## WorldPublicOpinion.org

#### World Public Opinion on the Treatment of Widows and Divorced Women

Originally released June 23, 2008

Updated with data from December 2008

Q1. To what degree are women in [country] who are widowed treated worse than other women: a great deal, some, a little, or not at all?

	A great deal	Some	A little	Not at all	DK / NS
Argentina	7	17	18	47	11
Mexico	21	26	22	26	5
US	9	28	20	38	4
France	6	11	30	41	13
Britain	12	32	16	31	9
Russia	9	18	11	47	15
Ukraine	7	15	10	53	15
Azerbaijan	14	26	19	36	5
Egypt	21	27	22	31	0
Iran	14	28	21	25	12
Palest. Ter.	17	44	25	12	3
Turkey	52	18	12	16	2
Nigeria	25	33	23	16	3
China	5	49	30	13	4
India	18	24	24	11	23
Indonesia	8	12	22	54	3
S Korea	30	51	16	2	0
Thailand	7	22	20	30	21
Average	16	27	20	29	8

Q2. How about women who are divorced?

	A great deal	Some	A little	Not at all	DK / NS
Argentina	8	20	24	38	10
Mexico	22	28	27	21	2
US	8	29	25	35	3
France	7	10	28	46	9

Russia 8 20 14 44 14   Ukraine 6 12 12 56 14   Azerbaijan 24 30 21 21 4	
<b>Azerbaijan</b> 24 30 21 21 4	
<b>Egypt</b> 38 42 14 6 0	
<b>Iran</b> 19 32 23 13 14	
Palest. Ter. 17 36 33 11 3	
<b>Turkey</b> 51 21 11 15 1	
Nigeria 12 29 24 32 4	
<b>China</b> 4 42 32 18 5	
<b>India</b> 17 29 21 20 12	
<b>Indonesia</b> 15 17 23 40 4	
<b>S Korea</b> 35 47 15 2 1	
Thailand 8 20 18 37 17	
Average 17 27 21 27 7	_

# WORLDPUBLICOPINION.ORG

### **RESEARCH PARTNERS**

Country	Research Center	Contact	
Argentina	Graciela Romer y Asociados	Ms. Graciela C. Römer graciela@romer.com.ar (+54-11) 4345-2864/5	
Azerbaijan	International Center for Social Research	Dr. Tair Faradov <u>tfaradov@yahoo.com</u> (+99 412) 492 27 34/672 22 49	
China	WorldPublicOpinion.org	Dr. Stephen Weber <u>sweber@pipa.org</u> +1 202 232 7500	
Egypt	Attitude Market Research	Mr. Mohamed Al Gendy <u>mgendy@attitude-eg.com</u> +202 22711262	
France	Efficience 3	Ms. Laetitia Larreguy laetitia.l@efficience3.com +33 3 26 79 75 82	
Great Britain	<u>Chatham House</u> (Royal Institute of International Affairs) / <u>GlobeScan</u>	Dr. Robin Niblett <u>miblett@chathamhouse.org.uk</u> +44 (0)20 7314 3667 Mr. Lloyd Hetherington <u>lloyd.hetherington@globescan.com</u> +1 416 962 0707	
India	Team CVoter	Mr. Yashwant Deshmukh yashwant@teamcvoter.com 91 120 4247135	
Indonesia	<u>Synovate</u>	Ms. Eva Yusuf <u>Eva.Yusuf@synovate.com</u> (+62-21) 2525 608	
Iran	WorldPublicOpinion.org	Dr. Stephen Weber <u>sweber@pipa.org</u> +1 202 232 7500	
Mexico	Reforma	Dr. Alejandro Moreno <u>alejandro.moreno@reforma.com</u> +52 56 28 72 35	
Nigeria	Market Trends Research International	Mr. Michael Umogun <u>m.umogun@research-intng.com</u> + 234-1 791 79 87	
Palestinian territories	Palestinian Center for Public Opinion	Dr. Nabil Kukali <u>kukali@p-ol.com</u> (+972-2) 2774846	

Russia	Levada Center	Ms. Ludmila Khakhulina <u>lkhahul@levada.ru</u> (+7 095) 229-55-44
South Korea	East Asia Institute	Dr. Han Wool Jeong hwjeong@eai.or.kr +82 02-2277-1683
Thailand	ABAC Poll Research Center, Assumption University	Dr. Noppadon Kannika <u>noppadonknn@au.edu</u> +66-2-719-1550
Turkey	<u>ARI Foundation</u> / Infakto Research Workshop	Mr. Yurter Ozcan <u>Yurter@arifoundation.org</u> +1 (804) 868 0123 Dr. Emre Erdogan <u>emre.erdogan@infakto.com.tr</u> +90 212 231 07 08
Ukraine	Kiev International Institute of Sociology	Dr. Vladimir Illich Paniotto <u>paniotto@kmis.kiev.ua</u> (+38) 044 537-3376 / (+38) 044 501-7403
United States	Program on International Policy Attitudes / Knowledge Networks	Dr. Stephen Weber <u>sweber@pipa.org</u> +1-202-232-7500 Dr. Michael Dennis <u>mdennis@knowledgenetworks.com</u> +1-650-289-2160

### METHODOLOGY

Country	Sample Size (unweighted)	MoE (%)	Field dates	Survey methodology	Type of sample
Argentina	675	3.8	September 3-10, 2008	Face-to-face	Urban <sup>1</sup>
Azerbaijan	602	4.1	Jan 13 – Feb 5, 2008	Face-to-face	National
China	1000	3.2	Jan 10-25, 2008	Telephone	Urban <sup>2</sup>
Egypt	600	4.1	Jan 17-27, 2008	Face-to-face	Urban <sup>3</sup>
France	600	4.1	Feb 5-11, 2008	Telephone	National
Great Britain	800	3.5	Jan 29 – Feb 19, 2008	Telephone	National
India	1023	3.2	February 25-29, 2008	Face-to-face	National <sup>4</sup>
Indonesia	811	3.5	Jan 19-29, 2008	Face-to-face	National <sup>5</sup>
Iran	710	3.8	Jan 13 – Feb 9, 2008	Face-to-face	National
Mexico	850	3.4	Jan 25-27, 2008	Telephone	National <sup>6</sup>
Nigeria	1000	3.2	February 7-18, 2008	Face-to-face	National <sup>7</sup>
Palestinian territories	626	4.0	February 10-23, 2008	Face-to-face	National <sup>8</sup>
Russia	792	3.6	Jan 18-22, 2008	Face-to-Face	National <sup>9</sup>
South Korea	600	4.1	Feb 11-12, 2008	Telephone	National
Thailand	2699	1.9	Apr 21 – May 6, 2008	Face-to-face	National <sup>10</sup>
Turkey	719	3.7	Jan 12-24, 2008	Face-to-face	National
Ukraine	1021	3.1	Feb 8-18, 2008	Face-to-face	National <sup>11</sup>
United States	975	3.2	Jan 18-27, 2008	Internet	National <sup>12</sup>

<sup>1</sup>In Argentina, the survey was executed in the urban areas of Capital Federal and Gran Buenos Aires, representing 35 percent of Argentina's population.

<sup>2</sup> In China, the survey was a national probability sample of urban telephone households across China. A stratified PPS sample design was developed to sample 20 cities; urban households represent approximately 45 percent of the Chinese population.

<sup>3</sup> In Egypt, the survey was executed in the urban areas of Cairo, Alexandria, Giza, and Subra. These four urbanized areas represent75 percent of Egypt's urban population, which is 42 percent of the national population.

<sup>4</sup> In India, a face-to-face survey was conducted in urban and rural areas in 14 of the largest Indian states; these states comprise 77 percent of India's population. The sample is 60 percent urban, India's population is approximately 30 percent urban.

<sup>5</sup> In Indonesia, a national probability sample was conducted in both urban and rural areas and covering approximately 87 percent of Indonesia's population.

<sup>6</sup> In Mexico, a random telephone sample of adults who had landline telephones was conducted in all 31 states and the Federal District. Telephone penetration in Mexico is 55 percent.

<sup>7</sup> In Nigeria, the sample was developed by selecting six states, one per geographic region, based upon their size and representativeness. Within each state, sampling points were selected by means of a multi-stage random sample which disproportionately sampled urban areas. The final sample is 75 percent urban; Nigeria is approximately 50 percent urban.

<sup>8</sup> In the Palestinian Territories, a face-to-face national probability survey was conducted among the population of the West Bank, including East Jerusalem, and the Gaza Strip.

<sup>9</sup> In Russia, all items were half sampled; each item was answered by 800 respondents.

<sup>10</sup> In Thailand, the survey was conducted in 10 provinces of the country including Bangkok, Samutprakarn, Chantaburi, Ratchaburi, Chiang Mai, Kampangpet, Kornkean, Sakonnakorn, Chumporn, and Songkla.

<sup>11</sup> In the Ukraine, all items were half-sampled; each item was answered by at least 1,020 respondents.

<sup>12</sup> In the United States, the poll was an online survey drawn from a nationally representative sample of the Knowledge Networks online panel. This panel is probabilistically-based, selected from the population of US telephone households and subsequently provided with an Internet connection if needed. Items in the US survey were split sampled so that each item was answered by at least 940 respondents.