leading to the formation of the exclusive product $\boldsymbol{s y n} \mathbf{- 1 3 6}$ (Scheme 48). We believe that all three examples provided, where the aryl group of diazoacetoacetate $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ is a large substituent (e.g., anthranyl, mesityl, and 2,6-dimethyl-4-nitro phenyl), that lead to the formation of a single isomer of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement products proceed through the concerted mechanism outlined in Scheme 48.


Scheme 48. Concerted mechanism for the decomposition of trans-3-
aryltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ when $\mathrm{Ar}=$ large substituent (e.g., anthranyl, mesityl, and 2,6-dimethyl-4-nitro phenyl).

### 2.6 Conclusions

In conclusion, $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{II})$ catalyzed oxonium ylide generation with aryl-substituted tetrahydropyranone diazoacetoacetates and their subsequent [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement
forms two oxabicyclo[4.2.1]nonane diastereoisomers. Substituents on the aromatic ring were expected to influence the stability of intermediates for this reaction if formed via homolytic or heterolytic cleavage of the benzylic C-O bond, but the ratio of these two diastereoisomers was independent of the electronic nature of the substituent at the paraposition of the phenyl ring (e.g., electron donating and electron withdrawing groups). However, the use of a large aryl group substituent (e.g., anthranyl, mesityl, and 2,6-dimethyl-4-nitro phenyl) resulted in the formation of a single diastereoisomer. The importance of the size of the aryl group, coupled with the absence of a substituent effect on the ratio of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement diastereomers suggest that conformational influences are responsible for the apparent isomerization. Each diazo conformer forms a different oxonium ylide and subsequent rearrangement of each of these oxonium ylides leads to the formation of a distinct diastereoisomeric product.

### 2.7 Experimental

## 2.7(a) General procedures and methods of analysis

General information. Reagents were obtained commercially unless otherwise noted. Reactions were performed using oven-dried or flame-dried glassware under an atmosphere of nitrogen. Air and moisture sensitive liquids and solutions were transferred via syringe or stainless steel cannula. Dichloromethane (DCM) was passed through a solvent column ${ }^{88}$ prior to use. Toluene and 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE) were distilled over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ and used immediately. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on EM Science silica gel 60 F254 plates, and visualization of the developed plates was accomplished by ultraviolet light ( 254 nm ) and/or by staining with iodine, butanolic ninhydrin, $p$-anisaldehyde, or phosphomolybdic acid (PMA) solution. Chromatographic purification of products was performed using air pressure to force the solvent through the column on silica gel ( $230 \times 400$ mesh). Compounds purified by chromatography on silica gel were typically applied to the absorbent bed using the indicated solvent conditions with a minimum amount of added dichloromethane as needed for solubility. Unless

[^47]otherwise described, reactions were carried out at room temperature. Elevated temperatures were obtained using thermostat-controlled silicone oil baths. Low temperatures were obtained in an ice-water bath or by mixing dry-ice with organic solvents. Anhydrous zinc triflate, boron trifluoride-diethyl ether $\left(\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)$, and copper catalysts were purchased from Aldrich and used as received. Rhodium acetate was obtained commercially from Pressure Chemical Company while the rest of the rhodium catalysts $\left(\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{tfa})_{4},{ }^{89} \mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{pfb})_{4},{ }^{90} \mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\text { piv })_{4},{ }^{91} \mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{TPA})_{4},{ }^{92} \text { and } \mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\text { cap })_{4}\right)^{93}$ were synthesized following literature procedures by Dr. Ryan Burgin. Methyl 3-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-2-diazo-3-butenoate was prepared by the method described by Davies. ${ }^{94}$

NMR spectra were obtained on Bruker AV-400, Bruker DRX-400 ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ at 400 $\mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ at 100 MHz$)$, Bruker DRX-500 $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ at $500 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ at 125 MHz ), or Bruker AVIII-600 $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ at $600 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ at 150 MHz$)$. Absorptions and their splitting from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra are recorded as follows relative to residual solvent peaks: $(\mathrm{s}=\operatorname{singlet}, \mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ doublet of doublets, $\mathrm{td}=$ triplet of doublets, $\mathrm{dq}=$ doublet of quartets, ddd= doublet of doublet of doublets, tdd triplet of doublet of doublets, dddd $=$ doublet of doublet of doublet of doublets, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, comp $=$

[^48]composite), coupling constant (Hz), and integration. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$, ppm) for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra are reported relative to the residual solvent peak. All spectra are recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as solvent, unless otherwise described. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a JEOL AccuTOF-CS system (ESI positive, needle voltage 18002400 eV , flow rate $50 \mathrm{uL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO FT-IR-4100 instrument. Melting points were determined with a MEL-TEMP digital melting point apparatus.

## General procedures for the hetero-Diels-Alder (HDA) reaction



All hetreo-Diels-Alder products were synthesized using $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}($ method A$)$ except for 2-(4-nitrophenyl)-2H-pyran-4(3H)-one and 2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-2H-pyran$4(3 \mathrm{H})$-one that were synthesized using catalytic amounts of $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ (method B) due to higher yields obtained using $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ in comparison to using $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as the Lewis acid.
$\mathbf{H D A}$ reaction using $\mathbf{B F}_{\mathbf{3}} \cdot \mathbf{E t}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}-\mathbf{M e t h o d} \mathbf{A}$. A solution of benzaldehyde $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 1.9$ mmoles) and Danishefsky's diene ( $0.36 \mathrm{~g}, 2.3$ mmoles $)$ in dry DCM ( 19 mL ) was cooled to $\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. To that solution was added $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 1.9$ mmoles $)$ dropwise, which produced an instant color change from colorless to yellow to dark brown. After 8 hrs at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$
followed by brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure.

HDA reaction using $\mathbf{R h}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{O A c})_{\mathbf{4}}$-Method B. Rhodium(II) acetate $(5.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.013$ mmoles, 1 mole \%) and 4-nitrobenzaldehyde ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmoles}$ ) were dissolved in 5 mL of DCM. The suspension was stirred for 20 minutes at room temperature before adding the Danishefsky diene $(0.44 \mathrm{~g}, 2.7$ mmoles). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 24 hrs. After the reaction was complete, judging by TLC analysis, TFA ( 1.0 mL ) was added slowly, and the solution was stirred for a further 30 minutes. The material was later washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ then extracted into DCM $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic extracts were combined, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was evaporated.

## Characterization of compounds

Characterization for HDA products.

## Phenyl pyranone



Prepared using $\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ - method A. Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $90 \%$ to $80 \%$ hexane); yellow oil ( $65 \%$ yield), based on a 5.0 mmole scale of benzaldehyde. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,0.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.4,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.9,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.9,3.5,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR
(101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 192.15,163.06,137.66,128.86,128.78,126.03,107.30,81.01$, 43.07. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 175.0754, found 175.0748 .

## 4-Nitro-phenyl pyranone



Prepared using catalytic amounts of $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$-method B. Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $90 \%$ to $60 \%$ hexane); yellow solid ( $65 \%$ yield), based on a 3.3 mmole scale of 4-nitrobenzaldehyde. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 8.4-8.37 (comp, 2H), 7.64-7.60 (comp, 2H), $7.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.46-5.37 (comp, 2H), 2.47 (dd, $J=18.2,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33$ (ddd, $J=18.2,4.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 190.66, 162.54, 148.04, 144.83, 126.69, 124.14, 107.90, 79.69, 43.37. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 220.0604, found 220.0610. M.p. $101-102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## 4-Methoxy-phenyl pyranone



Prepared using $\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-method A. Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $90 \%$ to $70 \%$ hexane); yellow oil ( $65 \%$ yield), based on a 3.7 mmole scale of 4-methoxybenzaldehyde. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $6.0,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.32(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96-6.93(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.5,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.9,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63$
(ddd, $J=16.9,3.4,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 192.45, 163.31, 160.07, $129.79,127.74,114.17,107.24,80.90,55.34,43.15$. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 205.0859, found 205.0857. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 205.0859, found 205.0857.

## 4-trifluoromethyl phenyl pyranone



Prepared using $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$-method B. Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $80 \%$ to $60 \%$ hexane); yellow solid ( $60 \%$ yield), based on a 3.0 mmole scale of 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzaldehyde. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.64$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0$, $1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.9,14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{ddd}$, $J=16.9,3.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 191.18,162.75,141.81,130.99$ $(\mathrm{q}, J=32.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.82(\mathrm{q}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.90(\mathrm{q}, J=270.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 107.64,80.12,43.36$. HRMS (ESI + ): expected mass 243.0627 , found 243.0629. M.p. $48-49{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## 2-p-tolyl-2H-pyran-4(3H)-one



Prepared using $\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-method A. Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $90 \%$ to $80 \%$ hexane); orange solid ( $65 \%$ yield), based on a 4.0 mmole scale of 4-methylbenzaldehyde. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $6.0,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.27$ (comp, 2H), 7.23-7.21 (comp, 2H), 5.51 (dd, $J=6.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.4,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.9,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ 16.9, 3.4, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 2.37 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 192.24, 163.20, 138.77, 134.75, 129.38, 126.06, 107.15, 80.93, 43.17, 21.09. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 189.0910 , found 189.0910 . M.p. $80-81^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## 2-Mesityl-2H-pyran-4(3H)-one (191f)



Prepared using $\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-method A. Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $95 \%$ hexane): yellow solid ( $65 \%$ yield), based on 3.4 mmol scale of mesitaldehyde. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,0.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16$ (dd, $J=17.2,16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.2,3.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 192.4,163.5,138.3,136.1,130.4,130.4,107.1$, 78.8, 40.4, 20.8, 20.6. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 217.1223, found 217.1230. M.p. 72$73^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## 2-(Anthracen-9-yl)-2H-pyran-4(3H)-one (191g)



Prepared using $\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-method A. Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $95 \%$ hexane): orange solid ( $53 \%$ yield), based on 2.4 mmol of anthracene-9-carbaldehyde. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-$
$7.49(\mathrm{comp}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=17.8,16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.8,4.0,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 192.0,163.4,131.4,129.6,129.5,129.0,127.0,126.4,124.8,123.5,107.7$, 78.1, 41.9. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 275.1067, found 275.1075. M.p. $160-161^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## 2-(2,6-Dimethyl-4-nitrophenyl)-2H-pyran-4(3H)-one (191h)



Prepared using $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-method A. Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $100 \%$ to $85 \%$ hexane); pale yellow solid ( $50 \%$ yield), based on 2.8 mmol scale of 2,6-dimethyl-4-nitro benzaldehyde. The 2,6-dimethyl-4-nitro benzaldehyde was synthesized following literature procedure ${ }^{95}$ by Dr. Ryan Burgin. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,4.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.45$ $(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.5,4.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 190.8,162.7$, 147.2, 140.1, 138.1, 124.1, 107.6, 77.9, 39.4, 20.9. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 248.0917, found 248.0912. M.p. $120-121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## General Procedure for the Mukaiyama-Michael reaction



[^49]To a flame-dried, $25-\mathrm{mL}$ round bottom flask under nitrogen was added zinc triflate (16 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.044 \mathrm{mmol})$, followed by 6-phenylpyranone $(0.76 \mathrm{~g}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ that was dissolved in dry DCM ( 8.0 mL ). Methyl 3-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-2-diazo-3-butenoate ( 1.7 g , 6.6 mmol ) was then added via syringe all at once. The resulting orange solution was stirred at heated using an oil bath to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hour and then slowly cooled to room temperature. The Mukaiyama-Michael reactions were worked up using one of two methods, as described below. For the synthesis of Methyl 2-diazo-3-oxo-4-((2S $\left.{ }^{*}, 6 S^{*}\right)-4$ -oxo-6-phenyltetrahyro-2H-pyran-2-yl)butanoate (192a), method C using 4 N HCl was followed. However, for diazoacetoacetate substrates where the aryl substituent is electron donating (Methyl 2-diazo-3-oxo-4-((2S*,6S*)-4-oxo-6-p-tolyltetrahydro-2H-pyran-2yl)butanoat, Methyl 2-diazo-4-((2S*, $\left.6 S^{*}\right)$-6-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-oxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-3- oxobutanoate, Methyl 2-diazo-4-((2S*, $\left.6 S^{*}\right)$ 6-mesityl-4-oxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-3-oxobutanoate, Methyl 2-diazo-4-((2S*,6S*) 6-(anthracen-9-yl)-4-oxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-3-oxobutanoate, and Methyl 2-diazo-4-((2R*, $\left.6 R^{*}\right)$ 6-(2,6-dimethyl-4-nitrophenyl)-4-oxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-3-oxobutanoate) TBAF was used for the work up to prevent the formation of elimination byproducts that were observed when the work-up was done with HCl .

Mukaiyama-Michael reaction work up using 4N HCl - Method C. After the reaction was complete, judging by TLC analysis, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure then dissolved in 30 mL of tetrahydrofuran (THF). To that was added 10 mL of 4 N aqueous HCl solution dropwise. After 4 hrs the reaction was quenched by slow addition of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ until the reaction was neutralized. The resulting
solution was extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure.

Mukaiyama-Michael reaction work up using TBAF and AcOH - Method D. The calculation for the work-up below is based on the substrate 2-(4methoxyphenyl)pyranone 192 (e) ( $0.29 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4$ mmoles). After the reaction was complete, judged by TLC analysis, the solvent was evaportated under reduced pressure then dissolved in 14 mL of tetrahydrofuran (THF). To that solution was added AcOH ( 0.6 mL ) and TBAF ( 1 M THF solution, $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.1$ mmoles). The resulting solution was stirred at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hrs . The solution was quenched with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, then diluted with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( 15 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure after filtration.

## Data Characterization for Mukaiyama-Michael products

Methyl 2-diazo-3-oxo-4-((2R*,6R*)-4-oxo-6-phenyltetrahyro-2H-pyran-2yl)butanoate (192a)


Followed method C for the work-up. Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $90 \%$ to $75 \%$ hexane): yellow solid ( $99 \%$ yield), based on a
1.2 mmol scale of 2-phenyl-2H-pyran-4(3H)-one. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 7.39$7.28(\mathrm{comp}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-4.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $15.6,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.8,6.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.79 (ddd, $J=14.8,5.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.8,4.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ 14.8, 7.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 206.2,188.9,161.5,139.6,128.6$, $128.1,126.8,74.0,68.6,52.3,46.5,46.1,44.5$, missing diazo carbon. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2959$, 2925, 2854, $2143\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right), 1708,1664,1646$. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 317.1132, found 317.1128 . M.p. $71-72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Methyl 2-diazo-4-((2R*,6R*)-6-(4-nitrophenyl)-4-oxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-3oxobutanoate (192b)



Followed method C for the work-up. Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $90 \%$ to $60 \%$ hexane): yellow solid ( $80 \%$ yield), based on a 2.3 mmol scale of 2-(4-nitrophenyl)-2 H -pyran-4(3H)-one. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 8.25-8.22 (comp, 2H), 7.58-7.55 (comp, 2H), $5.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70-4.63$ (m, 1H), $3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.87-2.76 (comp, 2H), 2.69 (ddd, $J=14.8,5.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.8,6.6,1.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 204.9,188.6,161.5,147.6,146.9,127.5,123.9$, 73.1, 69.3, 52.3, 46.2, 46.1, 44.2, missing diazo carbon. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2959,2921,2849$, $2123\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right), 1717,1641,1598,1512,1335,1302$. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 362.0983 , found 362.0984 . M.p. $103-104{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Methyl 2-diazo-3-oxo-4-((2R*,6R*)-4-0xo-6-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)tetrahyro-2H-pyran-2-yl)butanoate (192c)



Followed method C for the work-up. Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $90 \%$ to $70 \%$ hexane): yellow solid ( $77 \%$ yield), based on a 2.1 mmol scale of 2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-2H-pyran-4(3H)-one. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61-4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6$, $5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.8,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.8$, $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 205.3,188.7,161.5,143.7,130.2(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $32.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 127.0,125.5(\mathrm{q}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.90(\mathrm{q}, J=270.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 73.4,69.0,52.2,46.2$, 46.0, 44.3, missing diazo carbon. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 385.1011 , found 385.1005. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2964,2921,2854,2128\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right), 1717,1641,1622,1316$. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 385.1011 , found 385.1005 . M.p. $64-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Methyl 2-diazo-3-oxo-4-((2R*,6R*)-4-oxo-6-p-tolyltetrahydro-2H-pyran-2yl)butanoate (192d)



Followed method D for the work-up. Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $90 \%$ to $70 \%$ hexane): yellow oil ( $97 \%$ yield), based on a 1.2 mmol scale of 2-p-tolyl-2H-pyran-4(3H)-one. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.24$ 7.22 (comp, 2H), 7.17-7.15 (comp, 2H), $5.26(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $14.8,6.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.8,5.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.8,4.8,1.2$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.8,7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 206.4,188.9,161.5,137.9,136.5,129.2,126.8,74.0,68.3,52.2,46.5,45.9,44.6,21.1$, missing diazo carbon. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2906,2959,2128\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right), 1713,1646,1512$. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 331.1288, found 331.1279.

Methyl 2-diazo-4-((2R*,6R*)-6-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-oxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-3- oxobutanoate (192e)


Followed method D for the work-up. Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $90 \%$ to $75 \%$ hexane); yellow oil ( $92 \%$ yield), based on a 1.4 mmol scale of 2-(4-methoxymethylphenyl)-2H-pyran-4(3H)-one. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.27-7.24 (comp, 2H), 6.89-6.85 (comp, 2H), $5.25(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.50-4.44(m, 1H), $3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,5.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.8,6.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.8,5.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60$ $(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.8,4.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.8,8.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 206.4,188.9,161.5,159.3,131.5,128.3,113.8,73.7,68.1,55.2,52.2$, 46.5, 45.8, 44.7, missing diazo carbon. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3055,2959,2835,2138\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right), 1708$, 1646, 1607, 1507, 1431. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 347.1238, found 347.1242.

Methyl 2-diazo-4-(( $\left.2 R^{*}, 6 R^{*}\right)$-6-mesityl-4-oxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-3oxobutanoate (192f)


Followed method D for the work-up. Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $90 \%$ to $80 \%$ hexane): yellow solid ( $99 \%$ yield), based on a 2.0 mmol scale of 2-mesityl-2 H -pyran-4(3H)-one. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.83(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11-5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.0$, $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17$ (dd, $J=15.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92$ (dd, $J$ $=15.0,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{ddd}, J=15.0,3.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.39(\mathrm{comp}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 206.7,188.8,161.5,137.4,136.1,132.7,130.3$, $70.6,70.3,52.2,45.6,45.5,42.8,20.7,20.5$, missing diazo carbon. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2973,2359$, 2339, $2137\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right), 1713,1652,1612$. HRMS (ESI + ): expected mass 359.1601, found 359.1609. M.p. $84-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Methyl 2-diazo-4-((2R*,6R*)-6-(anthracen-9-yl)-4-oxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-3oxobutanoate (192g)



Followed method D for the work-up. Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $90 \%$ to $80 \%$ hexane): white solid ( $90 \%$ yield), based on a 1.5 mmol scale of 2-(anthracen-9-yl)-2 H -pyran- $4\left(3 \mathrm{H}\right.$ )-one. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $8.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03-8.01$ (comp, 2H), 7.56-7.52 (comp, 2H), 7.49$7.45(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40-5.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65$ (dd, $J=15.2,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.48 (dd, $J=15.2,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.2,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.2,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71-2.67(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
$\delta 206.1,188.8,161.6,131.7,129.9,129.5,129.2,129.1,126.2,124.8,119.6,71.7,70.1$, $52.3,47.5,46.0,42.5$, missing diazo carbon. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2361,2149\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right), 1714,1694$, 1633. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 417.1445 , found 417.1439 . M.p. $157-158{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Methyl 2-diazo-4-((2R*,6R*)-6-(2,6-dimethyl-4-nitrophenyl)-4-oxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-3-oxobutanoate (192h)



Followed method D for the work-up. Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $90 \%$ to $80 \%$ hexane): yellow solid ( $95 \%$ yield), based on a 1.0 mmol scale of 2-(2,6-dimethyl-4-nitrophenyl)-2H-pyran-4(3H)-one. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12-5.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.0$, 6.5 Hz, 1H), $2.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-2.41$ (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 205.0, 188.6, 161.6, 146.7, 142.5, 138.3, 124.0, $71.0,70.0,52.3,45.5,44.4,42.7,21.0$, missing diazo carbon. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2964,2144$ $\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right), 1711,1675,1618,1421,1360,1220$. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 390.1296, found 390.1301. M.p. $108-109{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

General Procedure for catalytic dinitrogen extrusion. The catalyst $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{pfb})_{4}(17 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.016 mmoles) was transferred to a flame-dried two-neck flask and then dissolved in anhydrous DCM ( 7.0 mL ). Methyl 2-diazo-3-oxo-4-(4-oxo-6-phenyltetrahyro-2 H -pyran-2-yl)butanoate ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 1.6$ mmoles) was dissolved in anhydrous DCM ( 3.0 mL ) and added dropwise to the reaction mixture via a syringe pump over two hours. Once the addition was complete, the reaction was left to stir at reflux $\left(40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for an additional two
hours. After the reaction reached completion, judging by TLC analysis, it was cooled to room temperature and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure.

## Data Characterization for Dinitrogen Extrusion Products

$\left(1 S^{*}, 2 R^{*}, 6 R^{*}\right)$-Methyl 4,8-dioxo-2-phenyl-9-oxabicyclo[4.2.1]nonane-1-carboxylate (syn-193a)


Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $90 \%$ to $65 \%$ hexane): white solid ( $77 \%$ combined yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.34-$ 7.27 (comp, 4H), 7.24-7.20 (m, 1H), 5.25-5.21 (m, 1H), $3.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.6,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-2.94(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{ddd}, J=11.6$, 6.4, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 2.53-2.47 (comp, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 207.0$, 206.6, $165.6,140.1,128.6,128.0,127.4,89.9,70.0,52.6,52.5,48.8,45.5,40.7$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1769$, 1732, 1693, 1268, 1245, 2925. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 289.1071, found 289.1069. M.p. $165-166^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
( $1 S^{*}, 2 S^{*}, 6 R^{*}$ )-Methyl 4,8-dioxo-2-phenyl-9-oxabicyclo[4.2.1]nonane-1-carboxylate (anti-193a)


Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $90 \%$ to $65 \%$ hexane): white solid ( $77 \%$ combined yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.29$ 7.27 (comp, 3H), 7.21-7.19 (comp, 2H), $4.99-4.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72$ (dd, $J=12.0,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.8,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03-$
$2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.4,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.65(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 207.2,206.1,166.0,136.6,128.7,128.3,128.0,86.6,69.5,52.7,51.7$, 48.0, 47.5, 44.0. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 1775, 1727, 1704, 1292, 1235, 2916, 2357, 1064, 1045. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 289.1071, found 289.1065. M.p. $129-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Methyl 2-(4-nitrophenyl)-4,8-dioxo-9-oxabicyclo[4.2.1]nonane-1-carboxylate (193b)


Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $90 \%$ to $60 \%$ hexane): yellow solid ( $94 \%$ yield), based on a 0.69 mmol scale of Methyl 2-diazo-4$\left(\left(2 S^{*}, 6 S^{*}\right)\right.$-6-(4-nitrophenyl)-4-oxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-3- oxobutanoate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 8.19-8.13 (comp, 2 H ), 7.55-7.51 (comp, 2H), 5.30-5.26 (m, 1H), $3.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{ddd}, J=18.0,9.2$, $0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.52(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ - anti-isomer $\delta$ 8.19-8.13 (comp, 2H), 7.49-7.46 (comp, 2H), $5.06(\mathrm{ddt}, J=$ $9.6,6.8,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.6,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.14(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.99-2.86 (comp, 2H), 2.74-2.68 (comp, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )- syn-isomer $\delta$ 206.4, 205.3, 165.3, 147.7, 147.1, 129.0, 123.9, 89.3, 70.3, 53.0, 52.5, 48.2, 45.0, 40.8. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )- anti-isomer $\delta$ 207.5, 205.4, 166.1, 147.3, 144.4, 130.1, 123.2, 86.2, 70.4, 53.1, 52.0, 46.6, 45.9, 43.6. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2959.23, 2915.84, 2858.95, 1769.37, 1736.58, 1707.66, 1607.38, 1507.10, 1345.11. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 334.0921, found 334.0918.

## Methyl-4,8-dioxo-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-9-oxabicyclo[4.2.1]nonane-1carboxylate (193c)



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $90 \%$ to $60 \%$ hexane $)$ : yellow solid ( $92 \%$ yield), based on a 0.69 mmol scale of Methyl 2-diazo-3-oxo-4-((2S*, $\left.6 S^{*}\right)-4$-oxo-6-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)tetrahyro-2H-pyran-2-yl)butanoate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )- syn-isomer $\delta 7.56-7.53$ (comp, 2H), 7.47-7.45 (comp, 2H), 5.26-5.23 (m, 1H), 3.63 (dd, $J=9.3,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.01$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.0,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.50(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )- anti-isomer $\delta 7.56-7.53$ (comp, 2H), 7.38-7.37 (comp, 2H), 5.02 (ddt, $J=10.0,6.5,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-$ 3.14 (comp, 2H), 2.95-2.88 (comp, 2H), 2.74-2.65 (comp, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )- syn-isomer $\delta 206.7,205.8,165.5,144.3,129.7(\mathrm{q}, J=32.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.4,125.6$ (q, $J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.3(\mathrm{q}, J=271 \mathrm{~Hz}), 89.5,70.2,52.9,48.5,46.8,45.2,40.8 .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )- anti-isomer $\delta 207.5,205.5,166.0,140.9,129.7(\mathrm{q}, J=32.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.4$, $125.2(\mathrm{q}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.1(\mathrm{q}, J=271 \mathrm{~Hz}), 86.3,70.0,52.5,51.9,47.0,46.7,43.9$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2969,2930,1769,1741,1703,1331$. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 357.0944, found 357.0951.

## Methyl 4,8-dioxo-2-p-tolyl-9-oxabicyclo[4.2.1]nonane-1-carboxylate (193d)



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $90 \%$ to $70 \%$ hexane): yellow solid ( $55 \%$ yield), based on a 0.79 mmol scale of Methyl 2-diazo-3-oxo-4-(( $\left.2 S^{*}, 6 S^{*}\right)$-4-oxo-6- $p$-tolyltetrahydro- $2 H$-pyran-2-yl)butanoate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )- syn-isomer $\delta 7.22-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.05(\mathrm{comp}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.24-5.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-2.94(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.65(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.52-2.47 (comp, 2H), $2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )- anti-isomer $\delta 7.22-7.20$ (m, 1H), 7.10-7.05 (comp, 3H), 5.00-4.96(m, 1H), $3.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.7,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55$ (s, 3H), 3.40-3.30 (comp, 3H), $3.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=19.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-$ $2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )- syn-isomer $\delta$ 207.1, 206.7, $165.7,137.1,136.9,129.3,128.0,90.0,70.0,52.7,52.6,48.9,45.3,40.9,21.0 .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )- anti-isomer $\delta$ 207.2, 206.1, 166.1, 137.7, 133.4, 129.0, 128.5, 86.7, 69.5, 52.7, 51.7, 47.6, 47.6, 44.1, 21.1. IR ( $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2959,2930,1765,1746,1708$. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 303.1227, found 303.1231.

Methyl 2-(4-methoxyhenyl)-4,8-dioxo-9-oxabicyclo[4.2.1]nonane-1-carboxylate (193e)


Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $90 \%$ to $60 \%$ hexane ): yellow solid ( $22 \%$ yield), based on a 0.69 mmol scale of Methyl 2-diazo-4$\left(\left(2 S^{*}, 6 S^{*}\right)\right.$-6-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-oxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-3-oxobutanoate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )- syn-isomer $\delta 7.25$-7.21 (comp, 2H), 6.84-6.82 (comp, 2H), 5.23-5.20(m, 1H), $3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-2.93$ (comp, 2H), 2.73-2.63 (comp, 2H), 2.52-2.48 (comp, 2H). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )-anti-isomer $\delta 7.25$-7.21 (comp, 2H), 7.12-7.11 (m, 1H), 6.84-6.82 (m, 1H), 4.99-4.96 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.30(\mathrm{comp}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=19.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.5,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )- syn-isomer $\delta 207.2$, 206.7, 165.7, 158.8, 129.8, 129.3, $114.0,90.0,70.0,55.2,52.8,52.6,48.9,45.0,40.9 .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )- antiisomer $\delta$ 207.4, 206.1, 165.7, 159.2, 131.8, 128.5, 113.7, 86.7, 69.4, 55.1, 52.7, 51.7, 47.7, 47.2, 44.1. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2954.41, 2920.66, 2834.85, 1769.37, 1741.41, 1707.66, 1607.38, 1507.10, 1254.47. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 319.1176, found 319.1184. $\left(1 S^{*}, 2 R^{*}, 6 R^{*}\right)$-Methyl 2-mesityl-4,8-dioxo-9-oxabicyclo[4.2.1]nonane-1-carboxylate (syn-211)


Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate (100\% to $70 \%$ hexane $)$ : pale yellow oil ( $42 \%$ yield), based on a 0.59 mmol scale of Methyl 2-
diazo-4-((2S*, $\left.6 S^{*}\right)$-6-mesityl-4-oxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-3-oxobutanoate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.24-5.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.0$, $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{ddd}, J$ $=17.5,9.0,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.29(\mathrm{comp}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 207.7,207.4,165.7,138.3,136.5,136.3,133.0,131.2$, $129.2,89.5,70.2,52.6,52.2,44.3,41.7,39.9,21.3,20.6,20.5$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2967.96$, 2921.85, 1768.57, 1743.99, 1700.67, 1609.83, 1433.53, 1264.48. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 331.1540 , found 331.1549 .
$\left(1 S^{*}, 2 R^{*}, 6 R^{*}\right)$-Methyl 2-(anthracen-9-yl)-4,8-dioxo-9-oxabicyclo[4.2.1]nonane-1carboxylate (syn-212)


Purified by preparative thin layer chromatography (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $70 \%$ hexane): yellow solid ( $45 \%$ yield), based on a 0.29 mmol scale of Methyl 2-diazo-4-((2S*, $\left.6 S^{*}\right)$-6-(anthracen-9-yl)-4-oxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-3-oxobutanoate. $\quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99$ (t, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.58$ (comp, 2H), 7.50-7.44 (comp, 2H), $5.48-5.45$ (m, 1H), $5.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{t}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,6.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ 11.0, 5.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 207.7,207.6,165.3,131.7,131.6$, $131.4,131.0,129.5,129.2,129.1,128.4,126.9,125.9,125.6,124.9,124.9,123.7,89.4$,
70.7, 52.7, 52.1, 46.2, 41.4, 40.2. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2953,2926,2853,1772,1726,1699,1597$. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 389.1384, found 389.1391. M.p. $159-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ( $1 S^{*}, 2 R^{*}, 6 R^{*}$ )-Methyl 2-(2,6-dimethyl-4-nitrophenyl)-4,8-dioxo-9-oxabicyclo[4.2.1]nonane-1-carboxylate (syn-213)


Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate ( $90 \%$ to $60 \%$ hexane): pale yellow solid ( $77 \%$ yield), based on a 0.49 mmol scale of Methyl 2-diazo-4-((2R*, $\left.6 R^{*}\right)$-6-(2,6-dimethyl-4-nitrophenyl)-4-oxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-3oxobutanoate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.28-5.25$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.28(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.0,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.51-2.44$ (comp, 2H), 2.28 (ddd, $J=11.0,5.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 206.8$, $206.5,165.3,146.2,144.0,140.5,138.3,125.0,122.6,88.8,70.6,52.6,52.6,43.4,41.8$, 39.7, 21.7, 20.8. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2923, 2852, 2358, 1747, 1702, 1519, 1346, 1260, 1225. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 362.1234 , found 362.1241 . M.p. $165-166^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
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## Crystal Structure Data

Compound name : Methyl 2-diazo-3-oxo-4-(( $\left.2 S^{*}, 6 S^{*}\right)$-4-oxo-6-phenyltetrahyro-2H-pyran-2-yl)butanoate 192(a)

Chemical formula : $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$


Crystals were obtained by dissolving 10 mg of yelow solid 192(a) with minimum amount of hexane:DCM (10:1). A colorless prism-like specimen of $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, approximate dimensions $0.17 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.27 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.42 \mathrm{~mm}$, was used for the X-ray crystallographic analysis. The X-ray intensity data were measured on a Bruker Smart Apex2 system equipped with a graphite monochromator and a $\mathrm{MoK}_{\alpha}$ fine-focus sealed tube $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$.

A total of 1819 frames were collected. The total exposure time was

12.12 hours. The frames were integrated with the Bruker SAINT software package using a narrow-frame algorithm. The integration of the data using a triclinic unit cell yielded a total of 21973 reflections to a maximum $\theta$ angle of $27.50^{\circ}$ ( $0.77 \AA$ resolution), of which

7204 were independent (average redundancy 3.050, completeness $=99.8 \%, \mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=1.54 \%$, $\left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {sig }}=1.67 \%\right)$ and 6391 (88.71\%) were greater than $2 \sigma\left(\mathrm{~F}^{2}\right)$. The final cell constants of $\underline{\mathrm{a}}=$ $11.0436(6) \AA, \underline{b}=11.2585(6) \AA, \underline{c}=13.4333(8) \AA, \alpha=90.2150(9)^{\circ}, \beta=107.0708(8)^{\circ}, \gamma$ $=100.0912(9)^{\circ}$, volume $=1569.23(15) \AA^{3}$, are based upon the refinement of the XYZcentroids of 9928 reflections above $20 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ with $4.719^{\circ}<2 \theta<62.22^{\circ}$. Data were corrected for absorption effects using the multi-scan method (SADABS). The calculated minimum and maximum transmission coefficients (based on crystal size) are 0.9589 and 0.9831 .

The structure was solved and refined using the Bruker SHELXTL Software Package, using the space group $\mathrm{P}-1$, with $\mathrm{Z}=4$ for the formula unit, $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. The final anisotropic full-matrix least-squares refinement on $F^{2}$ with 441 variables converged at R1 $=3.36 \%$, for the observed data and $\mathrm{wR} 2=6.86 \%$ for all data. The goodness-of-fit was 0.999. The largest peak in the final difference electron density synthesis was $0.317 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$ and the largest hole was $-0.176 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$ with an RMS deviation of $0.037 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$. On the basis of the final model, the calculated density was $1.339 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{F}(000), 664 \mathrm{e}^{-}$.

Table 1. Sample and crystal data for UM2037.

| Identification code | UM 2037 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ |  |
| Formula weight | 316.31 |  |
| Temperature | $100(2) \mathrm{K}$ |  |
| Wavelength | $0.71073 \AA$ |  |
| Crystal size | $0.17 \times 0.27 \mathrm{x} 0.42 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |
| Crystal habit | colorless prism |  |
| Crystal system | Triclinic | $\alpha=90.2150(9)^{\circ}$ |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P}-1$ | $\beta=107.0708(8)^{\circ}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=11.0436(6) \AA$ | $\gamma=100.0912(9)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=11.2585(6) \AA$ |  |
| Volume | $\mathrm{c}=13.4333(8) \AA$ |  |
| Z | $1569.23(15) \AA^{3}$ |  |


| Density (calculated) | $1.339 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.101 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 664 |

Table 2. Data collection and structure refinement for UM2037.

| Diffractometer | Bruker Smart Apex2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Radiation source | fine-focus sealed tube, $\mathrm{MoK}_{\alpha}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.84 to $27.50^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $-14<=\mathrm{h}<=14,-14<=\mathrm{k}<=14,-17<=1<=17$ |
| Reflections collected | 21973 |
| Independent reflections | $7204[\mathrm{R}($ int $)=0.0154]$ |
| Coverage of independent reflections | 99.8\% |
| Absorption correction | multi-scan |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9831 and 0.9589 |
| Structure solution technique | direct methods |
| Structure solution program | SHELXS-97 (Sheldrick, 2008) |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Refinement program | SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick, 2008) |
| Function minimized | $\Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 7204 / 0 / 441 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | 0.999 |
| $\Delta / \sigma_{\text {max }}$ | 0.001 |
| Final R indices | 6391 data; $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}) \quad \mathrm{R} 1=0.0336, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0669$ |
|  | all data $\quad \mathrm{R} 1=0.0384, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0686$ |
| Weighting scheme | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{w}=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0100 \mathrm{P})^{2}+0.8850 \mathrm{P}\right] \\ & \text { where } \mathrm{P}=\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.317 and -0.176 e $\AA^{-3}$ |

Table 3. Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic atomic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ ) for UM2037.
$U(e q)$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $U_{i j}$ tensor.

|  | $\mathbf{x} / \mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{y} / \mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{z} / \mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{e q})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C1A | $0.63677(12)$ | $0.91830(10)$ | $0.88520(10)$ | $0.0281(3)$ |
| O1A | $0.70214(8)$ | $0.02580(7)$ | $0.85019(6)$ | $0.02442(17)$ |
| C2A | $0.80256(10)$ | $0.09042(10)$ | $0.92376(9)$ | $0.0201(2)$ |
| O2A | $0.84318(8)$ | $0.06132(7)$ | $0.01196(6)$ | $0.02699(18)$ |
| C3A | $0.85396(10)$ | $0.20131(10)$ | $0.88219(8)$ | $0.0191(2)$ |
| N1A | $0.79766(9)$ | $0.21486(8)$ | $0.78055(7)$ | $0.02033(19)$ |
| N2A | $0.75407(10)$ | $0.22894(10)$ | $0.69674(8)$ | $0.0295(2)$ |
| C4A | $0.95445(10)$ | $0.30337(10)$ | $0.93352(8)$ | $0.0185(2)$ |
| O4A | $0.98302(7)$ | $0.38903(7)$ | $0.88349(6)$ | $0.02148(16)$ |


|  | x/a | y/b | z/c | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C5A | $0.01742(10)$ | $0.30035(10)$ | 0.04962(8) | 0.0213(2) |
| C6A | $0.14488(10)$ | 0.38752(9) | 0.08798(8) | 0.0180(2) |
| O6A | $0.23501(7)$ | 0.33741 (6) | 0.04993(6) | $0.01818(15)$ |
| C7A | 0.19043 (10) | 0.40233(10) | 0.20753(8) | $0.0206(2)$ |
| C8A | $0.32838(11)$ | 0.46780(10) | 0.24864(8) | 0.0214(2) |
| 08A | 0.36400 (8) | 0.54369(8) | 0.32071(7) | 0.03083(19) |
| C9A | 0.41851(10) | 0.42832(10) | 0.19519(9) | 0.0214(2) |
| C10A | 0.35990 (10) | 0.41451(9) | 0.07619(8) | 0.0186(2) |
| C11A | 0.35336 (10) | 0.53267(10) | 0.02145(9) | 0.0193(2) |
| C12A | $0.40644(11)$ | 0.64593(10) | $0.07273(10)$ | 0.0234(2) |
| C13A | $0.40139(11)$ | $0.75086(11)$ | 0.01757(11) | 0.0290(3) |
| C14A | $0.34182(11)$ | $0.74336(11)$ | 0.91120(11) | 0.0310(3) |
| C15A | 0.28762 (12) | $0.63084(12)$ | 0.85923(10) | 0.0302(3) |
| C16A | $0.29382(11)$ | 0.52653(11) | $0.91399(9)$ | 0.0246(2) |
| C1B | 0.60971 (13) | $0.29628(14)$ | 0.44380(12) | 0.0427(4) |
| O1B | 0.53563(8) | 0.20437(8) | 0.48829(7) | 0.0305(2) |
| C2B | $0.40972(11)$ | 0.17290 (10) | 0.43467(9) | 0.0224(2) |
| O2B | 0.35850 (8) | 0.21379(7) | 0.35347(6) | 0.02729(18) |
| C3B | 0.34546 (10) | 0.08181(10) | 0.48840(8) | $0.0206(2)$ |
| N1B | 0.41943(9) | 0.05070(9) | 0.57930(7) | 0.0232(2) |
| N2B | 0.47720 (10) | 0.02317(11) | 0.65548(8) | 0.0341 (3) |
| C4B | $0.21054(11)$ | $0.02008(10)$ | 0.46215(8) | 0.0201(2) |
| O4B | $0.17907(8)$ | 0.94029(7) | 0.51577(6) | $0.02546(18)$ |
| C5B | $0.11542(11)$ | $0.06405(10)$ | 0.37077(9) | 0.0228(2) |
| C6B | $0.98577(10)$ | 0.97971(9) | $0.33529(8)$ | 0.0192(2) |
| O6B | $0.00583(7)$ | 0.87695(7) | 0.28322(6) | 0.02043(16) |
| C7B | 0.88369(10) | 0.04150(10) | 0.26156(9) | 0.0212(2) |
| C8B | $0.76370(11)$ | $0.95232(10)$ | 0.20470(8) | 0.0215(2) |
| O8B | 0.65590 (8) | 0.97453(8) | 0.18897(7) | $0.02835(19)$ |
| C9B | $0.78819(11)$ | 0.83605(10) | 0.16571 (9) | 0.0233(2) |
| C10B | 0.89216 (10) | $0.78502(10)$ | 0.24772(9) | 0.0208(2) |
| C11B | $0.85209(11)$ | $0.72764(9)$ | $0.33854(9)$ | 0.0208(2) |
| C12B | $0.72517(11)$ | 0.70239(10) | 0.34070(9) | 0.0245(2) |
| C13B | $0.69297(12)$ | 0.64093(11) | $0.42215(10)$ | 0.0291(3) |
| C14B | $0.78782(13)$ | 0.60537(11) | 0.50256(10) | 0.0311 (3) |
| C15B | 0.91541 (13) | 0.63174(11) | $0.50214(10)$ | 0.0326(3) |
| C16B | $0.94718(12)$ | 0.69218(11) | 0.42076(10) | 0.0283(3) |

Table 4. Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) for UM2037.

| C1A-O1A | $1.4507(13)$ | C1A-H1A1 | 0.98 | C1B-O1B | $1.4465(14)$ | C1B-H1B1 | 0.98 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C1A-H1A2 | 0.98 | C1A-H1A3 | 0.98 | C1B-H1B2 | 0.98 | C1B-H1B3 | 0.98 |
| O1A-C2A | $1.3432(13)$ | C2A-O2A | $1.2061(13)$ | O1B-C2B | $1.3473(14)$ | C2B-O2B | $1.2061(14)$ |


| C2A-C3A | 1.4614(15) | C3A-N1A | 1.3455(14) | C2B-C3B | 1.4586(15) | C3B-N1B | 1.3411(14) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C3A-C4A | $1.4579(15)$ | N1A-N2A | 1.1109(13) | C3B-C4B | 1.4677(15) | N1B-N2B | 1.1130(14) |
| C4A-O4A | 1.2264(13) | C4A-C5A | $1.5129(15)$ | C4B-O4B | 1.2202(13) | C4B-C5B | $1.5117(15)$ |
| C5A-C6A | $1.5153(14)$ | C5A-H5A1 | 0.99 | C5B-C6B | $1.5160(15)$ | C5B-H5B1 | 0.99 |
| C5A-H5A2 | 0.99 | C6A-06A | $1.4365(12)$ | C5B-H5B2 | 0.99 | C6B-O6B | 1.4323(13) |
| C6A-C7A | $1.5343(15)$ | C6A-H6A | 1.0 | C6B-C7B | 1.5363(15) | C6B-H6B | 1.0 |
| O6A-C10A | 1.4397(12) | C7A-C8A | $1.5096(15)$ | O6B-C10B | $1.4367(13)$ | C7B-C8B | 1.5104(15) |
| C7A-H7A1 | 0.99 | C7A-H7A2 | 0.99 | C7B-H7B1 | 0.99 | C7B-H7B2 | 0.99 |
| C8A-08A | 1.2144(14) | C8A-C9A | 1.5087(15) | C8B-O8B | 1.2171(14) | C8B-C9B | 1.5044(16) |
| C9A-C10A | $1.5343(15)$ | C9A-H9A1 | 0.99 | C9B-C10B | 1.5347(15) | C9B-H9B1 | 0.99 |
| C9A-H9A2 | 0.99 | C10A- <br> C11A | $1.5249(14)$ | C9B-H9B2 | 0.99 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C10B- } \\ & \text { C11B } \end{aligned}$ | $1.5245(15)$ |
| C10A- <br> H10A | 1.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C11A- } \\ & \text { C12A } \end{aligned}$ | $1.3923(15)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C10B- } \\ & \text { H10B } \end{aligned}$ | 1.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C11B- } \\ & \text { C12B } \end{aligned}$ | 1.3894(16) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { C11A- } \\ & \text { C16A } \end{aligned}$ | 1.3954(16) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C12A- } \\ & \text { C13A } \end{aligned}$ | $1.3975(16)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C11B- } \\ & \text { C16B } \end{aligned}$ | 1.3969(16) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C12B- } \\ & \text { C13B } \end{aligned}$ | $1.3965(16)$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 12 \mathrm{~A}- \\ & \mathrm{H} 12 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | 0.95 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C13A- } \\ & \text { C14A } \end{aligned}$ | 1.3819(19) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C12B- } \\ & \text { H12B } \end{aligned}$ | 0.95 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C13B- } \\ & \text { C14B } \end{aligned}$ | 1.3822(19) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { C13A- } \\ & \text { H13A } \end{aligned}$ | 0.95 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C14A- } \\ & \text { C15A } \end{aligned}$ | 1.3902(19) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C13B- } \\ & \text { H13B } \end{aligned}$ | 0.95 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C14B- } \\ & \text { C15B } \end{aligned}$ | 1.3899(19) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { C14A- } \\ & \text { H14A } \end{aligned}$ | 0.95 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C15A- } \\ & \text { C16A } \end{aligned}$ | $1.3893(16)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C14B- } \\ & \text { H14B } \end{aligned}$ | 0.95 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C15B- } \\ & \text { C16B } \end{aligned}$ | 1.3886(17) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { C15A- } \\ & \text { H15A } \end{aligned}$ | 0.95 | C16A- <br> H16A | 0.95 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C15B- } \\ & \text { H15B } \end{aligned}$ | 0.95 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C16B- } \\ & \text { H16B } \end{aligned}$ | 0.95 |

Table 5. Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) for UM2037.

| O1A-C1A-H1A1 | 109.5 | O1A-C1A-H1A2 | 109.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H1A1-C1A-H1A2 | 109.5 | O1A-C1A-H1A3 | 109.5 |
| H1A1-C1A-H1A3 | 109.5 | H1A2-C1A-H1A3 | 109.5 |
| C2A-O1A-C1A | $115.19(9)$ | O2A-C2A-O1A | $124.55(10)$ |
| O2A-C2A-C3A | $125.03(10)$ | O1A-C2A-C3A | $110.42(9)$ |
| N1A-C3A-C4A | $113.57(9)$ | N1A-C3A-C2A | $115.72(9)$ |
| C4A-C3A-C2A | $130.67(10)$ | N2A-N1A-C3A | $177.88(12)$ |
| O4A-C4A-C3A | $120.20(10)$ | O4A-C4A-C5A | $122.25(10)$ |
| C3A-C4A-C5A | $117.52(9)$ | C4A-C5A-C6A | $112.65(9)$ |
| C4A-C5A-H5A1 | 109.1 | C6A-C5A-H5A1 | 109.1 |
| C4A-C5A-H5A2 | 109.1 | C6A-C5A-H5A2 | 109.1 |
| H5A1-C5A-H5A2 | 107.8 | O6A-C6A-C5A | $106.42(8)$ |
| O6A-C6A-C7A | $110.82(8)$ | C5A-C6A-C7A | $110.80(8)$ |
| O6A-C6A-H6A | 109.6 | C5A-C6A-H6A | 109.6 |
| C7A-C6A-H6A | 109.6 | C6A-O6A-C10A | $112.58(8)$ |
| C8A-C7A-C6A | $111.85(9)$ | C8A-C7A-H7A1 | 109.2 |
| C6A-C7A-H7A1 | 109.2 | C8A-C7A-H7A2 | 109.2 |
| C6A-C7A-H7A2 | 109.2 | H7A1-C7A-H7A2 | 107.9 |
| O8A-C8A-C9A | $123.00(10)$ | O8A-C8A-C7A | $122.45(10)$ |


| C9A-C8A-C7A | 114.52(9) | C8A-C9A-C10A | 112.26(9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C8A-C9A-H9A1 | 109.2 | C10A-C9A-H9A1 | 109.2 |
| C8A-C9A-H9A2 | 109.2 | C10A-C9A-H9A2 | 109.2 |
| H9A1-C9A-H9A2 | 107.9 | O6A-C10A-C11A | 111.12(8) |
| O6A-C10A-C9A | 109.46(8) | C11A-C10A-C9A | 115.25(9) |
| O6A-C10A-H10A | 106.9 | C11A-C10A-H10A | 106.9 |
| C9A-C10A-H10A | 106.9 | C12A-C11A-C16A | 118.45(10) |
| C12A-C11A-C10A | 123.38(10) | C16A-C11A-C10A | 118.15(10) |
| C11A-C12A-C13A | 120.71(11) | C11A-C12A-H12A | 119.6 |
| C13A-C12A-H12A | 119.6 | C14A-C13A-C12A | 120.18(11) |
| C14A-C13A-H13A | 119.9 | C12A-C13A-H13A | 119.9 |
| C13A-C14A-C15A | 119.65(11) | C13A-C14A-H14A | 120.2 |
| C15A-C14A-H14A | 120.2 | C16A-C15A-C14A | 120.11(12) |
| C16A-C15A-H15A | 119.9 | C14A-C15A-H15A | 119.9 |
| C15A-C16A-C11A | 120.90(11) | C15A-C16A-H16A | 119.6 |
| C11A-C16A-H16A | 119.6 | O1B-C1B-H1B1 | 109.5 |
| O1B-C1B-H1B2 | 109.5 | H1B1-C1B-H1B2 | 109.5 |
| O1B-C1B-H1B3 | 109.5 | H1B1-C1B-H1B3 | 109.5 |
| H1B2-C1B-H1B3 | 109.5 | C2B-O1B-C1B | 115.52(9) |
| O2B-C2B-O1B | 124.53(10) | O2B-C2B-C3B | 125.25(10) |
| O1B-C2B-C3B | 110.22(9) | N1B-C3B-C2B | 115.78(10) |
| N1B-C3B-C4B | 113.19(9) | C2B-C3B-C4B | 130.99(10) |
| N2B-N1B-C3B | 177.67(12) | O4B-C4B-C3B | 119.83(10) |
| O4B-C4B-C5B | 123.11(10) | C3B-C4B-C5B | 117.00(9) |
| C4B-C5B-C6B | 113.18(9) | C4B-C5B-H5B1 | 108.9 |
| C6B-C5B-H5B1 | 108.9 | C4B-C5B-H5B2 | 108.9 |
| C6B-C5B-H5B2 | 108.9 | H5B1-C5B-H5B2 | 107.8 |
| O6B-C6B-C5B | 105.87(9) | O6B-C6B-C7B | 110.95(9) |
| C5B-C6B-C7B | 111.04(9) | O6B-C6B-H6B | 109.6 |
| C5B-C6B-H6B | 109.6 | C7B-C6B-H6B | 109.6 |
| C6B-O6B-C10B | 113.09(8) | C8B-C7B-C6B | 112.16(9) |
| C8B-C7B-H7B1 | 109.2 | C6B-C7B-H7B1 | 109.2 |
| C8B-C7B-H7B2 | 109.2 | C6B-C7B-H7B2 | 109.2 |
| H7B1-C7B-H7B2 | 107.9 | O8B-C8B-C9B | 122.74(10) |
| O8B-C8B-C7B | 122.49(10) | C9B-C8B-C7B | 114.73(9) |
| C8B-C9B-C10B | 112.12(9) | C8B-C9B-H9B1 | 109.2 |
| C10B-C9B-H9B1 | 109.2 | C8B-C9B-H9B2 | 109.2 |
| C10B-C9B-H9B2 | 109.2 | H9B1-C9B-H9B2 | 107.9 |
| O6B-C10B-C11B | 111.74(9) | O6B-C10B-C9B | 109.06(9) |
| C11B-C10B-C9B | 116.11(9) | O6B-C10B-H10B | 106.4 |
| C11B-C10B-H10B | 106.4 | C9B-C10B-H10B | 106.4 |
| C12B-C11B-C16B | 118.47(11) | C12B-C11B-C10B | 123.39(10) |
| C16B-C11B-C10B | 118.02(10) | C11B-C12B-C13B | 120.81(11) |
| C11B-C12B-H12B | 119.6 | C13B-C12B-H12B | 119.6 |


| C14B-C13B-C12B | $120.14(11)$ | C14B-C13B-H13B | 119.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C12B-C13B-H13B | 119.9 | C13B-C14B-C15B | $119.61(11)$ |
| C13B-C14B-H14B | 120.2 | C15B-C14B-H14B | 120.2 |
| C16B-C15B-C14B | $120.18(12)$ | C16B-C15B-H15B | 119.9 |
| C14B-C15B-H15B | 119.9 | C15B-C16B-C11B | $120.78(12)$ |
| C15B-C16B-H16B | 119.6 | C11B-C16B-H16B | 119.6 |

Table 6. Torsion angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for UM2037.

| C1A-O1A-C2A-O2A | $3.90(16)$ | C1A-O1A-C2A-C3A | $-175.25(9)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O2A-C2A-C3A-N1A | $177.79(11)$ | O1A-C2A-C3A-N1A | $-3.06(13)$ |
| O2A-C2A-C3A-C4A | $-4.61(19)$ | O1A-C2A-C3A-C4A | $174.54(10)$ |
| C4A-C3A-N1A-N2A | $12 .(3)$ | C2A-C3A-N1A-N2A | $-170 .(3)$ |
| N1A-C3A-C4A-O4A | $-2.11(15)$ | C2A-C3A-C4A-O4A | $-179.75(11)$ |
| N1A-C3A-C4A-C5A | $176.05(9)$ | C2A-C3A-C4A-C5A | $-1.59(17)$ |
| O4A-C4A-C5A-C6A | $-19.82(15)$ | C3A-C4A-C5A-C6A | $162.07(9)$ |
| C4A-C5A-C6A-O6A | $-71.96(11)$ | C4A-C5A-C6A-C7A | $167.48(9)$ |
| C5A-C6A-O6A-C10A | $177.01(8)$ | C7A-C6A-O6A-C10A | $-62.44(10)$ |
| O6A-C6A-C7A-C8A | $50.48(12)$ | C5A-C6A-C7A-C8A | $168.39(9)$ |
| C6A-C7A-C8A-O8A | $139.04(11)$ | C6A-C7A-C8A-C9A | $-42.81(13)$ |
| O8A-C8A-C9A-C10A | $-137.66(11)$ | C7A-C8A-C9A-C10A | $44.21(12)$ |
| C6A-O6A-C10A-C11A | $-65.45(11)$ | C6A-O6A-C10A-C9A | $62.98(10)$ |
| C8A-C9A-C10A-O6A | $-52.47(12)$ | C8A-C9A-C10A-C11A | $73.63(11)$ |
| O6A-C10A-C11A-C12A | $130.79(10)$ | C9A-C10A-C11A-C12A | $5.55(14)$ |
| O6A-C10A-C11A-C16A | $-50.84(13)$ | C9A-C10A-C11A-C16A | $-176.09(9)$ |
| C16A-C11A-C12A-C13A | $-0.69(16)$ | C10A-C11A-C12A-C13A | $177.67(10)$ |
| C11A-C12A-C13A-C14A | $0.86(17)$ | C12A-C13A-C14A-C15A | $-0.38(18)$ |
| C13A-C14A-C15A-C16A | $-0.25(18)$ | C14A-C15A-C16A-C11A | $0.42(18)$ |
| C12A-C11A-C16A-C15A | $0.06(16)$ | C10A-C11A-C16A-C15A | $-178.39(10)$ |
| C1B-O1B-C2B-O2B | $1.79(18)$ | C9B-C10B-C11B-C12B | $10.96(15)$ |
| O2B-C2B-C3B-N1B | $-178.43(11)$ | C9B-C10B-C11B-C16B | $-173.03(10)$ |
| O2B-C2B-C3B-C4B | $-1.0(2)$ | O1B-C2B-C3B-N1B | $-178.84(11)$ |
| C2B-C3B-N1B-N2B | $164 .(3)$ | O1B-C2B-C3B-C4B | $2.21(14)$ |
| N1B-C3B-C4B-O4B | $-7.14(15)$ | C4B-C3B-N1B-N2B | $179.61(11)$ |
| N1B-C3B-C4B-C5B | $170.20(10)$ | $-14.82(16)$ | C2B-C3B-C4B-O4B |


| C16B-C11B-C12B-C13B | $-1.20(17)$ | C10B-C11B-C12B-C13B | $174.80(10)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C11B-C12B-C13B-C14B | $0.63(18)$ | C12B-C13B-C14B-C15B | $0.37(18)$ |
| C13B-C14B-C15B-C16B | $-0.78(19)$ | C14B-C15B-C16B-C11B | $0.19(19)$ |
| C12B-C11B-C16B-C15B | $0.79(18)$ | C10B-C11B-C16B-C15B | $-175.43(11)$ |

Table 7. Anisotropic atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ for UM2037.
The anisotropic atomic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+\ldots+2 h k a^{*} b^{*} U_{12}\right]$

|  | $\mathrm{U}_{11}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{22}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{33}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{23}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1A | $0.0269(6)$ | $0.0205(5)$ | 0.0303(6) | 0.0055(5) | $0.0025(5)$ | -0.0024(5) |
| O1A | 0.0233(4) | 0.0217(4) | 0.0230(4) | 0.0042(3) | 0.0019(3) | -0.0010(3) |
| C2A | 0.0183(5) | 0.0211(5) | 0.0211(5) | 0.0010(4) | 0.0060(4) | 0.0042(4) |
| O2A | 0.0294(4) | 0.0260(4) | 0.0205(4) | 0.0057(3) | 0.0036(3) | -0.0017(3) |
| C3A | 0.0183(5) | $0.0236(5)$ | $0.0156(5)$ | 0.0033(4) | 0.0048(4) | 0.0050(4) |
| N1A | 0.0169(4) | 0.0214(4) | 0.0230(5) | 0.0033(4) | 0.0063(4) | 0.0037(4) |
| N2A | 0.0273(5) | 0.0344(6) | 0.0235(5) | 0.0068(4) | 0.0026(4) | 0.0057(4) |
| C4A | $0.0162(5)$ | 0.0213(5) | 0.0205(5) | 0.0021(4) | 0.0079(4) | 0.0059(4) |
| O4A | 0.0217(4) | 0.0214(4) | 0.0229(4) | 0.0055(3) | 0.0086(3) | 0.0047(3) |
| C5A | 0.0191(5) | 0.0251(5) | 0.0189(5) | 0.0030(4) | 0.0068(4) | 0.0003(4) |
| C6A | $0.0179(5)$ | $0.0188(5)$ | $0.0186(5)$ | 0.0027(4) | 0.0074(4) | 0.0036(4) |
| O6A | 0.0177(4) | 0.0160(3) | 0.0217(4) | 0.0011(3) | 0.0082(3) | 0.0016(3) |
| C7A | 0.0198(5) | $0.0235(5)$ | 0.0191(5) | 0.0024(4) | 0.0073(4) | 0.0031(4) |
| C8A | 0.0230(5) | 0.0220(5) | 0.0184(5) | 0.0049(4) | 0.0055(4) | 0.0032(4) |
| 08A | 0.0301(5) | 0.0330(5) | 0.0264(4) | -0.0065(4) | 0.0086(4) | -0.0019(4) |
| C9A | 0.0182(5) | $0.0225(5)$ | $0.0227(5)$ | 0.0038(4) | $0.0055(4)$ | 0.0027(4) |
| C10A | 0.0163(5) | 0.0181(5) | 0.0223(5) | 0.0027(4) | 0.0077(4) | 0.0025(4) |
| C11A | 0.0153(5) | 0.0193(5) | 0.0261(6) | $0.0046(4)$ | 0.0104(4) | 0.0032(4) |
| C12A | 0.0208(5) | 0.0217(5) | 0.0302(6) | 0.0014(5) | 0.0119(5) | 0.0026(4) |
| C13A | 0.0253(6) | 0.0182(5) | 0.0487(8) | 0.0035(5) | 0.0195(6) | 0.0033(4) |
| C14A | $0.0245(6)$ | $0.0255(6)$ | 0.0502(8) | 0.0188(6) | 0.0196(6) | 0.0089(5) |
| C15A | 0.0237(6) | 0.0354(7) | 0.0324(7) | $0.0149(5)$ | $0.0095(5)$ | 0.0053(5) |
| C16A | 0.0219(5) | 0.0241(6) | 0.0272(6) | 0.0052(5) | 0.0084(5) | 0.0010(4) |
| C1B | $0.0286(7)$ | 0.0457(8) | 0.0394(8) | $0.0176(6)$ | 0.0002(6) | -0.0136(6) |
| O1B | 0.0231(4) | 0.0322(5) | 0.0277(4) | 0.0099(4) | 0.0005(3) | -0.0052(3) |
| C2B | 0.0220(5) | 0.0203(5) | $0.0226(6)$ | 0.0011(4) | 0.0045(4) | 0.0018(4) |
| O2B | $0.0246(4)$ | 0.0273(4) | 0.0262(4) | 0.0101(3) | 0.0036(3) | 0.0016(3) |
| C3B | $0.0214(5)$ | $0.0228(5)$ | $0.0169(5)$ | $0.0036(4)$ | $0.0036(4)$ | 0.0057(4) |
| N1B | 0.0202(5) | 0.0277(5) | 0.0221(5) | $0.0036(4)$ | 0.0077(4) | 0.0033(4) |
| N2B | 0.0253(5) | 0.0512(7) | 0.0253(6) | 0.0125(5) | 0.0064(4) | $0.0075(5)$ |
| C4B | 0.0221 (5) | 0.0208(5) | 0.0186(5) | 0.0002(4) | 0.0073(4) | 0.0049(4) |
| O4B | 0.0253(4) | 0.0290(4) | 0.0223(4) | 0.0075(3) | 0.0083(3) | 0.0033(3) |
| C5B | $0.0219(5)$ | 0.0217(5) | $0.0228(6)$ | 0.0047(4) | 0.0043(4) | 0.0027(4) |
| C6B | 0.0200(5) | 0.0197(5) | 0.0184(5) | 0.0021(4) | 0.0061(4) | 0.0039(4) |


|  | $\mathbf{U}_{11}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{22}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{33}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{23}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{13}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O6B | $0.0196(4)$ | $0.0206(4)$ | $0.0230(4)$ | $0.0009(3)$ | $0.0095(3)$ | $0.0035(3)$ |
| C7B | $0.0225(5)$ | $0.0205(5)$ | $0.0203(5)$ | $0.0029(4)$ | $0.0057(4)$ | $0.0046(4)$ |
| C8B | $0.0236(5)$ | $0.0243(5)$ | $0.0170(5)$ | $0.0066(4)$ | $0.0063(4)$ | $0.0047(4)$ |
| O8B | $0.0219(4)$ | $0.0305(4)$ | $0.0323(5)$ | $0.0070(4)$ | $0.0067(4)$ | $0.0065(3)$ |
| C9B | $0.0255(6)$ | $0.0240(5)$ | $0.0187(5)$ | $0.0010(4)$ | $0.0052(4)$ | $0.0023(4)$ |
| C10B | $0.0221(5)$ | $0.0199(5)$ | $0.0213(5)$ | $0.0003(4)$ | $0.0083(4)$ | $0.0034(4)$ |
| C11B | $0.0248(5)$ | $0.0163(5)$ | $0.0216(5)$ | $-0.0002(4)$ | $0.0082(4)$ | $0.0026(4)$ |
| C12B | $0.0237(6)$ | $0.0230(5)$ | $0.0253(6)$ | $-0.0015(4)$ | $0.0073(5)$ | $0.0008(4)$ |
| C13B | $0.0300(6)$ | $0.0255(6)$ | $0.0318(6)$ | $-0.0046(5)$ | $0.0154(5)$ | $-0.0057(5)$ |
| C14B | $0.0456(7)$ | $0.0215(6)$ | $0.0255(6)$ | $0.0005(5)$ | $0.0158(6)$ | $-0.0043(5)$ |
| C15B | $0.0390(7)$ | $0.0284(6)$ | $0.0275(6)$ | $0.0076(5)$ | $0.0063(5)$ | $0.0045(5)$ |
| C16B | $0.0266(6)$ | $0.0277(6)$ | $0.0313(6)$ | $0.0069(5)$ | $0.0092(5)$ | $0.0054(5)$ |

Table 8. Hydrogen atomic coordinates and isotropic atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ for UM2037.

|  | x/a | y/b | z/c | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H1A1 | -0.3054 | -0.1403 | -0.0963 | 0.035(2) |
| H1A2 | -0.4407 | -0.1174 | -0.1709 | 0.035(2) |
| H1A3 | -0.3877 | -0.0600 | -0.0536 | 0.035(2) |
| H5A1 | 0.0319 | 0.2174 | 0.0661 | 0.030 (3) |
| H5A2 | -0.0417 | 0.3207 | 0.0873 | 0.030(3) |
| H6A | 0.1352 | 0.4679 | 0.0584 | 0.014(3) |
| H7A1 | 0.1341 | 0.4480 | 0.2313 | 0.026(2) |
| H7A2 | 0.1826 | 0.3216 | 0.2365 | 0.026(2) |
| H9A1 | 0.4398 | 0.3501 | 0.2213 | 0.030(4) |
| H9A2 | 0.4997 | 0.4886 | 0.2131 | 0.027(3) |
| H10A | 0.4163 | 0.3714 | 0.0486 | 0.017(3) |
| H12A | 0.4465 | 0.6519 | 0.1460 | 0.026(3) |
| H13A | 0.4390 | 0.8275 | 0.0533 | 0.036(4) |
| H14A | 0.3379 | 0.8147 | -0.1262 | 0.037(4) |
| H15A | 0.2463 | 0.6253 | -0.2139 | 0.037(4) |
| H16A | 0.2570 | 0.4500 | -0.1222 | 0.030(4) |
| H1B1 | 0.6130 | 1.2649 | 0.3767 | 0.055(3) |
| H1B2 | 0.6974 | 1.3186 | 0.4914 | 0.055(3) |
| H1B3 | 0.5688 | 1.3677 | 0.4331 | 0.055(3) |
| H5B1 | 0.1522 | 1.0732 | 0.3117 | 0.033(3) |
| H5B2 | 0.1026 | 1.1447 | 0.3905 | $0.033(3)$ |
| H6B | -0.0424 | 0.9538 | 0.3974 | 0.018(3) |
| H7B1 | -0.1397 | 1.1024 | 0.3024 | 0.026(2) |
| H7B2 | -0.0793 | 1.0843 | 0.2099 | 0.026(2) |
| H9B1 | -0.1844 | 0.8504 | 0.1023 | 0.036(4) |
| H9B2 | -0.2929 | 0.7757 | 0.1464 | 0.027(3) |
| H10B | -0.0842 | 0.7196 | 0.2106 | 0.018(3) |


|  | $\mathbf{x} / \mathbf{a}$ |  | $\mathbf{y} / \mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{z} / \mathbf{c}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H12B | -0.3405 | 0.7272 | 0.2861 | $0.028(3)$ |
| H13B | -0.3943 | 0.6235 | 0.4223 | $0.035(4)$ |
| H14B | -0.2341 | 0.5631 | 0.5578 | $0.039(4)$ |
| H15B | -0.0189 | 0.6084 | 0.5577 | $0.042(4)$ |
| H16B | 0.0346 | 0.7096 | 0.4210 | $0.034(4)$ |

Table 9: Data collection details for UM2037.

| Axis | $\mathbf{d x} / \mathbf{m m}$ | $\mathbf{2 \theta} /{ }^{\circ}$ | $\boldsymbol{\omega} /{ }^{\circ}$ | $\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{\circ}$ | $\boldsymbol{\chi}^{\circ}$ | Width $/{ }^{\circ}$ | Frames | Time $/ \mathbf{s}$ | Wavelength $/ \AA$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Omega | 50.039 | -31.50 | -31.50 | 0.00 | 54.71 | 0.50 | 366 | 24.00 | 0.71073 |
| Omega | 50.039 | -31.50 | -31.50 | 120.00 | 54.71 | 0.50 | 366 | 24.00 | 0.71073 |
| Omega | 50.039 | -31.50 | -31.50 | 240.00 | 54.71 | 0.50 | 366 | 24.00 | 0.71073 |
| Phi | 50.039 | -31.50 | -211.50 | 0.00 | 54.71 | 0.50 | 720 | 24.00 | 0.71073 |

Compound name : Major product syn-193(a)
Chemical formula : $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}[\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})] \quad: 3.59 \%$


Figure 1. A view of UM\#1906 showing the anisotropic atomic displacement ellipsoids for the non-hydrogen atoms are shown at the $30 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms are displayed with an arbitrarily small radius.

Crystals were obtained by dissolving 10 mg of white solid $\boldsymbol{s y n}$-193(a) with minimum amount of hexane:ether (10:1). A colorless needle of $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, approximate dimensions $0.05 \times 0.095 \times 0.53 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$, was used for the X-ray crystallographic analysis. The X-ray intensity data were measured at $120(2){ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ on a three-circle diffractometer system equipped with Bruker Smart Apex II CCD area detector using a graphite monochromator
and a MoK $\alpha$ fine-focus sealed tube $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$. The detector was placed at a distance of 5.0000 cm from the crystal.

A total of 1830 frames was collected with a scan width of $-0.3^{\circ}$ an exposure time of $60 \mathrm{sec} /$ frame using Apex2 (Bruker, 2005). The total data collection time was 33.5 hours. The frames were integrated with Apex2 software package using a narrow-frame integration algorithm. The integration of the data using a Triclinic unit cell yielded a total of 6300 reflections to a maximum $\theta$ angle of $27.50^{\circ}$, of which 6300 were independent $\left(\right.$ completeness $\left.=99.7 \%, \mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.00 \%, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sig }}=2.54 \%\right)$ and 5367 were greater than $2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$. The final cell dimensions of $a=10.3115(11) \AA, b=11.0094(12) \AA, c=13.2743(14) \AA, \alpha$ $=89.6028(14)^{\circ}, \beta=67.7337(13)^{\circ}, \lambda=80.3302(14)^{\circ}, V=1372.1(3) \AA^{3}$, are based upon the refinement of the XYZ-centroids of 8296 reflections with $2.2<\theta<28.3^{\circ}$ using Apex2 software. Analysis of the data showed $0 \%$ decay during data collection. Data were corrected for absorption effects with the Semi-empirical from equivalents method using SADABS (Sheldrick, 1996). The minimum and maximum transmission coefficients were 0.945 and 0.995 .

The structure was solved and refined using the SHELXS-97 (Sheldrick, 1990) and SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick, 1997) software in the space group $P-1$ with $Z=4$ for the formula unit $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. The final anisotropic full-matrix least-squares refinement on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ with 498 variables converged at $\mathrm{R}_{1}=3.59 \%$ for the observed data and $w \mathrm{R}_{2}=7.79 \%$ for all data. The goodness-of-fit was 1.000 . The largest peak on the final difference map was $0.354 \mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}$ and the largest hole was $-0.227 \mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}$. On the basis of the final model, the calculated density was $1.396 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{F}(000), 608 \overline{\mathrm{e}}$.

## Comments:

- Data quality: very good
- Twinning: non-merohedral twinning in about 1:1 ratio by 180 deg. rotation around 001 axis in real space
- Disorder: none
- H-atoms: all refined
- Residual density: in the middle of the bonds
- Structure quality: very good
- Strong data set, no disorder, $\mathrm{R}_{1} 4 \%$ maximum. Publishable quality.


Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for UM\#1906.

| X-ray lab book No. | 1906 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crystal ID | Doyle/DeanaJaber Diazo Decomp-Major product @ |  |
| 120K |  |  |
| Empirical formula | C16 H16 O5 |  |
| Formula weight | 288.29 |  |
| Temperature | $120(2) \mathrm{K}$ |  |
| Wavelength | $0.71073 \AA$ |  |
| Crystal size | $0.53 \times 0.095 \times 0.05 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |  |
| Crystal habit | colorless needle |  |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |  |


| Space group <br> Unit cell dimensions | P-1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $a=10.3115(11) \AA$ | $\alpha=89.6028(14)^{\circ}$ |  |
|  | $b=11.0094(12) \AA$ | $\beta=67.7337(13)^{\circ}$ |  |
|  | $c=13.2743(14) \AA$ | $\gamma=80.3302(14)^{\circ}$ |  |
| Volume | $1372.1(3) \AA^{3}$ |  |  |
| Z | 4 |  |  |
| Density, $\rho_{\text {calc }}$ | $1.396 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |  |  |
| Absorption coefficient, $\mu$ | $0.104 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |  |  |
| F(000) | 608 è |  |  |
| Diffractometer | Bruker Smart Apex II CCD area detector |  |  |
| Radiation source | fine-focus sealed tube, $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha$ |  |  |
| Detector distance | 5.000 cm |  |  |
| Data collection method | $\omega$ scans |  |  |
| Total frames | 1830 |  |  |
| Frame size | 1024 pixels |  |  |
| Frame width | -0.3 ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| Exposure per frame | 60 sec |  |  |
| Total measurement time | 33.5 hours |  |  |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 1.88 to $27.50^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| Index ranges | $-12 \leq h \leq 13,-14 \leq k \leq 14,0 \leq l \leq 17$ |  |  |
| Reflections collected | 6300 |  |  |
| Independent reflections | 6300 |  |  |
| Observed reflection, $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma$ (I) | 5367 |  |  |
| Coverage of independent reflections | 99.7 \% |  |  |
| Variation in check reflections | 0 \% |  |  |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical fro SADABS (Sheldri | quivalents <br> 996) |  |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.995 and 0.945 |  |  |
| Structure solution technique | direct |  |  |
| Structure solution program | SHELXS-97 (Sheld | , 1990) |  |
| Refinement technique | Full-matrix least-sq | on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |  |
| Refinement program | SHELXL-97 (Shel | , 1997) |  |
| Function minimized | $\mathrm{Nw}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}$ |  |  |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 6300 / 0 / 498 |  |  |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.000 |  |  |
| $\Delta / \sigma_{\text {max }}$ | 0.000 |  |  |
| Final R indices: $\quad \mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ | 0.0359 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{wR}_{2}$, all data | 0.0779 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0000 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {sig }}$ | 0.0254 |  |  |
| Weighting scheme | $\mathrm{w}=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0\right.$ | $\left.)^{2}+0.562 \mathrm{P}\right], \mathrm{P}=$ | $\mathrm{ax}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}, 0\right)+$ |
| $\left.2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right] / 3$ |  |  |  |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.354 | and | $-0.227 \overline{\mathrm{e}} / \AA^{3}$ |

Table 2. Atomic coordinates and equivalent ${ }^{*}$ isotropic atomic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ ) for UM\#1906.

|  | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ | $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atom |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| C 1 A | $0.22635(16)$ | $0.32619(14)$ | $0.25043(12)$ | $0.0206(3)$ |
| C 2 A | $0.10385(16)$ | $0.32845(15)$ | $0.22948(13)$ | $0.0231(3)$ |


| C3A | $0.05685(16)$ | 0.42586(16) | 0.17739(13) | 0.0248(3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C4A | $0.13135(17)$ | 0.52299(15) | 0.14907(13) | 0.0256(3) |
| C5A | 0.25254(16) | 0.52239(14) | 0.17139(13) | 0.0212(3) |
| C6A | 0.30221(15) | 0.42319 (13) | 0.22102(12) | 0.0176(3) |
| C7A | 0.44285(15) | 0.41846(13) | 0.23378(12) | 0.0171(3) |
| C8A | 0.55910(16) | 0.33459(15) | 0.13711(13) | 0.0213(3) |
| C9A | 0.70306(16) | 0.30808(15) | 0.14566(12) | 0.0218(3) |
| 09A | 0.79052(12) | 0.37467(12) | 0.10687(10) | 0.0323(3) |
| C10A | 0.73173(17) | 0.19566(15) | 0.20587(14) | 0.0235(3) |
| C11A | $0.63514(16)$ | 0.21148(14) | 0.32752(13) | 0.0221(3) |
| O11A | 0.48554(10) | $0.24223(9)$ | $0.34222(9)$ | 0.0196(2) |
| C12A | 0.65908(17) | 0.31795(15) | $0.38644(14)$ | 0.0235(3) |
| C13A | 0.55991(16) | 0.42593(14) | 0.37052(12) | 0.0190(3) |
| O13A | 0.56593(12) | 0.53390(10) | $0.37454(9)$ | 0.0239(2) |
| C14A | 0.44513(15) | 0.37263(12) | 0.34424(12) | 0.0172(3) |
| C15A | 0.30217(15) | 0.41986(13) | 0.43701(12) | 0.0186(3) |
| O15A | 0.24458(12) | 0.52596(10) | $0.44725(9)$ | 0.0255(2) |
| O16A | 0.25329(12) | 0.33268(10) | $0.50378(9)$ | 0.0235(2) |
| C16A | 0.1223(2) | 0.37689(18) | 0.59645(16) | 0.0351(4) |
| C1B | 0.75571(16) | 0.17931(14) | 0.59334(13) | 0.0189(3) |
| C2B | 0.87838(16) | 0.17725(14) | 0.50000(13) | 0.0221(3) |
| C3B | 0.92517(16) | 0.07956(15) | 0.42193(13) | 0.0227(3) |
| C4B | $0.84949(17)$ | -0.01639(15) | $0.43792(13)$ | 0.0236(3) |
| C5B | $0.72800(16)$ | -0.01621(14) | $0.53176(12)$ | 0.0200(3) |
| C6B | 0.67989(15) | $0.08222(13)$ | 0.61008(12) | 0.0169(3) |
| C7B | 0.54159(15) | 0.08455(13) | 0.70790(12) | 0.0165(3) |
| C8B | 0.41969(16) | 0.16512(15) | 0.68290(13) | 0.0209(3) |
| C9B | 0.28052(16) | 0.19378(15) | 0.78089(13) | 0.0221(3) |
| O9B | 0.19379(12) | 0.12532(11) | 0.80412(10) | 0.0312(3) |
| C10B | $0.25798(17)$ | 0.30945(15) | $0.85096(14)$ | 0.0236(3) |
| C11B | 0.35803(15) | 0.29395(14) | $0.91300(13)$ | 0.0203(3) |
| O11B | $0.50630(10)$ | $0.26108(9)$ | 0.83760 (9) | 0.0186(2) |
| C12B | $0.33458(17)$ | 0.18785(14) | 0.98812(13) | 0.0213(3) |
| C13B | 0.42953(14) | 0.07910(13) | $0.91426(11)$ | 0.0163(3) |
| O13B | 0.42212(11) | -0.02891(9) | $0.92336(9)$ | 0.0203(2) |
| C14B | 0.54361(14) | $0.13076(13)$ | 0.81785(11) | 0.0157(3) |
| C15B | $0.68689(14)$ | 0.08060(13) | $0.82595(11)$ | 0.0162(3) |
| O15B | $0.74416(11)$ | -0.02539(9) | $0.80080(9)$ | 0.0209(2) |
| O16B | 0.73513(11) | $0.16505(9)$ | 0.86709(9) | 0.0210(2) |
| C16B | 0.86695(17) | 0.11881(17) | $0.88156(15)$ | 0.0278(4) |

${ }^{*} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.
Table 3. Anisotropic atomic displacement parameters ${ }^{*}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ for UM\#1906.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Atom | $\mathrm{U}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{23}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C 1 A | $0.0239(8)$ | $0.0183(7)$ | $0.0202(7)$ | $-0.0005(6)$ | $-0.0089(6)$ | $-0.0041(6)$ |
| C2A | $0.0197(7)$ | $0.0237(8)$ | $0.0242(8)$ | $-0.0041(6)$ | $-0.0053(6)$ | $-0.0064(6)$ |
| C3A | $0.0168(7)$ | $0.0329(9)$ | $0.0227(8)$ | $-0.0054(7)$ | $-0.0075(6)$ | $0.0007(6)$ |
| C4A | $0.0249(8)$ | $0.0273(8)$ | $0.0234(8)$ | $0.0021(7)$ | $-0.0101(6)$ | $0.0008(6)$ |
| C5A | $0.0231(7)$ | $0.0189(7)$ | $0.0210(7)$ | $0.0027(6)$ | $-0.0076(6)$ | $-0.0038(6)$ |


| C6A | 0.0185(7) | 0.0184(7) | 0.0154(7) | -0.0026(5) | -0.0067(6) | -0.0015(5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C7A | 0.0205(7) | 0.0153(7) | 0.0173(7) | 0.0012(5) | -0.0082(6) | -0.0056(5) |
| C8A | 0.0210(7) | $0.0256(8)$ | 0.0187(7) | -0.0018(6) | -0.0088(6) | -0.0046(6) |
| C9A | 0.0207(7) | 0.0264(8) | 0.0169(7) | -0.0056(6) | -0.0054(6) | -0.0046(6) |
| 09A | 0.0274(6) | 0.0427(7) | 0.0315(6) | 0.0075(5) | -0.0123(5) | -0.0162(5) |
| C10A | 0.0195(7) | 0.0223(8) | 0.0283(8) | -0.0043(7) | -0.0098(6) | -0.0010(6) |
| C11A | 0.0223(7) | 0.0178(7) | 0.0276(8) | 0.0027(6) | -0.0118(6) | -0.0018(6) |
| O11A | 0.0200(5) | 0.0128(5) | 0.0254(5) | 0.0010(4) | -0.0082(4) | -0.0020(4) |
| C12A | 0.0254(8) | 0.0243(8) | 0.0245(8) | 0.0010(6) | -0.0138(7) | -0.0037(6) |
| C13A | 0.0240(7) | 0.0204(7) | 0.0142(7) | 0.0007(5) | -0.0084(6) | -0.0057(6) |
| O13A | 0.0332(6) | 0.0186(5) | 0.0244(6) | 0.0005(4) | -0.0141(5) | -0.0091(4) |
| C14A | 0.0222(7) | 0.0111(6) | 0.0195(7) | 0.0008(5) | -0.0090(6) | -0.0037(5) |
| C15A | 0.0233(7) | 0.0173(7) | 0.0168(7) | -0.0006(5) | -0.0091(6) | -0.0047(6) |
| O15A | 0.0306(6) | 0.0167(5) | 0.0252(6) | -0.0008(4) | -0.0080(5) | -0.0001(4) |
| O16A | 0.0263(6) | 0.0178(5) | 0.0201(5) | 0.0006(4) | -0.0018(4) | -0.0039(4) |
| C16A | 0.0352(10) | 0.0267(9) | 0.0283(9) | -0.0001(7) | 0.0044(8) | -0.0049(8) |
| C1B | 0.0206(7) | 0.0159(7) | 0.0200(7) | 0.0009(6) | -0.0082(6) | -0.0013(5) |
| C2B | 0.0211(7) | 0.0200(7) | 0.0256(8) | 0.0077(6) | -0.0093(6) | -0.0042(6) |
| C3B | 0.0181(7) | 0.0249(8) | 0.0201(7) | 0.0052(6) | -0.0041(6) | 0.0016(6) |
| C4B | 0.0269(8) | 0.0212(8) | 0.0192(7) | -0.0014(6) | -0.0076(6) | 0.0021(6) |
| C5B | 0.0234(7) | $0.0171(7)$ | 0.0201(7) | 0.0017(6) | -0.0096(6) | -0.0026(6) |
| C6B | 0.0186(7) | 0.0166(7) | 0.0157(7) | 0.0025(5) | -0.0075(5) | -0.0017(5) |
| C7B | 0.0176(7) | 0.0150(7) | 0.0170(7) | 0.0017(5) | -0.0063(6) | -0.0043(5) |
| C8B | 0.0220(7) | 0.0233(8) | 0.0192(7) | 0.0023(6) | -0.0105(6) | -0.0030(6) |
| C9B | 0.0185(7) | 0.0262(8) | 0.0240(8) | 0.0033(6) | -0.0120(6) | -0.0011(6) |
| O9B | 0.0229(6) | $0.0367(7)$ | 0.0369(7) | $0.0015(5)$ | -0.0125(5) | -0.0104(5) |
| C10B | 0.0191(7) | 0.0216(8) | 0.0269(8) | $0.0021(7)$ | -0.0070(6) | 0.0001(6) |
| C11B | 0.0183(7) | 0.0172(7) | 0.0224(7) | -0.0030(6) | -0.0052(6) | -0.0016(5) |
| O11B | 0.0166(5) | 0.0137(5) | 0.0236(5) | -0.0008(4) | -0.0056(4) | -0.0021(4) |
| C12B | 0.0208(7) | 0.0222(8) | 0.0183(7) | -0.0018(6) | -0.0054(6) | -0.0015(6) |
| C13B | 0.0166(6) | 0.0202(7) | 0.0148(7) | 0.0009(5) | -0.0085(5) | -0.0044(5) |
| O13B | 0.0233(5) | $0.0189(5)$ | 0.0204(5) | 0.0030(4) | -0.0086(4) | -0.0075(4) |
| C14B | 0.0168(7) | 0.0136(6) | 0.0167(7) | $0.0001(5)$ | -0.0061(5) | -0.0031(5) |
| C15B | 0.0174(7) | $0.0176(7)$ | 0.0127(6) | 0.0016(5) | -0.0038(5) | -0.0051(5) |
| O15B | 0.0212(5) | 0.0183(5) | 0.0212(5) | 0.0001(4) | -0.0071(4) | -0.0002(4) |
| O16B | 0.0187(5) | 0.0213(5) | 0.0253(6) | -0.0009(4) | -0.0102(4) | -0.0053(4) |
| C16B | 0.0197(8) | 0.0337(9) | 0.0329(9) | -0.0028(8) | -0.0135(7) | -0.0045(7) |

*The anisotropic atomic displacement factor exponent takes the form:-2 $2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+\ldots+2 h k a * b^{*} U_{12}\right]$

Table 4. Hydrogen atom coordinates and isotropic atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ for UM\#1906.

| $\overline{\text { Atom }}$ | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ | $\mathrm{U}_{\text {iso }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| H1A | $0.2612(19)$ | $0.2561(17)$ | $0.2840(15)$ | $0.026(5)$ |
| H2A | $0.0540(19)$ | $0.2605(16)$ | $0.2497(15)$ | $0.027(5)$ |
| H3A | $-0.027(2)$ | $0.4264(17)$ | $0.1620(15)$ | $0.030(5)$ |
| H4A | $0.100(2)$ | $0.5921(18)$ | $0.1124(16)$ | $0.032(5)$ |
| H5A | $0.3014(18)$ | $0.5917(16)$ | $0.1536(14)$ | $0.024(4)$ |
| H7A | $0.4636(16)$ | $0.5008(15)$ | $0.2304(13)$ | $0.014(4)$ |
| H8A | $0.5252(18)$ | $0.2558(16)$ | $0.1366(15)$ | $0.025(3)$ |
| H8B | $0.5689(18)$ | $0.3734(16)$ | $0.0710(15)$ | $0.025(3)$ |


| H10A | $0.8335(19)$ | $0.1817(16)$ | $0.1959(14)$ | $0.025(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H10B | $0.7126(18)$ | $0.1247(17)$ | $0.1729(15)$ | $0.025(3)$ |
| H11A | $0.6451(18)$ | $0.1326(16)$ | $0.3624(15)$ | $0.025(4)$ |
| H12A | $0.625(2)$ | $0.3082(17)$ | $0.4661(16)$ | $0.032(4)$ |
| H12B | $0.757(2)$ | $0.3350(17)$ | $0.3586(15)$ | $0.032(4)$ |
| H16A | $0.136(2)$ | $0.440(2)$ | $0.6374(17)$ | $0.040(3)$ |
| H16B | $0.099(2)$ | $0.305(2)$ | $0.6394(17)$ | $0.040(3)$ |
| H16C | $0.048(2)$ | $0.4117(19)$ | $0.5728(17)$ | $0.040(3)$ |
| H1B | $0.7235(18)$ | $0.2463(16)$ | $0.6444(14)$ | $0.021(4)$ |
| H2B | $0.9319(19)$ | $0.2444(17)$ | $0.4890(15)$ | $0.029(5)$ |
| H3B | $1.0141(19)$ | $0.0769(16)$ | $0.3563(15)$ | $0.025(5)$ |
| H4B | $0.8782(19)$ | $-0.0849(17)$ | $0.3842(15)$ | $0.027(5)$ |
| H5B | $0.6777(19)$ | $-0.0857(17)$ | $0.5412(15)$ | $0.029(5)$ |
| H7B | $0.5236(17)$ | $0.0018(15)$ | $0.7187(13)$ | $0.016(4)$ |
| H8C | $0.4046(19)$ | $0.1212(17)$ | $0.6253(15)$ | $0.028(3)$ |
| H8D | $0.4496(19)$ | $0.2411(17)$ | $0.6556(15)$ | $0.028(3)$ |
| H10C | $0.2769(19)$ | $0.3763(17)$ | $0.8047(15)$ | $0.025(3)$ |
| H10D | $0.1607(19)$ | $0.3261(16)$ | $0.9036(15)$ | $0.025(3)$ |
| H11B | $0.3524(18)$ | $0.3729(16)$ | $0.9486(14)$ | $0.022(4)$ |
| H12C | $0.2373(19)$ | $0.1752(16)$ | $1.0226(14)$ | $0.023(3)$ |
| H12D | $0.3718(18)$ | $0.1969(16)$ | $1.0446(15)$ | $0.023(3)$ |
| H16D | $0.941(2)$ | $0.0859(18)$ | $0.8126(18)$ | $0.040(3)$ |
| H16E | $0.892(2)$ | $0.1892(19)$ | $0.9091(17)$ | $0.040(3)$ |
| H16F | $0.850(2)$ | $0.0550(19)$ | $0.9367(17)$ | $0.040(3)$ |

Table 5. Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for UM\#1906.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C1A-C2A | $1.389(2)$ | C1A-C6A | $1.396(2)$ | C1A-H1A | $0.973(18)$ |
| C2A-C3A | $1.390(2)$ | C2A-H2A | $0.959(18)$ | C3A-C4A | $1.388(2)$ |
| C3A-H3A | $0.963(19)$ | C4A-C5A | $1.388(2)$ | C4A-H4A | $0.977(19)$ |
| C5A-C6A | $1.395(2)$ | C5A-H5A | $0.963(17)$ | C6A-C7A | $1.515(2)$ |
| C7A-C8A | $1.554(2)$ | C7A-C14A | $1.555(2)$ | C7A-H7A | $0.962(16)$ |
| C8A-C9A | $1.511(2)$ | C8A-H8A | $0.990(18)$ | C8A-H8B | $0.951(18)$ |
| C9A-O9A | $1.2170(19)$ | C9A-C10A | $1.517(2)$ | C10A-C11A | $1.535(2)$ |
| C10A-H10A | $0.991(18)$ | C10A-H10B | $0.981(19)$ | C11A-O11A | $1.4593(18)$ |
| C11A-C12A | $1.517(2)$ | C11A-H11A | $0.990(18)$ | O11A-C14A | $1.4235(16)$ |
| C12A-C13A | $1.502(2)$ | C12A-H12A | $0.99(2)$ | C12A-H12B | $0.982(19)$ |
| C13A-O13A | $1.2032(18)$ | C13A-C14A | $1.554(2)$ | C14A-C15A | $1.528(2)$ |
| C15A-O15A | $1.2015(18)$ | C15A-O16A | $1.3316(17)$ | O16A-C16A | $1.450(2)$ |
| C16A-H16A | $0.94(2)$ | C16A-H16B | $0.98(2)$ | C16A-H16C | $0.96(2)$ |
| C1B-C2B | $1.392(2)$ | C1B-C6B | $1.396(2)$ | C1B-H1B | $0.931(18)$ |
| C2B-C3B | $1.388(2)$ | C2B-H2B | $0.974(18)$ | C3B-C4B | $1.385(2)$ |
| C3B-H3B | $0.993(18)$ | C4B-C5B | $1.392(2)$ | C4B-H4B | $0.969(19)$ |
| C5B-C6B | $1.395(2)$ | C5B-H5B | $0.976(18)$ | C6B-C7B | $1.5183(19)$ |
| C7B-C8B | $1.554(2)$ | C7B-C14B | $1.558(2)$ | C7B-H7B | $0.959(16)$ |
| C8B-C9B | $1.513(2)$ | C8B-H8C | $0.98(2)$ | C8B-H8D | $0.961(19)$ |
| C9B-O9B | $1.2155(19)$ | C9B-C10B | $1.515(2)$ | C10B-C11B | $1.534(2)$ |
| C10B-H10C | $0.953(18)$ | C10B-H10D | $0.968(18)$ | C11B-O11B | $1.4594(17)$ |
| C11B-C12B | $1.521(2)$ | C11B-H11B | $0.975(18)$ | O11B-C14B | $1.4207(16)$ |
| C12B-C13B | $1.499(2)$ | C12B-H12C | $0.966(18)$ | C12B-H12D | $0.976(19)$ |
| C13B-O13B | $1.2067(17)$ | C13B-C14B | $1.5553(19)$ | C14B-C15B | $1.5313(19)$ |
| C15B-O15B | $1.2026(17)$ | C15B-O16B | $1.3336(17)$ | O16B-C16B | $1.4518(19)$ |
| C16B-H16D | $0.97(2)$ | C16B-H16E | $0.97(2)$ | C16B-H16F | $1.00(2)$ |
| C2A-C1A-C6A | $120.16(15)$ |  | C2A-C1A-H1A | $120.3(11)$ | C6A-C1A-H1A |

C1A-C2A-C3A 120.55(15)
C4A-C3A-C2A 119.35(15)
C3A-C4A-C5A 120.41(15)
C4A-C5A-C6A 120.39(14)
C5A-C6A-C1A 119.10(14)
C6A-C7A-C8A 107.42(12)
C6A-C7A-H7A 108.6(9)
C9A-C8A-C7A 113.75(12)
C9A-C8A-H8B 108.7(11)
O9A-C9A-C8A 121.31(15)
C9A-C10A-C11A111.75(13)
C9A-C10A-H10B107.6(11)
O11A-C11A-C12A104.56(12)
O11A-C11A-H11A105.8(10)
C14A-O11A-C11A109.51(11)
C11A-C12A-H12A111.2(11)
H12A-C12A-H12B110.5(15)
C12A-C13A-C14A106.90(12)
C15A-C14A-C13A106.84(12)
C13A-C14A-C7A109.60(11)
O16A-C15A-C14A112.96(12)
O16A-C16A-H16B106.2(12)
H16A-C16A-H16C107.5(18)
C2B-C1B-H1B 119.8(10)
C3B-C2B-H2B 119.5(11)
C4B-C3B-H3B 120.1(10)
C3B-C4B-H4B 121.4(11)
C4B-C5B-H5B 118.8(11)
C5B-C6B-C7B 119.03(13)
C6B-C7B-C14B 114.57(12)
C8B-C7B-H7B 109.6(10)
C9B-C8B-H8C 109.0(10)
C7B-C8B-H8D 108.5(11)
O9B-C9B-C10B 121.85(15)
C9B-C10B-H10C108.4(11)
C11B-C10B-H10D108.5(11)
O11B-C11B-C10B110.75(12)
C12B-C11B-H11B114.6(10)
C13B-C12B-C11B102.67(12)
C13B-C12B-H12D106.3(10)
O13B-C13B-C12B128.67(13)
O11B-C14B-C15B111.85(11)
O11B-C14B-C7B113.47(11)
O15B-C15B-O16B125.27(13)
C15B-O16B-C16B114.22(12)
H16D-C16B-H16E110.2(17)
H16E-C16B-H16F109.8(17)

| C1A-C2A-H2A | $118.8(11)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| C4A-C3A-H3A | $120.4(11)$ |
| C3A-C4A-H4A | $120.3(11)$ |
| C4A-C5A-H5A | $119.6(10)$ |
| C5A-C6A-C7A | $119.29(13)$ |
| C6A-C7A-C14A | $114.90(12)$ |
| C8A-C7A-H7A | $109.8(10)$ |
| C9A-C8A-H8A | $108.9(10)$ |
| C7A-C8A-H8B | $108.8(11)$ |
| O9A-C9A-C10A | $122.05(15)$ |
| C9A-C10A-H10A | $108.3(10)$ |
| C11A-C10A-H10B | $108.8(11)$ |
| O11A-C11A-C10A | $110.79(13)$ |
| C12A-C11A-H11AA | $112.4(11)$ |
| C13A-C12A-C11A | $102.82(12)$ |
| C13A-C12A-H12B | $109.8(11)$ |
| O13A-C13A-C12A | $128.28(14)$ |
| O11A-C14A-C15A | $111.72(11)$ |
| O11A-C14A-C7A | $113.17(12)$ |
| O15A-C15A-O16A | $125.26(14)$ |
| C15A-O16A-C16A | $114.05(12)$ |
| H16A-C16A-H16B | $111.4(18)$ |
| H16B-C16A-H16C | $111.3(17)$ |
| C6B-C1B-H1B | $119.9(10)$ |
| C1B-C2B-H2B | $120.2(11)$ |
| C2B-C3B-H3B | $120.3(10)$ |
| C5B-C4B-H4B | $117.9(11)$ |
| C6B-C5B-H5B | $121.1(11)$ |
| C1B-C6B-C7B | $121.72(13)$ |
| C8B-C7B-C14B | $110.65(12)$ |
| C14B-C7B-H7B | $105.0(10)$ |
| C7B-C8B-H8C | $108.3(11)$ |
| H8C-C8B-H8D | $108.1(15)$ |
| C8B-C9B-C10B | $116.41(13)$ |
| C11B-C10B-H10C | $109.3(11)$ |
| H10C-C10B-H10D | $110.3(15)$ |
| C12B-C11B-C10B | $112.21(13)$ |
| C10B-C11B-H11B | $109.7(10)$ |
| C13B-C12B-H12C | $112.1(11)$ |
| C11B-C12B-H12D | $110.9(10)$ |
| O13B-C13B-C14B | $124.43(13)$ |
| O11B-C14B-C13B | $104.85(11)$ |
| C15B-C14B-C7B | $110.72(11)$ |
| O15B-C15B-C14B | $121.94(13)$ |
| O16B-C16B-H16D | $110.3(12)$ |
| O16B-C16B-H16F | $108.9(12)$ |
|  |  |


| C3A-C2A-H2A | $120.7(11)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| C2A-C3A-H3A | $120.3(11)$ |
| C5A-C4A-H4A | $119.3(11)$ |
| C6A-C5A-H5A | $120.0(10)$ |
| C1A-C6A-C7A | $121.44(13)$ |
| C8A-C7A-C14A | $110.42(12)$ |
| C14A-C7A-H7A | $105.7(10)$ |
| C7A-C8A-H8A | $106.8(10)$ |
| H8A-C8A-H8B | $109.8(15)$ |
| C8A-C9A-C10A | $116.63(13)$ |
| C11A-C10A-H10A | $110.8(10)$ |
| H10A-C10A-H10B | $109.5(15)$ |
| C12A-C11A-C10A | $112.79(13)$ |
| C10A-C11A-H11A | $110.1(10)$ |
| C13A-C12A-H12A | $106.2(11)$ |
| C11A-C12A-H12B | $115.7(11)$ |
| O13A-C13A-C14A | $124.80(13)$ |
| O11A-C14A-C13A | $104.75(11)$ |
| C15A-C14A-C7A | $110.41(12)$ |
| O15A-C15A-C14A | $121.76(13)$ |
| O16A-C16A-H16A | $109.8(13)$ |
| O16A-C16A-H16C | $110.8(13)$ |
| C2B-C1B-C6B | $120.33(14)$ |
| C3B-C2B-C1B | $120.29(14)$ |
| C4B-C3B-C2B | $119.49(14)$ |
| C3B-C4B-C5B | $120.69(15)$ |
| C4B-C5B-C6B | $120.05(14)$ |
| C5B-C6B-C1B | $119.15(14)$ |
| C6B-C7B-C8B | $108.16(12)$ |
| C6B-C7B-H7B | $108.7(10)$ |
| C9B-C8B-C7B | $113.56(12)$ |
| C9B-C8B-H8D | $109.3(11)$ |
| O9B-C9B-C8B | $121.69(15)$ |
| C9B-C10B-C11B | $111.02(12)$ |
| C9B-C10B-H10D | $109.4(11)$ |
| O11B-C11B-C12B | $104.49(11)$ |
| O11B-C11B-H11B | $104.7(10)$ |
| C14B-O11B-C11B | $109.46(10)$ |
| C11B-C12B-H12C | $115.7(10)$ |
| H12C-C12B-H12D | $108.6(14)$ |
| C12B-C13B-C14B | $106.89(12)$ |
| C15B-C14B-C13B | $105.96(11)$ |
| C13B-C14B-C7B | $109.53(11)$ |
| O16B-C15B-C14B | $112.74(12)$ |
| O16B-C16B-H16E | $105.9(12)$ |
| H16D-C16B-H16F | $111.5(16)$ |
|  |  |

Table 6. Torsion angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ in UM\#1906 compared for molecules A and B.

| Angle | A | B |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| C6 - C1 - C2 - C3 | $1.2(2)$ | $1.0(2)$ |
| C1 - C2 - C3 - C4 | $-1.8(2)$ | $-0.5(2)$ |
| C2 - C3 - C4 - C5 | $0.7(2)$ | $-0.6(2)$ |
| C3 - C $4-$ C5 - C6 | $1.0(2)$ | $1.1(2)$ |
| C4 - C5 - C6 - C1 | $-1.6(2)$ | $-0.5(2)$ |
| C4 - C5 - C6 - C7 | $173.67(14)$ | $175.83(13)$ |
| C2 - C1 - C6 - C5 | $0.6(2)$ | $-0.5(2)$ |


| C2-C1-C6-C7 | -174.65(14) | -176.75(14) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C5-C6-C7-C8 | -96.87(16) | -95.75(15) |
| C1-C6-C7-C8 | 78.33(17) | 80.51(17) |
| C5-C6-C7- C14 | 139.84(14) | 140.34(14) |
| C1-C6-C7-C14 | -44.95(19) | -43.41(19) |
| C6-C7-C8-C9 | -173.00(13) | -169.83(13) |
| C14-C7-C8-C9 | -47.00(17) | -43.59(17) |
| C7-C8-C9-O9 | -90.04(18) | -88.13(18) |
| C7-C8-C9-C10 | 89.29(17) | 89.41(17) |
| O9-C9-C10-C11 | 113.11(17) | 107.94(17) |
| C8-C9-C10-C11 | -66.22(18) | -69.60(17) |
| C9-C10-C11-O11 | 54.44(17) | 56.28(17) |
| C9-C10-C11-C12 | -62.38(17) | -60.05(17) |
| C12-C11-O11-C14 | 33.33(15) | 33.45(15) |
| C10-C11-O11-C14 | -88.45(14) | -87.57(14) |
| O11-C11-C12-C13 | -32.55(15) | -32.87(15) |
| C10-C11-C12-C13 | 87.91(15) | 87.17(14) |
| C11-C12-C13-O13 | -157.51(16) | -157.40(15) |
| C11-C12-C13-C14 | 21.19(16) | 21.58(15) |
| C11-O11-C14-C15 | -134.58(12) | -133.57(12) |
| C11-O11-C14-C13 | -19.29(14) | -19.19(14) |
| C11-O11-C14-C7 | 100.05(14) | 100.30(13) |
| O13-C13-C14-O11 | 176.63(14) | 176.56(13) |
| C12-C13-C14-O11 | -2.13(15) | -2.48(14) |
| O13-C13-C14-C15 | -64.72(18) | -65.00(17) |
| C12-C13-C14-C15 | 116.52(13) | 115.97(12) |
| O13-C13-C14-C7 | 54.92(19) | 54.46(18) |
| C12-C13-C14-C7 | -123.84(13) | -124.57(12) |
| C6-C7-C14-O11 | 89.18(15) | 86.99(14) |
| C8-C7-C14-O11 | -32.49(16) | -35.60(15) |
| C6-C7-C14-C15 | -36.88(16) | -39.74(16) |
| C8-C7-C14-C15 | -158.55(12) | -162.32(11) |
| C6-C7-C14-C13 | -154.31(12) | -156.23(12) |
| C8-C7-C14-C13 | 84.02(14) | 81.18(14) |
| O11-C14-C15-O15 | -174.18(13) | -172.05(12) |
| C13-C14-C15-O15 | 71.81(17) | 74.25(16) |
| C7-C14-C15-O15 | -47.30(19) | -44.43(18) |
| O11-C14-C15-O16 | 7.28(17) | 10.44(16) |
| C13-C14-C15-O16 | -106.73(13) | -103.26(13) |
| C7- C14-C15-O16 | 134.16(13) | 138.06(12) |
| O15-C15-O16-C16 | -2.2(2) | -0.6(2) |
| C14-C15-O16-C16 | 176.27(14) | 176.77(12) |

Compound name : Minor product anti-193(a)
Chemical formula : $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}[\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})] \quad: 2.83 \%$


Figure 1. A view showing the anisotropic atomic displacement ellipsoids for the nonhydrogen atoms at the $30 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms are displayed with an arbitrarily small radius.

Crystals were obtained by dissolving 10 mg of white solid anti-193(a) with minimum amount of ether:DCM (10:1). A colorless prism of $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, approximate dimensions $0.365 \times 0.46 \times 0.51 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$, was used for the X-ray crystallographic analysis. The X-ray intensity data were measured at $150(2){ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ on a three-circle diffractometer system equipped with Bruker Smart Apex II CCD area detector using a graphite monochromator and a MoK $\alpha$ fine-focus sealed tube $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$. The detector was placed at a distance of 5.000 cm from the crystal.

A total of 3030 frames was collected with a scan width of $-0.30^{\circ}$ an exposure time of $5 \mathrm{sec} /$ frame using Apex2 (Bruker, 2005). The total data collection time was 9.3 hours. The frames were integrated with Apex2 software package using a narrow-frame integration algorithm. The integration of the data using a Orthorhombic unit cell yielded a total of 17271 reflections to a maximum $\theta$ angle of $30.00^{\circ}$, of which 4018 were independent (completeness $\left.=100.0 \%, \mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=1.74 \%, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sig }}=1.47 \%\right)$ and 3955 were greater than $2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$. The final cell dimensions of $a=8.4520(9) \AA, b=9.9029(11) \AA, c=$ 16.5155(18) $\AA, \alpha=90^{\circ}, \beta=90^{\circ}, \lambda=90^{\circ}, V=1382.3(3) \AA^{3}$, are based upon the refinement of the XYZ-centroids of 12995 reflections with $2.4<\theta<32.2^{\circ}$ using Apex2
software. Analysis of the data showed $0 \%$ decay during data collection. Data were corrected for absorption effects with the Semi-empirical from equivalents method using SADABS (Sheldrick, 1996). The minimum and maximum transmission coefficients were 0.886 and 0.963 .

The structure was solved and refined using the SHELXS-97 (Sheldrick, 1990) and SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick, 1997) software in the space group $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ with $Z=4$ for the formula unit $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. The final anisotropic full-matrix least-squares refinement on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ with 249 variables converged at $\mathrm{R}_{1}=2.83 \%$ for the observed data and $w \mathrm{R}_{2}=6.71 \%$ for all data. The goodness-of-fit was 1.001 . The largest peak on the final difference map was $0.279 \mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}$ and the largest hole was $-0.131 \mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}$. On the basis of the final model, the calculated density was $1.385 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{F}(000), 608$ e.

## Comments:

- H-atoms: all refined
- Residual density: in the middle of the
- Absolute configuration: not established



Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for UM\#1972.

X-ray lab book No.
Crystal ID
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal size
Crystal habit
Crystal system
Space group
Unit cell dimensions

Volume
Z
Density, $\rho_{\text {calc }}$
Absorption coefficient, $\mu$
F(000)
Diffractometer
Radiation source
Detector distance
Data collection method
Total frames
Frame size
Frame width
Exposure per frame
Total measurement time
$\theta$ range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Observed reflection, $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$
Coverage of independent reflections
Variation in check reflections
Absorption correction
Max. and min. transmission
Structure solution technique

1972
Doyle/Jaber Phenyl-Mirror product 150 K
$\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
288.29

150(2) K
0.71073 Å
$0.51 \times 0.46 \times 0.365 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
colorless prism
Orthorhombic
$\mathrm{P} 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$
$a=8.4520(9) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$
$b=9.9029(11) \AA \quad \beta=90^{\circ}$
$c=16.5155(18) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$
1382.3(3) $\AA^{3}$

4
$1.385 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
$0.103 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
608 è
Bruker Smart Apex II CCD area detector
fine-focus sealed tube, $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha$
5.000 cm
$\omega$ and $\varphi$ scans
3030
512 pixels
$-0.30^{\circ}$
5 sec
9.3 hours
2.40 to $30.00^{\circ}$
$-11 \leq h \leq 11,-13 \leq k \leq 13,-23 \leq l \leq 23$
17271
4018
3955
100.0 \%

0 \%
Semi-empirical from equivalents
SADABS (Sheldrick, 1996)
0.963 and 0.886
direct

| Structure solution program | SHELXS-97 (Sheldrick, 1990) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Refinement technique | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Refinement program | SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick, 1997) |
| Function minimized | $\sum \mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | $4018 / 0 / 249$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.001 |
| $\Delta / \sigma_{\text {max }}$ | 0.001 |
| Final R indices: $\quad \mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ | 0.0283 |
|  | $\mathrm{wR}_{2}$, all data |
| $\quad \mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0671 |
|  | $\mathrm{R}_{\text {sig }}$ |

$$
\mathrm{R}_{1}=\Sigma| | \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right| \Sigma\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right|, \quad \mathrm{wR}_{2}=\left[\Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)^{2} / \Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

Table 2. Atomic coordinates and equivalent ${ }^{*}$ isotropic atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ for UM\#1972.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Atom | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ | $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| C1 | $0.63633(13)$ | $0.34498(11)$ | $0.12104(6)$ | $0.02255(19)$ |
| C2 | $0.50744(13)$ | $0.40226(11)$ | $0.16110(7)$ | $0.0265(2)$ |
| C3 | $0.47345(13)$ | $0.36522(11)$ | $0.24027(7)$ | $0.0253(2)$ |
| C4 | $0.56858(12)$ | $0.27075(11)$ | $0.27916(7)$ | $0.02292(19)$ |
| C5 | $0.69707(12)$ | $0.21306(10)$ | $0.23912(6)$ | $0.01947(18)$ |
| C6 | $0.73282(11)$ | $0.24987(10)$ | $0.15942(6)$ | $0.01721(16)$ |
| C7 | $0.86764(11)$ | $0.18466(9)$ | $0.11182(6)$ | $0.01645(16)$ |
| C8 | $0.86164(11)$ | $0.02867(9)$ | $0.12065(6)$ | $0.01841(17)$ |
| C9 | $0.96906(12)$ | $-0.04768(9)$ | $0.06277(6)$ | $0.01963(17)$ |
| O9 | $0.91467(10)$ | $-0.10121(9)$ | $0.00272(5)$ | $0.03078(18)$ |
| C10 | $1.14397(12)$ | $-0.06139(10)$ | $0.08107(6)$ | $0.02168(18)$ |
| C11 | $1.22046(11)$ | $0.06390(10)$ | $0.11875(6)$ | $0.01985(17)$ |
| O11 | $1.15204(8)$ | $0.18456(7)$ | $0.08136(4)$ | $0.01903(14)$ |
| C12 | $1.19917(13)$ | $0.08142(11)$ | $0.20999(6)$ | $0.02264(19)$ |
| C13 | $1.08724(11)$ | $0.19942(10)$ | $0.21929(6)$ | $0.01819(17)$ |
| O13 | $1.04734(9)$ | $0.25237(8)$ | $0.28150(4)$ | $0.02343(15)$ |
| C14 | $1.03392(11)$ | $0.24102(9)$ | $0.13351(5)$ | $0.01601(16)$ |
| C15 | $1.04838(11)$ | $0.39433(9)$ | $0.12199(6)$ | $0.01749(17)$ |
| O15 | $1.10301(11)$ | $0.46903(8)$ | $0.17182(5)$ | $0.02799(17)$ |
| O16 | $0.99901(9)$ | $0.43324(7)$ | $0.04917(4)$ | $0.02421(15)$ |
| C16 | $1.01805(13)$ | $0.57755(11)$ | $0.03409(7)$ | $0.0249(2)$ |

* $U_{\text {eq }}$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.

Table 3. Hydrogen atom coordinates and isotropic atomic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ ) for UM\#1972.

|  | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ | $\mathrm{U}_{\text {iso }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Atom | $x$ |  |  |  |


| H1 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H2 | $0.6588(18)$ | $0.3682(15)$ | $0.0667(9)$ | $0.027(4)$ |
| H3 | $0.4400(18)$ | $0.4682(15)$ | $0.1337(9)$ | $0.031(4)$ |
| H4 | $0.3851(18)$ | $0.4029(15)$ | $0.2652(9)$ | $0.029(3)$ |
| H5 | $0.5471(17)$ | $0.2455(15)$ | $0.3343(9)$ | $0.030(4)$ |
| H7 | $0.7570(17)$ | $0.1476(14)$ | $0.2639(8)$ | $0.021(3)$ |
| H8A | $0.8560(15)$ | $0.2051(13)$ | $0.0562(7)$ | $0.015(3)$ |
| H8B | $0.7556(17)$ | $0.0003(14)$ | $0.1741(8)$ | $0.021(2)$ |
| H10A | $1.1910(18)$ | $0.0034(14)$ | $0.1080(8)$ | $0.021(2)$ |
| H10B | $1.1598(19)$ | $-0.0848(16)$ | $0.0318(9)$ | $0.034(3)$ |
| H11 | $1.3320(17)$ | $-0.1351(16)$ | $0.1159(10)$ | $0.034(3)$ |
| H12A | $1.1550(19)$ | $0.0626(15)$ | $0.1039(8)$ | $0.022(3)$ |
| H12B | $1.3027(18)$ | $0.0071(16)$ | $0.2369(9)$ | $0.034(3)$ |
| H16A | $0.9537(18)$ | $0.1031(16)$ | $0.2358(9)$ | $0.034(3)$ |
| H16B | $0.9819(18)$ | $0.6268(15)$ | $0.0727(9)$ | $0.030(2)$ |
| H16C | $1.1252(19)$ | $0.5928(15)$ | $-0.0202(9)$ | $0.030(2)$ |
|  |  | $0.5994(16)$ | $0.0386(9)$ | $0.030(2)$ |

Table 4. Anisotropic atomic displacement parameters ${ }^{*}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ for UM\#1972.

| Atom | $\mathrm{U}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{23}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 | 0.0231(5) | 0.0239(4) | 0.0206(4) | 0.0011(4) | -0.0017(4) | 0.0030(4) |
| C2 | $0.0226(5)$ | $0.0254(5)$ | 0.0316(5) | $0.0006(4)$ | -0.0027(4) | 0.0071(4) |
| C3 | 0.0190(5) | 0.0251(5) | 0.0317(5) | -0.0046(4) | 0.0038(4) | 0.0032(4) |
| C4 | 0.0216(5) | 0.0232(5) | 0.0239(5) | -0.0008(4) | 0.0049(4) | -0.0008(4) |
| C5 | 0.0193(4) | 0.0183(4) | 0.0208(4) | 0.0015(3) | 0.0013(3) | 0.0010(3) |
| C6 | 0.0166(4) | 0.0158(4) | 0.0192(4) | -0.0021(3) | -0.0001(3) | -0.0006(3) |
| C7 | 0.0170(4) | $0.0156(4)$ | $0.0168(4)$ | -0.0004(3) | $0.0002(3)$ | 0.0000(3) |
| C8 | 0.0182(4) | 0.0153(4) | 0.0217(4) | -0.0017(3) | 0.0019(3) | -0.0019(3) |
| C9 | 0.0230(4) | 0.0143(4) | 0.0216(4) | -0.0001(3) | 0.0025(3) | -0.0011(3) |
| O9 | 0.0309(4) | 0.0323(4) | 0.0291(4) | -0.0116(3) | -0.0025(3) | 0.0008(3) |
| C10 | 0.0217(4) | 0.0185(4) | 0.0249(4) | -0.0035(4) | 0.0021(4) | 0.0022(4) |
| C11 | 0.0173(4) | 0.0188(4) | 0.0235(4) | -0.0017(4) | 0.0014(3) | 0.0021(3) |
| O11 | 0.0194(3) | 0.0179(3) | 0.0198(3) | 0.0000(3) | 0.0045(3) | 0.0018(3) |
| C12 | 0.0231(5) | 0.0225(5) | 0.0223(4) | -0.0005(4) | -0.0037(4) | 0.0040(4) |
| C13 | 0.0176(4) | 0.0177(4) | 0.0193(4) | 0.0005(3) | -0.0009(3) | -0.0026(3) |
| O13 | 0.0277(4) | 0.0237(3) | 0.0188(3) | -0.0020(3) | 0.0013(3) | -0.0021(3) |
| C14 | 0.0174(4) | 0.0149(4) | 0.0157(4) | -0.0014(3) | 0.0012(3) | 0.0002(3) |
| C15 | 0.0155(4) | 0.0167(4) | 0.0203(4) | -0.0004(3) | 0.0020(3) | 0.0007(3) |
| O15 | 0.0392(4) | 0.0189(3) | 0.0259(4) | -0.0018(3) | -0.0074(3) | -0.0045(3) |
| O16 | 0.0335(4) | 0.0175(3) | 0.0217(3) | 0.0023(3) | -0.0050(3) | -0.0054(3) |
| C16 | 0.0279(5) | 0.0174(4) | 0.0294(5) | 0.0046(4) | -0.0034(4) | -0.0037(4) |

*The anisotropic atomic displacement factor exponent takes the form:-2 $2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+\ldots+2 h k a^{*} b^{*} U_{12}\right]$
Table 5. Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ), valence and torsion angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for UM\#1972.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\overline{\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2}$ | $1.3951(15)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $1.3979(14)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1$ | $0.945(14) \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ |


| H7 | 0.946(12) | C8-C9 | 1.5198(13) | C8-H8A | 0.948 (14)C8-H8B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C13 | 1.5114(14) | C12-H12A | 0.937(16) | C12-H12B | $0.997(16) \mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{O} 13$ |
| C2-C1-C6 | 120.96(10) | C2-C1-H1 | 120.5(9) | C6-C1-H1 | 118.5(9) C3-C2-C1 |
| C6 | 120.52(9) | C4-C5-H5 | 120.5(9) | C6-C5-H5 | 118.9(9) C1-C6-C5 |
| H8A | 108.3(8) | C7-C8-H8A | 112.1(8) | C9-C8-H8B | 107.1(8) C7-C8-H8 |
| H10B | 108.7(10) | H10A-C10-H10B | 106.3(14) | O11-C11-C12 | 106.07(8) O11-C11- |
| H12B | 110.0(9) | H12A-C12-H12B | 108.5(13) | O13-C13-C12 | 126.86(9) O13-C13- |
| C15-C14 | 111.94(8) | C15-O16-C16 | 113.79(8) | O16-C16-H16A | 108.6(9) O16-C16- |
| C6-C1-C2-C3 | 0.09(17) | C1-C2-C3-C4 | -0.05(17) | C2-C3-C4-C5 | -0.18(16) |
| 79.24(11) | C6-C7-C8-C9 | 168.33(8) | C14-C7-C8-C9 | -64.18(10) | C7-C8-C9-09 |
| C13 | 11.76(10) | C10-C11-C12-C13 | -109.41(10) | C11-C12-C13-O13 | -174.76(10) |
| 80.56(12) | C12-C13-C14-C7 | 99.94(9) | C6-C7-C14-O11 | -174.56(7) | C8-C7-C14-O11 |
| C15-O16 | -178.30(8) | C7-C14-C15-O16 | -50.85(10) | O15-C15-O16-C16 | 0.41(14) |
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## Chapter 3:

Competition between [1,2]-Stevens and [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangements

## I. Introduction

### 1.1 Mechanistic background

According to the Woodward-Hoffmann rules, [1,2]-rearrangements are symmetry-forbidden processes, whereas [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangements are symmetryallowed. ${ }^{96}$ Nonetheless, both transformations are frequently observed when ylide intermediates are involved. Note that substrates that can undergo a [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement can also undergo a [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement, but the latter reaction is normally not favored. However, the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement does not always prevail completely over the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement; in fact these two reactions are competing with one another. ${ }^{97}$

## 1.1a The Woodward-Hoffmann description of the [1,2] vs. [2,3] rearrangements

In 1981 Kenichi Fukui and Roald Hoffmann won the Nobel prize in chemistry for their contributions to orbital symmetry of pericyclic reactions. Woodward would almost certainly have shared this prize had he not died in 1979. ${ }^{98}$ The WoodwardHoffmann rule simplifies numerous parts of quantum physics and mathematical equations, but it is often condensed to an important rule which states that "In a thermal pericyclic reaction the total number of $(4 q+2)_{s}$ and $(4 r)_{a}$ components must be odd" where a component is a bond or orbital taking part in a pericyclic reaction as a single unit and $(4 q+2)_{s}$ refers to the number of aromatic suprafacial electron systems and (4r)arefers

[^50]to antiaromatic antarafacial systems. ${ }^{98}$ If the total number of these systems is odd then the reaction is thermally allowed, whereas if the total number is even then the reaction is thermally forbidden. ${ }^{98}$

We can understand why, according to the Woodward-Hoffman rules, the [2,3]sigmatropic rearrangements are symmetry-allowed whereas the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangements are symmetry-forbidden processes. The [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangements go through five-membered cyclic transition states where the bond that is to become the new $\pi$ bond can be in a chair-like part of the five-membered ring (Scheme 49). ${ }^{99}$ The symbols used for a carbanion, $\sigma$-bond, and $\pi$-bond are ${ }_{\omega} 2$, ${ }_{\sigma} 2$, and ${ }_{\pi} 2$, respectively where number 2 refers to that component having two electrons. If the new bonds are formed to the same lobe of the orbital then it is referred to as a suprafacial interaction (s) whereas if they are formed to different lobes then the interaction is antarafacial (a). Therefore, the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement is described as ${ }_{\omega} 2_{\mathrm{a}}+{ }_{\sigma} 2_{\mathrm{s}}+{ }_{\pi} 2_{\mathrm{a}}$ where there is one $(4 \mathrm{q}+$ $2)_{s}$ and no $(4 r)_{a}$ components; thus the total number of $(4 q+2)_{s}$ and $(4 r)_{a}$ is odd and hence the reaction is thermally allowed (Scheme 49). ${ }^{99}$

[^51]

Scheme 49. Five-membered ring transition state of the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement showing the orbital overlap as the new bond is formed.

The [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement proceeding via a concerted pathway would go through a three-membered cyclic transition state. According to the Woodward-Hoffman rules, the process would be described as ${ }_{\omega} 2_{\mathrm{s}}+{ }_{\sigma} 2_{\mathrm{s}}$, where there are two $(4 \mathrm{q}+2)_{\mathrm{s}}$ and no $(4 \mathrm{r})_{\mathrm{a}}$ components, and so the total number of $(4 \mathrm{q}+2)_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $(4 \mathrm{r})_{\mathrm{a}}$ is even. Hence, the reaction is thermally forbidden (Scheme 50). ${ }^{100}$ However, Woodward and Hoffmann have also argued that a concerted Stevens rearrangement of an ammonium ylide is feasible in the presence of a metal. ${ }^{101}$ They suggested that when the p orbital of the metal is

[^52]involved, the metal can strongly associate with both the carbanion and the nitrogen atom when the migration is taking place, allowing the reaction to proceed.



Scheme 50. Three-membered ring transition state of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement showing the orbital overlap as the new bond is formed.

## 1.1b The HOMO-LUMO interaction

Woodward and Hoffmann developed the conservation of orbital symmetry theory that states "in-phase orbitals overlap during the course of a pericyclic reaction". ${ }^{102}$ This theory was based on the frontier orbital theory that was put forth by Kenichi Fukui in 1954 where Fukui established the importance of HOMO and LUMO orbitals. ${ }^{103}$ We can also analyze the [2,3]-sigmatropic and [1,2]-Stevens rearrangements by considering the HOMO of the carbanion and the LUMO of the allylic and the benzylic site,

[^53]respectively (Figure 11). The smaller the energy gap between the HOMO and the LUMO, the more readily will these rearrangements occur. Therefore, the presence of a substituent that either raises the HOMO or lowers the LUMO will promote a faster reaction. ${ }^{104}$


LUMO

НОМО


Figure 11. Depiction of the frontier orbitals for the [2,3] and [1,2] rearrangement processes.

## 1.1c Aromatic/antiaromatic transition state theory

Building from an earlier analysis by Evans, ${ }^{105}$ Zimmerman and Dewar ${ }^{106}$ developed aromatic transition state theory. According to this, reactions that follow the Woodward-Hoffmann rules have transition states which are aromatic, while reactions which violate the rules have antiaromatic transition states. Dewar argues, however, that the reactions of the latter should be feasible and should indeed occur in cases where no better alternative exists. He further states that although a concerted mechanism for the Stevens rearrangement is formally "forbidden", according to the Woodward-Hoffmann

[^54]rules the calculated activation energy is extremely small $\left(17 \mathrm{KJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right) .{ }^{107}$ To better understand the possibility of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement violating the WoodwardHoffmann rules, we need to consider the thermodynamics of this reaction. The [1,2]Stevens rearrangement should be an exothermic reaction because it involves a large decrease in charge separation and a corresponding large decrease in coulombic energy. Dewar argues that an antiaromatic percyclic reaction may occur very readily if it is sufficiently exothermic. ${ }^{107}$

### 1.2 Competition between [2,3]-sigmatropic and [1,2]-Stevens rearrangements

So far we have discussed three theories to understand pericyclic reactions. It is safe to say that no model is absolutely correct in explaining experimental observations completely. Even though the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement is considered a "forbidden" process, this reaction often competes with the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement as evidenced by numerous examples in the literature.

## 1.2a The [2,3]-sigmatropic dominates over the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement

Hashimoto ${ }^{108}$ investigated the decomposition of $\alpha$-diazo- $\beta$-keto esters 157a-c, containing trans- and cis-crotyl and -prenyl substituents, using $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(S \text {-PTTL })_{4}$ as the catalyst in toluene at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The decomposition reactions of $157 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ were found to produce a mixture of [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement products 158 and [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement products $\mathbf{1 5 9}$, with the former being favored (Table 22). It is worthy of note that the ratio of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product 159a-c becomes greater as

[^55]the steric hindrance encountered during the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement increases (prenyl $>$ cis-crotyl $>$ trans-crotyl) $)^{108}$

Table 22. Enantioselective cyclic allylic oxonium ylide formation and rearrangement of aromatic substrates catalyzed by $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(S-\mathrm{PTTL})_{4}$.


| Entry | Substrate | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Yield $^{\mathbf{a}}$ <br> (\%) | Ratio $^{\mathbf{b}}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 5 8 : 1 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{d . r .}^{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{o f ~}^{\mathbf{1 5 8}}$ | $\mathbf{e e}^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbf{( \% )}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{e e}^{\mathbf{d}} \mathbf{( \% )}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 5 9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 157 a | Me | H | 63 | $92: 8$ | $60: 40$ | 70 | 64 |
| 2 | 157 b | H | Me | 63 | $82: 18$ | $49: 51$ | 72 | 66 |
| 3 | 157 c | Me | Me | 37 | $71: 29$ | - | 59 | 65 |

${ }^{a}$ Combined yield of $\mathbf{1 5 8}$ and 159 . ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Determined by HPLC (column, zolbax sil; eluent, 50:1 hexane:ethyl acetate). ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Determined by $400 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Determined after separation of $\mathbf{1 5 8}$ and 159 with $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}-\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ TLC.

Interestingly, by lowering the temperature to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ rhodium(II) catalyzed decomposition of aliphatic substrate 160a-b afforded the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement products 161 in up to $79 \%$ yield, but there was no evidence of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement products (Table 23). ${ }^{108}$ One observation worth noting is the exceedingly high degree of diastereoselection of the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement product 161a (96:4) whereas the diastereomer ratios of the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement product 158a-b are poor (60:40) and (49:51), respectively.

Table 23. Enantioselective cyclic allylic oxonium ylide formation and rearrangement of aliphatic substrates catalyzed by $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(S \text {-PTTL })_{4}$.


| Entry | Substrate | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | Yield (\%) | d.r. of <br> $\mathbf{1 6 1}$ | ee (\%) <br> $\mathbf{1 6 1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 160 a | Me | H | 79 | $96: 4$ | 22 |
| 2 | 160 b | Me | Me | 73 | - | 23 |

Clark ${ }^{109}$ and co-workers have also observed competition between [2,3]sigmatropic and [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement processes. Rhodium and copper catalyzed reactions of diazoketone $\mathbf{1 6 2}$ furnished the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement product $\mathbf{1 6 3}$ along with a small amount of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product $\mathbf{1 6 4}$ (Table 24). This is yet another example where the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement pathway dominates over the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement process. Furthermore, both rearrangement products were isolated as a single diastereoisomer.

[^56]Table 24. The [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement dominates over the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement using diazoketone 162.


## 1.2b The [1,2]-Stevens dominates over the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement

Allylic oxonium ylides have a virtually complete preference for symmetryallowed [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangements over [1,2]-Stevens rearrangements. However, there are a few examples in the literature where the [2,3]-sigmatropic pathway is disfavored, allowing the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement to compete or even dominate.

In 1997, Brogan ${ }^{110}$ reported the predominant formation of the [1,2]-Stevens pathway over the $[2,3]$-sigmatropic one. Upon exposure to catalytic $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{hfacac})_{2}$, diazoketone 165 afforded the [1,2]-Stevens product 167 in $64 \%$ yield (Scheme 51). The

[^57][2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement product was not observed possibly due to conformational restrains of the ylide intermediate 166 that prevented the [2,3]sigmatropic rearrangement pathway from taking place and hence the formation of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product was more feasible.


Scheme 51. Copper(II) catalyzed decomposition of diazoacetoacetate $\mathbf{1 6 5}$ affords solely the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product.

A year later, Brogan ${ }^{111}$ further reported on the rearrangements of oxonium ylides from ketals during the preparation of the Zaragozic acid core structure. The decomposition of diazo ketone $\mathbf{1 6 8}$ afforded the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product $\mathbf{1 7 0}$ in $42 \%$ isolated yield while the [2,3]-sigmatropic product 169 was isolated in $20 \%$ yield, using $\mathrm{Cu}(\text { hfacac })_{2}$ as the catalyst (entry 1 , Table 25). However, $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ facilitated the formation of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product 170 in $64 \%$ yield while there was no evidence for the formation of the [2,3]-sigmatropic product $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ (entry 2, Table 25). The authors argued that the selectivity of the rhodium catalyst for the formation of solely the $[1,2]$-Stevens rearrangement product is due to the catalyst being efficient at discriminating between the two diastereotopic oxygens. ${ }^{111}$ These results further illustrate the influence of catalyst on oxonium ylide generation and its rearrangement pathways.

[^58]Table 25. Catalyst influence on oxonium ylide generation and its rearrangement pathways.


| Entry | Catalyst | Temp. ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) | Yield (\%) 169 | Yield (\%) 170 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Cu}(\text { hfacac })_{2}$ | 80 | 20 | 42 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ | 25 | -- | 64 |

Clark ${ }^{112}$ reported in 2001 the cyclization reaction of diazoketone 171 from catalysis by $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ to afford both the [2,3]-sigmatropic and [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement products. The conformation of the oxonium ylide intermediate, however, resulted in a different product preference. When the oxonium ylide was a six membered ring, $\mathrm{n}=1$, the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement product $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ was dominant, and $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ was isolated in $49 \%$ yield (entry 1, Table 26). Whereas when the oxonium ylide was a seven

[^59]membered ring, $\mathrm{n}=2$, the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ dominated (entry 2 , Table 26).

Table 26. Conformational influence on oxonium ylide generation and its rearrangement pathways.


| Entry | n | Yield (\%) 172 | Yield (\%) 173 | d.r. 173 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 49 | 16 | $1: 1$ |
| 2 | 2 | 8 | 22 | $2: 1$ |

As discussed previously, the work of Clark regarding the decomposition of transsubstituted cyclohexane diazoketone 162 afforded predominantly the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement product 163 (Table 24). In that same report, ${ }^{113}$ he explored the decomposition of cis-substituted cyclohexane diazoketone 174 that yielded the [1,2]Stevens rearrangement product $\mathbf{1 7 6}$ as the major product. The symmetry-allowed [2,3]-

[^60]sigmatropic rearrangement product 175 was minor (Table 27). The two diastereoisomeric substituted cyclohexyl diazoketones $\mathbf{1 6 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 7 4}$ resulted in different reaction outcomes. While diazoketone 162 afforded predominantly the [2,3]-sigmatropic product, diazoketone $\mathbf{1 7 4}$ yielded the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product as the major product. These examples further illustrate that conformation of the oxonium ylide intermediate plays a major role in determining the product outcome of the [2,3]-sigmatropic and [1,2]Stevens rearrangements.

Table 27. The [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement dominates over the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement using diazoketone 174.


| Entry | Catalyst | Temp. | Yield 175 (\%) | Yield 176 (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ | r.t. | 20 | 47 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Cu}(\text { hfacac })_{2}$ | Reflux | 3 | 14 |

From these results we can conclude that the [2,3]-sigmatropic pathway can be disfavored, permitting the formally symmetry-forbidden [1,2]-Stevens pathway to dominate. There are a number of primary factors that can influence regioselectivity between these two pathways. Conformational constrains, the ring size of the oxonium ylide intermediate, and the catalyst employed can play roles in determining selectivity.

These observations of selectivity are poorly understood, so there needs to be further investigations to try and understand the competition between [2,3]-sigmatropic and [1,2]Stevens rearrangement reactions.

## 2. Research Discussion

### 2.1 Model substrate for investigating the competition between [1,2]-Stevens and [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangements

The observation of two diastereoisomers for the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement process as discussed in chapter 2 lead us to inquire whether two diastereoisomers for the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement pathway could also be observed. Model substrate trans-3-styryltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates 177 was used to investigate the competition between the [2,3]-sigmatropic and [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement pathways. We anticipated that treatment of $\mathbf{1 7 7}$ with a transition metal catalyst would form oxonium ylide 178 and the resultant rearrangement could lead to the formation of either the [2,3]sigmatropic product $\mathbf{1 7 9}$ or the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product 180, expecting the former to dominate (Scheme 52). If both rearrangement products were observed, we would then investigate the possibility of suppressing one pathway over the other. Doing
so would provide the synthetic community with further understanding of the factors which influence these two pathways as well as how to control them.


Scheme 52. Investigating the competition between $[2,3]$ and $[1,2]$ rearrangement processes.

### 2.2 Synthesis of the Mukaiyama-Michael addition products

In order to obtain the desired 177a, we followed our two step synthesis with the initial hetero-Diels-Alder reaction followed by the Mukaiyama-Michael addition reaction. Styryl dihydropyranone $\mathbf{1 8 2}$ a was prepared in $80 \%$ isolated yield by a $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ mediated hetero Diels-Alder reaction between cinnamaldehyde 181a and the Danishefsky's diene 132. The Mukaiyama-Michael reaction of 182a with methyl 3-(tert-butyldimethylsilanoxy)-2-diazo-3-butenoate $\mathbf{1 2 7}$, using $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in refluxing dichloromethane, afforded trans-3-styryltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates 177a in $92 \%$ isolated yield (Table 28). Product $\mathbf{1 7 7 a}$ was determined to be solely the trans isomer by $\mathrm{H}^{1} \mathrm{NMR}$ comparison to the previously observed trans-3-phenyltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates 134a.

Table 28. Synthesis of trans-3-styryltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates 177.


| Entry | Substrate 181 | Ar | Yield (\%) 182 $^{\mathbf{a}}$ | Yield (\%) 177 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 181 a | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 80 | 92 |
| 2 | 181 b | $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 60 | 88 |
| 3 | 181 c | $p-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 60 | 97 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Isolated yield after column chromatography.

### 2.3 Catalytic dinitrogen extrusion reactions

After obtaining trans-3-styryltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates 177a, we proceeded to investigate the dinitrogen extrusion reaction. Rhodium(II) catalyzed decomposition of $\mathbf{1 7 7 a}$, using $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of dirhodium octanoate $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\text { oct })_{4}\right]$ in refluxing dichloromethane, afforded a mixture of [2,3]-sigmatropic products 179a and the [1,2]Stevens rearrangement products 180a (Scheme 53) in a molar ratio of 54:46, with the former slightly dominating as shown in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR reaction mixture (Figure 12). There are two sets of doublets around 6.5 ppm that correspond to the two [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement diastereoisomers and two sets of doublets around 4.3 ppm that correspond to the two [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement diastereoisomers. Also, four singlets between
3.5-3.7 ppm that correspond to the methyl ester functionality can be seen in the crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR indicating that four compounds are present (Figure 12).


Scheme 53. Rhodium(II) catalyzed decomposition reaction of trans-3-styryltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates 177a.


Figure 12. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of rhodium(II) catalyzed decomposition reaction mixture of trans-3-styryltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates 177 a .

After careful chromatographic and spectroscopic analysis of the reaction mixture, we isolated and identified two diastereoisomers for both rearrangement products. The major isomer of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product was isolated separately, and its crystal structure was obtained (Figure 13). The minor isomer of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product with the major isomer of the [2,3]-sigmatropic product were
isolated together, while the minor isomer of the [2,3]-sigmatropic product was isolated in a separate fraction and its crystal structure was also obtained (Figure 13). We expected to observe two diastereoisomers for the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product since this was observed previously in our investigation, however, the isolation of two diastereoisomers for the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement process was intriguing.


180a [1,2]-major isomer


179a [2,3]-minor
isomer
Figure 13. Crystal structure for the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product-major isomer and the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement product-minor isomer.

We investigated the influence of substituents at the para-position of the aryl ring in $\mathbf{1 7 7}$ on the ratio of diasteriomers $\mathbf{1 7 9}$ and those of $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ (Table 29). The catalytic dinitrogen extrusion of $\mathbf{1 7 7} \mathbf{b}$, with the strongly electron-withdrawing $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ substituent, gave almost an equal amount of the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement products $\mathbf{1 7 9 b}$ and the $[1,2]$-Stevens rearrangement products 180b (ratio 179b:180b almost 1:1) whereas compound 177 c , with the strongly electron-donating $p$-OMe substituent, produced a higher ratio of the $[2,3]$-sigmatropic rearrangement products 179 c (ratio 179c:180c almost 2:1). This illustrates that there is a small but significant substituent effect influencing these rearrangement pathways. Nonetheless, the ratio of the diasteriomers of
the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement products $\mathbf{1 7 9}$ and the [1,2]-Stevens rearranegement products $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ were almost the same, within experimental error, and hence there was no substituent effect on the ratio of the diasteriomers for either the $[2,3]$-sigmatropic or the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement pathways.

Table 29. ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{Rh}$ (II) catalyzed decomposition of trans-3-styryltetrahydropyranone-5diazoacetoacetates 177.


| Entry | Substrate | Ar | Ratio <br> $(\mathbf{1 7 9 : 1 8 0})^{\mathbf{b}}$ | Ratio of <br> $\mathbf{1 7 9}$ | Ratio of <br> $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ | Yield (\%) <br> $\mathbf{1 7 9}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | Yield (\%) <br> $\mathbf{1 8 0}^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 177 a | H | $54: 46$ | $78: 22$ | $78: 22$ | 47 | 41 |
| 2 | 177 b | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $52: 48$ | $76: 24$ | $76: 24$ | 44 | 41 |
| 3 | 177 c | OMe | $69: 31$ | $79: 21$ | $70: 30$ | 40 | 20 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reactions were performed in refluxing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ for 2 h using $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{oct})_{4}$. Results reported are averages of two or more reactions $\pm 2 \%$. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Product ratio determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis with variance of $\pm 2 \%$. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ NMR yield using benzaldehyde as an internal standard.

Next, we investigated the effect of ligands on dirhodium catalysts on the diasteriomer ratio of both the $[2,3]$ and $[1,2]$ rearrangement products to investigate if the oxonium ylide intermediate was metal-associated or a free ylide. If the ratio of the two diasteriomers for both the $[2,3]$ and $[1,2]$ rearrangement processes vary depending on the catalyst employed, then the rearrangement process must involve a metal-bound ylide. However, if the ratio of the diasteriomers are independent of the catalyst being used then
the rearrangement process must involve a free ylide. Results were obtained from reactions with a wide spectrum of catalysts (Table 30), and they show a minor, but reproducible, dependence on catalyst. The [2,3]-sigmatropic product ratio, as well as that from the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement, was invariant with common ligands on dirhodium $(\mathrm{pfb}=$ perfluorobutyrate, $\mathrm{tfa}=$ trifluoroacetate, $\mathrm{OAc}, \mathrm{tpa}=$ triphenylacetate $)$ that cover a broad range of electronic influences. This indicates that the oxonium ylide intermediate 178 is not associated to the metal that is being employed.

Table 30. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Catalyst screening for the decomposition of trans-3-styryltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates 177.

| Entry | Catalyst | Ratio 179: <br> $\mathbf{1 8 0}^{\mathbf{b}}$ | Ratio 179 | Ratio 180 | Yield $^{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{( \% )}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 7 9}$ | Yield $^{\mathbf{c}}$ <br> $\mathbf{( \% )} \mathbf{1 8 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\text { oct })_{4}$ | $54: 46$ | $78: 22$ | $78: 22$ | 47 | 41 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ | $51: 49$ | $80: 20$ | $77: 23$ | 48 | 46 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\text { pfb })_{4}$ | $55: 45$ | $80: 20$ | $77: 23$ | 25 | 21 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\text { piv })_{4}$ | $55: 45$ | $78: 22$ | $76: 24$ | 52 | 42 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\text { (tpa })_{4}$ | $53: 47$ | $73: 27$ | $75: 25$ | 49 | 44 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\text { (ta) })_{4}$ | $51: 49$ | $79: 21$ | $75: 25$ | 18 | 23 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reactions were performed in refluxing dichlorometahne for 2 h using $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of catalyst. Results reported are averages of two or more reactions. ${ }^{b}$ Product ratio determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis with variance of $\pm 2 \% .{ }^{c}$ NMR yield using benzaldehyde as an internal standard.

Our results show that the oxonium ylide intermediate $\mathbf{1 7 8}$ formed during dinitrogen extrusion from trans-3-styryltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates $\mathbf{1 7 7}$ is a free ylide. Catalytic ylide formation and rearrangement results in a mixture of two diastereoisomers for both the [2,3]-sigmatropic and [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement processes, but with no dependence on either para substituents on the aromatic ring or on the catalyst that is employed. The formation of two diasteriomers for the symmetryforbidden [1,2]-Stevens process was expected as previously observed with the
dirhdium(II) catalyzed decomposition of trans-3-phenyltetrahydropyranone-5diazoacetoacetate 134a (chapter 2). The identification of two diastereoisomers for the symmetry-allowed [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement process was intriguing. This result led us to analyze the mechanism of the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement in an attempt to explain the identification of two diasteriomers for a process that is well known to be concerted.

### 2.4 Mechanism of the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement

The [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement, unlike the controversial mechanism of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement, is widely accepted to proceed through a concerted mechanism and, hence, one diasteriomer is expected to be formed as product. ${ }^{114}$ As discussed previously, treating trans-3-styryltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates 177a with rhodium(II) octanoate, two diastereoisomers for the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product 180a were observed and isolated, more interestingly, however, two diastereoisomers for the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement product 179a were isolated.

This result is fascinating because the symmetry allowed [2,3]-sigmatropic process is known to proceed via a concerted pathway and it was interesting to observe a second diastereoisomer for this rearrangement. Reconsidering the hypothesis of conformational isomers put forward in the previous chapter, the apparent isomerization can arise from two ylide intermediates $\mathbf{1 8 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 8 6}$ formed from two conformational isomers ( $\mathbf{1 8 3}$ and 184) of the metal carbene generated from diazoacetoacetate 177 (Scheme 54). In this concerted mechanism, product stereochemistry is determined by the stereochemistry of

[^61]the two ylide intermediates, 185 and $\mathbf{1 8 6}$, after they undergo symmetry allowed sigmatropic rearrangement to give the corresponding [2,3]-rearrangement diastereoisomers. Hence, the discovery of a minor diastereoisomer for the concerted [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement process further supports the idea of conformational isomers leading to the formation of diastereoisomers for both the [1,2] and [2,3] rearrangement processes. In fact, we cannot explain the formation of two diastereoisomers for the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement pathway via a concerted mechanism unless we take into consideration conformational isomers.
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Scheme 54. Conformationl isomers explain the formation of two diasteriomers for the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement process.

The formation of two diastereoisomers for the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement pathway is almost unprecedented in the literature. One example reported by Clark ${ }^{115}$ alludes to the fascinating results obtained for the copper catalyzed cyclisation reaction of diazoketone 187 that afforded two diastereoisomers for both the [1,2]-Stevens 190 and the [2,3]-sigmatropic $\mathbf{1 8 9}$ rearrangement pathways (Table 31). There were no attempts to explain those results or mention of any further investigation regarding the observation of a second diastereoisomer for the concerted [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement pathway. However, we can also apply the conformational isomer hypothesis that we used to explain the formation of the two diastereoisomers we observed in our system for the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement pathway. Diazoketone 187 can exist in two chair conformational isomers (187a and 187b) which upon treatment with copper(II) catalysts each diazoketone conformer generates an ylide intermediate, and each ylide intermediate will rearrange to give rise to an isomer of the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement product, and hence you end up with two diastereoisomers.

Table 31. Cyclization reaction of diazoketone 187 leads to isomeric mixtures of both [2,3] and [1,2] rearrangement processes.

[^62]


| Entry | Catalyst | Yield $^{\mathbf{a}}$ <br> (\%) 189 | Yield $^{\mathbf{a}}$ <br> (\%) 190 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}$ | 56 | 22 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{hfacac})_{2}$ | 71 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |
| combined yield of both diastereoisomers. |  |  |  |

It is well accepted that the symmetry allowed [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement pathway proceeds via a concerted mechanism, but whether the oxonium ylide intermediate is metal-bound or exists as a free ylide may be substrate specific and catalyst dependant. We have argued that the oxonium ylide intermediate $\mathbf{1 7 8}$ which is generated during the rearrangement from trans-3-styryltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates 177 is a free ylide due to a small change in the ratio diastereoisomers of the [2,3]sigmatropic $\mathbf{1 7 9}$ as well as the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement products $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ regardless of the catalyst employed. In contrast, Clark ${ }^{116}$ reported the presence of a catalyst effect on the diastereoselective synthesis of tetrahydrofuranones via a [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement pathway. The cyclization of diazoketone 191 in a variety of solvents at reflux or room temperature using $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ furnished a mixture of tetrahydrofuranones

[^63]with a modest preference for the trans-tetrahydrofuranones 192 in yields up to $68 \%$ (entry 1, Table 32). However, using $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}$ as the catalyst, excellent diastereoselection of the trans- tetrahydrofuranones 192 (97:3) was achieved, albeit in moderate yields (entry 2, Table 32).

Table 32. Catalyst effects the diastereoselective synthesis of tetrahydrofuranones.


| Entry | Catalyst | Ratio 192 | Yield (\%) 192 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ | $65: 35-81: 19$ | $51-68$ |
| $2^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}$ | $97: 3$ | $83-85$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The ratio and yield are given as a range for experiments done in a variety of solvents
at reflux or room temperature. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Reactions done in THF or benzene at reflux.
Clark ${ }^{116}$ argues that the effect of the catalyst that is observed on the diastereoselection of the two isomers of tetrahydrofuranones 192 suggests that the [2,3]sigmatropic rearrangement pathway occurs via a metal-bound ylide intermediate 193 or 194 and not via a metal free ylide intermediate (Scheme 55). Comparing Clark's conclusions with our own, where we argue that the [2,3]-sigmatropic pathways proceedes via a free ylide due to lack of catalyst effect on the ratio of the two diastereoisomers of $\mathbf{1 7 9}$, it seems clear that the $[2,3]$-sigmatropic process is substrate dependant; in some cases the process occurs via a metal-bound ylide and in other cases it does not.


Scheme 55. Mechanism of the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement via a metal-bound ylide.

### 2.5 Control of regioselectivity

After analyzing the decomposition of trans-3-styryltetrahydropyranone-5diazoacetoacetates 177a and identifying the [1,2]-Stevens and [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement products, we decided to invesitigate vinyl diazoacetoacetate tetrahydropyranones 195a and 195b (Scheme 56). These two substrates have an additional phenyl group on the 2-position of the vinyl diazoacetoacetate tetrahydropyranone, shown in red, which may or may not have an effect on the decomposition reaction. The synthesis of these vinyl diazoacetoacetate tetrahydropyranones are easily accessible by employing the methods shown previously.
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Scheme 56. Investigating the possibility of controlling the regioselectivity between $[2,3]$ and [1,2] processes using diazoacetoacetate tetrahydropyranones 195a and 195b as model substrate.

## 2.5a Synthesis of vinyl diazoacetoacetate tetrahydropyranone 195

In order to obtain vinyl diazoacetoacetate tetrahydropyranone 195, we followed our two step synthesis of the hetreo-Diels-Alder reaction followed by the MukaiyamaMichael addition reaction. Vinyl dihydropyranone 197 was prepared in up to $60 \%$ isolated yield by the $\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-mediated hetreo Diels-Alder reaction between aldehyde 196 and Danishefsky's diene 132. Aldehyde 196a is commercially available while aldehyde 196b is readily available in a one step synthesis via palladium-catalyzed three component coupling of phenyl iodide, internal alkyne, and phenyl boronic acid. ${ }^{117}$ Vinyl dihydropyranone 197 then reacted with methyl 3-(tert-butyldimethylsilanoxy)-2-diazo-3butenoate 127 with full conversion using $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in refluxing dichloromethane. After hydrolysis and purification diazoacetoacetate 195a and 195b were isolated in excellent yields (Table 33) and were determined to be solely the trans isomers when $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}$ whereas when $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ there was a mixture of the cis and trans isomers of 195a with the trans isomer dominating in a 90:10 ratio.

Table 33. Synthesis of vinyl diazoacetoacetate tetrahydropyranone 195.


| Entry | Substrate | $\mathbf{R}$ | Yield $^{\mathbf{a}}$ (\%) 197 | Yield $^{\mathbf{a}}$ (\%) 195 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 196 a | H | 56 | $97^{\text {b }}$ |
| 2 | 196 b | Ph | 60 | 98 |

${ }^{a}$ Isolated yield after column chromatography. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield of both cis and trans isomers.
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## 2.5b Nitrogen extrusion reactions of vinyl diazoacetoacetate tetrahydropyranone 195a and 195b

With vinyl diazoacetoacetate tetrahydropyranone $\mathbf{1 9 5}$ in hand, we proceeded to investigate the dinitrogen extrusion reaction. Rhodium(II) catalyzed decomposition of 195a and 195b, using $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of dirhodium octanoate $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{oct})_{4}\right]$ in refluxing dichloromethane, afforded the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement products only, 198 and 200, in $73 \%$ and $80 \%$ yield, respectively (Scheme 57). There was no evidence of the [2,3]sigmatropic rearrangement product in either case as seen from its absence in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR reaction mixture as well as by HPLC analysis. After chromatographic separation, we isolated the two diastereoisomers of the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement products 198 and 200, allowing ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR characterization of the diastereoisomers.


Scheme 57. Rh(II) catalyzed decomposition of vinyl diazoacetoacetate tetrahydropyranone 195a and 195b.

Interestingly, in addition to the [1,2]-Stevens rearrangement product we also observed the formation of byproduct methyl vinyl pyranone 199 and 201 (Scheme 57). Even though these byproducts were not formed in high yields, they are not often observed in reactions of oxonium ylides and their subsequent rearrangements. ${ }^{118}$ However, during our investigation we had observed the formation of a similar product using the chromone substrate 202. Treating diazoacetoacetate 203 with rhodium acetate furnished methyl chromone 204 in 71\% yield (Scheme 58).


Scheme 58. Nitrogen extrusion reactions of diazoacetoacetate 203.
We concluded from these results that in the absence of a good migrating group another pathway can take place to afford methyl chromone 204. We hypothesized that diazoacetoacetate $\mathbf{2 0 3}$ reacts with dirhodium carboxylate to form metal carbene species 205 that undergoes a 1,4-hydride abstraction and generates intermediate 206. This is then proceeded by loss of ketene followed by tautomerization of 207 to furnish methyl chromone 204 in 71\% yield (Scheme 59).

[^65]

Scheme 59. Possible mecahnism of the formation of methyl chromone 204.

### 2.6 Conclusion

Rh (II) catalyzed oxonium ylide generation of trans-3-styryltetrahydropyranone-5diazoacetoacetates 177 and their subsequent rearrangements form two diastereoisomers in both the [1,2]-Stevens and [2,3]-sigmatropic processes. The crystal structure for the minor diastereoisomer of the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement product, which is not reported in the literature, has been provided. The formation of a second diastereoisomer for the symmetry-allowed concerted [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement process is supportive of the hypothesis that the presence of two conformational isomers for trans-3-styryltetrahydropyranone-5-diazoacetoacetates 177 lead to the formation of two diastereoisomers. Conformational isomers of styryl diazoacetoacetate tetrahydropyranones (183 and 184) are responsible for the apparent isomerization; where each diazoacetoacetate conformer forms a metal-free oxonium ylide, and subsequent rearrangement of each of these two oxonium ylides leads to the formation of a distinct diastereoisomeric product. This mechanistic hypothesis explains how a concerted
process, such as the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement, can lead to the formation of two diastereoisomers. Furthermore, we have demonstrated that the symmetry-forbidden [1,2]Stevens rearrangement pathway can dominate over the symmetry-allowed [2,3]sigmatropic rearrangement pathway.

### 2.7 Experimental

General information. Reagents were obtained commercially unless otherwise noted. Reactions were performed using oven-dried or flame-dried glassware under an atmosphere of nitrogen. Air and moisture sensitive liquids and solutions were transferred via syringe or stainless steel cannula. Dichloromethane (DCM) was passed through a solvent column ${ }^{119}$ prior to use. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on EM Science silica gel 60 F254 plates, and visualization of the developed plates was accomplished by ultraviolet light ( 254 nm ) and/or by staining with iodine, butanolic ninhydrin, $p$-anisaldehyde, or phosphomolybdic acid (PMA) solution. Chromatographic purification of products was performed using air pressure to force the solvent through the column on silica gel ( $230 \times 400$ mesh). Compounds purified by chromatography on silica gel were typically applied to the absorbent bed using the indicated solvent conditions with a minimum amount of added dichloromethane as needed for solubility. Unless otherwise described, reactions were carried out at room temperature. Elevated temperatures were obtained using thermostat-controlled silicone oil baths. Low temperatures were obtained in an ice-water bath or by mixing dry-ice with organic solvents. Anhydrous zinc triflate and boron trifluoride-diethyl ether $\left(\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right)$ were purchased from Aldrich and used as received. Rhodium acetate was obtained

[^66]commercially from Pressure Chemical Company, rhodium octanoate was obtianed from the Padwa research group, and the rest of the rhodium catalysts were synthesized following literature procedures. ${ }^{120}$ Methyl 3-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-2-diazo-3butenoate was prepared by the method described by Davies. ${ }^{121}$

NMR spectra were obtained on Bruker AV-400, Bruker DRX-400 ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ at 400 $\mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ at 100 MHz ), Bruker DRX-500 $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ at $500 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ at 125 MHz$)$, or Bruker AVIII-600 $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ at $600 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ at 150 MHz$)$. Absorptions and their splitting from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra are recorded as follows relative to residual solvent peaks: $(\mathrm{s}=\operatorname{singlet}, \mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ doublet of doublets, $\mathrm{td}=$ triplet of doublets, $\mathrm{dq}=$ doublet of quartets, ddd= doublet of doublet of doublets, tdd triplet of doublet of doublets, dddd $=$ doublet of doublet of doublet of doublets, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, comp $=$ composite), coupling constant (Hz), and integration. Chemical shift ( $\delta$, ppm) for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra are reported relative to residual solvent peak. All spectra are recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as solvent, unless otherwise described. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded by JEOL AccuTOF-CS (ESI positive, needle voltage $1800-2400 \mathrm{eV}$, flow rate $50 \mathrm{uL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO FT-IR-4100 instrument. Melting points were determined with a MEL-TEMP digital melting point apparatus.
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## General procedures for the hetero-Diels-Alder (HDA) reaction



A solution of cinnamaldehyde $(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 7.6 \mathrm{mmoles})$ and Danishefsky's diene ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 9.1$ mmoles $)$ in dry $\mathrm{DCM}(38 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. To that was added $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1.3g, 8.4 mmoles) dropwise, which produced an instant color change from colorless to yellow to dark brown. After 8 hours at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, then extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure.

## Data Characterization for HDA products.

(E)-2-styryl-2H-pyran-4(3H)-one


Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate: $100 \%$ to $85 \%$ hexane; orange solid ( $80 \%$ yield), based on a 7.6 mmol scale of cinnamaldehyde. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$

NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 7.44-7.39 (comp, 3H), 7.38-7.27 (comp, 3H), $6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12-5.03$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.8,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.8,4.0,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 191.6, 162.8, 135.4, 133.5, 128.6, 128.4, 126.6, 124.9, 107.1, 79.5, 41.8. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 1587, 1666, 1667, 3061. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 201.0910, found 201.0915. M.p. $48-49^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## (E)-2-(4-nitrostyryl)-2H-pyran-4(3H)-one



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate: $80 \%$ to $70 \%$ hexane; yellow solid ( $60 \%$ yield), based on a 2.8 mmol scale of (E)-3-(4nitrophenyl) acrylaldehyde. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 8.26-8.18 (comp, 2H), 7.597.51 (comp, 2H), 7.43 (d, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $16.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17-5.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.8$, $12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.8,4.6,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 191.1, 162.5, 147.4, 142.0, 130.9, 129.7, 127.3, 124.0, 107.5, 78.7, 41.6. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1513$, 1589, 1670, 1342. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 246.0761, found 246.0768. M.p. 97-98 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
(E)-2-(4-methoxystyryl)-2H-pyran-4(3H)-one


Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate: $90 \%$ to $85 \%$ hexane; orange solid ( $60 \%$ yield), based on a 3.1 mmol scale of (E)-3-(4methoxyphenyl) acrylaldehyde. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.37-7.33 (comp, 2H), 6.89-6.86 (comp, 2H), 6.66 (d, $J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.17$ (dd, $J=$ $16.0,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08-5.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.74$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.8,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.8,4.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 192.0,163.0,159.9,133.5,128.2,128.1,122.7,114.1,107.2,80.0,55.3,42.0$. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 1514, 1588, 1670, 2935. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 231.1016, found 231.1024. M.p. $73-74{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## 2-(2,2-diphenylvinyl)-2H-pyran-4(3H)-one



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate: $100 \%$ to $90 \%$ hexane; yellow solid ( $56 \%$ yield), based on a 2.4 mmol scale of $3,3-$ diphenylacrylaldehyde. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.56-7.49 (comp, 4H), 7.45-7.42 (comp, 3H), 7.41-7.38 (comp, 2H), 7.34-7.29 (comp, 2H), $6.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35$ (dd, $J=6.4,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.2,10.0,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.0,14.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{ddd}, J=18.0,4.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 191.8$,
163.2, 147.7, 140.7, 138.2, 129.4, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 127.7, 123.7, 107.0, 77.4, 42.2. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1585,1648,3051$. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 277.1223, found 277.1232. M.p. $126-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## 2-(1,2,2-triphenylvinyl)-2H-pyran-4(3H)-one



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate: $90 \%$ to $80 \%$ hexane; yellow solid ( $60 \%$ yield), based on a 1.8 mmol scale of $2,3,3-$ triphenylacrylaldehyde. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 7.40-7.28 (comp, 4H), 7.25-7.16 (comp, 7H), 7.07-7.01 (comp, 3H), 6.96-6.93 (comp, 2H), 5.41 (dd, $J=15.2,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.8,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.8$, 3.2, 1.0 Hz, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 192.2,163.0,146.8,141.1,140.6,137.3$, $135.5,131.1,129.9,129.0,128.5,127.8,127.7,127.5,127.1,126.8,106.5,79.6,40.8$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1589,1667,3079$. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 353.1536, found 353.1532. M.p. $164-165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## General Procedure for the Mukaiyama-Michael reaction



To a flame-dried, $25-\mathrm{mL}$ round bottom flask under nitrogen was added zinc triflate (8.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), followed by ( $E$ )-2-styryl-2H-pyran- $4(3 H)$-one $(0.44 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ that was dissolved in dry DCM (11 mL). Methyl 3-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-2-diazo-3-
butenoate $(0.84 \mathrm{~g}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was then added via syringe all at once. The resulting orange solution was stirred in refluxing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ for 16 hrs and then slowly cooled to room temperature. The Mukaiyama-Michael reactions were worked-up using one of two methods, either TBAF and $\mathrm{AcOH}(\operatorname{Method} \mathrm{A})$ or $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}($ Method B). For the synthesis of methyl 2-diazo-3-oxo-4-[(2S*,6S*)-4-oxo-6-styryltetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl] butanoate, TBAF and $\mathrm{AcOH}(\operatorname{method} \mathrm{A})$ were used for the work-up, as described below.

Mukaiyama-Michael reaction work-up using TBAF and AcOH- Method A. For diazoacetoacetate substrates where the aryl substituent is electron donating (methyl 2-diazo-3-oxo-4-((2S*,6S*)-4-oxo-6-styryl tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl) butanoate and methyl-2-diazo-4-((2S*,6S*)-6-(4-methoxystyryl)-4-oxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-3-oxo butanoate, TBAF was used for the work up to prevent the formation of elimination byproducts that were observed when the work-up was done with 4 N HCl . After the reaction was complete ( 16 hrs ), judged by TLC analysis, the DCM was evaporated under reduced pressure and the reaction was dissolved in 25 mL of tetrahydrofuran (THF). To that solution was added $\mathrm{AcOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and TBAF (1.0M THF solution, $15 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.1$ mmoles). The resulting solution was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hrs . The solution was quenched with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, then diluted with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM (20 mL x 3). The combined organic extract was washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure after filtration.

Mukaiyama-Michael reaction work-up using 4N HCl - Method B. This work-up was used for Methyl 2-diazo 4-((2S*,6S*) 6-(4-nitro-styryl) 4-oxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl) 3-oxo butanoate, based on (202 mg, 1.4 mmol ) of (E)-2-(4-nitrostyryl)-2H-pyran-
$4(3 \mathrm{H})$-one. After the reaction was complete ( 16 hrs ), judging by TLC analysis, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure then dissolved in $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ of tetrahydrofuran (THF). To that solution was added 6 mL of 4 N aqueous HCl solution dropwise. After 4 hrs the reaction was quenched by slow addition of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ until the reaction was neutralized, measured by pH paper. The resulting solution was extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure.

## Data Characterization for Mukaiyama-Michael products <br> Methyl 2-diazo-3-oxo-4-((2R*,6R*)-4-oxo-6-styryltetrahydro-2H-pyran-2yl)butanoate



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate: $90 \%$ to $70 \%$ hexane; orange solid ( $85 \%$ yield), based on a 2.2 mmol scale of ( $E$ )-2-styryl-2H-pyran- $4(3 H)$-one, using TBAF and AcOH (method A) for work-up. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.43-7.39 (comp, 2H), 7.36-7.32 (comp, 2H), 7.30-7.26 (m, 1H), 6.61 (dd, $J=$ $16.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99-4.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76-4.70(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78$ (ddd, $J=14.6,6.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.6,4.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.6$,
$4.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.6,9.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $205.9,188.9,161.5,136.0,133.3,128.6,128.1,127.7,126.6,73.1,68.0,52.3,46.8,45.1$, 45.0, missing diazo carbon. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1711,1649,2132\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$, 2952. HRMS (ESI + ): expected mass 343.1288 , found 343.1285 . M.p. $77-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Methyl 2-diazo-4-(( $\left.2 R^{*}, 6 R^{*}\right)$-6-(4-nitrostyryl)-4-oxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-3oxo butanoate


Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate: $90 \%$ to $60 \%$ hexane; yellow solid ( $88 \%$ yield), based on a 1.0 mmol scale of ( $E$ )-2-(4-nitrostyryl)-2 H -pyran-4(3H)-one, using 4 N HCl (method B) for work-up. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 8.19-8.17 (comp, 2H), 7.54-7.52 (comp, 2H), $6.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00-4.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{dq}, J=13.0,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{ddd}, J$ $=14.8,6.0,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.8,4.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.8,4.0$, $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.8,8.8,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 205.4$, $188.9,161.5,147.2,142.5,132.7,130.9,127.2,124.0,72.8,68.3,52.3,46.7,44.9,44.8$, missing diazo carbon. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1651$, 1710, $2141\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$, 2954. HRMS (ESI + ): expected mass 388.1139 , found 388.1143 . M.p. $131-132{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Methyl-2-diazo-4-((2R*,6R*)-6-(4-methoxystyryl)-4-oxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-3-oxo butanoate



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate: $90 \%$ to $70 \%$ hexane; yellow oil ( $98 \%$ yield), based on a 1.0 mmol scale of $(E)$-2-(4-methoxystyryl)-2H-pyran-4(3H)-one, using TBAF and AcOH (method A) for work-up. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.32-7.30 (comp, 2H), 6.84-6.82 (comp, 2H), $6.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $16.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92-4.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73-4.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.35 (dd, $J=16.0,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.72(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.4,6.0,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.4,4.4,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $14.4,3.8,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.38 (ddd, $J=14.4,9.0,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 206.1, 188.9, 161.5, 159.5, 132.8, 128.7, 127.8, 125.3, 113.9, 73.2, 67.8, 55.2, 52.2, 46.7, 45.1, 45.0, missing diazo carbon. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1651,1714,2138\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right), 2972$. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 373.1394, found 373.1401.

## Synthesis of Methyl 2-diazo-4-(6-(2,2-diphenylvinyl)-4-oxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-3-oxobutanoate



To a flame-dried, $25-\mathrm{mL}$ round bottom flask under nitrogen was added zinc triflate (4.2 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol})$, followed by 2-(2,2-diphenylvinyl)-2H-pyran-4(3H)-one $(0.32 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ that was dissolved in dry DCM ( 6.0 mL ). Methyl 3-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-2-diazo-3-butenoate ( $0.45 \mathrm{~g}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was then added via syringe all at once. The resulting orange solution was stirred and heated using an oil bath to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hrs and then slowly cooled to room temperature. After the reaction was complete, judged by TLC analysis, the DCM was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the reaction was dissolved in 10 mL of tetrahydrofuran (THF). To that solution was added AcOH ( 6.0 mL ) and TBAF (1.0M THF solution, $8.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmoles}$ ). The resulting solution was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hrs. The solution was quenched with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, then diluted with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic extract was washed with brine ( 10 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure after filtration.

## Methyl 2-diazo-4-(6-(2,2-diphenylvinyl)-4-oxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-3oxobutanoate



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate: $95 \%$ to $85 \%$ hexane; yellow oil ( $97 \%$ yield) (d.r. $90: 10$ ), based on a 1.2 mmol scale of 2-(2,2-diphenylvinyl)-2H-pyran-4(3H)-one, using TBAF and AcOH (method A) for work-up. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.42-7.36 (comp, 6H), 7.30-7.24 (comp, 14H), $6.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.2,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.4,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$3.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.4,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.62(\mathrm{comp}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.39(\mathrm{comp}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 206.3$ and 205.6, 188.8 and 188.7, 161.5 and 161.4, 146.8 and 146.0, 141.4 and 141.3, 138.6 and 138.6, 129.7 (2C), 128.3 and 128.1, 128.1 and 128.1, $127.9(2 \mathrm{C}), 127.7(2 \mathrm{C}), 126.7$ and $125.4,74.7$ and $70.7,72.0$ and $68.3,52.2(2 \mathrm{C}), 47.4$ and 46.7, 46.9 and $46.7,45.9$ and 45.0 , missing diazo carbon. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1649,1713$, $2134\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$, 2930. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 419.1601, found 419.1610.

## Synthesis of Methyl-2-diazo-3-oxo-4-((2R*,6R*)-4-oxo-6-(1,2,2-triphenylvinyl)tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)butanoate



To a flame-dried, $25-\mathrm{mL}$ round bottom flask under nitrogen was added zinc triflate (3.1 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.011 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), followed by 2-(1,2,2-triphenylvinyl)-2H-pyran-4(3H)-one ( $0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ that was dissolved in dry DCM $(6.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. Methyl 3-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-2-diazo-3-butenoate $(0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was then added via syringe all at once. The resulting orange solution was stirred and heated using an oil bath to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hrs and then slowly cooled to room temperature. After the reaction was complete, judged by TLC analysis, the DCM was evaporated under reduced pressure and the reaction was dissolved in 10 mL of tetrahydrofuran (THF). To that solution was added $\mathrm{AcOH}(6.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and TBAF (1.0 M THF solution, $8.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting solution was stirred at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hrs . The solution was quenched with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, then diluted with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The combined
organic extracts were washed with brine ( 10 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure after filtration.

## Methyl-2-diazo-3-oxo-4-((2R*,6R*)-4-oxo-6-(1,2,2-triphenylvinyl)tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)butanoate



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate: $95 \%$ to $80 \%$ hexane; yellow oil ( $98 \%$ yield), based on a 1.0 mmol scale of 2-(1,2,2-triphenylvinyl)-2H-pyran-4(3H)-one, using TBAF and AcOH (method A) for work-up. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 7.38-7.34 (comp, 2H), 7.30-7.23 (comp, 5H), 7.21-7.14 (comp, 3H), 7.03-6.96 (comp, 3H), 6.92-6.89 (comp, 2H), 4.97 (dd, $J=11.8,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{p}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.4,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $16.4,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.44$ (comp, 2H), 2.33 (ddd, $J=15.2,3.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.21 (ddd, $J=15.6,5.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 207.2, 188.7, 161.2, $144.3,141.5,141.2,137.8,137.8,131.3,130.0,129.1,128.3,127.7,127.4,127.2,126.9$, 126.4, 71.6, 69.3, 52.3, 45.2, 45.1, 43.3, missing diazo carbon. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1650,1715$, $2135\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$, 3019. HRMS (ESI + ): expected mass 495.1914, found 495.1921.

## Synthesis of Methyl 2-diazo-3-oxo-4-(4-oxochroman-2-yl)butanoate



To a flame-dried, $25-\mathrm{mL}$ round bottom flask under nitrogen was added zinc triflate (5.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol})$, followed by $4 H$-chromen-4-one ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) that was dissolved in dry DCM ( 4.0 mL ). Methyl 3-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-2-diazo-3-butenoate ( 0.53 $\mathrm{g}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was then added via syringe all at once. The resulting orange solution was stirred and heated using an oil bath to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hrs and then slowly cooled to room temperature. After the reaction was complete, judging by TLC analysis, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure then the residue was dissolved in (10 mL ) of tetrahydrofuran (THF). To that solution was added 4.0 mL of 4 N aqueous HCl solution dropwise. After 4 hrs the reaction was quenched by slow addition of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ until the reaction was neutralized, measured by pH paper. The resulting solution was extracted with DCM $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure.

## Methyl 2-diazo-3-oxo-4-(4-oxochroman-2-yl)butanoate



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate: $90 \%$ to $80 \%$ hexane; yellow solid ( $95 \%$ yield), based on a 1.4 mmol scale of 4 H -chromen-4-one, using $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(\operatorname{method} \mathrm{B})$ for work-up. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0$, $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.4,7.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06-4.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.8,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.8$, $5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78-2.76$ (comp, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 191.5, 188.2, 161.5, 161.1, 136.0, 126.9, 121.4, 120.8, 117.9, 73.5, 52.3, 44.7, 42.5, missing diazo carbon. IR
$\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1658,1683,2133\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$, 2930. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 289.0819, found 289.0825. M.p. $73-74^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## General Procedure for catalytic dinitrogen extrusion

The catalyst $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\text { oct })_{4}(9.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmoles})$ was transferred to a flame-dried two-neck flask and then dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(6.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. Methyl 2-diazo 3-oxo-4-((2S*,6S*)-4-oxo-6-styryltetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)butanoate ( $0.42 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmoles}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added dropwise to the reaction mixture via a syringe pump over two hrs. Once the addition was complete, the reaction mixture was left to stir in refluxing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ for an additional two hrs. After the reaction reached completion, judging by TLC analysis, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The catalyst $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\text { oct })_{4}$ was used for the decomposition reactions of all styryl and vinyl diazoacetoacetate substrates described in this chapter.

Data Characterization for Dinitrogen Extrusion Products
$\left(1 S^{*}, 2 R^{*}, 6 R^{*}\right)$-Methyl 4,8-dioxo-2-styryl-9-oxabicyclo[4.2.1]nonane-1-carboxylatemajor isomer


Isolated via preparative thin-layer chromatography (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate: $50 \%$ to $50 \%$ hexane, white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 7.35-7.24
(comp, 5H), $6.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16-5.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.8,10.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.78-2.69 (comp, 2H), 2.64-2.58 (comp, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $207.8,205.8,165.7,136.2,134.4,128.5,128.0,126.5,124.7,89.3,69.7,53.2,51.8,46.3$, 44.3, 41.9. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1699,1732,1760$. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 315.1227 , found 315.1235. M.p. $120-121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
( $1 S^{*}, 2 S^{*}, 6 R^{*}$ ) methyl 4,8-dioxo-2-styryl-9-oxabicyclo [4.2.1] nonane-1-carboxylate and ( $1 \mathrm{~S}^{*}, 2 \mathrm{R}^{*}, 8 \mathrm{~S}^{*}$ ) methyl 6,10-dioxo-2-phenyl-11-oxabicyclo[6.2.1]undec-3-ene-1carboxylate

[1,2]-minor

[2,3]-major

Isolated via preparative thin-layer chromatography (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate: $50 \%$ to $50 \%$ hexane. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )-minor isomer of the [1,2]Stevens $\delta 7.36-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.03-4.96 (m, 1H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.28-3.10 (m, 3H), 2.87-2.79 (m, 1H), 2.63-2.55 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )-major isomer of the [2,3]-sigmatropic $\delta 7.36-7.22(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03-4.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=19.7,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=19.7,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$-minor isomer of the [1,2]-Stevens $\delta 208.2,205.3,166.5,136.5,134.2$, $128.5,127.8,126.5,124.1,86.7,70.0,53.0,51.8,46.7,44.7,43.7 .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz ,
$\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )-major isomer of the $[2,3]$-sigmatropic $\delta 207.4,204.6,167.4,133.6,132.3,129.2$, $128.5,128.0,127.7,87.3,75.3,56.3,52.71,49.0,46.3,40.0$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of reaction mixture supporting the existence of the $\left(1 S^{*}, 2 S^{*}, 8 S^{*}\right)$ methyl 6,10-dioxo-2-phenyl-11-oxabicyclo[6.2.1]undec-3-ene-1-carboxylate-[2,3]minor isomer

[2,3]-minor

$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square}$




[2,3]-major
5.68


Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate: $90 \%$ to $70 \%$ hexane; white solid ( $50 \%$ yield), isolated from 0.53 mmol scale of methyl 2-diazo-4-(6-(2,2-diphenylvinyl)-4-oxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-3-oxobutanoate. Since this compound is the major isomer, the stereochemistry was assigned by analogy to the crystal structure of methyl $\left(1 S^{*}, 2 R^{*}, 6 R^{*}\right)$-4,8-dioxo-2-styryl-9-oxabicyclo[4.2.1]nonane-1-carboxylate ( $\mathbf{1 8 9}$-major isomer). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.55-7.48(\mathrm{comp}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.38-7.36 (comp, 4H), 7.29-7.26 (comp, 3H), $6.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10-5.05(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.6,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=20.4,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-2.24(\mathrm{comp}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 207.7, $205.5,165.9,146.1,141.7,138.9,129.2,128.5,128.1,127.8,127.7,127.6,123.0,88.8$, 69.6, 53.2, 51.8, 46.8, 42.2, 40.8. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1706,1733,1771,2920$. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 391.1540 , found 391.1529 . M.p. $187-188^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\left(1 S^{*}, 2 S^{*}, 6 R^{*}\right)$-Methyl-2-(2,2-diphenylvinyl)-4,8-dioxo-9-oxabicyclo[4.2.1]nonane-1-carboxylate- minor isomer


Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate: $90 \%$ to $70 \%$ hexane; white solid ( $23 \%$ yield), isolated from 0.53 mmol scale of methyl 2-diazo-4-[6-(2,2-diphenylvinyl)-4-oxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl]-3-oxobutanoate. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 7.55-7.44 (comp, 3H), 7.43-7.34 (comp, 5H), 7.26-7.23 (comp, 2H), 6.16
$(\mathrm{d}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{t}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{td}, J=11.6,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-2.88$ (comp, 2H), 2.52 (dd, $J=14.8,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.28(\mathrm{comp}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 208.2,205.1,166.0,145.0,141.8,138.9,129.3,128.5,128.2$, $127.7,127.6,127.6,122.9,86.3,69.6,52.9,51.7,47.5,43.7,41.9 . \operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1706,1733$, 1771, 2920. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 391.1540, found 391.1536. M.p. $136-137^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
( $1 S^{*}, 2 R^{*}, 6 R^{*}$ )-Methyl-4,8-dioxo-2-(1,2,2-triphenylvinyl)-9-oxabicyclo[4.2.1]nonane-1-carboxylate- major isomer


Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate: $90 \%$ to $70 \%$ hexane; white solid ( $66 \%$ yield), isolated from 0.43 mmol scale of Methyl-2-diazo-3-oxo-4-((2R*,6R*)-4-oxo-6-(1,2,2-triphenylvinyl)tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)butanoate.

Since this compound is the major isomer, the stereochemistry was assigned by analogy to the crystal structure of $\left(1 S^{*}, 2 R^{*}, 6 R^{*}\right)$-Methyl 4,8-dioxo-2-styryl-9-oxabicyclo[4.2.1]nonane-1-carboxylate (189-major isomer). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 7.48-7.35 (comp, 5H), 7.20-7.16 (comp, 5H), 7.01-6.96 (comp, 3H), 6.88-6.86 (comp, 2H), 5.00-4.96 (m, 1H), $4.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.6,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{t}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.6$, $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.6,13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 207.1,206.9,166.5,145.4,142.7,141.8,138.5,137.3,131.9,130.3$, 129.1, 128.6, 127.4, 127.4, 127.4, 127.0, 126.1, 88.8, 69.9, 53.1, 52.3, 46.2, 43.2, 39.9. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1706,1732,1771,2850$. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 467.1853, found 467.1865. M.p. $218-219{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\left(1 S^{*}, 2 S^{*}, 6 R^{*}\right)$-Methyl-4,8-dioxo-2-(1,2,2-triphenylvinyl)-9-oxabicyclo[4.2.1]nonane-1-carboxylate- minor isomer


Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate: $90 \%$ to $70 \%$ hexane; white solid ( $17 \%$ yield), isolated from 0.43 mmol scale of Methyl-2-diazo-3-oxo-4-((2R*,6R*)-4-oxo-6-(1,2,2-triphenylvinyl)tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)butanoate.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 7.42-7.39 (comp, 2H), 7.32-7.26 (comp, 5H), 7.20-7.14 (comp, 3H), 7.02-6.94 (comp, 5H), 4.80-4.76 (m, 1H), $4.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.2,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.2,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=19.2,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $16.0,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39$ (dd, $J=19.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 206.8,204.6,165.8,145.3$,
$142.1,141.7,137.6,137.3,131.7,129.7,128.7,128.7,127.4,127.3,127.2,126.9,126.1$, 86.6, 69.1, 52.9, 51.0, $47.5(2 \mathrm{C}), 42.71$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1700,1719,1742,1773,2850$. HRMS (ESI + ): expected mass 467.1853 , found 467.1863 . M.p. $210-211^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Dinitrogen extrusion reaction of Methyl 2-diazo-3-oxo-4-(4-oxochroman-2yl)butanoate


The catalyst $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(5.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.013$ mmoles $)$ was transferred to a flame-dried twoneck flask and then dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (7.0 mL). Methyl 2-diazo-3-oxo-4-(4-oxochroman-2-yl)butanoate $\left(0.36 \mathrm{~g}, 1.3\right.$ mmoles) was dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 7.0 mL ) and then was added dropwise to the reaction mixture via a syringe pump over two hrs. Once the addition was complete, the reaction mixture was left to stir in refluxing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ for an additional two hrs. After the reaction reached completion, judging by TLC analysis, it was cooled to room temperature, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure.

## 2-Methyl-4H-chromen-4-one



Purified by chromatography on silica gel (gradient elution: hexane/ethyl acetate: $100 \%$ to $60 \%$ hexane; white solid ( $71 \%$ yield), isolated from a 1.3 mmol scale of Methyl 2-diazo-3-oxo-4-(4-oxochroman-2-yl)butanoate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.16$ (dd, $J=8.0$,
$1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62$ (dtd, $J=8.4,1.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.33(\mathrm{comp}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.37 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 178.2,166.1,156.4,133.4,125.6,124.9$, 123.5, 117.7, 110.5, 20.6. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 1572, 1627, 2919, 3057. HRMS (ESI+): expected mass 161.0597 , found 161.0606 . M.p. $67-68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

HPLC Analysis: $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{II})$ decomposition reaction of diazoacetoacetate 203a.


HPLC of reaction mixture: conditions: Silica column, $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$, Hexane: $\mathrm{PrOH} 70: 30$





HPLC Analysis: $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{II})$ decomposition reaction of diazoacetoacetate 203 b .


HPLC of reaction mixture: conditions: Silica column, $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$, Hexane: $\mathrm{iPrOH} 70: 30$
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## 2.6 (c) Crystal Structure Data

Crystal No. \& ID : 238-[1,2] Major Product
Compound name : styryl[1,2] major product
Chemical formula : $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}[\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]: \mathbf{3 . 7 0} \%$


Figure 1. A view of UM\#1975 showing the anisotropic atomic displacement ellipsoids for the nonhydrogen atoms at the $30 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms are displayed with an arbitrarily small radius.

Crystals were obtained by dissolving 10 mg of white solid 238-[1,2]-major isomer with minimum amount of ethyl ether:DCM (10:1). A colorless prism of $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, approximate dimensions $0.27 \times 0.40 \times 0.44 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$, was used for the X-ray crystallographic analysis. The X-ray intensity data were measured at $150(2){ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ on a three-circle diffractometer system equipped with Bruker Smart Apex II CCD area detector using a graphite monochromator and a MoK $\alpha$ fine-focus sealed tube $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$. The detector was placed at a distance of 5.000 cm from the crystal.

A total of 1518 frames were collected with a scan width of $-0.5^{\circ}$ an exposure time of
$10 \mathrm{sec} /$ frame using Apex2 (Bruker, 2005). The total data collection time was 6.7 hours. The frames were integrated with Apex2 software package using a narrow-frame integration algorithm. The integration of the data using a Monoclinic unit cell yielded a total of 21226 reflections to a maximum $\theta$ angle of $30.00^{\circ}$, of which 4364 were independent (completeness $\left.=100.0 \%, \mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=2.17 \%, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sig }}=1.61 \%\right)$ and 3892 were greater than $2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$. The final cell dimensions of $a=13.8355(15) \AA, b=10.4469(11) \AA, c=$ $10.3765(11) \AA, \alpha=90^{\circ}, \beta=95.847(2)^{\circ}, \lambda=90^{\circ}, V=1492.0(3) \AA^{3}$, are based upon the refinement of the XYZ-centroids of 11430 reflections with $2.3<\theta<32.4^{\circ}$ using Apex2 software. Analysis of the data showed $0 \%$ decay during data collection. Data were corrected for absorption effects with the Semi-empirical from equivalents method using SADABS (Sheldrick, 1996). The minimum and maximum transmission coefficients were 0.898 and 0.973 .

The structure was solved and refined using the SHELXS-97 (Sheldrick, 1990) and SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick, 1997) software in the space group $P 2_{1} / c$ with $Z=4$ for the formula unit $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. The final anisotropic full-matrix least-squares refinement on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ with 280 variables converged at $\mathrm{R}_{1}=3.70 \%$ for the observed data and $w \mathrm{R}_{2}=7.54 \%$ for all data. The goodness-of-fit was 1.001 . The largest peak on the final difference map was $0.424 \mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}$ and the largest hole was $-0.191 \mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}$. On the basis of the final model, the calculated density was $1.399 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{F}(000)$, $664 \overline{\mathrm{e}}$.

## Comments:

- H-atoms: all refined
- Residual density: in the middle of the bonds


Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for UM\#1975.

X-ray lab book No.
Crystal ID
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal size
Crystal habit
Crystal system
Space group
Unit cell dimensions

## Volume

Z
Density, $\rho_{\text {calc }}$
Absorption coefficient, $\mu$
F(000)
Diffractometer
Radiation source
Detector distance
Data collection method

1975
Doyle/Jaber styryl-[1,2] Major Product 150K
$\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
314.32

150(2) K
$0.71073 \AA$
$0.44 \times 0.40 \times 0.27 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
colorless prism
Monoclinic
$\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$
$a=13.8355(15) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$
$b=10.4469(11) \AA \quad \beta=95.847(2)^{\circ}$
$c=10.3765(11) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$
1492.0(3) $\AA^{3}$

4
$1.399 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
$0.102 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
664 e
Bruker Smart Apex II CCD area detector fine-focus sealed tube, $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha$
5.000 cm
$\omega$ and $\varphi$ scans

| Total frames | 1518 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Frame size | 512 pixels |
| Frame width | $-0.5^{\circ}$ |
| Exposure per frame | 10 sec |
| Total measurement time | 6.7 hours |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 2.45 to $30.00^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $-19 \leq h \leq 19,-14 \leq k \leq 14,-14 \leq l \leq 14$ |
| Reflections collected | 21226 |
| Independent reflections | 4364 |
| Observed reflection, $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ | 3892 |
| Coverage of independent reflections | 100.0 \% |
| Variation in check reflections | 0 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents SADABS (Sheldrick, 1996) |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.973 and 0.898 |
| Structure solution technique | direct |
| Structure solution program | SHELXS-97 (Sheldrick, 1990) |
| Refinement technique | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Refinement program | SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick, 1997) |
| Function minimized | $\Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 4364 / 0 / 280 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.001 |
| $\Delta / \sigma_{\text {max }}$ | 0.001 |
| Final R indices: $\quad \mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ | 0.0370 |
| $w_{2}$, all data | 0.0754 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0217 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {sig }}$ | 0.0161 |
| Weighting scheme | $\mathrm{w}=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.01 \mathrm{P})^{2}+0.967 \mathrm{P}\right], \mathrm{P}=\left[\max \left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}, 0\right)+\right.$ |
| $\left.2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right] / 3$ |  |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.424 and -0.191 e/ $\AA^{3}$ |

Table 2. Atomic coordinates and equivalent ${ }^{*}$ isotropic atomic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ ) for UM\#1975.

| Atom | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ | $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| C 1 |  |  |  | $0.0248(2)$ |
| C 2 |  |  |  |  |
| C3 | $1.06245(8)$ | $0.94377(11)$ | $1.18630(11)$ | $0.0274(2)$ |
| C4 | $1.13682(8)$ | $0.96125(11)$ | $1.28675(11)$ | $0.0271(2)$ |
| C5 | $1.13592(8)$ | $0.86940(12)$ | $1.31305(11)$ | $0.0268(2)$ |
| C6 | $1.06352(7)$ | $0.76010(11)$ | $1.23712(11)$ | $0.0230(2)$ |
| C7 | $0.98955(7)$ | $0.74275(10)$ | $1.13564(11)$ | $0.01964(18)$ |
| C8 | $0.91182(7)$ | $0.83335(10)$ | $1.10995(9)$ | $0.02146(19)$ |
| C9 | $0.82883(7)$ | $0.80748(10)$ | $1.00491(10)$ | $0.01974(18)$ |
| C10 | $0.75187(7)$ | $0.87178(10)$ | $0.98407(10)$ | $0.01721(17)$ |
| C11 | $0.76167(7)$ | $0.85390(9)$ | $0.87162(9)$ | $0.01987(19)$ |
| O11 | $0.74796(8)$ | $0.72880(10)$ | $0.79481(9)$ | $0.0223(2)$ |
| C12 | $0.81451(7)$ | $0.60376(10)$ | $0.86530(10)$ | $0.0421(2)$ |
| C13 | $0.64971(8)$ | $0.52877(9)$ | $0.88621(11)$ | $0.02179(19)$ |
| O13 | $0.57500(7)$ | $0.56535(10)$ | $0.90501(10)$ | $0.01818(18)$ |
| C14 | $0.61997(5)$ | $0.66940(9)$ | $0.91886(9)$ | $0.01752(14)$ |
|  | $0.52857(7)$ | $0.77421(7)$ | $0.99620(6)$ | $0.01994(19)$ |


| C15 | $0.57558(7)$ | $0.86082(10)$ | $0.78952(9)$ | $0.01774(18)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O15 | $0.56332(6)$ | $0.94013(7)$ | $0.70542(7)$ | $0.02427(16)$ |
| C16 | $0.64779(7)$ | $0.87193(9)$ | $0.91301(9)$ | $0.01562(17)$ |
| C17 | $0.63715(7)$ | $0.99952(9)$ | $0.98182(9)$ | $0.01768(18)$ |
| O17 | $0.60443(6)$ | $1.01227(8)$ | $1.08394(7)$ | $0.02853(18)$ |
| O18 | $0.67028(6)$ | $1.09443(7)$ | $0.91315(7)$ | $0.02395(16)$ |
| C19 | $0.66514(9)$ | $1.22066(11)$ | $0.96847(12)$ | $0.0282(2)$ |
| H1 | $0.9424(11)$ | $1.0108(14)$ | $1.1700(14)$ | $0.035(4)$ |
| H2 | $1.0666(11)$ | $1.0380(15)$ | $1.3377(14)$ | $0.036(4)$ |
| H3 | $1.1872(11)$ | $0.8818(14)$ | $1.3852(14)$ | $0.036(4)$ |
| H4 | $1.1865(11)$ | $0.6944(14)$ | $1.2543(14)$ | $0.035(4)$ |
| H5 | $1.0638(10)$ | $0.6673(14)$ | $1.0843(13)$ | $0.029(3)$ |
| H7 | $0.9247(10)$ | $0.7361(14)$ | $0.9479(13)$ | $0.031(4)$ |
| H8 | $0.8171(10)$ | $0.9411(14)$ | $1.0402(14)$ | $0.032(4)$ |
| H9 | $0.7591(9)$ | $0.9238(12)$ | $0.8108(12)$ | $0.019(3)$ |
| H10A | $0.8263(10)$ | $0.7269(13)$ | $0.7649(13)$ | $0.025(3)$ |
| H10B | $0.7152(9)$ | $0.7309(13)$ | $0.7161(13)$ | $0.025(3)$ |
| H12A | $0.6623(10)$ | $0.5215(14)$ | $0.9852(14)$ | $0.032(4)$ |
| H12B | $0.6235(10)$ | $0.5018(14)$ | $0.8435(14)$ | $0.031(4)$ |
| H13 | $0.5262(8)$ | $0.6331(11)$ | $0.9700(11)$ | $0.015(3)$ |
| H14A | $0.5390(10)$ | $0.6828(13)$ | $0.7173(13)$ | $0.027(3)$ |
| H14B | $0.4597(10)$ | $0.7439(13)$ | $0.7976(13)$ | $0.029(4)$ |
| H19A | $0.5969(12)$ | $1.2486(15)$ | $0.9623(15)$ | $0.043(4)$ |
| H19B | $0.7029(11)$ | $1.2741(15)$ | $0.9172(15)$ | $0.039(4)$ |
| H19C | $0.6911(11)$ | $1.2199(15)$ | $1.0603(15)$ | $0.041(4)$ |

* $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.

Table 3. Anisotropic atomic displacement parameters* $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ for UM\#1975.

| Atom | $\mathrm{U}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{23}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 | 0.0214(5) | 0.0228(5) | 0.0297(5) | -0.0017(4) | -0.0003(4) | 0.0026(4) |
| C2 | 0.0254(5) | 0.0262(5) | $0.0299(5)$ | -0.0060(4) | -0.0005(4) | -0.0002(4) |
| C3 | 0.0227(5) | 0.0310(6) | 0.0263(5) | -0.0002(4) | -0.0037(4) | -0.0009(4) |
| C4 | 0.0211(5) | 0.0275(5) | $0.0309(5)$ | 0.0013(4) | -0.0022(4) | 0.0045(4) |
| C5 | 0.0202(5) | 0.0227(5) | 0.0258(5) | -0.0015(4) | 0.0014(4) | 0.0023(4) |
| C6 | 0.0169(4) | 0.0218(5) | 0.0202(4) | 0.0018(4) | 0.0023(3) | -0.0003(3) |
| C7 | 0.0204(5) | 0.0231(5) | 0.0207(4) | -0.0009(4) | 0.0011(4) | 0.0011(4) |
| C8 | 0.0192(4) | 0.0216(5) | 0.0183(4) | -0.0011(4) | 0.0016(3) | 0.0001(4) |
| C9 | 0.0175(4) | 0.0188(4) | $0.0155(4)$ | 0.0012(3) | 0.0024(3) | 0.0022(3) |
| C10 | 0.0203(4) | 0.0236(5) | 0.0159(4) | -0.0026(4) | 0.0027(3) | 0.0042(4) |
| C11 | 0.0242(5) | 0.0207(5) | $0.0215(5)$ | -0.0047(4) | 0.0004(4) | 0.0043(4) |
| O11 | 0.0314(5) | 0.0283(4) | 0.0675(7) | 0.0075(4) | 0.0092(4) | 0.0131(4) |
| C12 | 0.0253(5) | 0.0173(4) | $0.0223(5)$ | -0.0003(4) | 0.0003(4) | 0.0022(4) |
| C13 | 0.0194(4) | 0.0179(4) | 0.0169(4) | -0.0010(3) | 0.0002(3) | -0.0008(3) |
| O13 | 0.0219(3) | 0.0171(3) | 0.0133(3) | 0.0006(2) | 0.0006(2) | -0.0014(3) |
| C14 | 0.0188(4) | 0.0230(5) | 0.0172(4) | -0.0013(4) | -0.0020(3) | 0.0010(4) |
| C15 | 0.0177(4) | $0.0215(4)$ | 0.0139(4) | -0.0019(3) | 0.0012(3) | 0.0051(3) |
| O15 | 0.0305(4) | 0.0250(4) | 0.0167(3) | 0.0023(3) | -0.0008(3) | 0.0079(3) |
| C16 | 0.0178(4) | 0.0161(4) | 0.0129(4) | 0.0006(3) | 0.0012(3) | 0.0018(3) |
| C17 | 0.0170(4) | 0.0181(4) | 0.0174(4) | -0.0012(3) | -0.0009(3) | 0.0019(3) |


| O17 | $0.0407(5)$ | $0.0247(4)$ | $0.0217(4)$ | $-0.0047(3)$ | $0.0105(3)$ | $0.0006(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O18 | $0.0312(4)$ | $0.0161(3)$ | $0.0255(4)$ | $-0.0004(3)$ | $0.0074(3)$ | $0.0012(3)$ |
| C19 | $0.0344(6)$ | $0.0178(5)$ | $0.0320(6)$ | $-0.0037(4)$ | $0.0021(5)$ | $0.0007(4)$ |

${ }^{*}$ The anisotropic atomic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[\mathrm{~h}^{2} \mathrm{a}^{* 2} \mathrm{U}_{11}+\ldots+2 \mathrm{hka}{ }^{*} \mathrm{~b}^{*} \mathrm{U}_{12}\right]$
Table 5. Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for UM\#1975.

| C1-C2 | 1.3867(15) | C1-C6 | 1.3977(15) | C1-H1 | 0.987(15) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C2-C3 | $1.3849(16)$ | C2-H2 | 0.959(15) | C3-C4 1 | 1.3867(16) |
| C3-H3 | 0.977(15) | C4-C5 | $1.3893(15)$ | C4-H4 | 0.983(15) |
| C5-C6 | $1.3996(14)$ | C5-H5 | 0.952(14) | C6-C7 1 | $1.4760(14)$ |
| C7-C8 | $1.3289(14)$ | C7-H7 | 0.979(14) | C8-C9 1 | $1.5089(14)$ |
| C8-H8 | 0.953(14) | C9-C10 | 1.5440(14) | C9-C16 1 | $1.5555(13)$ |
| C9-H9 | 0.976(13) | C10-C11 | $1.5185(15)$ | C10-H10A | 0.976(13) |
| C10-H10B | 0.986(13) | C11-O11 | $1.2115(13)$ | C11-C12 1 | $1.5142(15)$ |
| C12-C13 | $1.5170(14)$ | C12-H12A | 0.950(15) | C12-H12B | 0.966(14) |
| C13-O13 | $1.4589(12)$ | C13-C14 | $1.5281(14)$ | C13-H13 | 0.978(12) |
| O13-C16 | 1.4153(11) | C14-C15 | 1.5077(14) | C14-H14A | 0.964(14) |
| C14-H14B | 0.967(14) | C15-O15 | 1.2028(12) | C15-C16 | 1.5468(13) |
| C16-C17 | $1.5264(13)$ | C17-O17 | $1.2014(12)$ | C17-O18 1 | 1.3294(12) |
| O18-C19 | 1.4428(13) | C19-H19A | 0.984(16) | C19-H19B | 0.961(16) |
| C19-H19C | 0.983(16) |  |  |  |  |
| C2-C1-C6 | 120.76(10) | C2-C1-H1 | 118.6(9) | C6-C1-H1 | 120.6(9) |
| C3-C2-C1 | 120.46(11) | C3-C2-H2 | 119.8(9) | C1-C2-H2 | 119.7(9) |
| C2-C3-C4 | 119.58(10) | C2-C3-H3 | 119.8(9) | C4-C3-H3 | 120.6(9) |
| C3-C4-C5 | 120.14(10) | C3-C4-H4 | 120.4(9) | C5-C4-H4 | 119.4(9) |
| C4-C5-C6 | 120.86(10) | C4-C5-H5 | 119.2(8) | C6-C5-H5 | 119.9(8) |
| C1-C6-C5 | 118.16(9) | C1-C6-C7 | 123.12(9) | C5-C6-C7 | 118.72(9) |
| C8-C7-C6 | 125.45(10) | C8-C7-H7 | 119.6(8) | C6-C7-H7 | 114.9(8) |
| C7-C8-C9 | 126.38(9) | C7-C8-H8 | 118.8(9) | C9-C8-H8 | 114.6(9) |
| C8-C9-C10 | 114.32(8) | C8-C9-C16 | 111.82(8) | C10-C9-C16 | 112.17(8) |
| C8-C9-H9 | 107.3(7) | C10-C9-H9 | 106.2(7) | C16-C9-H9 | 104.2(7) |
| C11-C10-C9 | 117.24(8) | C11-C10-H10A | 107.4(8) | C9-C10-H10A | 108.2(8) |
| C11-C10-H10B | 108.3(8) | C9-C10-H10B | 109.0(8) | H10A-C10-H10B | B 106.1(11) |
| O11-C11-C12 | 117.83(10) | O11-C11-C10 | 120.84(10) | C12-C11-C10 | 121.24(9) |
| C11-C12-C13 | 118.37(9) | C11-C12-H12A | 106.1(9) | C13-C12-H12A | 109.2(9) |
| C11-C12-H12B | 106.8(8) | C13-C12-H12B | 110.0(8) | H12A-C12-H12B | B 105.7(12) |
| O13-C13-C12 | 109.47(8) | O13-C13-C14 | 105.65(8) | C12-C13-C14 | 116.91(8) |
| O13-C13-H13 | 105.8(7) | C12-C13-H13 | 106.8(7) | C14-C13-H13 | 111.7(7) |
| C16-O13-C13 | 109.46(7) | C15-C14-C13 | 105.02(8) | C15-C14-H14A | 110.0(8) |
| C13-C14-H14A | 113.3(8) | C15-C14-H14B | 107.8(8) | C13-C14-H14B | 110.9(8) |
| H14A-C14-H14B | 109.7(11) | O15-C15-C14 | 127.80(9) | O15-C15-C16 | 125.51(9) |
| C14-C15-C16 | 106.64(8) | O13-C16-C17 | 107.43(7) | O13-C16-C15 | 104.97(7) |
| C17-C16-C15 | 111.59(8) | O13-C16-C9 | 113.46(7) | C17-C16-C9 | 111.78(8) |
| C15-C16-C9 | 107.47(7) | O17-C17-O18 | 124.86(9) | O17-C17-C16 | 124.91(9) |
| O18-C17-C16 | 110.22(8) | C17-O18-C19 | 115.77(8) | O18-C19-H19A | 109.3(9) |
| O18-C19-H19B | 105.1(9) | H19A-C19-H19B | 111.3(13) | O18-C19-H19C | 110.5(9) |
| H19A-C19-H19C | 108.6(13) | H19B-C19-H19C | 112.0(13) |  |  |
| C6-C1-C2-C3 | 0.11(18) | C1-C2-C3-C4 | 0.83(18) | C2-C3-C4-C5 | -0.24(18) |
| C3-C4-C5-C6 | -1.29(17) | C2-C1-C6-C5 | -1.59(16) | C2-C1-C6-C7 | 177.73(10) |
| C4-C5-C6-C1 | 2.18 (16) | C4-C5-C6-C7 | -177.17(10) | C1-C6-C7-C8 | -11.94(17) |
| C5-C6-C7-C8 | 167.38(11) | C6-C7-C8-C9 | 174.92(10) | C7-C8-C9-C10 | 15.17(15) |
| C7-C8-C9-C16 | 144.00(10) | C8-C9-C10-C11 | 65.06(11) | C16-C9-C10-C11 | $1-63.59(11)$ |
| C9-C10-C11-O11 | -115.23(12) | C9-C10-C11-C12 | 68.22(12) | O11-C11-C12-C1 |  |
| 161.37(10) | C10-C11-C12-C13 | -21.98(14) | C11-C12-C13-O13 | -48.28(12)C11-C | C12-C13-C14 |
| 71.74(12) | C12-C13-O13-C16 | 97.61(9) | C14-C13-O13-C16 | -29.09(10)O13-C | C13-C14-C15 |


| 16.92(10) | $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{C} 15$ | $-105.11(10)$ | $\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{O} 15$ | 177.05(10) | $\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{C} 15-$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C16 | $-0.46(10)$ | C13-O13-C16-C17 | $147.29(7)$ | C13-O13-C16-C15 28.39(9) |  |
| C13-O13-C16-C9 | $-88.65(9)$ | O15-C15-C16-O13 | $165.79(9)$ | C14-C15-C16-O13-16.62(9) |  |
| O15-C15-C16-C17 | $49.74(13)$ | C14-C15-C16-C17 | $-132.68(8)$ | O15-C15-C16-C9 -73.14(12) |  |
| C14-C15-C16-C9 | $104.44(9)$ | C8-C9-C16-O13 | $-68.23(10)$ | C10-C9-C16-O13 $61.73(10)$ |  |
| C8-C9-C16-C17 | $53.43(10)$ | C10-C9-C16-C17 | $-176.61(8)$ | C8-C9-C16-C15 | $176.20(8)$ |
| C10-C9-C16-C15 | $-53.84(10)$ | O13-C16-C17-O17 | $-4.71(13)$ | C15-C16-C17-O17 |  |
| $109.83(11)$ | C9-C16-C17-O17 | $-129.78(10)$ | O13-C16-C17-O18 | $174.62(8) \mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{C} 17-\mathrm{O} 18$ |  |
| $-70.84(10)$ | C9-C16-C17-O18 | $49.55(10)$ | O17-C17-O18-C19 | $-0.13(15) \mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{C} 17-\mathrm{O} 18-\mathrm{C} 19$ |  |
| $-179.46(9)$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Crystal Structure Data

| Crystal No. \& ID | $: \mathbf{2 3 7}$-styryl-[2,3]-minor product |
| :---: | :--- |
| Compound name | : styryl-[2,3]-minor product |
| Chemical formula | $: \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ |
| Final $\mathrm{R}_{1}[\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$ | $: \mathbf{3 . 7 8 \%}$ |



Figure 1. A view of UM\#1993 showing the anisotropic atomic displacement ellipsoids for the nonhydrogen atoms the $30 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms are displayed with an arbitrarily small radius.

Crystals were obtained by dissolving 10 mg of white solid 237-[2,3]-minor isomer with minimum amount of ethyl ether:DCM (10:1). A colorless prism of $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, approximate dimensions $0.20 \times 0.32 \times 0.35 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$, was used for the X-ray crystallographic analysis. The X-ray intensity data were measured at $150(2){ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ on a three-circle diffractometer system equipped with Bruker Smart Apex II CCD area detector using a graphite monochromator and a $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha$ fine-focus sealed tube $(\theta=0.71073 \AA)$. The detector was placed at a distance of 5.000 cm from the crystal.

A total of 3030 frames were collected with a scan width of $-0.30^{\circ}$ an exposure time of $15 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{frame}$ using Apex2 (Bruker, 2005). The total data collection time was 17.7 hours. The frames were integrated with Apex2 software package using a narrow-frame
integration algorithm. The integration of the data using a Monoclinic unit cell yielded a total of 24755 reflections to a maximum $\theta$ angle of $30.00^{\circ}$, of which 4363 were independent (completeness $\left.=99.9 \%, \mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=1.86 \%, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sig }}=1.19 \%\right)$ and 4007 were greater than $2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$. The final cell dimensions of $a=6.4080(5) \AA, b=32.530(3) \AA, c=7.8956(6)$ $\AA, \alpha=90^{\circ}, \beta=113.8418(12)^{\circ}, \lambda=90^{\circ}, V=1505.4(2) \AA^{3}$, are based upon the refinement of the XYZ-centroids of 15412 reflections with $2.5<\theta<31.1^{\circ}$ using Apex2 software. Analysis of the data showed $0 \%$ decay during data collection. Data were corrected for absorption effects with the Semi-empirical from equivalents method using SADABS (Sheldrick, 1996). The minimum and maximum transmission coefficients were 0.916 and 0.980 .

The structure was solved and refined using the SHELXS-97 (Sheldrick, 1990) and SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick, 1997) software in the space group $P 2_{1} / n$ with $Z=4$ for the formula unit $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. The final anisotropic full-matrix least-squares refinement on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ with 268 variables converged at $\mathrm{R}_{1}=3.78 \%$ for the observed data and $w \mathrm{R}_{2}=7.44 \%$ for all data. The goodness-of-fit was 1.000 . The largest peak on the final difference map was $0.425 \overline{\mathrm{e}} / \AA^{3}$ and the largest hole was $-0.197 \overline{\mathrm{e}} / \AA^{3}$. On the basis of the final model, the calculated density was $1.387 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{F}(000)$, $664 \overline{\mathrm{e}}$.


Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for UM\#1993.

X-ray lab book No.
Crystal ID
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal size
Crystal habit
Crystal system
Space group
Unit cell dimensions

Volume
Z
Density, $\rho_{\text {calc }}$
Absorption coefficient, $\mu$
F(000)
Diffractometer
Radiation source
Detector distance
Data collection method
Total frames

[^68]| Frame size | 512 pixels |
| :---: | :---: |
| Frame width | $-0.30^{\circ}$ |
| Exposure per frame | 15 sec |
| Total measurement time | 17.7 hours |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 2.50 to $30.00^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $-9 \leq h \leq 9,-44 \leq k \leq 45,-11 \leq l \leq 11$ |
| Reflections collected | 24755 |
| Independent reflections | 4363 |
| Observed reflection, $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ | 4007 |
| Coverage of independent reflections | 99.9 \% |
| Variation in check reflections | 0 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents SADABS (Sheldrick, 1996) |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.980 and 0.916 |
| Structure solution technique | direct |
| Structure solution program | SHELXS-97 (Sheldrick, 1990) |
| Refinement technique | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Refinement program | SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick, 1997) |
| Function minimized | $\Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 4363 / 0 / 268 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.000 |
| $\Delta / \sigma_{\text {max }}$ | 0.000 |
| Final R indices: $\quad \mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ | 0.0378 |
| $\mathrm{wR}_{2}$, all data | 0.0744 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0186 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {sig }}$ | 0.0119 |
| Weighting scheme | $\mathrm{w}=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.01 \mathrm{P})^{2}+1.001 \mathrm{P}\right], \mathrm{P}=\left[\max \left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}, 0\right)+\right.$ |
| $\left.2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right] / 3$ |  |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.425 and $-0.197 \overline{\mathrm{e}} / \AA^{3}$ |

$$
\mathrm{R}_{1}=\Sigma| | \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right| / \Sigma\right| \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}} \mid, \quad \mathrm{wR}_{2}=\left[\Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)^{2} / \Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

Table 2. Atomic coordinates and equivalent ${ }^{*}$ isotropic atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ for UM\#1993.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atom | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ | $\mathrm{U}_{\text {eq }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| C1 | $0.95413(18)$ | $0.19039(3)$ | $0.11266(15)$ | $0.0226(2)$ |
| C2 | $0.9643(2)$ | $0.23159(3)$ | $0.06813(16)$ | $0.0279(2)$ |
| C3 | $1.1683(2)$ | $0.24891(4)$ | $0.08173(16)$ | $0.0290(2)$ |
| C4 | $1.3628(2)$ | $0.22478(4)$ | $0.13989(16)$ | $0.0275(2)$ |
| C5 | $1.35468(18)$ | $0.18361(3)$ | $0.18472(14)$ | $0.0220(2)$ |
| C6 | $1.14969(17)$ | $0.16591(3)$ | $0.17052(13)$ | $0.01751(18)$ |
| C7 | $1.15061(16)$ | $0.12039(3)$ | $0.21219(13)$ | $0.01637(17)$ |
| C8 | $1.07402(17)$ | $0.09322(3)$ | $0.04235(14)$ | $0.01812(18)$ |
| C9 | $0.91109(18)$ | $0.10294(3)$ | $-0.12080(14)$ | $0.01978(19)$ |
| C10 | $0.78307(19)$ | $0.06983(3)$ | $-0.25793(14)$ | $0.0228(2)$ |
| C11 | $0.68420(17)$ | $0.04100(3)$ | $-0.15808(13)$ | $0.01944(18)$ |
| O11 | $0.72066(15)$ | $0.00427(2)$ | $-0.15027(12)$ | $0.02797(17)$ |
| C12 | $0.54134(17)$ | $0.05929(3)$ | $-0.06222(14)$ | $0.01891(18)$ |
| C13 | $0.65763(16)$ | $0.06689(3)$ | $0.14885(14)$ | $0.01768(18)$ |
| O13 | $0.75886(11)$ | $0.10798(2)$ | $0.18641(10)$ | $0.01745(14)$ |
| C14 | $0.84268(18)$ | $0.03678(3)$ | $0.26469(14)$ | $0.01962(19)$ |
| C15 | $1.04337(17)$ | $0.06303(3)$ | $0.38109(13)$ | $0.01799(18)$ |


| O15 | $1.21686(14)$ | $0.05186(2)$ | $0.50497(11)$ | $0.02662(17)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C16 | $0.99057(16)$ | $0.10790(3)$ | $0.31076(13)$ | $0.01592(17)$ |
| C17 | $1.02132(17)$ | $0.13641(3)$ | $0.47396(13)$ | $0.01853(18)$ |
| O17 | $0.86760(14)$ | $0.14971(3)$ | $0.50718(11)$ | $0.02783(17)$ |
| O18 | $1.24270(13)$ | $0.14347(2)$ | $0.57415(10)$ | $0.02503(16)$ |
| C19 | $1.2942(2)$ | $0.16958(4)$ | $0.73473(16)$ | $0.0292(2)$ |

${ }_{* *}^{*} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.
** Occupation factor for $\mathrm{H} 19 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{H} 19 \mathrm{C}=0.802(17)$ and $\mathrm{H} 19 \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H} 19 \mathrm{~F}=0.198$ (17)
Table 2a. Hydrogen atom coordinates and isotropic atomic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ ) for UM\#1993.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Atom | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ | $\mathrm{U}_{\text {iso }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| H1 | $0.812(2)$ | $0.1789(4)$ | $0.1026(19)$ | $0.031(4)$ |
| H2 | $0.828(3)$ | $0.2477(5)$ | $0.026(2)$ | $0.037(4)$ |
| H3 | $1.173(3)$ | $0.2768(5)$ | $0.051(2)$ | $0.036(4)$ |
| H4 | $1.505(3)$ | $0.2363(5)$ | $0.150(2)$ | $0.035(4)$ |
| H5 | $1.490(2)$ | $0.1671(4)$ | $0.226(2)$ | $0.030(4)$ |
| H7 | $1.305(2)$ | $0.1133(4)$ | $0.2989(17)$ | $0.017(3)$ |
| H8 | $1.125(2)$ | $0.0653(4)$ | $0.0652(17)$ | $0.020(3)$ |
| H9 | $0.855(2)$ | $0.1305(4)$ | $-0.1461(18)$ | $0.026(3)$ |
| H10A | $0.882(2)$ | $0.0538(4)$ | $-0.302(2)$ | $0.031(3)$ |
| H10B | $0.659(2)$ | $0.0820(4)$ | $-0.363(2)$ | $0.031(3)$ |
| H12A | $0.421(2)$ | $0.0396(4)$ | $-0.0796(18)$ | $0.022(2)$ |
| H12B | $0.477(2)$ | $0.0853(4)$ | $-0.1205(18)$ | $0.022(2)$ |
| H13 | $0.536(2)$ | $0.0674(4)$ | $0.1936(17)$ | $0.019(3)$ |
| H14A | $0.795(2)$ | $0.0189(4)$ | $0.3428(19)$ | $0.030(3)$ |
| H14B | $0.896(2)$ | $0.0185(4)$ | $0.191(2)$ | $0.030(3)$ |
| H19A | 1.2052 | 0.1950 | 0.0375 | $0.032(3)$ |
| H19B | 1.4573 | 0.1762 | 0.7883 | $0.032(3)$ |
| H19C | 1.2551 | 0.1552 | 0.8269 | $0.032(3)$ |
| H19D | 1.4065 | 0.1559 | $0.0343(3)$ |  |
| H19E | 1.1544 | 0.1747 | 0.7535 | $0.032(3)$ |
| H19F | 1.3566 | 0.1958 | $0.032(3)$ |  |

Table 3. Anisotropic atomic displacement parameters* ${ }^{*} \AA^{2}$ ) for UM\#1993.

| Atom | $\mathrm{U}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{23}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 | 0.0228(5) | 0.0202(5) | 0.0242(5) | 0.0019(4) | 0.0089(4) | 0.0004(4) |
| C2 | 0.0334(6) | 0.0205(5) | 0.0277(5) | 0.0038(4) | 0.0102(5) | 0.0043(4) |
| C3 | 0.0418(7) | 0.0189(5) | 0.0240(5) | 0.0013(4) | 0.0109(5) | -0.0054(4) |
| C4 | 0.0313(6) | 0.0259(5) | 0.0249(5) | -0.0025(4) | 0.0109(5) | -0.0110(4) |
| C5 | 0.0217(5) | 0.0229(5) | 0.0205(5) | -0.0025(4) | 0.0076(4) | -0.0042(4) |
| C6 | 0.0205(4) | 0.0172(4) | $0.0145(4)$ | -0.0010(3) | 0.0066(3) | -0.0017(3) |
| C7 | 0.0153(4) | 0.0170(4) | 0.0164(4) | 0.0001(3) | 0.0060(3) | 0.0001(3) |
| C8 | 0.0196(4) | 0.0168(4) | 0.0205(4) | -0.0016(3) | 0.0106(4) | -0.0008(3) |
| C9 | 0.0231(5) | $0.0192(5)$ | 0.0189(4) | -0.0010(4) | 0.0105(4) | -0.0022(4) |
| C10 | 0.0272(5) | 0.0247(5) | 0.0168(4) | -0.0026(4) | 0.0091(4) | -0.0043(4) |
| C11 | 0.0186(4) | 0.0211(5) | 0.0158(4) | -0.0025(4) | 0.0040(3) | -0.0017(4) |


| O11 | $0.0347(4)$ | $0.0203(4)$ | $0.0315(4)$ | $-0.0016(3)$ | $0.0160(4)$ | $0.0018(3)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| C12 | $0.0167(4)$ | $0.0196(4)$ | $0.0184(4)$ | $-0.0016(4)$ | $0.0049(4)$ | $-0.0003(3)$ |
| C13 | $0.0166(4)$ | $0.0179(4)$ | $0.0189(4)$ | $-0.0012(3)$ | $0.0075(4)$ | $-0.0018(3)$ |
| O13 | $0.0145(3)$ | $0.0166(3)$ | $0.0187(3)$ | $-0.0008(3)$ | $0.0041(3)$ | $0.0001(2)$ |
| C14 | $0.0211(4)$ | $0.0168(4)$ | $0.0189(4)$ | $0.0013(4)$ | $0.0060(4)$ | $-0.0017(3)$ |
| C15 | $0.0204(4)$ | $0.0178(4)$ | $0.0165(4)$ | $0.0010(3)$ | $0.0082(4)$ | $0.0003(3)$ |
| O15 | $0.0250(4)$ | $0.0235(4)$ | $0.0235(4)$ | $0.0047(3)$ | $0.0016(3)$ | $0.0015(3)$ |
| C16 | $0.0158(4)$ | $0.0160(4)$ | $0.0149(4)$ | $-0.0002(3)$ | $0.0050(3)$ | $0.0003(3)$ |
| C17 | $0.0232(5)$ | $0.0164(4)$ | $0.0151(4)$ | $0.0015(3)$ | $0.0067(4)$ | $-0.0001(3)$ |
| O17 | $0.0278(4)$ | $0.0334(4)$ | $0.0228(4)$ | $-0.0053(3)$ | $0.0108(3)$ | $0.0045(3)$ |
| O18 | $0.0238(4)$ | $0.0288(4)$ | $0.0194(3)$ | $-0.0072(3)$ | $0.0057(3)$ | $-0.0045(3)$ |
| C19 | $0.0362(6)$ | $0.0253(5)$ | $0.0210(5)$ | $-0.0074(4)$ | $0.0062(4)$ | $-0.0065(4)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{*}$ The anisotropic atomic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[\mathrm{~h}^{2} \mathrm{a}^{* 2} \mathrm{U}_{11}+\ldots+2 \mathrm{hka} \mathrm{b}^{*} \mathrm{U}_{12}\right]$
Table 4. Bond lengths $(\AA)$, valence and torsion angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for UM\#1993.

| C1-C2 | 1.3938(15) | C1-C6 | 1.3965(14) | C1-H1 | 0.960(14) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C2-C3 | $1.3870(17)$ | C2-H2 | 0.954(15) | C3-C4 | 1.3852(18) |
| C3-H3 | 0.942(15) | C4-C5 | $1.3917(15)$ | C4-H4 | 0.956(15) |
| C5-C6 | 1.3966 (14) | C5-H5 | 0.958(14) | C6-C7 | 1.5163(13) |
| C7-C8 | $1.5128(13)$ | C7-C16 | $1.5709(13)$ | C7-H7 | 0.977(12) |
| C8-C9 | $1.3275(14)$ | C8-H8 | 0.956(13) | C9-C10 | 1.5124(14) |
| C9-H9 | 0.954(14) | C10-C11 | $1.5185(14)$ | C10-H10A | 0.985(15) |
| C10-H10B | 0.972(15) | C11-O11 | $1.2144(13)$ | C11-C12 | $1.5248(14)$ |
| C12-C13 | $1.5459(14)$ | C12-H12A | 0.967(13) | C12-H12B | 0.973(13) |
| C13-O13 | 1.4627(12) | C13-C14 | $1.5250(14)$ | C13-H13 | 0.979(13) |
| O13-C16 | 1.4107(11) | C14-C15 | $1.5074(14)$ | C14-H14A | 0.985(14) |
| C14-H14B | 0.985(14) | C15-O15 | $1.2023(12)$ | C15-C16 | 1.5497(13) |
| C16-C17 | $1.5343(13)$ | C17-O17 | 1.1980(13) | C17-O18 | 1.3356(12) |
| O18-C19 | $1.4489(13)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C2-C1-C6 | 120.23(10) | C2-C1-H1 | 119.5(9) | C6-C1-H1 | 120.3(9) |
| C3-C2-C1 | 120.65(11) | C3-C2-H2 | 120.1(9) | C1-C2-H2 | 119.3(9) |
| C4-C3-C2 | 119.29(11) | C4-C3-H3 | 120.8(9) | C2-C3-H3 | 120.0(9) |
| C3-C4-C5 | 120.55(11) | C3-C4-H4 | 120.2(9) | C5-C4-H4 | 119.2(9) |
| C4-C5-C6 | 120.47(10) | C4-C5-H5 | 120.3(9) | C6-C5-H5 | 119.3(9) |
| C1-C6-C5 | 118.80(9) | C1-C6-C7 | 123.51(9) | C5-C6-C7 | 117.65(9) |
| C8-C7-C6 | 114.02(8) | C8-C7-C16 | 104.19(7) | C6-C7-C16 | 114.46(8) |
| C8-C7-H7 | 110.9(7) | C6-C7-H7 | 107.3(7) | C16-C7-H7 | 105.7(7) |
| C9-C8-C7 | 124.54(9) | C9-C8-H8 | 118.9(8) | C7-C8-H8 | 115.1(8) |
| C8-C9-C10 | 120.75(10) | C8-C9-H9 | 120.5(8) | C10-C9-H9 | 118.0(8) |
| C9-C10-C11 | 106.71(8) | C9-C10-H10A | 112.8(8) | C11-C10-H10A | 108.5(8) |
| C9-C10-H10B | 109.9(9) | C11-C10-H10B | 109.1(8) | H10A-C10-H10B | B109.7(12) |
| O11-C11-C10 | 121.46(10) | O11-C11-C12 | 120.03(9) | C10-C11-C12 | 118.49(9) |
| C11-C12-C13 | 118.23(8) | C11-C12-H12A | 105.9(8) | C13-C12-H12A | 105.6(8) |
| C11-C12-H12B | 109.3(8) | C13-C12-H12B | 107.3(8) | H12A-C12-H12B | B110.4(11) |
| O13-C13-C14 | 106.97(8) | O13-C13-C12 | 110.23(8) | C14-C13-C12 | 117.58(8) |
| O13-C13-H13 | 105.3(7) | C14-C13-H13 | 109.6(7) | C12-C13-H13 | 106.5(7) |
| C16-O13-C13 | 113.28(7) | C15-C14-C13 | 105.47(8) | C15-C14-H14A | 111.1(8) |
| C13-C14-H14A | 113.1(8) | C15-C14-H14B | 106.9(8) | C13-C14-H14B | 113.7(8) |
| H14A-C14-H14B | 106.6(11) | O15-C15-C14 | 127.25(9) | O15-C15-C16 | 124.91(9) |
| C14-C15-C16 | 107.83(8) | O13-C16-C17 | 108.97(8) | O13-C16-C15 | 105.49(7) |
| C17-C16-C15 | 109.45(8) | O13-C16-C7 | 111.65(7) | C17-C16-C7 | 111.82(8) |
| C15-C16-C7 | 109.24(7) | O17-C17-O18 | 125.36(9) | O17-C17-C16 | 124.36(9) |
| O18-C17-C16 | 110.27(8) | C17-O18-C19 | 115.53(9) |  |  |
| C6-C1-C2-C3 | -0.44(17) | C1-C2-C3-C4 | 0.14(18) | C2-C3-C4-C5 | -0.14(17) |


| C3-C4-C5-C6 | 0.44(16) | C2-C1-C6-C5 | 0.73(15) | C2-C1-C6-C7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 176.82(10) | C4-C5-C6-C1 | -0.73(15) | C4-C5-C6-C7 | 176.96(9) C1-C6-C7-C8 |
| 81.84(12) | C5-C6-C7-C8 | -95.73(10) | C1-C6-C7-C16 | -37.99(13) C5-C6-C7- |
| C16 | 144.44(9) | C6-C7-C8-C9 | -36.28(13) | C16-C7-C8-C9 |
| 89.18(11) | C7-C8-C9-C10 | -156.38(9) | C8-C9-C10-C11 | 55.31(13) C9-C10-C11- |
| 011 | -125.71(11) | C9-C10-C11-C12 | 52.74(12) | O11-C11-C12-C13 |
| 79.96(12) | C10-C11-C12-C13 | -98.52(11) | C11-C12-C13-O13 | 89.13(10)C11-C12-C13- |
| C14 | -33.78(13) | C14-C13-O13-C16 | 1.27(10) | C12-C13-O13-C16 |
| 127.65(8) | O13-C13-C14-C15 | 5.10(10) | C12-C13-C14-C15 | 129.65(9) C13-C14-C15- |
| O15 | 172.01(10) | C13-C14-C15-C16 | -9.13(10) | C13-O13-C16-C17 |
| 124.34(8) | C13-O13-C16-C15 | -6.90(10) | C13-O13-C16-C7 | 111.65(8)O15-C15-C16- |
| O13 | -171.17(9) | C14-C15-C16-O13 | 9.94(10) | O15-C15-C16-C17 |
| 54.06(13) | C14-C15-C16-C17 | 127.05(8) | O15-C15-C16-C7 | 68.68(12)C14-C15-C16- |
| C7 | -110.21(9) | C8-C7-C16-O13 | -49.99(10) | C6-C7-C16-O13 |
| 75.19(10) | C8-C7-C16-C17 | -172.39(8) | C6-C7-C16-C17 | -47.21(11) C8-C7-C16- |
| C15 | 66.30(9) | C6-C7-C16-C15 | -168.52(8) | O13-C16-C17-O17 |
| 13.52(13) | C15-C16-C17-O17 | -101.37(11) | C7-C16-C17-O17 | 137.44(10) O13-C16- |
| C17-O18 | -167.61(8) | C15-C16-C17-O18 | 77.50(10) | C7-C16-C17-O18 |
| 43.69(11) | O17-C17-O18-C19 | -0.18(15) | C16-C17-O18-C19 | -179.04(8) |
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