ABSTRACT

Title of dissertation: STUDIES OF PERIPHYTIC ALGAE ON ALGAL TURF SCRUBBERS™ ALONG THE CHESAPEAKE BAY: COMMUNITY STRUCTURE, SYSTEMATICS, AND INFLUENCING FACTORS

Haywood Dail Laughinghouse IV, Doctor of Philosophy, 2012

Directed by: Professor Patrick Kangas Department of Environmental Science & Technology, UMCP

This is an ecological and systematic study of periphytic algae growing in an ecologically-engineered system used for water quality improvement: the Algal Turf Scrubber or ATSTM. This technology consists of an attached algal community growing on screens in a shallow floway through which water is pumped. The study was conducted on small-scale, experimental floways at three sites within the Chesapeake Bay watershed: on the Susquehanna River in southeastern Pennsylvania (freshwater) and on the Great Wicomico and York Rivers in Virginia (brackish water).

A total of 330 taxa were identified at the sites from 2008-2011. The majority of taxa at all three sites belonged to the phylum Bacillariophyta, but a large number of taxa from Chlorophyta and, to a lesser degree, Cyanobacteria were also found at the freshwater site. Algae found in the ATSTM exhibited a diversity of life forms and modes of attachment within the community. Although these system appear to be dominated by a "canopy" of attached, filamentous species, more than half of the total abundance (cell

density) were solitary, unattached taxa that grow as an "understory" within the three dimensional structure of the community.

Longitudinal patterns were examined on the longest floways (90 m long) at the freshwater site. The community nutrient uptake rate (mass of nitrogen or phosphorus m⁻² day⁻¹) for the harvested algal biomass was found to decline from the top to the bottom of the floway for a system constructed at 2% slope but no distinct pattern was found for a system constructed at 1% slope. The majority of algal taxa were evenly distributed along the floway from top to the bottom, in terms of frequency of occurrence, suggesting a general lack of longitudinal specialization within the community.

A detailed review of the systematics of the Order Oscillatoriales (Cyanobacteria) found on the ATSTM was undertaken since this group has not been studied much in the Chesapeake Bay watershed. Twenty-four taxa were examined, described morphologically and their nomenclature reviewed. Comparing 16s rRNA gene analyses of planktonic and periphytic *Pseudanabaena*, it was suggested that periphytic *Pseudanabaena* be revised and elevated to a new genus, *Ilyonema*

STUDIES OF PERIPHYTIC ALGAE ON ALGAL TURF SCRUBBERS™ ALONG THE CHESAPEAKE BAY: COMMUNITY STRUCTURE, SYSTEMATICS, AND INFLUENCING FACTORS

by

Haywood Dail Laughinghouse IV

Dissertation submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate School of the University of Maryland, College Park in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy 2012

Advisory Committee:

Professor Patrick C. Kangas, Chair Dr. Walter H. Adey, Co-Chair Professor Feng Chen Professor Charles F. Delwiche Dr. Kevin G. Sellner ©Copyright by

Haywood Dail Laughinghouse IV

To my life partner, Richard, for his understanding

and support during this process.

Acknowledgements

This work could not have been finished without the help of many people. I would like to mention at least a few of them, and give them my heartfelt thanks.

I would like to thank my advisors Dr. Patrick Kangas and Dr. Walter Adey, for their guidance and support throughout this research and my graduate journey. Dr. Kangas: thank you for your contagious enthusiasm, which was many times what I needed, friendship, and the 'divide and conquer' techniques to getting everything accomplished. I am also appreciative to the large ecological world, which you introduced to me. Dr. Adey: thank you for inviting me to come here, long insightful conversations over the years, and your trust.

I have to thank my committee members, Dr. Feng Chen, Dr. Charles Delwiche, and Dr. Kevin Sellner. Each one of you has given personal time to help me throughout the years, and I thank you dearly, which has certainly contributed significantly to my molding. I appreciate the wonderful conversations we have all had in classroom settings, during committee meetings, and over coffee. I also appreciate the many criticisms and recommendations to perfect my work.

My thanks go to several people, around the world, who have received calls and/or e-mails over the years and have always taken their time to help me in tackling scientific questions, especially: Dr. Maria Tereza Azevedo, Dr. Ricardo Honda, Dr. David John, Dr. Martyn Kelly, Dr. Cathy Kilroy, Dr. Hedy Kling, Dr. Jiri Komarek, Dr. Angelica Oliveira, Dr. Celia Sant'Anna, Dr. Vera Werner, Dr. Brian Whitton, and Dr. Annick Wilmotte.

iii

My thanks go out to other colleagues/friends that helped me with work and life during this process, Angélica, Karen, Maíra, Melissa, Pia, Solange, and Sue. Thanks are also extended to my previous supervisors Dr. Vera Werner and Dr. Jeff Johansen for helping show me the algal way.

I am grateful to the Lewis Foundation, Statoil-Hydro, the Natural History of Natural History-SI, and the University of Maryland for financial support and/or facilities.

I would like to thank my mother and father who instilled many admirable qualities in me which gave me a great foundation to take to life. I would like to thank my brother and sister, Joseph and Melissa, for the several phone calls I made when I was stressed about something or another, and having to learn about periphyton, ATSTM, cyanobacterial systematics...and the importance it is in their lives to help talk me through ideas.

Last, but certainly not least, I must acknowledge with tremendous and deep thanks my partner who has gone through this rollercoaster ride with me, enduring my travels, long nights, stress, and mood changes while studying, doing the research, and writing. Richard, I thank you for patiently waiting while I finish this step in my academic journey and being there when I needed you, as always. Muito obrigado e te amo muito.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Chapter 1: Introduction | 1 |
|---|----|
| General introduction | 1 |
| The algal turf scrubber (ATS^{TM}) system | 2 |
| Periphyton | 7 |
| Site Descriptions | 11 |
| ATS TM Design | 15 |

| Chapter 2: Community composition and dynamics of periphytic algal commun ATS TM systems along Chesapeake Bay tributaries | ities of 17 |
|--|----------------|
| Introduction | 17 |
| Materials & Methods | 20 |
| Sampling | 20 |
| Qualitative analysis | 21 |
| Quantitative analysis | 22 |
| Statistical Treatment | 23 |
| Life History Classification | 23 |
| Diversity | 24 |
| Results | 24 |
| ATS TM at the Boat Basin of the VIMS | 24 |
| Source Communities | 24 |
| Species Diversity | 28 |
| Algal Abundance | 35 |
| Great Wicomico River ATS TM | 39 |

| Algal Turf Scrubber Floway 1 | 39 |
|---------------------------------------|----|
| Species Diversity | 39 |
| Algal Abundance | 45 |
| Algal Turf Scrubber Floway 2 | 50 |
| Species Diversity | 50 |
| Algal Abundance | 55 |
| Muddy Run Reservoir ATS TM | 60 |
| Aluminum Floway | 60 |
| Species Diversity | 60 |
| Wood Floway | 70 |
| Species Diversity | 70 |
| Similarity among sites | 81 |
| Discussion | 88 |

| Chapter 3: Longitudinal patterns of periphytic algal communities of ATS TM sy Muddy Run Reservoir, PA | rstems at 97 |
|---|-----------------|
| Introduction | 97 |
| Materials and Methods | 102 |
| Study Area | 102 |
| Nutrients | 103 |
| Sampling | 103 |
| Qualitative analysis | 104 |
| Longitudinal patterns in algae: specialists vs. generalists | 105 |
| Statistical treatment | 105 |
| Results | 106 |
| Nutrients | 106 |
| | |

| Nutrients in Biomass | 107 |
|---------------------------|-----|
| Algal Community Structure | 114 |
| Specialization Index | 115 |
| Discussion | 119 |

| Chapter 4: Systematic studies on periphytic Oscillatoriales (Cyanobacteria) from A floways along the Chesapeake Bay | ATS TM 128 |
|---|--------------------------|
| Cyanophyceae/Cyanobacteria | 128 |
| Taxonomy/Systematics of Cyanobacteria | 129 |
| Order Oscillatoriales | 131 |
| Subchapter 1 | 135 |
| Subchapter 2 | 167 |

| Chapter 5: Final Conclusions | |
|---|-----|
| Algal Turf Communities | 177 |
| Taxonomy/Systematics in applied studies | 180 |
| Future Directions | 182 |
| Summary | 184 |
| | |
| Appendices | 189 |
| References | 276 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1.1. The different designs of ATS TM systems used during the study 15 |
|--|
| Table 2.1. List of species found in the source communities ('species-pool') at VIMS 26 |
| Table 2.2. Algal community structure on the VIMS Boat Basin floway during |
| 2009-2010. Density values are numbers of individuals x 10^3 per square |
| centimeter of screen surface. Numbers in parentheses are percentage of the |
| total (diatom + non-diatom) average density. Frequency is the number of |
| occurrence among the 7 sample dates |
| Table 2.3. Algal community structure on the Great Wicomico Floway 1 during |
| 2010-2011. Density values are numbers of individuals per milliliter. |
| Numbers in parentheses are percentage of the total (diatom + non-diatom) |
| average density. Frequency is the number of occurrence among the 13 |
| sample dates |
| Table 2.4. Algal community structure on the Great Wicomico Floway 2 during |
| 2010-2011. Density values are numbers of individuals per milliliter. |
| Numbers in parentheses are percentage of the total (diatom + non-diatom) |
| average density. Frequency is the number of occurrence among the 14 |
| sample dates |
| Table 2.5. Algal community structure on the aluminum floway at the Muddy Run |
| Reservoir ATS TM , 2008-2009 |
| Table 2.6. Algal community structure on the wood floway at the Muddy Run |
| Reservoir ATS^{TM} , 2008-2009 |

| Table 2.7. List of the periphytic community at the ATS TM floways located at |
|---|
| Muddy Run, Great Wicomico, and VIMS 81 |
| Table 2.8. Total taxa of each algal group on the ATS TM floways at the different |
| studied sites |
| Table 3.1 Mean nutrient removal midday during spring-fall 2009 (n=17). Data |
| calculated by dividing outflow concentrations (bottom) by inflow |
| concentrations (top) and multiplying by 100 (Kangas et al., 2010) 107 |
| Table 3.2. Direction of the linear regression slopes for nutrient uptake (nitrogen-N |
| and phosphorus-P) on the aluminum floway during the study period: (+) |
| means slope increased from top to bottom, (-) means slope deacreased from |
| top to bottom. Regression was calculated on total nutrient uptake (g.), which |
| is the $g/m^2/day$. Both slope and significance are presented 114 |
| Table 3.3. Direction of the linear regression slopes for nutrient uptake (nitrogen-N |
| and phosphorus-P) on the wood floway during the study period: (+) |
| means slope increased from top to bottom, (-) means slope deacreased |
| from top to bottom. Regression was calculated on total nutrient |
| uptake (g.), which is the $g/m^2/day$. Both slope and significance are |
| presented 115 |
| Table 3.4. Summarized χ^2 test table for the most dominant taxa on the aluminum |
| floway demonstrating (+) greater than expected frequency, (0) expected |
| frequency, or (-) less than expected frequency 117 |
| Table 3.5. Summarized χ^2 table for the most dominant taxa on the wood floway |

demonstrating (+) greater than expected frequency, (0) expected

| | frequency, or (-) less than expected frequency 1 | 18 |
|------------|---|----|
| Table 3.6. | Specialization index values of the dominant taxa on the wood floway 1 | 19 |
| Table 3.7. | Specialization index values of the dominant taxa on the | |
| | aluminum floway | 19 |

LIST OF FIGURES AND PLATES

| Figure 1.1. Self-organization of a community from a species pool. Not all |
|---|
| species are able to pass through the filter and become part of the |
| ATS^{TM} community |
| Figure 1.2. An idealized growth curve for 'wild' periphyton (black line, |
| adapted after Biggs, 1996), found in streams, compared to the growth |
| curvefor ATS TM periphyton (grey line), due to harvest. Dotted lines |
| indicate the maximum and minimum of the growth curves |
| Figure 1.3. Cheseapeake Bay with ATS TM sampling sites designated. |
| Pennsylvania: (\blacksquare) Muddy Run Reservoir (Susquehanna River) and (\blacktriangle) |
| Peach Bottom Nuclear Power Plant (Susquehanna River); Virginia: (►) |
| Great Wicomico River and (♦) VIMS, York River. |
| (adapted from Curtin et al., 2001) 14 |
| Figure 2.1. Conceptual model of species-pool habitats that can colonize |
| the ATS^{TM} |
| Figure 2.2. Relative composition of different algal phyla at VIMS, |
| (left) by species composition, (right) by cell abundance |
| Figure 2.3. Species area curve for the VIMS Boat Basin data set during |
| Spring-Summer |
| Figure 2.4. Species area curve for the VIMS Boat Basin data set during |
| Fall-Winter |
| Figure 2.5. Distribution of life forms at VIMS, (left) by species |

| composition, (right) by cell abundance |
|--|
| Figure 2.6. Modes of attachment: A) Mucilaginous stalk; B) Mucilage pad |
| and sheath; C) Mucilaginous tube; D) Holdfast |
| Figure 2.7. Distribution of attachment adaptations at VIMS, (left) by species |
| composition, (right) by cell abundance |
| Figure 2.8. Distribution of types of habits of the algal associated to the VIMS |
| algal turf systems, (left) by species composition, (right) by |
| cell abundance |
| Figure 2.9. Relative contribution of microalgae vs. macroalgae at VIMS, |
| (left) by species composition, (right) by cell abundance. |
| Figure 2.9. Relative contribution of microalgae vs. macroalgae at VIMS, (left) by |
| species composition, (right) by cell abundance |
| Figure 2.10. Relative abundance of algal species by phyla on the VIMS ATS TM 36 |
| Figure 2.11. Change with time (May 2009 – July 2010) of (top) total community |
| abundance and (bottom) of algal relative abundance, by phyla for the |
| VIMS ATS TM |
| Figure 2.12. Relative composition of different algal phyla on Floway 1 at Wicomico, |
| (left) by species composition, (right) by cell abundance 40 |
| Figure 2.13. Species area curve for the Wicomico Floway 1 data set during |
| Spring-Summer 41 |
| Figure 2.14. Species area curve for the Wicomico Floway 1 data set during |
| Fall-Winter 41 |
| Figure 2.15. Distribution of life forms at Floway 1 at Wicomico, (left) by species |

| composition, (right) by cell abundance | |
|--|--|
| Figure 2.16. Distribution of attachment adaptations on Floway 1 at Wicomico, | |
| (left) by species composition, (right) be cell abundance | |
| Figure 2.17. Distribution of types of habitats of the algae associated to Floway 1 | |
| at Wicomico 44 | |
| Figure 2.18. Relative contribution of microalgae vs. macroalgae on Floway 1 at | |
| Wicomico | |
| Figure 2.19. Relative abundance of algal species by phyla on Floway 1 46 | |
| Figure 2.20. Change with time (July 2010 – June 2011) of (top) total community | |
| composition, (right) by cell abundance Figure 2.16. Distribution of attachment adaptations on Floway 1 at Wicomico, (left) by species composition, (right) be cell abundance Figure 2.17. Distribution of types of habitats of the algae associated to Floway 1 at Wicomico Figure 2.18. Relative contribution of microalgae vs. macroalgae on Floway 1 at Wicomico Figure 2.19. Relative abundance of algal species by phyla on Floway 1 Figure 2.20. Change with time (July 2010 – June 2011) of (top) total community abundance and (bottom) of algal relative abundance, by phyla for Floway 1 Figure 2.21. Relative composition of different algal phyla on Floway 2 at Wicomico, (left) by species composition, (right) by cell abundance Figure 2.23. Species area curve for the Wicomico Floway 2 data set during Spring-Summer Figure 2.24. Distribution of life forms at Floway 2 at Wicomico, (left) by species composition, (right) by cell abundance Figure 2.25. Distribution of attachment adaptations on Floway 2 at Wicomico, (left) by species composition, (right) by cell abundance | |
| Floway 1 | |
| Figure 2.21. Relative composition of different algal phyla on Floway 2 at Wicomico, | |
| (left) by species composition, (right) by cell abundance | |
| Figure 2.22. Species area curve for the Wicomico Floway 2 data set during | |
| Spring-Summer | |
| Figure 2.23. Species area curve for the Wicomico Floway 2 data set during | |
| Fall-Winter | |
| Figure 2.24. Distribution of life forms at Floway 2 at Wicomico, (left) by species | |
| composition, (right) by cell abundance | |
| Figure 2.25. Distribution of attachment adaptations on Floway 2 at Wicomico, | |
| (left) by species composition, (right) by cell abundance | |
| Figure 2.26. Distribution of types of habitats of the algae associated to Floway 2 | |
| on Wicomico, (left) by species composition, (right) by cell abundance 54 | |

| Figure 2.27. Relative contribution of microalgae vs. macroalgae on Floway 1 |
|--|
| at Wicomico, (left) by species composition, (right) by cell abundance 55 |
| Figure 2.28. Total cumulative relative abundance of periphyton growing on |
| Floway 2 |
| Figure 2.29. Change with time (July 2010 – May 2011) of (top) total community |
| abundance and (bottom) of algal relative abundance, by phyla for |
| Floway 2 |
| Figure 2.30. Relative composition of different algal phyla on the aluminum floway |
| at Muddy Run (by species composition) 66 |
| Figure 2.31. Species area curve for the Aluminum Floway data set during |
| Spring-Summer |
| Figure 2.32. Species area curve for the Aluminum Floway data set during |
| Fall-Winter |
| Figure 2.33. Distribution of life forms on the aluminum floway at Muddy Run |
| (by species composition) |
| Figure 2.34. Distribution of attachment adaptations on the aluminum floway at |
| Muddy Run (by species composition) |
| Figure 2.35. Distribution of types of habitats of the algae associated to the |
| aluminum floway at Muddy Run (by species composition) |
| Figure 2.36. Relative contribution of microalgae vs. macroalgae on the aluminum |
| floway of Muddy Run 70 |
| Figure 2.37. Relative composition of different algal phyla on the wooden floway at |
| Muddy Run76 |

| Figure 2.38. Species area curve for the wooden floway data set during |
|--|
| Spring-Summer |
| Figure 2.39. Species area curve for the wooden floway data set during Fall-Winter 77 |
| Figure 2.40. Distribution of life forms on the wooden floway at Muddy Run |
| (by species composition) |
| Figure 2.41. Distribution of attachment adaptations on the wooden floway at |
| Muddy Run (by species composition) |
| Figure 2.42. Distribution of types of habits of the algae associated to the |
| wooden floway at Muddy Run (by species composition) |
| Figure 2.43. Relative contribution of microalgae vs. macroalgae on the wooden |
| floway of Muddy Run (by species composition) 80 |
| Figure 3.1. Mean ATS TM harvested biomass over the floway (top-middle-bottom) |
| during the study period (2008-2009) for the both the aluminum and |
| wood systems. Error bars show standard error. Columns followed by |
| the same letter do not differ by the pairwise t-test (p<0.05) 109 |
| Figure 3.2. Mean percent nutrient content of scrubber biomass over the floway |
| (top-middle-bottom) during the study period (2008-2009) for both the |
| aluminum and wood systems, (top) nitrogen and (bottom) phosphorus. |
| Error bars show standard error. Columns followed by the same letter do |
| not differ by the pairwise t-test (p<0.05) |
| Figure 3.3. Mean nutrient uptake, (top) nitrogen and (bottom) phosphorus, by the |

aluminum and wood floways. Error bars show standard error. Columns followed by the same letter do not differ by the pairwise t-test (p<0.05) ... 111

| Figure 3.4. Percent nitrogen in the biomass (top) in the aluminum and wood |
|--|
| ATS TM at Muddy Run during 2009. Percent phosphorus in the |
| biomass (bottom) in the aluminum and wood ATS^{TM} at Muddy |
| Run during 2009. Error bars represent standard error |
| Figure 3.5. Longitudinal patterns of all taxa on the ATS TM floways compiled into |
| their respective Orders (top) wood ATS TM floway; (bottom) aluminum |
| ATS^{TM} floway |
| Figure 3.6. Longitudinal patterns of the dominant taxa on the wood floway (top) |
| and aluminum floway (bottom) 123 |
| Figure 4.1. Map of the study area: (+) Muddy Run ATS^{TM} , (x) Peach Bottom ATS^{TM} , |
| (*) Great Wicomico ATS TM , and (•) VIMS ATS TM |
| Plate 1. A-D. Komvophoron minutum, E-F. Geitlerinema amphibium |
| Plate 2. A. Planktolyngbya limnetica, B. Pseudanabaena galeata, C. Pseudanabaena |
| limnetica, D. Lyngbya martensiana, E. Phormidium autumnale |
| Plate 3. A. Phormidium retzii, B-C. Lyngbya martensiana, D. Lyngbya nigra, |
| E. Lyngbya major 162 |
| Plate 4. A-B. Phormidium tergestinum, C-D. Lyngbya giuseppei |
| Plate 5. A-B. Oscillatoria froelichii, C. Lyngbya salina, D-E. Oscillatoria limosa 164 |
| Plate 6. A-C. Oscillatoria sancta |
| Plate 7. A-C. Pseudanabaena lonchoides |
| Figure 4.2. (16S rRNA) ML phylogram using the 16S rRNA gene marker. |
| Node support is indicated with ML bootstrap support values |

Figure 4.3. A-C. Ilyonema lonchoides comb. nova. A-C. LM images. C. Detail of

| | terminal refractive granule | 177 |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 5.1. | 'Updated' self-organization of a community from a species pool. | |
| | Not all species are able to pass through the filters and become part | |
| | of the ATS TM community | 179 |

Chapter 1. Introduction

General introduction

In recent decades, humanity has been faced with a series of global problems – environmental, financial, economical, and social. In this context, concerns about the environment, in general, and with water, in particular, are of special importance, since demands are becoming greater due to populational growth and greater water use. However, the quality of water on Earth is being degraded at an alarming rate, which directly affects aquatic communities and subsequently, humanity.

The quality of aquatic resources can vary greatly due to natural and human actions. The concept of sustainable development, widely discussed since the end of the last century, has modified the relationships between man and nature. The availability and commitment to the quality of (fresh) waters is today one of the principal problems relating to sustainable development, and one of the large challenges for mankind to face during this century.

Various human activities in watersheds result in large discharges of polluted water, with high concentrations of inorganic and organic material, which degrade and elevate the nutrient concentrations, provoking imbalances in natural biogeochemical cycles and a series of undesirable collateral effects. This consequence has been welldocumented, reflecting anthropogenic action on aquatic ecosystems and is called eutrophication. This is a multifaceted term, which is generally associated with increased productivity, structural simplification of the biotic components, and a change in the metabolic ability of the organisms to adapt to changes caused by the environment

(Wetzel, 1983). In eutrophic environments, there are changes in the trophic state of the systems that promote alterations at the biological level: in primary production and the specific composition of the biological community, food webs, and energy flows (Harper, 1992). High population concentration and its rapid expansion have caused watersheds, which include large urban centers, to suffer increasing environmental impacts, principally due to agricultural, domestic, and industrial residues. Finding economical and natural technologies for (bio) remediating these degraded waters to their 'natural' state (an idea shared within the discipline of ecological engineering – Kangas, 2004) is required. This dissertation deals with one of these technologies, the 'Algal Turf Scrubber – ATSTM', which uses periphytic algae to remediate the aquatic environment.

The algal turf scrubber (ATS^{TM}) system

The algal turf scrubber system (ATSTM) is an ecologically engineered system designed by Dr. W.H. Adey, with his observations of coral reef algal turfs in tropical coral reefs throughout the Caribbean Sea (Adey & Loveland, 2007). This observation stemmed from previous studies by Odum & Odum (1955), which stated that tropical coral reefs had high productivity. Adey and his collaborators carried out years of research on the algae of tropical reefs and found these ecosystems to be 5-10 times more productive than any terrestrial forest, limited by light (Adey & Steneck, 1985). This high productivity was due mainly to the thick, dense algal turf found covering carbonate substrates in reef environments. To test this productivity Adey and his team set out a series of plastic screens, at various depths, to verify if the productivity could be reproduced artificially. They discovered that the algal turf would grow on screens, limited by the natural energy from the waves and sunlight, at the same rates as on the coral reefs. With this, Adey and his team were able to then grow artificial algal turfs on screens.

At that time, Dr. Adey was head of the Marine Systems Laboratory of the Smithsonian Institution, and much research was focused on microcosms, mesocosms, and aquaria. With the knowledge they had acquired in the field, they were able to build shallow troughs (a.k.a. raceways) lined with screens where algae could grow, and water passed through, at a pulsating motion due to a dump-bucket (artificial wave motion). These systems had artificial lighting and they found that the algae grew very quickly on these screens. When the algae grew, they also removed the nutrients from the water of the aquaria/mesocosm through uptake, and by removing the algae through harvesting the screens periodically, the nutrients could be permanently removed from the system, leading to water quality improvement (Adey & Loveland, 2007). These ATSTM systems were used to: (1) simulate export (to balance import – in the case of coral reef systems, export to lagoon to balance plankton input); (2) maintain O_2 at that of levels normal to wild systems; (3) especially in coral reefs to maintain high pH to support calcification; and (4) to maintain low N & P (especially reef systems) (Small & Adey, 2001).

During the 1980's and 1990's, researchers expanded the application of ATSTM to large-scale mesocosms and applying ATSTM for tertiary treatment, removal of nutrients from rivers and dairy manure effluents, transforming the idea of ATSTM to on-land systems (Adey et al., 1996; Craggs et al, 1996; Mulbry, 2008; Adey et al., 2011). The on-land ATSTM was designed to (bio)mimic the natural systems of which it was originally found. Thus shallow troughs with a plastic mesh screen lined inside were

placed at a slope where polluted water entered at the top in a pulsated manner through a dump-bucket or surge beams (to mimic waves, receiving energy flow) and exited through the bottom, as cleaned water. On the screens periphytic algae were seeded (or self-seeded from the incoming water) and allowed to self-organize into a 'natural' community and harvested at weekly intervals (dependent on season) to maximize production and prevent grazers from overtaking the systems. The algal production thus 'scrubs' the water, removing nitrogen, phosphorus, heavy metals, etc. (Adey et al., 1993; Adey & Loveland, 2007), as a result cleaning the water.

The pressures (harvesting and screens) on this ecologically designed system act as filters for a select periphytic community to grow (Figure *1.1*). Algae have been around for millions (and the cyanobacteria for billions) of years; however, synthetic materials have only been around for a little over one hundred years. Thus, the capability for certain algae to grow and or settle on a screen of an ATSTM is an interesting concept, since they have not evolved with this substrate (filter), during their evolutionary history. However, in the evolution of the species colonizers of artificial substrates, they have developed a mechanism in their native ecosystem, which in turn, helps them to colonize these artificial substrates. This community is composed of bacteria, algae, occasionally invertebrates, and detritus, though the bulk of the scrubbing (cleaning) activity, and the focus of this dissertation, is carried out by the algae. Furthermore, with novel 'green' technologies entering the market with algal by-products, the algal community receives the most attention.



Figure *1.1.* Self-organization of a community from a species pool. Not all species are able to pass through the filter and become part of the ATS^{TM} community.

Interestingly, due to harvesting, this community never reaches 'full maturity' (Biggs, 1996), since this would lead to slower growth rate, sloughing, and loss of biomass, thus reducing the nutrient uptake and overall biomass, which is important to retain due to the applied aspect of the ATS^{TM} (Figure *1.2*).



Figure *1.2.* An idealized growth curve for 'wild' periphyton (black line, adapted after Biggs, 1996), found in streams, compared to the growth curve for ATSTM periphyton (grey line), due to harvest. Dotted lines indicate the maximum and minimum of the growth curves.

Harvest on an ATS^{TM} is carried out according to the size of the system. In the pilot, small-scale systems, the input water is turned off so that the algal turf is allowed to dry for 1 to 1.5 hours. Using a wet/dry vacuum, and starting at the top of the system, the system is essentially vacuumed of its biomass, until reaching the bottom. In this manner the biomass is capable of being harvested, and the nutrients within the biomass can be removed from the environment.

Up to 2008, the periphytic algal community on ATS^{TM} systems had been largely taxonomically neglected, with the focus on biomass production and identification of the 'key-species'. Thus, with this dissertation, our understanding of the diversity and dynamics of this community has been enhanced.

Periphyton

Periphytic algae are among the principal primary producers in aquatic environments, contributing with up to 85% of total primary production in lotic environments (Wetzel, 1983). The rate of primary production of periphytic algae depends on the area available for colonization, of the physicochemical conditions of the water, of the morphometry of the aquatic system, and of the type and quality of the substrate.

The study of periphyton can be traced for a little over 100 years, but concepts have changed over time. One of the first terms used to designate the community that lives fixed to the substrate was sessile. In 1905, the German term *aufwuchs* was initially used, referring to organisms attached, though not penetrating the substrate. In 1920, this same term was limited to organisms attached on live substrates and the term *bewuchs* was utilized for organisms collected on glass plates in channels around Hamburg (Cooke, 1956).

Behning, in 1924, used the term periphyton (Cooke, 1956) to describe organisms that grow on artificial substrates in the water. Subsequently, it was extended to all aquatic plants attached to submerged surfaces. According to Sládecková (1962), there are two types of organisms that compose the periphytic community: those that live fixed, immobile, adapted to the sessile life via rhizoids, gelatinous stalks, etc., consisting of the euperiphyton (true *aufwuchs*) and those mobile organisms, that live aggregated without

fixing themselves to the substrates, thus composing the pseudoperiphyton (false *aufwuchs*).

In 1983, Wetzel defined the term metaphyton, defining the algae that live within the submerged aquatic macrophytes (SAVs). Schwarzbold (1992) stated that frequently free-floating organisms from the pelagic zone are found in the periphyton, and participate in the metabolic processes, thus considered in the study of this community. Moreover, according to the same author, in heterogeneous environments (especially littoral), several microhabitats are created, making a representative sample difficult, because it is practically impossible to separate the euperiphyton and pseudoperiphyton from the metaphyton when trying to evaluate composition, biomass, or functional processes.

Nowadays, the term used by the scientific community is the definition by Wetzel (1983), who unified the concept of periphyton into a 'complex community of microbiota composed of bacteria, fungi, algae, animals, and inorganic/organic detritus that live attached to live or dead submerged substrates'. By the type of habitat, their development is favored in shallow environments, due to light availability and the presence of various types of substrates, such as SAV, rocks, and sediments.

With the recognition that the many lotic/lacustral ecosystems in the world are shallow, with a predominance of areas of land-water interface (Wetzel, 1996), studies on periphytic communities become essential for a better ecological understanding of freshwater and brackish ecosystems. This community plays an important role in the energetic cycles, consisting of the principal or dominant source in the synthesis of organic material in littoral regions or in shallow ecosystems, being responsible for carbon fixation and sequestering essential nutrients, such as phosphorus and nitrogen, making

them available to consumers (Wetzel, 1996; Dodds, 2003). Thus, periphytic algae are key components in aquatic environments for both nutrient cycling and for the food chain (Lowe & Pan, 1996; Wetzel, 2001; Vadeboncoeur & Steinman, 2002). It is notable, though, the significant biodiversity of the community even in relation to the phytoplankton (Ferragut et al., 2005), which results in part from the heterogeneity in habitats, combined with the different strategies for colonizing substrates (Goldsborough & Robinson, 1996; Stevenson, 1996), as well as the interaction and exchange of species with the phytoplanktonic community (Margalef, 1998; Taniguchi et al., 2005).

Besides the ubiquity of periphyton and its relevance in the functioning of shallow environments, several features contribute to its use in studies of water quality. Among the highlights is the attached habit, short life cycles, which provide rapid responses to environmental changes, and it is species-rich compared to other aquatic groups, providing an informative system (Lowe & Pan 1996; Stevenson, 1996; McCormick & Stevenson, 1998).

Much emphasis has been given to the algal group, since it composes the bulk of the periphytic biomass (Pômpeo & Moschini-Carlos, 2003). According to Hill & Webster (1982), periphytic algae are the dominant producers in lotic ecosystems of low order. Periphyton is also the major regulator of the flow of energy and nutrients in aquatic ecosystems, especially in benthic environments (Lock et al., 1984; Wetzel, 1990). Lowe & Pan (1996) emphasize the key role that periphytic algae have on aquatic food chains, thus linking the biotic and physicochemical components, where alterations in the composition, structure or biomass will lead to changes in the dynamics of the food webs in these ecosystems.

Artificial substrates can be used properly to research the environmental impact and interactions within the periphytic community (Watanabe, 1990). In the study of phycoperiphyton (periphytic algae), artificial substrates provide a substantial amount of attached periphytic material, easy definition of area and volume, and allow an efficient removal of the attached organisms (Pômpeo & Moschini-Carlos, 2003). The periphytic colonization on glass substrates occurs by, first, the formation of an organic layer followed by the attachment of bacteria, opportunistic diatoms (with simple morphological structures), diatoms morphologically rosette-formed and with long stalks, and finally, filamentous green algae (Hoagland et. al, 1982; Biggs, 1996). The choice of the artificial substrate in this thesis was due to the use of the ATSTM for bioremediation and biomass production.

During the growth of periphytic algae, there is a simultaneous increase in the number of species because immigration, and decrease due to processes such as mortality, emigration, and grazing, so Ács & Kiss (1993) state that measuring the actual growth rate of the periphytic community is not an easy task. Now, Roos (1983), studying the dynamics of the periphytic community affirms that growth occurs until a climax situation, like in higher plants.

While studying algal turfs, Adey & Steneck (1985) observed seasonal shifts in both biomass and abundance within this community. According to Adey & Hackney (1988) this community consists of unicells, uniseriate filaments, simple branching filaments, and weakly corticated filaments that vary from a couple millimeters to several centimeters thick. These authors state that herbivory is what controls the thickness and height of these algae. During initial stages of turf development, diatoms (centric and

pennate; unicellular and filamentous), cyanobacteria (coccoid and filamentous), and benthic dinoflagellates attach to an organic layer, which is found among the basal holdfasts of the larger algae. This organic layer also contains bacteria and protozoans. These species generally persist throughout the latter stages of development. In latter development, smaller sizes of macroalgae develop.

For Odum (1986), ecological succession in the ecosystems involves changes in community structure and community processes over time. The author proposed the studies of ecological succession of periphyton on artificial substrates in a short time (few weeks), in order to observe the establishment of this community, from the initial stage to the climax.

The distribution, abundance, and succession of many organisms depend on the use of different types of habitats in space and time (Fisher, 1993). Roos (1983) considers that the environmental conditions, seasons and characteristics of the species colonized influence directly on species richness. The main problem in studying the structure and dynamics of periphytic algal communities is the difficulty in discriminating among the influence of each abiotic and biotic variable. For Moschini-Carlos et al. (2000) colonization of the substrate is the result of the combination of abiotic variables and this process can be easily affected by changes in water quality and hydrodynamics of the ecosystem.

Site Descriptions

All sites studied are located on tributaries of the Chesapeake Bay. Six ATSTM systems were installed in four locations along Chesapeake Bay tributaries, on the Susquehanna River, Great Wicomico River, and the York River (Figure *1.3*). Two ATSTM systems were installed at Muddy Run Reservoir on the Susquehanna River, one at the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Plant on the Susquehanna River, two at the Great Wicomico River, and one at the Virginia Institute of Marine Science(VIMS) on the Boat Basin at York River. These are all tributaries to the Chesapeake Bay.

The Chesapeake Bay (Figure 1.3) is the largest estuary in the United States, located on the Atlantic Coast, sharing boarders with the states of Virginia and Maryland, with a surface area of $6.5 \times 10^3 \text{ km}^2$, mean depth of 8.42m, and expanding 320 km in length (Pritchard & Schubel, 2001). The climate of the region is of temperate geographic zone, marked by seasonal changes in temperature (Lippson & Lippson, 1984). The Bay ecology is influenced greatly by its salinity, which varies from the tidal freshwaters of the north, through the more brackish middle zones (salinity of 1 to 18) to the more saline mouth of the Bay and open ocean (salinity of 18 to 30). These values change dependent on depth and time of year, where the denser, salty water travels from the sea, at the bottom of the bay, towards the head of the bay, and the fresh water travels on the top, towards the mouth. This is generally a stable pycnocline, oscillating seasonally, but recurring annually. More rain, storm events or snowmelt, may cause an increase in fresh water into the Chesapeake Bay, and a drought can cause the contrary, thus changing the position of the pycnocline.

The Susquehanna River is the longest river on the eastern US coast, draining into the Atlantic Ocean, and the largest tributary of the Chesapeake Bay. It is also one of the most ancient rivers in the world (Thomas-Blate et al., 2011). It is responsible for almost half (49.3%) of the total freshwater input into the Bay (Pritchard & Schubel, 2001). This river passes through fertile agricultural and farmlands in New York and Pennsylvania, and as a result it is also responsible for 44% of nitrogen and 21% of phosphorus which enters the bay, according to Blankenship (2005).

In southeastern Pennsylvania, near Lancaster, on the banks of the Susquehanna River, two ATSTM floways were installed at the Muddy Run Pumped Storage Facility (N39°48"36' and W76°17"14'). Incoming water for these systems came from the bottom of the Muddy Run Reservoir, which is filled from and drains back into the Susquehanna River daily during the operation of Exelon's pumped-storage hydroelectric facility. Thus the water input into the ATSTM is modified from ambient river conditions by a retention time in this reservoir. This is a freshwater system.

Located slightly south of this location is the Peach Bottom Atomic Power station (N39°45"8' and W76°15"36'), in which another ATSTM floway was located. The input water for this system was pumped from the hot water discharge canal used for cooling the high-temperature reactors. This location is also a completely freshwater locality.

The Great Wicomico River is a small tributary to the Chesapeake Bay on its northwestern shore in the central Chesapeake Bay. Although it is called a river, it has no significant fresh water input, thus, it is actually most like a bay. It is mesohaline in character with salinities varying from 11 to 18. The ATSTM floways were installed on a 70m long dock located on a 1 km wide embayment, about 6km from the open

Chesapeake Bay in Burgess, VA (N37°45"17' and W76°19"48'). Thus water from the pelagic zone was pumped from below the dock into these systems.



Figure 1.3. Chesapeake Bay with ATS^{TM} sampling sites designated. Pennsylvania: (**•**) Muddy Run Reservoir (Susquehanna River) and (**▲**) Peach Bottom Nuclear Power Plant (Susquehanna River); Virginia: (**▶**) Great Wicomico River and (**♦**) VIMS, York River. (adapted from Curtin et al., 2001)

The York River is a large tributary to the Chesapeake Bay, located on the southeastern shore of the Chesapeake Bay in the state of Virginia. It is responsible for

3% of the fresh water input into the Chesapeake Bay (Pritchard & Schubel, 2001). This river has bottom salinities that range from 6 to 25 between its mouth and West Point, VA (Friedrichs, 2009). The ATSTM Boat Basin system was installed at the Virginia Institute of Marine Science (VIMS) Boat Basin, located in Gloucester Point, VA (N37°45"17' and W76°19"48'). Water to the floway was thus pumped from the boat basin, whose water originates from the York River, and salinity ranges from 18 to 20.

ATSTM Design

Several different ATSTM designs were used during the study for experiments, as described at the different study sites. To allow for better comparison, these different designs are summarized in Table *1.1*.

| | Susquehanna River | | | Great Wicomico River | | York River |
|---|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| | | | | | | |
| | Muddy Run | | Peach | | | VIMS |
| | | | Bottom | | | Boat Basin |
| | Floway 1 | Floway 2 | | Floway 1 | Floway 2 | |
| Floway Dimensions | 0.3m x 91.4m | 0.3m x 91.4m | 0.3m x 91.4m | 0.61m x 24.4m | 0.61m x 15.24m | 1.2m x 24.4m |
| Slope | 2% | 1% | 2% | 1% | 2% | 1% |
| Constructed Material | Aluminum | Wood/fiberglass | Aluminum | Wood/fiberglass | Wood/fiberglass | Wood/fiberglass |
| Substrate | HDPE | - | HDPE | HDPE & 3D | 3D | HDPE |
| Mesh size | 0.25 cm^2 | 0.04 cm^2 | 0.25 cm^2 | 0.25 cm^2 | - | 0.25 cm^2 |
| Mean Input Water Nutrient Concentrations ^a | NO ₃ : 0.89 mg/l TN: 1.38 mg/l ^a PO ₄ : 0.06 mg/l TP: 0.12 mg/l | | - | TN: 0.48 mg/l TP: 0.017 mg/l | | TN: 0.338 mg/l TP: 0.024 mg/l NH ₃ : 0.04 mg/l |

Table 1.1. The different designs of ATSTM systems used during the study.

HDPE: High-density polyethylene; 3D: Three dimensional 'carpet' screen.

^aData from Adey et al. (in. rev.), Kangas et al (2010), Canuel & Duffy (2011)

Aims of this Dissertation

The main aim of this dissertation was to describe the periphytic communities growing on ATSTM floways in select locations along the Chesapeake Bay. In this manner, the general hypothesis was that algal communities differed in composition, abundance, and/or functional-structural makeup at different locations.

This study, therefore, aimed to:

- Provide aggregate descriptions of the algal turf, offering explanations for the structure/composition of these communities;
- Examine longitudinal patterns on long ATSTM units (Muddy Run), focusing on patterns of nutrient uptake into biomass and species composition, while examining putative relationships between these two parameters;
- Provide a phenotypic baseline to identify field populations of cyanobacteria from the Order Oscillatoriales in the Chesapeake Bay region by using morphological features easily recognizable under light microscopy and congruent by ultrastructure and molecular studies, while demonstrating the potential of novel species using a combined polyphasic approach.

The following chapters (Chapter 2, 3, and 4) are select studies, which include the methodology, results, and discussion in pursuit of the aims described above.
Chapter 2: Community composition and dynamics of periphytic algal communities of ATSTM systems along Chesapeake Bay tributaries.

Introduction

Alga(e) is a popular term that unites a polyphyletic group of mostly photosynthetic organisms found in an array of different ecosystems (Round, 1983). Most of the large macroscopic species live in marine waters (e.g. kelps and rock weeds), while the microscopic species have a wider distribution, though these microscopic, basically single-celled species, can accumulate in colonies and filaments forming macroscopic mats or 'algal turfs'. Many species occur in fresh and brackish waters, though they can also be found in snow, thermal vents, humid soils, desert crusts, in/on plants, animals, rocks, etc. Basically, we live in an algal world. In periphyton, algae are critical since they are a principal producer in the food chain, as well as involved in nutrient cycling (Horne & Goldman, 1994; Lowe & Pan 1996).

Biggs (1996) summarizes that colonization by algae of an aquatic surface is a process of the settlement rate directed by the size and type of the source system (if this is dominated by bedrock, SAVs, sediment, etc.), substratum texture, light intensity, and water velocity; however, it should also be added that these filamentous attached algae can sometimes facilitate the 'adherence' of planktonic algae, due to their filamentous nature. Most of the source waters of the ATSTM system in this study are dominated by diatoms, since this group is predominant in sandy bottom rivers (Laughinghouse, 2010). Contrarily, ATSTM systems in Florida on small rocky canals and streams are dominated by filamentous chlorophytes (Adey et al., 1993). Both these groups are important

components of algal communities. In natural short-term communities, a succession usually occurs where first an organic matrix with bacteria will develop on a substrate, with small adnate diatoms following, then larger colonial diatoms, to larger filamentous chlorophytes (Hoagland et al., 1982; Biggs, 1996). Since there is a weekly harvest on ATSTM floways, the system is maintained at the intermediate stage of colonial diatoms. Since the primary objective in ATSTM systems is to maximize algal growth rate, and therefore nutrient removal, leaving algae on the floway too long could cause sloughing and loss in biomass.

The attributes of biological communities most used in ecological studies, such as abundance, richness, evenness, dominance, and species diversity are significantly affected by human activities (Pinto-Coelho et al., 1999). In particular, species diversity and the factors responsible for the maintenance or decline are key issues in ecology, and its decrease by anthropogenic effects has become a concern in science. Recent experiments have highlighted the importance of this issue, since they demonstrated the link between diversity and ecosystem functioning (Hillebrand & Sommer, 2000). According to Primack & Rodrigues (2001), the growing concern over the maintenance of biodiversity is a result of the greater awareness of its value not only as an economic good, but also with implications for the natural quality of life for people themselves.

Odum (1971) stated that communities in unfavorable or polluted environments show reduced diversity. However, data for freshwater aquatic communities are controversial, and there are cases where there is an increase in diversity with enrichment (Marcus, 1980; Pringle, 1990; Vercellino, 2001; Ferragut, 2004) and decrease of diversity

due to increase of nutrients in the supply water (Miller et al., 1992 in Hillebrand & Sommer, 2000).

The algal communities growing on the screens are periphytic turfs that are composed primarily of three life forms: 1) multi-cellular filaments that are attached directly or indirectly to the screen, 2) single-celled epiphytic species that are attached to the filaments and 3) single-celled species that grow unattached within the filamentous matrix. The filamentous species provide three-dimensional structure, analogous to the canopy or overstory in a forest, while the additional single-celled species grow within this structure, in part at least analogous to the understory in a forest. This type of algal community is a complicated sub-set of species that colonize the screen from the larger pool of naturally occurring species that possibly could colonize the screens (Figure 1.1). The screens themselves and the frequent harvesting of the algal growth technologies provide special selective forces that act as a "filter" between the total potential species pool and the sub-set of species that successfully colonizes the systems. Because the screens are made of artificial materials (man-made plastics, etc.), the colonizing species have not evolved to grow on them. The successful colonizers are thought to be preadapted to growth on the screens due to chance functional traits, since these have not evolved with them. The main goals of this chapter are to provide aggregate descriptions of the algal turf communities and to offer some explanations for the structure and composition of these communities.

Materials & Methods

Sampling

Samples of periphyton were collected at scheduled intervals in all of the ATSTM systems of this project and subsequently analyzed at the National Museum of Natural History (NMNH), using an Olympus BX50 binocular light microscope (LM). Fortyeight samples were collected at Muddy Run, 74 at Great Wicomico, and 55 at VIMS. For the 'species-pool/source-communities' comparison study, undertaken at VIMS, six samples of phytoplankton were obtained, using a phytoplankton net (25 μ m), five samples of benthic/sediment samples, and two samples of macroalgae for epiphytic analyses were collected during an annual cycle from 2010-2011 ensuring sampling during all seasonal periods. The sediment samples were taken from the top 3 cm of the sediment in the field, brought back to the lab and left on a lighted shelf, allowing the epipsammic and/or benthic algae to rise to the surface of the sediment. Using a Pasteur pipette, the algae, which had risen was subsampled and analyzed using LM and oxidized for diatom analysis. For epiphytic algae on macroalgae, areas adjacent to the ATS^{TM} at VIMS were scoured for macroalgae, which was brought back to the laboratory for analysis. These were brushed using a sterile toothbrush, and the algae was analyzed using LM and also oxidized for better visualization of the diatoms. These samples were collected during different seasons, and analyzed either live, preserved in 4% formaldehyde or in lugol's for sedimentation, and samples were frozen for potential molecular studies.

For qualitative analyses, periphyton was scraped at various parts of the floway to have an understanding of the full complexity of the algal community. For quantitative analyses, areas of 25 cm^2 located at the top, middle, and bottom sections of the floways were sampled for analysis.

The procedure for visualizing 'soft-algae' and diatoms are different, thus steps undertaken for the qualitative and quantitative analyses of both are noted below.

Qualitative analysis

In preparation for light microscopy (LM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM), diatoms were oxidized, a different procedure than just direct visualization used for soft-bodied algae (i.e., chlorophytes and cyanobacteria). A 20 ml subsample was separated and boiled in 30% hydrogen peroxide in a l:1 sample ratio, adding 3 mg of potassium dichromate during the process to speed oxidization, until returning to the original volume of 20 ml. The cleaned material was concentrated in a centrifuge, and rinsed several times until reaching a neutral pH. This material was diluted into 3 different dilutions, air dried on coverslips, and processed for either LM or SEM analysis.

For LM, the coverslips were allowed to dry overnight, and mounted onto glass slides with the high infraction index (1.704) mounting medium Cargille Meltmount [™] (Cargille-Sacher Laboratories, NJ). The samples were then analyzed under 400x or 1000x (oil immersion) using an Olympus Bx50 compound microscope with phase contrast. Morphological characteristics were recorded for the taxa for specific delimitation, i.e., valve/cell diameter and length, diameter of central area, striae length, density, and number. For SEM, coverslips were mounted on specimen stubs and sputter-coated with a gold/palladium alloy (10 nm) analyzed using a Leica Stereoscan 440 SEM.

The following specific literature for identification was used for each group: Bacillariophyta (Krammer & Lange-Bertalot, 1986, 1988, 1991, 1991; Cox, 1996; Round et al., 1990); Cyanobacteria (Komárek & Anagnostidis, 1998, 2005); Chlorophyta (Prescott, 1982; Bicudo & Menezes, 2005; John & Williamson, 2009) in addition to using some regional floras (Prescott, 1982).

For species listing of these qualitative data, presence/absence data was used for each specific site and can be seen in the tables in this Chapter.

Quantitative analysis

Samples were quantitatively analyzed with a modified Utermöhl chamber counting method (Utermöhl, 1931; Lund et al., 1958), where thick algal mats were homogenized (blended) in distilled water (or salt water), with the final volume recorded. A subsample of 100 ml out of 800 ml to 1 L was taken and standard lugol's solution was added to sediment and stabilize the samples for analysis, preparing it for counts in the inverted microscope. A second sub-sample of 100 ml was also taken, without adding lugols, and frozen (-4°C) for DNA community analyses in a future work. After 3 hours, or enough time for lugol to penetrate the algal cells in the subsample, the sample was homogenized by shaking slowly back and forth for 1 minute, and 5 ml were placed in a sedimentation chamber of equal volume. After 4 hours, for cell sedimentation to the bottom of the chamber, visualization with a Zeiss inverted microscope for counting was used.

Algal counts of periphyton were analyzed using a Zeiss inverted microscope, counting a minimum of 500 cells/valves (cell cm⁻²). These were recorded and a formula proposed by Wetzel & Likens (1979) modified by Schwarzbold (1992) was used to determine the number of algal cells cm⁻²:

$$\mathbf{N} = \frac{\mathbf{n} * \mathbf{V}}{\mathbf{v} * (1/S)}$$

where:

N = number of individuals per cm² n = total number of individuals counted in the sample V = volume of the sample with scraped material in ml v = volume of the counted fields S = surface of the substrate in cm²

Statistical treatment

The results were initially evaluated using descriptive statistics, with graphs of means and histograms. Percentages were calculated by dividing the group in question by the overall total.

Life History Classification

Each taxon identified in this studied was determined to contain a life form, mode of attachment or absence, habit, and be microscopic or macroscopic. To verify these attributes, the following literature was used: Prescott (1982), Krammer & Lange-Bertalot 1986, 1988, 1991, 1991), Round et al. (1990), Komárek & Anagnostidis (1998, 2005), Bicudo & Menezes (2005), Brodie et al. (2007), and John & Williamson (2009). This classification information is provided in Appendices *1-4*.

Diversity

For analyzing the diversity of each of the locations, species-area curves were constructed and compared. Species-area curves show the relationship between the areas of a habitat, in this case an ATSTM, and the cumulative number of species that are found within that area. This representation is relevant since diversity and the shape this curve arise from ecological interactions and adaptations at the community level of organization (Rosenzweig, 1995). Species area curves are an expression of the diversity of a community; they are the most robust phenomena in the ecological literature (Odum, 1971). Diversity is depicted in the species-area curve by the slope of the graph, along with the absolute value of number of species (Rosenzweig, 1995). Based on a subjective assessment of the annual cycle of species diversity, it was decided to the species into two parts. Thus, the year was divided into two parts, Spring-Summer and Autumn-Winter, and the species-lists were randomized, sampled in triplicate and species area curves were constructed to compare the diversity among the sites.

To calculate similarity between sites the number of taxa shared between sites were divided by the total number of taxa from those particular sites. For example, the VIMS ATS^{TM} and the plankton contain a total of 116 total taxa, though they share 31 taxa, thus $31/116\approx 27\%$ of similarity between these two assemblages.

Results

ATSTM at the Boat Basin of the Virginia Institute of Marine Science Source communities With the attempt to understand origin of the taxa which inhabit the algal turf on these engineered systems, the phytoplankton, sediments, and epiphytes on macroalgae at VIMS were sampled (Figure 2.1: showing a conceptual model of species-pool habitats) as described in the Materials and Methods. In Table 2.1, all 112 species found in the VIMS habitats are presented. When analyzing the similarity of the aggregated list among all the sites, it was found that 12.5% of the taxa (14 taxa) are shared among all three sites. Twenty-one taxa (18.8%) are shared between two sites (without distinguishing which sites). Considering sites that share taxa, overall 31.3% (35 taxa) of the taxa are shared among 2 or 3 sites, which means that roughly 69% of the taxa are found in one distinct habitat.



Figure 2.1. Conceptual model of species-pool habitats that can colonize the ATSTM.

| Species | Plankton | Sediment | Epiphyte |
|------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Cyanobacteria | | | |
| Aphanothece minutissima | 1 | | |
| Johannesbaptista pellucida | | | 1 |
| Leptolyngbya sp. | | 1 | 1 |
| Lyngbya salina | | | 1 |
| Oscillatoria sp. | | | 1 |
| Pseudanabaena sp. | 1 | | 1 |
| Dinophyta | | | |
| Protoperidinium sp. | 1 | | |
| Chlorophyta | | | |
| Cladophora sp. | | | 1 |
| Pseudendoclonium submarinum | | | 1 |
| <i>Ulothrix</i> sp. | | | 1 |
| Ulva intestinalis | | | 1 |
| Bacillariophyta | | | |
| Achnanthes sp. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Actinocyclus octonarius | 1 | | |
| Actinocyclus subtilus | 1 | | |
| Actinopytichus senarius | 1 | | |
| Amphipleura sp. | | | 1 |
| Amphora sp. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Asterionellopsis sp. | 1 | | |
| Auliscus cf. sculptus | 1 | | |
| Auliscus sp. | 1 | | |
| Bacillaria paxillefer | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Bacteriastrum sp. | 1 | | |
| Berkeleya fennica | 1 | | |
| Berkeleya rutilans | 1 | | 1 |
| Caloneis aemula | 1 | | |
| Chaetoceros aequatorialus | 1 | | |
| Chaetoceros compressus | 1 | | |
| Chaetoceros danicus | 1 | | |
| Chaetoceros deciepens | 1 | | |
| Chaetoceros lorenzianus | 1 | | |
| Chaetoceros spp. | 1 | | 1 |
| Cocconeis sp. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Coscinodiscus radiatus | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Cyclotella cf. caspia | 1 | | |
| Cylindrotheca closterium | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| <i>Cymbella</i> sp. | 1 | | |
| <i>Cymbellonitzschia</i> sp. | 1 | | |

Table 2.1. List of species found in the source communities ('species-pool') at VIMS.

| Dactyliosolen sp. | 1 | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Delphineis sp. | 1 | | |
| Dimeregramma minor | | 1 | |
| Diploneis sp. | | 1 | 1 |
| Ditylum brightwellii | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Entomoneis sp. | 1 | | |
| Eunotogramma laevis | | 1 | |
| Fallacia sp. | | 1 | |
| Fragilaria pulchella var. constricta | 1 | | |
| Fragilaria sp. | 1 | | 1 |
| Grammatophora sp. | | | 1 |
| Gomphonema sp. | 1 | 1 | |
| Guinardia flaccida | 1 | | |
| Gyrosigma sp. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Gyrosigma sp.2 | | 1 | |
| Leptocylindrus danicus | 1 | | |
| Licmophora sp. (short) | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Licmophora sp. (long) | | | 1 |
| <i>Lyrella</i> sp. | | 1 | |
| Mastogloia sp. | 1 | 1 | |
| Melosira monoliformes | 1 | | 1 |
| Melosira nummuloides | 1 | | 1 |
| Meunieria sp. | | 1 | |
| Navicula arenaria var. rostellata | 1 | 1 | |
| Navicula capitoradiata | | 1 | |
| Navicula celinei | 1 | | |
| Navicula duerrenbergiana | | 1 | |
| Navicula gregaria | | 1 | |
| Navicula smithii | | 1 | 1 |
| Navicula sp. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Navicula vaneeii | | 1 | |
| Neocalyptrella robusta | 1 | | |
| Nitzschia cf. circumscrita | 1 | | |
| Nitzschia fasciculata | 1 | | |
| Nitzschia filiformis | | 1 | |
| Nitzschia frustulum | 1 | | |
| Nitzschia longissima | | 1 | |
| Nitzschia scalpelliformis | | 1 | 1 |
| Nitzschia sigma | 1 | 1 | |
| Nitzschia sigmaformis | 1 | | |
| Nitzschia tryblionella | | | 1 |
| Nitzschia valdestriata | 1 | | |
| Nitzschia ventricosa | | 1 | |
| Nitzschia sp. | 1 | 1 | |
| Odontella granulata | 1 | | |
| Odontella mobilensis | 1 | | |
| Odontella obtusa | | | 1 |
| Opephora burchardtiae | 1 | | |
| Opephora marina | 1 | 1 | |

| Paralia sulcata | 1 | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Parlibellus sp. | | 1 | |
| Planothidium sp. | | 1 | |
| Pleurosigma sp. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Proboscia alata | 1 | | |
| Pseudonitzscha pungens | 1 | | 1 |
| Pseudonitzschia fraudulenta | 1 | | |
| Pseudostaurosira sp. | | | 1 |
| Rhaphoneis amphiceros | 1 | 1 | |
| Rhaphoneis sp. | 1 | | |
| Rhizosolenia pungens | 1 | 1 | |
| Rhizosolenia setigera | 1 | | |
| Rhopalodia sp. | 1 | | 1 |
| Skeletonema costatum | 1 | | |
| Skeletonema marinoi | 1 | | |
| Stauroneis sp. | 1 | | |
| Stephanocyclus meneghiana | 1 | | |
| Surirella brebissonii | 1 | | |
| Surirella sp. | 1 | | |
| Synedra sp. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Tabularia tabulata | 1 | | 1 |
| Thalassionema nitzschioides | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Thalassiosira nordenskioeldii | 1 | | |
| <i>Thalassiosira</i> sp. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Trachysphenia acuminata | 1 | | |
| | | | |
| Rhodophyta | | | |
| Ceramium sp. | | | 1 |

Species Diversity

Sixty-eight taxa were found on the floway at VIMS. The taxonomic distribution of species by species number and cell abundance is shown in Figure 2.2. Diatoms (Bacillariophyta) dominated the algal flora with 82% of the total diversity. Four other algal phyla were represented in the flora with cyanobacteria and chlorophytes contributing 12% and 3%, respectively, to total diversity. Overall, the diatom genera *Berkeleya* and *Melosira* and the green alga genus *Ulva* are the dominant "canopy" species that structure most of the sampled algal communities.

Analyzing the data by abundance, the diatoms also dominate with 83% of the total cell abundance. Cyanobacteria and Chlorophyta were the following abundant groups, with 10% and 7%, of total relative abundance, respectively. The other phyla accumulated to less than 1% of total cells present.



Figure 2.2. Relative composition of different algal phyla at VIMS, (left) by species composition, (right) by cell abundance.

Species-area curves for two seasonal aggregations of samples from the VIMS boat-basin, on-land ATSTM, are shown in Figure 2.3 (spring-summer samples) and Figure 2.4 (fall-winter samples). In each case the curves have not reached an asymptote after about 400 cm² of sampled area, but they are close to leveling off. Somewhat surprisingly, the shapes of the two curves are similar with a total of about 70 species for the seasonally aggregated communities.



Figure 2.3. Species area curve for the VIMS Boat Basin data set during Spring-Summer.



Figure 2.4. Species area curve for the VIMS Boat Basin data set during Fall-Winter.

The distribution of life forms represented in the algal flora is shown in Figure 2.5. Although single-celled, solitary species were the most common at 52% of the total, colonial, filamentous, and chains were well represented.



Figure 2.5. Distribution of life forms at VIMS, (left) by species composition, (right) by cell abundance.

Another critical preadaptation of the species that colonize the screen is the mode of attachment. It is important that at least some species become attached to the screen in order to provide stability against the water currents. Several modes of attachment are illustrated in Figure 2.6 and the distribution across the boat basin ATS^{TM} is shown in Figure 2.7. Most species found on the screens lack an attachment adaptation (55%) and those are probably found in the understory of the turf. Mucilage (41%) and special holdfast cells (4%) are the common attachment preadaptations¹ and these are found in the canopy of the turf.



Figure 2.6. Modes of attachment: A) Mucilaginous stalk; B) Mucilage pad and sheath; C) Mucilaginous tube; D) Holdfast.

¹ Attachment pre-adaptations refer to those attachment adaptions in which species have evolved for surviving in their natural habitats over evolutionary time, which they use to their benefit in the artificial ATSTM floways.



Figure 2.7. Distribution of attachment adaptations at VIMS, (left) by species composition, (right) by cell abundance.

The origins of the algae found on the screens of the algal production systems are shown in Figure 2.8 with names used to describe the habitats of the species. The definitions of the terms are as follows: Benthic – growing on the bottom of a water body, Periphytic – growing attached to a substrate, Metaphytic – growing among the periphyton, Epiphytic – growing on a plant, Planktonic – growing suspended in the water column, Epilithic – growing on rock/stone, Epipelic – growing on mud, and Epipsammic – growing on sand. Although algae on the screens of the production systems come from a variety of habitats, the dominant species (filamentous diatoms and chlorophytes) are either epiphytic (36%) or benthic (53%).



Figure 2.8. Distribution of types of habits of the algal associated to the VIMS algal turf systems, (left) by species composition, (right) by cell abundance.

A final characteristic of the flora relates to the relative size of the taxa – macroscopic versus microscopic. Figure 2.9 shows the distribution of sizes with a strong dominance of microalgae on the screens. Some of the dominant macroalgae from the intertidal zone of the Chesapeake Bay (see Discussion) are found on the screens, but they seldom dominate the communities, and possibly the frequent harvesting inhibits their development.



Figure 2.9. Relative contribution of microalgae vs. macroalgae at VIMS, (left) by species composition, (right) by cell abundance.

Algal abundance

The most abundant algal group on the system during the study period was Bacillariophyta (diatoms) with 83% of total relative abundance (Figures 2.10 & 2.11). Cyanobacteria and Chlorophyta were the next most abundant groups, with 10% and 7%, of total abundance, respectively. The other phyla accumulated to less than 1% of total cell numbers.



Figure 2.10. Relative abundance of algal species by phyla on the VIMS ATSTM.

The average community structure data is shown in Table 2.2. Raw data by sample date can be found in Appendices 5-11. The most abundant taxa (by relative abundance values) were *Berkeleya* spp. (*B. fennica* and *B. rutilans*) (40%), *Melosira* spp. (*M. monoliformis* and *M. nummuloides*) (9%), *Ulva intestinalis* (7%), *Nitzschia* spp. (6%), *Stauronella* sp. (5%), *Navicula* spp. (4%), and *Calothrix* sp. (4%). The other taxa occurring on the floway accounted for 3% or less of total abundance each.



Figure 2.11. Change with time (May 2009 – July 2010) of (top) total community abundance and (bottom) of algal relative abundance, by phyla for the VIMS ATSTM.

Table 2.2. Algal community structure on the VIMS Boat Basin floway during 2009-2010. Density values are numbers of individuals x 10^3 per square centimeter of screen surface. Numbers in parentheses are percentage of the total (diatom + non-diatom) average density. Frequency is the number of occurrence among the 7 sample dates.

| Species | Average Density | Frequency |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Diatoms | | |
| Berkeleya rutilans | 955 (49) | 7/7 |
| Melosira nummuloides | 162 (8) | 7/7 |
| Stauronella sp. | 88 (5) | 1/7 |
| Navicula sp. | 58 (3) | 7/7 |
| Melosira monoliformes | 49 (3) | 5/7 |
| Nitzschia sp. | 40 (2) | 7/7 |
| Nitzschia sp. (long) | 38 (2) | 1/7 |
| Licmophora sp. 1 | 34 (2) | 5/7 |
| Achnanthus brevipes | 29 (2) | 2/7 |
| Entomoneis sp. | 25 (1) | 4/7 |
| Achnanthes sp. | 21 (1) | 6/7 |
| Gyrosigma sp. | 21 (1) | 3/7 |
| Fragilariopsis sp. | 19 (1) | 3/7 |
| Amphora sp. | 19 (1) | 7/7 |
| Grammatophora sp. | 15 (1) | 3/7 |
| Nitzschia nana | 11 (1) | 2/7 |
| <i>Thalassosira</i> sp. | 10(1) | 5/7 |
| Coscinodiscus sp. | 9 (<1) | 7/7 |
| Navicula smithii | 9 (<1) | 2/7 |
| Pseudonitzschia cf. multiseries | 9 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Tabularia sp. | 7 (<1) | 4/7 |
| Licmophora sp. 2 | 7 (<1) | 3/7 |
| Actinoptychus sp. | 7 (<1) | 2/7 |
| Navicula sp. (small) | 7 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Skeletonema costatum | 6 (<1) | 3/7 |
| Pseudonitzschia sp. | 6 (<1) | 2/7 |
| Bacillaria paxillifer | 5 (<1) | 3/7 |
| Pseudonitzschia cf. pungens | 5 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Plagiotropis sp. | 5 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Cyclotella sp. | 4 (<1) | 6/7 |
| Minidiscus sp. | 4 (<1) | 5/7 |
| Stauroneis sp. | 4 (<1) | 2/7 |
| Nitzschia sp. 2 | 4 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Nitzschia sp. (small) | 3 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Cocconeis sp. | 2 (<1) | 4/7 |
| Haslea sp. | 2 (<1) | 1/7 |
| <i>Cymbella</i> sp. | 1 (<1) | 4/7 |
| <i>Fragilaria</i> sp. | 1 (<1) | 2/7 |
| Unidentified diatom | 1 (<1) | 2/7 |
| Amphora sp. (small) | 1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Nitzschia sp. (mid) | 1 (<1) | 1/7 |

| Pinnularia sp. (small) | 1 (<1) | 1/7 |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----|
| Eucampia sp. | 1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Melosira sp. | 1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Cylindrotheca closterium | 1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Pinnularia sp. | 1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Navicula sp. (mid) | 1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Chaetoceros sp. | 1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Diploneis sp. | <1 (<1) | 2/7 |
| Nitzschia sp. 3 | <1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Caloneis/Luticola sp. | <1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Hantzschia sp. | <1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| <i>Staurosira</i> sp. | <1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Gomphenema sp. | <1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| <i>Luticola</i> sp | <1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| <i>Surirella</i> sp. | <1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Ctenophora sp. | <1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Unidentified pennate diatom | <1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Tabularia tabulata | <1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Sellaphora sp. | <1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Pleurosigma cf. fasciola | <1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Achnanthidium minutissimum | <1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| <i>Cylindrotheca</i> sp. | <1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Opephora sp. | <1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Total | 1711 (87) | |
| Non-Diatoms | | |
| Ulva intestinalis | 149 (8) | 6/7 |
| Calothrix sp. | 37 (2) | 3/7 |
| Oscillatoria sp. | 14(1) | 3/7 |
| <i>Ceramium</i> sp. | 10(1) | 1/7 |
| Pseudanabaena | 6 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Ulothrix sp. | 2 (<1) | 1/7 |
| <i>Nodularia</i> sp. | 1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Peridinium sp. | <1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| <i>Cyanosarcira</i> sp. | <1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Leptolyngbya sp. | <1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Phormidium sp | <1 (<1) | 1/7 |
| Total | 220 (13) | |

Great Wicomico River ATS^{TM}

Algal Turf Scrubber Floway 1

Species Diversity

One hundred twenty species were found at the Great Wicomico River ATSTM over both floways. The taxonomic distribution of species by species number and cell abundance found on Floway 1 of the Great Wicomico River ATSTM is shown in Figure *2.12*. Diatoms (Bacillariophyta) dominate the diversity of the algal flora with 77 % of the total diversity. Six other algal phyla were represented in the flora with cyanobacteria and chlorophytes contributing 13% and 5%, respectively, of total diversity.

Although the diversity of diatoms reached 75%, its relative abundance values were only 51% of the total biomass. Another interesting fact is that chlorophytes and cyanobacteria were 27% and 21% of the total abundance, respectively, with the other phyla roughly 1%. Comparing these two charts, it is noticed that even though the cyanobacteria and chlorophytes were not so diverse, their abundance was high.



Figure 2.12. Relative composition of different algal phyla on Floway 1 at Wicomico, (left) by species composition, (right) by cell abundance.

As previously stated, species-area curves can be used to examine the diversity of a particular community. Species-area curves for two seasonal aggregations of samples from the Great Wicomico River ATSTM Floway 1 are shown in Figure 2.13 (spring-summer samples) and Figure 2.14 (fall-winter samples). In each case the curves have not reached an asymptote after about 400 cm² of sampled area (spring-summer) and 125 cm² (fall-winter), though the prior is close.



Figure 2.13. Species area curve for the Wicomico Floway 1 data set during Spring-Summer.



Figure 2.14. Species area curve for the Wicomico Floway 1 data set during Fall-Winter.

The distribution of life forms represented in the algal flora is shown in Figure 2.15. Although single-celled, solitary species were the most common at 55% of the total, colonial, filamentous, and colonies were well represented. As previously stated, life forms are important in determining the structure of the turf communities. The filamentous species along with the chain-forming species to a lesser degree comprise the overstory of the turf, which generally give the physical character to the turf. The remaining life forms are found in the understory.



Figure 2.15. Distribution of life forms at Floway 1 at Wicomico, (left) by species composition, (right) by cell abundance.

Species that colonize the screen possess a mode of attachment, and it is important that at least some species become physically attached to the screen for stability. Most species found on the screens lack an attachment adaptation (52%) and those are probably found in the understory of the turf. Mucilage (41%) and special holdfast cells (7%) are common attachment pre-adaptations and these are found in the canopy of the turf (Figure 2.16).



Figure 2.16. Distribution of attachment adaptations on Floway 1 at Wicomico, (left) by species composition, (right) be cell abundance.

The origins of the algae found on the screens of the algal production systems are shown in Figure 2.17 with names used to describe the habitats of the species. Most species are either benthic or grow attached to a substrate.



Figure 2.17. Distribution of types of habitats of the algae associated to Floway 1 at Wicomico.

A final characteristic of the flora relates to the relative size of the taxa – macroscopic versus microscopic. Figure 2.18 shows the distribution of sizes with a strong dominance of microalgae on the screens. Some of the dominant macroalgae from the intertidal zone of the Chesapeake Bay (Orris, 1980) are also found on these screens, e.g. species of *Ceramium, Cladophora, Polysiphonia,* and *Ulva*, but they seldom dominate the communities.



Figure 2.18. Relative contribution of microalgae vs. macroalgae on Floway 1 at Wicomico.

Algal Abundance

The most abundant algal group on Floway 1 during the study period was Bacillariophyta (diatoms) with 53% of total relative abundance (Figures 2.19 & 2.20). Chlorophyta and Cyanobacteria followed as the next most abundant groups, with 24% and 22%, of total abundance, respectively. The other phyla accumulated to roughly 1% of total relative abundance.



Figure 2.19. Relative abundance of algal species by phyla on Floway 1.

The average community structure data is shown in Table 2.3. Raw data by sample date can be found in Appendix 12. The most abundant taxa (by relative abundance values) were *Berkeleya* spp. (*B. fennica, B. fragilis,* and *B. rutilans*) (20%), *Gloeothece* sp. (13%), *Ulva intestinalis* (10%), *Ulothrix* sp. (9%), *Melosira* spp. (*M. monoliformis* and *M. nummuloides*) (9%), *Lyngbya* cf. salina (7%) and Achrochaete sp. (7%). The other taxa occurring on the floway each accounted for 2% or less of total abundance. The most frequent taxa (occurring >84% of samplings) were Achnanthes spp. (i.e,. Achnanthes brevipes), Amphora spp., *Berkeleya rutilans, Mastogloia* spp., *Melosira nummuloides, Stauronella* sp., *Navicula* spp., and *Grammatophora* spp.



Figure 2.20. Change with time (July 2010 – June 2011) of (top) total community abundance and (bottom) of algal relative abundance, by phyla for Floway 1.

Table 2.3. Algal community structure on the Great Wicomico Floway 1 during 2010-2011. Density values are numbers of individuals per milliliter. Numbers in parentheses are percentage of the total (diatom + non-diatom) average density. Frequency is the number of occurrence among the 13 sample dates.

| Species | Total A | verage | Frequency |
|----------------------------|---------|--------|-----------|
| Diatoms | | | |
| Berkeleya rutilans | 3638296 | (17) | 13/13 |
| Melosira nummuloides | 1165780 | (5) | 12/13 |
| Melosira monoliformes | 938483 | (4) | 7/13 |
| Amphora sp. | 535882 | (2) | 13/13 |
| Licmophora sp. (short) | 504087 | (2) | 8/13 |
| Nitzschia sigma | 429808 | (2) | 3/13 |
| Stauronella sp. | 359995 | (2) | 13/13 |
| Berkeleya fennica | 357531 | (2) | 1/13 |
| Achnanthes sp. | 356268 | (2) | 13/13 |
| Licmophora sp. (long) | 325694 | (2) | 7/13 |
| Tabularia tabulata | 279569 | (2) | 9/13 |
| Achnanthidium minutissimum | 264197 | (1) | 4/13 |
| Nitzschia sigmoidea | 231126 | (1) | 4/13 |
| Grammatophora sp. | 207207 | (1) | 12/13 |
| Berkeleya fragilis | 180789 | (1) | 2/13 |
| Nitzschia sp. | 162396 | (1) | 10/13 |
| Thalassionema nitzschiodes | 154625 | (1) | 3/13 |
| Navicula sp. | 133385 | (1) | 11/13 |
| Thalassiosira sp. | 114222 | (1) | 7/13 |
| Mastogloia sp. | 92254 | (<1) | 13/13 |
| Nitzschia nana | 67072 | (<1) | 5/13 |
| Nitzschia sigmaformis | 51568 | (<1) | 3/13 |
| <i>Fragilaria</i> sp. | 49012 | (<1) | 4/13 |
| Centrales | 38789 | (<1) | 1/13 |
| Cocconeis sp. | 36950 | (<1) | 7/13 |
| Cylindrotheca closterium | 28161 | (<1) | 5/13 |
| <i>Rhopaloidia</i> sp. | 22743 | (<1) | 3/13 |
| Navicula smithii | 22093 | (<1) | 3/13 |
| Coscinodiscus sp. | 20695 | (<1) | 7/13 |
| Nitzschia fusiliformis | 20238 | (<1) | 1/13 |
| <i>Fogedia</i> sp. | 14166 | (<1) | 2/13 |
| Nitzschia filiformis | 14166 | (<1) | 1/13 |
| Catenula sp. | 14054 | (<1) | 2/13 |
| Neodelphineis sp. | 13792 | (<1) | 2/13 |
| <i>Cymatosira</i> sp. | 13492 | (<1) | 1/13 |
| Nitzschia scalpelliformis | 10793 | (<1) | 1/13 |
| <i>Epithemia</i> sp. | 10456 | (<1) | 3/13 |
| Bacillaria paxillifer | 9123 | (<1) | 3/13 |
| <i>Lyrella</i> sp. | 8770 | (<1) | 2/13 |
| Psammothidium sp. | 8095 | (<1) | 1/13 |
| Nitzschia longissima | 8095 | (<1) | 1/13 |
| <i>Opephora</i> sp. | 7830 | (<1) | 3/13 |
| Parlibellus sp. | 7495 | (<1) | 1/13 |

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 6558 | (<1) | 4/13 |
|---|---|---|--|
| Skelatonema costatum | 6071 | (<1) | 1/13 |
| Synedra sp. | 6071 | (<1) | 1/13 |
| <i>Cyclotella</i> sp. | 6020 | (<1) | 3/13 |
| Diploneis sp. | 5895 | (<1) | 3/13 |
| Rhizosolenia sp. | 5782 | (<1) | 1/13 |
| Achnanthes brevippes | 5397 | (<1) | 2/13 |
| Gyrosigma sp. | 5118 | (<1) | 3/13 |
| Podocystis sp. | 4818 | (<1) | 2/13 |
| Denticula sp. | 4553 | (<1) | 2/13 |
| Entomoneis sp. | 4497 | (<1) | 1/13 |
| Actinoptychus senarius | 3855 | (<1) | 2/13 |
| Staurosirella sp. | 3148 | (<1) | 2/13 |
| Fragilaria martyii | 2998 | (<1) | 1/13 |
| Camplyodiscus sp. | 2530 | (<1) | 1/13 |
| Ditylum brightwellii | 2024 | (<1) | 1/13 |
| Minidiscus sp. | 1927 | (<1) | 1/13 |
| Rhaphoneis sp. | 1927 | (<1) | 1/13 |
| Unidentified diatom | 1927 | (<1) | 1/13 |
| Eunotogramma laevis | 1499 | (<1) | 1/13 |
| Caloneis sp. | 1446 | (<1) | 1/13 |
| Sieminskia sp. | 1446 | (<1) | 1/13 |
| Total | 11014749 | | |
| | | | |
| Non-Diatoms | | | |
| <i>Gloeothece</i> sp. | 2695647 | (13) | 1/13 |
| Ulva intestinalis | 2238898 | (10) | 8/13 |
| <i>Ulothrix</i> sp. | 2008243 | (9) | 3/13 |
| Achrochaete sp. | 1453359 | (7) | 3/13 |
| Lyngbya salina | 1438893 | (7) | 3/13 |
| Cladophora sp. | 166551 | (1) | 7/10 |
| Cvanodictvon sp | | (-) | //13 |
| Cyunouiciyon sp. | 88956 | (<1) | 1/13 |
| Pseudanabaena sp. | 88956 64286 | (<1) (<1) | 1/13 1/13 4/13 |
| Pseudanabaena sp. Chromulina sp. | 88956 64286 62961 | (<1) (<1) (<1) | 7/13 1/13 4/13 3/13 |
| <i>Pseudanabaena</i> sp. <i>Chromulina</i> sp. <i>Spirulina</i> sp. | 88956 64286 62961 62528 | (<1) (<1) (<1) (<1) (<1) | //13 1/13 4/13 3/13 4/13 |
| <i>Pseudanabaena</i> sp. <i>Chromulina</i> sp. <i>Spirulina</i> sp. <i>Leptolyngbya</i> sp. | 88956 64286 62961 62528 38765 | (<1) (<1) (<1) (<1) (<1) (<1) | 1/13 1/13 4/13 3/13 4/13 4/13 |
| <i>Pseudanabaena</i> sp. <i>Chromulina</i> sp. <i>Spirulina</i> sp. <i>Leptolyngbya</i> sp. <i>Prorocentrum</i> sp. | 88956 64286 62961 62528 38765 32699 | (<1) (<1) (<1) (<1) (<1) (<1) | //13 1/13 4/13 3/13 4/13 4/13 4/13 |
| Pseudanabaena sp. Pseudanabaena sp. Chromulina sp. Spirulina sp. Leptolyngbya sp. Prorocentrum sp. Aphanocapsa sp. | 88956 64286 62961 62528 38765 32699 31930 | $\begin{array}{c} (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \end{array}$ | //13 1/13 4/13 3/13 4/13 4/13 4/13 2/13 |
| Pseudanabaena sp. Chromulina sp. Spirulina sp. Leptolyngbya sp. Prorocentrum sp. Aphanocapsa sp. Dino cysts | 88956 64286 62961 62528 38765 32699 31930 14070 | $\begin{array}{c} (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \end{array}$ | //13 1/13 4/13 3/13 4/13 4/13 4/13 2/13 4/13 |
| Pseudanabaena sp. Pseudanabaena sp. Chromulina sp. Spirulina sp. Leptolyngbya sp. Prorocentrum sp. Aphanocapsa sp. Dino cysts Johannesbaptista pellucida | 88956 64286 62961 62528 38765 32699 31930 14070 11993 | $\begin{array}{c} (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \end{array}$ | //13 1/13 4/13 3/13 4/13 4/13 4/13 2/13 4/13 1/13 |
| Pseudanabaena sp. Pseudanabaena sp. Chromulina sp. Spirulina sp. Leptolyngbya sp. Prorocentrum sp. Aphanocapsa sp. Dino cysts Johannesbaptista pellucida Cyanobium sp. | 88956 64286 62961 62528 38765 32699 31930 14070 11993 11564 | $\begin{array}{c} (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \end{array}$ | //13 1/13 4/13 4/13 4/13 4/13 2/13 4/13 1/13 1/13 |
| Pseudanabaena sp. Pseudanabaena sp. Chromulina sp. Spirulina sp. Leptolyngbya sp. Prorocentrum sp. Aphanocapsa sp. Dino cysts Johannesbaptista pellucida Cyanobium sp. Polysiphonia sp. | 88956 64286 62961 62528 38765 32699 31930 14070 11993 11564 10793 | $\begin{array}{c} (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \end{array}$ | //13 1/13 4/13 4/13 4/13 4/13 2/13 4/13 1/13 1/13 2/13 |
| Pseudanabaena sp. Pseudanabaena sp. Chromulina sp. Spirulina sp. Leptolyngbya sp. Prorocentrum sp. Aphanocapsa sp. Dino cysts Johannesbaptista pellucida Cyanobium sp. Polysiphonia sp. Pseudendoclonium marinum | 88956 64286 62961 62528 38765 32699 31930 14070 11993 11564 10793 7710 | $\begin{array}{c} (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \end{array}$ | <pre>//13 1/13 4/13 3/13 4/13 4/13 4/13 4/13</pre> |
| Pseudanabaena sp. Pseudanabaena sp. Chromulina sp. Spirulina sp. Leptolyngbya sp. Prorocentrum sp. Aphanocapsa sp. Dino cysts Johannesbaptista pellucida Cyanobium sp. Polysiphonia sp. Pseudendoclonium marinum Phacus sp. | 88956 64286 62961 62528 38765 32699 31930 14070 11993 11564 10793 7710 4272 | $\begin{array}{c} (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \end{array}$ | //13 1/13 4/13 3/13 4/13 4/13 4/13 2/13 1/13 1/13 2/13 1/13 2/13 |
| Pseudanabaena sp. Chromulina sp. Spirulina sp. Leptolyngbya sp. Prorocentrum sp. Aphanocapsa sp. Dino cysts Johannesbaptista pellucida Cyanobium sp. Polysiphonia sp. Pseudendoclonium marinum Phacus sp. Oscillatoria sp. | 88956 64286 62961 62528 38765 32699 31930 14070 11993 11564 10793 7710 4272 4216 | $\begin{array}{c} (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \end{array}$ | //13 1/13 4/13 3/13 4/13 4/13 4/13 2/13 1/13 2/13 1/13 2/13 2/13 2/13 |
| Pseudanabaena sp. Pseudanabaena sp. Chromulina sp. Spirulina sp. Leptolyngbya sp. Prorocentrum sp. Aphanocapsa sp. Dino cysts Johannesbaptista pellucida Cyanobium sp. Polysiphonia sp. Pseudendoclonium marinum Phacus sp. Oscillatoria sp. Phormidium sp. | 88956 64286 62961 62528 38765 32699 31930 14070 11993 11564 10793 7710 4272 4216 2530 | $\begin{array}{c} (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \\ (<1) \end{array}$ | //13 1/13 4/13 4/13 4/13 4/13 4/13 4/13 1/13 1/13 1/13 2/13 2/13 2/13 2/13 1/13 |

The periphyton growing on these engineered systems are dynamic. Diatoms are always present and abundant, although different species become dominant during different times of the year. For example, *Tabularia tabulata*, *Nitzschia sigmoidea*, and *N. sigma* are most abundant from July to September, but when it becomes colder these species are not found, and other taxa, such as *Nitzschia nana*, *Thalassionema nitzschiodes*, and *Thalassiosira* sp. increase. However, as a group, chlorophytes tend to increase during late spring and late summer, reaching 70% of total abundance (Figure *2.20*). The cyanobacteria were abundant in fall due to the large amount of two taxa: first, in mid-September, *Gloeothece* sp. was dominating the periphyton; later in the fall, *Lyngbya salina* dominated.

Algal Turf Scrubber Floway 2

Species Diversity

The taxonomic distribution of species by species number and cell abundance found on Floway 2 of the Great Wicomico River ATS^{TM} is shown in Figure 2.21. Diatoms (Bacillariophyta) dominate the diversity of the algal flora with 72% of the total diversity. Six other algal phyla were represented in the flora with cyanobacteria, dinoflagellates, and chlorophytes having 12%, 7%, and 6%, respectively of the total diversity.

Using cell abundance values, it was verified that diatoms also dominated with 66% of total abundance. Cyanobacteria and chlorophytes followed with 25% and 7%,

respectively, with the other phyla, though diverse, only amounting to 2% of total diversity.



Figure 2.21. Relative composition of different algal phyla on Floway 2 at Wicomico, (left) by species composition, (right) by cell abundance.

Species-area curves for two seasonal aggregations of samples from the Great Wicomico River ATSTM Floway 2 are shown in Figure 2.22 (spring-summer samples) and Figure 2.23 (fall-winter samples). In each case the slopes of the curves have not reached an asymptote after about 275 cm² of sampled area (spring-summer) and 300 cm² (fall-winter), though both are close to leveling off.



Figure 2.22. Species area curve for the Wicomico Floway 2 data set during Spring-Summer.



Figure 2.23. Species area curve for the Wicomico Floway 2 data set during Fall-Winter.

The distribution of life forms is presented in Figure 2.24. Single-celled, solitary species were the most common at 50% of the total, though filamentous, colonial, and chain-forming species were well represented.


Figure 2.24. Distribution of life forms at Floway 2 at Wicomico, (left) by species composition, (right) by cell abundance.

Most species found on the screens lack an attachment adaptation (53%), and are probably found in the understory of the turf. Mucilage (40%) and special holdfast cells (6%) were also present in the turf (Figure 2.25).

The original habitats of the algal species encountered on the screens of the algal production systems are shown in Figure 2.26. Most species are either benthic (41%) or epiphytic (21%).

Most species found on Floway 2 are considered microalgae, as can be seen in Figure 2.27, which compares the distribution of microalgae versus macroalgae.



Figure 2.25. Distribution of attachment adaptations on Floway 2 at Wicomico, (left) by species composition, (right) by cell abundance.



Figure 2.26. Distribution of types of habitats of the algae associated to Floway 2 on Wicomico, (left) by species composition, (right) by cell abundance.

Algal Abundance

On this floway, 98 algal taxa, belonging to seven different phyla (Bacillariophyta, Chlorophyta, Cyanobacteria, Rhodophyta, Dinophyta, and Chrysophyta) were found. The most abundant algal group on the system during the study period was Bacillariophyta (diatoms) with 67% of total relative abundance (Figure 2.28). Cyanobacteria and Chlorophyta were the following most abundant groups, with 24% and 7%, of total relative abundance, respectively. The other phyla accumulate to roughly 2% of total abundance. Different than seen on Floway 1, there were 7 taxa of dinoflagellates compared to only 2 taxa on Floway 1.



Figure 2.27. Relative contribution of microalgae vs. macroalgae on Floway 1 at Wicomico, (left) by species composition, (right) by cell abundance.

The average community structure data is shown in Table 2.4. Raw data by sample date can be found in Appendix 13. The most frequent taxa (occurring >85% of

samplings) were Achnanthes spp. (i.e. Achnanthes brevipes), Amphora spp., Berkeleya rutilans, Grammatophora spp., Licmophora spp., Melosira nummuloides, Navicula spp., and Tabularia tabulata.

The most abundant taxa were *Berkeleya* spp. (*B. fennica* and *B. rutilans*) (19%), *Lyngbya* cf. *salina* (19%), *Thalassionema nitzschiodes* (14%), *Melosira nummuloides* (7%), and *Ulva intestinalis* (6%). The other taxa occurring on the floway accounted for 3% or less of total abundance each.



Figure 2.28. Total cumulative relative abundance of periphyton growing on Floway 2.

Figure 2.29 illustrates how the periphytic community on this system is dynamic. As in Floway 1, diatoms are always present, though there are changes in community structure occurring throughout the year. Cyanobacteria tend to have an increase from August to November (up to 60%) of total abundance, dominated by *Lyngbya salina*. Although present several times during the year, chlorophytes have their highest abundance in the late springtime, reaching up to 40% of the total abundance. Both *Ulva intestinalis* and *Ulothrix* are responsible for this abundance.



Figure 2.29. Change with time (July 2010 – May 2011) of (top) total community abundance and (bottom) of algal relative abundance, by phyla for Floway 2.

Table 2.4. Algal community structure on the Great Wicomico Floway 2 during 2010-2011. Density values are numbers of individuals per milliliter. Numbers in parentheses are percentage of the total (diatom + non-diatom) average density. Frequency is the number of occurrence among the 14 sample dates.

| Species | Average De | nsity | Frequency |
|----------------------------|------------|-------|-----------|
| Diatoms | | | |
| Berkeleya rutilans | 3562991 | (18) | 14/14 |
| Thalassionema nitzschiodes | 2673047 | (14) | 7/14 |
| Melosira nummuloides | 1429793 | (7) | 14/14 |
| Amphora sp. | 513209 | (3) | 14/14 |
| Grammatophora sp. | 474750 | (2) | 14/14 |
| Skelatonema costatum | 418280 | (2) | 3/14 |
| Nitzschia nana | 393681 | (2) | 8/14 |
| Tabularia tabulata | 340480 | (2) | 13/14 |
| Achnanthes sp. | 294937 | (1) | 14/14 |
| Stauronella sp. | 290520 | (1) | 11/14 |
| Thalassiosira sp. | 284926 | (1) | 5/14 |
| Nitzschia sigmoidea | 221627 | (1) | 2/14 |
| Nitzschia cf. longissima | 218266 | (1) | 2/14 |
| Berkeleya fennica | 217283 | (1) | 4/14 |
| Licmophora sp. (short) | 203194 | (1) | 10/14 |
| Navicula sp. | 156288 | (1) | 14/14 |
| Mastogloia sp. | 154203 | (1) | 12/14 |
| Achnanthidium minutissimum | 107062 | (1) | 4/14 |
| <i>Nitzschia</i> sp. | 101016 | (1) | 9/14 |
| Cocconeis sp. | 99257 | (1) | 8/14 |
| Nitzschia sigmaformis | 79692 | (<1) | 4/14 |
| Nitzschia sp. (tube) | 72819 | (<1) | 2/14 |
| Staurosirella sp. | 72036 | (<1) | 4/14 |
| Rhopaloidia sp. | 70470 | (<1) | 5/14 |
| Licmophora sp. (long) | 67768 | (<1) | 10/14 |
| Melosira monoliformes | 46911 | (<1) | 6/14 |
| Navicula smithii | 46756 | (<1) | 4/14 |
| Fragilariopsis sp. | 46566 | (<1) | 5/14 |
| cf. <i>Diadesmis</i> sp. | 34452 | (<1) | 2/14 |
| Nitzschia filiformis | 33495 | (<1) | 3/14 |
| Nitzschia sigma | 29729 | (<1) | 2/14 |
| Leptocylindrus minimus | 28971 | (<1) | 2/14 |
| Cylindrotheca closterium | 24534 | (<1) | 5/14 |
| Berkeleya fragilis | 22272 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Cyclotella sp. | 16835 | (<1) | 4/14 |
| Fragilaria sp. | 16833 | (<1) | 3/14 |
| <i>Gyrosigma</i> sp. | 16391 | (<1) | 5/14 |
| Eunotia sp. | 14094 | (<1) | 2/14 |
| Chaetoceros sp. | 12702 | (<1) | 4/14 |
| Coscinodiscus sp. | 12667 | (<1) | 5/14 |
| Frustulia sp. | 12528 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Achnanthes brevipes | 9396 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Paralia sp. | 9396 | (<1) | 1/14 |

| Bacillaria paxillifer | 8829 | (<1) | 4/14 |
|----------------------------|----------|------|------|
| <i>Amphipleura</i> sp. | 8613 | (<1) | 2/14 |
| Navicula gregaria | 7569 | (<1) | 2/14 |
| Nitzschia scalpelliformis | 6890 | (<1) | 2/14 |
| Catenula sp. | 6264 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| <i>Opephora</i> sp. | 5906 | (<1) | 3/14 |
| <i>Fogedia</i> sp. | 5011 | (<1) | 2/14 |
| Entomoneis sp. | 4698 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| <i>Meuniera</i> sp. | 4698 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Diploneis sp. | 4549 | (<1) | 3/14 |
| <i>Plagiogramma</i> sp. | 4176 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Pinnularia sp. | 3967 | (<1) | 2/14 |
| Denticula sp. | 3758 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Ditylum brightwellii | 3445 | (<1) | 2/14 |
| Dactyliosolen sp. | 3132 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Nitzschia ventricosa | 3132 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Plagiotropis sp. | 3132 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Neodelphineis sp. | 2784 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Hippodonta sp. | 2088 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Actinoptychus senarius | 1566 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Caloneis sp. | 1566 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| <i>Epithemia</i> sp. | 1566 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Meridion sp. | 1566 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Nitzschia tryblionella | 1566 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Pseudostaurosira sp. | 1566 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| <i>Striatella</i> sp. | 1566 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| <i>Surirella</i> sp. | 1566 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Pleurosigma sp. | 1392 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Synedropsis sp. | 894 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Total | 13059578 | (66) | |
| Non-Diatoms | | | |
| Lyngbya salina | 3756244 | (19) | 9/14 |
| Ulva intestinalis | 1252664 | (6) | 6/14 |
| Pseudanabaena sp. | 473908 | (2) | 4/14 |
| Aphanocapsa sp. | 277078 | (1) | 2/14 |
| Chromulina sp. | 198360 | (1) | 5/14 |
| Polysiphonia sp. | 121452 | (1) | 3/14 |
| Calothrix sp. | 89332 | (<1) | 2/14 |
| Ulothrix sp. | 86443 | (<1) | 2/14 |
| Leptolyngbya sp. | 73567 | (<1) | 4/14 |
| <i>Geitlerinema</i> sp. | 53244 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Jaaginema sp. | 41891 | (<1) | 2/14 |
| Johannesbaptista pellucida | 38976 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Aphanothece sp. | 34452 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Coccoid green | 32886 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Prorocentrum sp. | 32234 | (<1) | 5/14 |
| <i>Cladophora</i> sp. | 31477 | (<1) | 2/14 |
| Chroococcus sp. | 14198 | (<1) | 2/14 |
| <i>Spirulina</i> sp. | 9396 | (<1) | 2/14 |

| Unknown green #2 | 9396 | (<1) | 1/14 |
|--------------------|---------|------|------|
| Dino cysts | 7516 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Katodinium sp. | 3132 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Gyrodinium sp. | 3132 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| <i>Euglena</i> sp. | 2349 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Alexandrium sp. | 1566 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Ceratium sp. | 1566 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Dinophysis sp. | 1566 | (<1) | 1/14 |
| Total | 6648025 | (34) | |

Muddy Run Reservoir ATSTM

Aluminum Floway

Species Diversity

Two hundred twelve taxa were found on the ATSTM floways at Muddy Run, which is considerably higher than the other locations. The algal community structure on the aluminum floway at the Muddy Run Reservoir ATSTM is presented in Table 2.5. The taxonomic distribution of species found on the aluminum floway at the Muddy Run Reservoir is shown in Figure 2.30. Diatoms (Bacillariophyta) dominated the algal flora with 46 % of total diversity. Six other algal phyla were represented in the flora with chlorophytes and cyanobacteria contributing 36% and 14%, respectively, of total diversity.

Table 2.5. Algal community structure on the aluminum floway at the Muddy Run Reservoir ATSTM, 2008-2009.

| Таха | Sample Frequency | Date Frequency |
|----------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Cyanobacteria | | |
| Aphanocapsa holsatica | 1 | 1 |
| Aphanocapsa rivularis | 0 | 0 |
| Aphanothece sp. | 0 | 0 |
| Asterocapsa sp. | 0 | 0 |
| Bacularia vermicularis | 1 | 1 |
| Chroococcus minor | 3 | 2 |
| Chroococcus minutus | 0 | 0 |
| Eucapsis carpatica | 0 | 0 |
| Eucapsis parallelepipedon | 1 | 1 |
| Geitierinema amphibium | 2 | 1 |
| Leibleinia epiphytica | 6 | 1 |
| Leptolyngbya boryana | 0 | 0 |
| Leptolyngbya subtilis | 2 | 1 |
| Lyngbya martesiana | 1 | 1 |
| Merismopedia tenuissima | 3 | 3 |
| Oscillatoria curviceps | 1 | 1 |
| Oscillatoria froelichii | 5 | 3 |
| Oscillatoria limosa | 1 | 1 |
| Oscillatoria princeps | 0 | 0 |
| Phormidium autumnale | 10 | 6 |
| Phormidium fonticolum | 0 | 0 |
| Phormidium interruptum | 1 | 1 |
| Phormidium retzii | 3 | 2 |
| Phormidium simplicissimum | 0 | 0 |
| Phormidium stagninum | 2 | 2 |
| Phormidium teryestinum | 5 | 2 |
| Planktolyngbya limnetica | 2 | 1 |
| Pseudanabaena galeata | 3 | 2 |
| Pseudanabaena limnetica | 0 | 0 |
| Pseudanabaena sp. | 3 | 1 |
| Chlorophyta | | |
| Ankistrodesmus convolutus | 1 | 1 |
| Botryococcus braunii | 2 | 2 |
| Bulbochaete sp. | 1 | 1 |
| Characiopsis sp. | 0 | 0 |
| Chlorella sp. | 2 | 2 |
| Cladophora glomerata | 4 | 3 |
| Closteriopsis acicularis | 3 | 1 |
| Closterium cf. sublaterale | 0 | 0 |
| Closterium sp. | 1 | 1 |
| Coelastrum astroideum | 2 | 2 |
| Coelastrum cf. cabricum | 0 | 0 |
| Coelastrum cf. microporum | 1 | 1 |
| Cosmarium cf. margaritatum | 0 | 0 |

| Cosmarium cf. pseudoconnatum | 0 | 0 |
|--------------------------------------|----|---|
| Cosmarium cf. pseudoexiguum | 0 | 0 |
| Cosmarium cf. sublobulatum | 0 | 0 |
| Cosmarium cf. subtumidum | 1 | 1 |
| Cosmarium formosulum | 1 | 1 |
| Cosmarium granatum | 0 | 0 |
| Cosmarium monomazum var. polymazum | 1 | 1 |
| Cosmarium perforatum | 0 | 0 |
| Cosmarium spp. | 10 | 5 |
| Cosmarium trilobulatum | 0 | 0 |
| Cosmarium vexatum var. lacustre | 1 | 1 |
| Desmodesmus balatonicus | 1 | 1 |
| Desmodesmus bicaudatus | 0 | 0 |
| Desmodesmus communis | 14 | 5 |
| Desmodesmus denticulatus | 0 | 0 |
| Desmodesmus gutwinskii | 1 | 1 |
| Desmodesmus intermedius | 2 | 2 |
| Desmodesmus opoliensis | 0 | 0 |
| Desmodesmus polyspinosis | 2 | 1 |
| Desmodesmus sp. | 1 | 1 |
| Diacanthos belenophorus | 1 | 1 |
| Dictyosphaerium pulchellum | 1 | 1 |
| <i>Gloecystis</i> sp. | 2 | 1 |
| Hydrodictyon reticulatum | 0 | 0 |
| Kirchneriella lunaris | 1 | 1 |
| Klebsormidium sp. | 0 | 0 |
| Lagerheimia quadriseta | 0 | 0 |
| Microspora floccosa | 1 | 1 |
| Microspora quadrata | 1 | 1 |
| Microspora wittrockii | 1 | 1 |
| Monoraphidium arcuatum | 5 | 2 |
| Monoraphidium contortum | 8 | 4 |
| Monoraphidium griffithii | 1 | 1 |
| Netrium interruptum | 0 | 0 |
| Netrium sp. | 0 | 0 |
| Oedogonium pluvioporum | 8 | 5 |
| Pediastrum biradiatum | 1 | 1 |
| Pediastrum boryanum | 6 | 3 |
| Pediastrum duplex | 5 | 2 |
| Pediastrum simplex | 0 | 0 |
| Pediastrum tetras | 5 | 3 |
| Pseudocharacium sp. | 1 | 1 |
| Quadricoccus verrocosus | 0 | 0 |
| \sim Rhizoclonium heroglyphicum | 3 | 2 |
| Scenedesmus acuminatus | 2 | 2 |
| Scenedesmus acutus | 4 | 4 |
| Scenedesmus alternans | 3 | 3 |
| Scenedesmus arcuatus | 0 | 0 |
| Scenedesmus brevispina | 1 | 1 |
| Scenedesmus cf. granulatus | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | | |

| Scenedesmus cf. overternus | 0 | 0 |
|---------------------------------------|---------|--------|
| Scenedesmus dimorphus | 1 | 1 |
| Scenedesmus ecornis | 1 | 1 |
| Scenedesmus linearis | 1 | 1 |
| Scenedesmus sp. | 6 | 3 |
| Schroederia sp. | 1 | 1 |
| Sphaerocystis schroeteri | 1 | 1 |
| Spirogyra cf. rivularis | 15 | 4 |
| Staurastrum alternans | 0 | 0 |
| Staurastrum sp. | 2 | 2 |
| Stigeoclonium tenue | 2 | 2 |
| Tetraedron caudatum | 1 | 1 |
| <i>Ulothrix</i> cf. <i>tenerrima</i> | 1 | 1 |
| <i>Ulothrix</i> cf. <i>variabilis</i> | 1 | 1 |
| Ulothrix sp. | 3 | 1 |
| Ulothrix zonata | 1 | 1 |
| Uronema elongatum | 3 | 2 |
| Bacillariophyta | | |
| Achnathidium minutissimum | 1 | 1 |
| Amphora cf. pediculus | 0 | 0 |
| Amphora libyca | 1 | 1 |
| Amphora ovalis | 0 | 0 |
| Amphora sp. | 3 | 2 |
| Aulacoseira granulate | 2 | 1 |
| Aulacoseira italica | - | 1 |
| Aulacoseira planctonica | 2 | 1 |
| Brachvsira brebissonii | - | 1 |
| Caloneis sp. | 0 | 0 |
| Cocconeis nediculus | 2 | 1 |
| Cocconeis placentula | 3 | 3 |
| Cymatonleura solea | 1 | 1 |
| Cymhella affine | 2 | 1 |
| Cymbella cistula | 1 | 1 |
| Cymbella lanceolata | 1 | 1 |
| Cymbella lantocaras | 0 | 0 |
| Cymbella narva | 2 | 1 |
| Cymbella spp | 11 | 1 |
| Cymbella turida | 11 | 0 |
| Diadasmis contenta | יד ק | 2 1 |
| Diatoma chrenharaji | 1 | 4 |
| Diatoma entenbergii | 1 | 1 |
| Diatoma mesodon | 0 | 0 |
| Diatoma monoliformis | 3 | 2 1 |
| Diatoma tenuis | 5 | 1 |
| Diaioma vuigare | 11 | 4 |
| Encyonema caespitosum | 2 | 1 |
| Encyonema gracile | 0 | 0 |
| Encyonema minutum | 1 | 1 |
| Encyonema prostratum | 1 | 1 |
| Encyonema sp. | 2 | 1 |

| Eunotia sp. | 1 | 1 |
|----------------------------|----|---|
| Fragilaria capucina | 8 | 4 |
| Fragilaria vaucheriae | 3 | 2 |
| Frustulia rhomboides | 1 | 1 |
| Frustulia sp. | 6 | 4 |
| Frustulia vulgaris | 1 | 1 |
| Gomphoneis minuta | 1 | 1 |
| Gomphonema angustatum | 1 | 1 |
| Gomphonema capitatum | 0 | 0 |
| Gomphonema cf. brasiliense | 3 | 1 |
| Gomphonema cf. hastatum | 0 | 0 |
| Gomphonema olivaceum | 0 | 0 |
| Gomphonema sp. | 7 | 3 |
| Gomphonema truncatum | 4 | 2 |
| Gyrosigma attenuatum | 1 | 1 |
| Gyrosigma sp. | 2 | 2 |
| Luticola geoppertiana | 3 | 2 |
| Melosira varians | 23 | 6 |
| Meridion circulare | 1 | 1 |
| Navicula capitata | 1 | 1 |
| Navicula capitoradiata | 2 | 1 |
| Navicula cf. drouetiana | 2 | 1 |
| Navicula cf. fonticola | 0 | 0 |
| Navicula cf. hungarica | 1 | 1 |
| Navicula cf. margalithi | 0 | 0 |
| Navicula cryptocephala | 5 | 3 |
| Navicula gregaria | 7 | 3 |
| Navicula lanceolata | 2 | 2 |
| Navicula rhyncocephala | 2 | 2 |
| Navicula spp. | 13 | 6 |
| Neidum sp. | 0 | 0 |
| Nitschia acicularis | 0 | 0 |
| Nitschia spp. | 10 | 5 |
| Nitzschia amphibia | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia angustatula | 1 | 1 |
| Nitzschia brevissima | 1 | 1 |
| Nitzschia cf. agnita | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia cf. radicula | 1 | 1 |
| Nitzschia cf. vermicularis | 1 | 1 |
| Nitzschia dissipata | 5 | 3 |
| Nitzschia draveillensis | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia filiformis | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia linearis | 1 | 1 |
| Nitzschia obtusa | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia palea | 2 | 2 |
| Nitzschia paleacea | 1 | 1 |
| Nitzschia sigmoidea | 1 | 1 |
| Pinnularia sp. | 0 | 0 |
| Rhoicosphenia abbreviata | 8 | 5 |
| <i>Rhopalodia</i> sp. | 1 | 1 |

| Sellaphora sp. | 1 | 1 |
|-------------------------------|----|---|
| Staurosirella leptostauron | 0 | 0 |
| Stephanocyclus meneghiana | 13 | 6 |
| Surirella elegans f. elongata | 1 | 1 |
| Surirella minuta | 1 | 1 |
| Surirella sp. | 3 | 2 |
| Surirella tenera | 1 | 1 |
| Synedra acus | 2 | 2 |
| Synedra cf. affinis | 0 | 0 |
| Synedra formosa | 1 | 1 |
| Synedra spp. | 7 | 3 |
| Tabellaria flocculosa | 4 | 4 |
| <i>Thalassiosira</i> sp. | 1 | 1 |
| Ulnaria ulna | 19 | 6 |
| | | |
| Chrysophyceae | | |
| Ochromonas | 0 | 0 |
| | | |
| Chlamydophyceae | | |
| Chlamydomonas sp. | 1 | 1 |
| Pandorina sp. | 0 | 0 |
| | | |
| Raphidophyceae | | |
| Colponema sp. | 1 | 1 |
| | | |
| Euglenophyta | | |
| cf. Parmidium | 0 | 0 |
| Lepocinclis cf. ovum | 0 | 0 |
| Phacus sp. | 1 | 1 |
| Trachelomonas volvocina | 2 | 2 |
| | | |
| Dinophyta | | |
| <i>Peridinium</i> sp. | 1 | 1 |
| Sphaerodinium cinctum | 1 | 1 |



Figure 2.30. Relative composition of different algal phyla on the aluminum floway at Muddy Run (by species composition).

Species-area curves for two seasonal aggregations of samples from t the aluminum floway at the Muddy Run Reservoir ATS TM are shown in Figures 2.31 and 2.32. In neither have the slopes reached an asymptote after about 325 cm² of sampled area (spring-summer) and 150 cm² (fall-winter).



Figure 2.31. Species area curve for the Aluminum Floway data set during Spring-Summer.



Figure 2.32. Species area curve for the Aluminum Floway data set during Fall-Winter.

Life form distributions are presented in Figure 2.33. Single-celled, solitary species were the most common at 46% of the total, though filamentous, colonial, and coenobial species were well represented, with 18%, 18%, and 14%, respectively, of the total diversity.



Figure 2.33. Distribution of life forms on the aluminum floway at Muddy Run (by species composition).

Most species found on the screens lack an attachment adaptation (55%), and are probably found in the understory of the turf. Mucilage (36%) and holdfast cells (9%) were also present in the turf (Figure 2.34).



Figure 2.34. Distribution of attachment adaptations on the aluminum floway at Muddy Run (by species composition).

The original habitats of the algal species encountered on the aluminum screens at Muddy Run are shown in Figure 2.35. Different than at the other sites, most species were planktonic (28%), followed by those benthic (27%).



Figure 2.35. Distribution of types of habitats of the algae associated to the aluminum floway at Muddy Run (by species composition).

As in the other ATSTM systems in these studies, most species found on the aluminum floway are considered microalgae, as can be seen in Figure 2.36.



Figure 2.36. Relative contribution of microalgae vs. macroalgae on the aluminum floway of Muddy Run.

Wood Floway

Species Diversity

The algal community structure on the wood floway at the Muddy Run Reservoir ATSTM is presented in Table *2.6*. The taxonomic distribution of species found on the wood floway at the Muddy Run is shown in Figure *2.37*. Diatoms (Bacillariophyta) dominated the algal flora comprising 46 % of total diversity. Six other algal phyla were represented in the flora with chlorophytes and cyanobacteria comprising 39% and 11%, respectively, of total diversity.

Table 2.6. Algal community structure on the wood floway at the Muddy Run Reservoir ATS^{TM} , 2008-2009.

| Таха | Sample Frequency | Date Frequency |
|-----------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Cyanobacteria | | |
| Aphanocapsa holsatica | 1 | 1 |
| Aphanocapsa rivularis | 1 | 1 |
| Aphanothece sp. | 2 | 1 |
| Asterocapsa sp. | 1 | 1 |
| Bacularia vermicularis | 0 | 0 |
| Chroococcus minor | 0 | 0 |
| Chroococcus minutus | 1 | 1 |
| Eucapsis carpatica | 1 | 1 |
| Eucapsis parallelepipedon | 0 | 0 |
| Geitierinema amphibium | 0 | 0 |
| Leibleinia epiphytica | 0 | 0 |
| Leptolyngbya boryana | 2 | 1 |
| Leptolyngbya subtilis | 11 | 4 |
| Lyngbya martensiana | 0 | 0 |
| Merismopedia tenuissima | 0 | 0 |
| Oscillatoria curviceps | 1 | 1 |
| Oscillatoria limosa | 0 | 0 |
| Oscillatoria princeps | 1 | 1 |
| Oscillatoria sp. froelichii | 0 | 0 |
| Phormidium autumnale | 4 | 3 |
| Phormidium fonticolum | 2 | 1 |
| Phormidium interruptum | 0 | 0 |
| Phormidium retzii | 11 | 3 |
| Phormidium simplicissimum | 1 | 1 |
| Phormidium stagninum | 1 | 1 |
| Phormidium tergestinum | 1 | 1 |
| Planktolyngbya limnetica | 1 | 1 |
| Pseudanabaena galeata | 2 | 2 |
| Pseudanabaena limnetica | 6 | 1 |
| Pseudanabaena sp. | 2 | 2 |
| Chlorophyta | | |
| Ankistrodesmus convolutus | 1 | 1 |
| Botrycoccus braunii | 0 | 0 |
| Bulbochaete sp. | 0 | 0 |
| Characiopsis sp. | 1 | 1 |
| Chlorella sp. | 1 | 1 |
| Cladophora glomerata | 4 | 2 |
| Closteriopsis acicularis | 0 | 0 |
| Closterium cf. sublaterale | 1 | 1 |
| Closterium sp. | 9 | 5 |
| Coelastrum astroideum | 3 | 2 |
| Coelastrum cf. cabricum | 1 | 1 |
| Coelastrum cf. microporum | 3 | 2 |
| Cosmarium cf. margaritatum | 1 | 1 |

| Cosmarium cf. pseudoconnatum | 2 | 1 |
|------------------------------------|-----|-----|
| Cosmarium cf. pseudoexiguum | 1 | 1 |
| Cosmarium cf. sublobulatum | 3 | 1 |
| Cosmarium cf. subtumidum | 0 | 0 |
| Cosmarium formosulum | 0 | 0 |
| Cosmarium granatum | 1 | 1 |
| Cosmarium monomazum var. polymazum | 0 | 0 |
| Cosmarium perforatum | 1 | 1 |
| Cosmarium spp. | 8 | 5 |
| Cosmarium trilobulatum | 2 | 2 |
| Cosmarium vexatum var. lacustre | 0 | 0 |
| Desmodesmus balatonicus | 1 | 1 |
| Desmodesmus bicaudatus | 1 | 1 |
| Desmodesmus communis | 14 | 5 |
| Desmodesmus denticulatus | 2 | 1 |
| Desmodesmus gutwinskii | 0 | 0 |
| Desmodesmus intermedius | 4 | 1 |
| Desmodesmus opoliensis | 1 | 1 |
| Desmodesmus sp. | 3 | 2 |
| Desmodesmuspolyspinosis | 1 | 1 |
| Diacanthos belenophorus | 1 | 1 |
| Dictyosphaerium pulchellum | 1 | 1 |
| Gloeocystis sp. | 1 | 1 |
| Hydrodictyon reticulatum | 1 | 1 |
| Kirchneriella lunaris | 0 | 0 |
| Klebsormidium sp. | 2 | 2 |
| Lagerheimia quadriseta | 1 | 1 |
| Microspora floccosa | 1 | 1 |
| Microspora quadrata | 2 | 2 |
| Microspora wittrockii | 0 | 0 |
| Monoraphidium arcuatum | 16 | 4 |
| Monoraphidium contortum | 10 | 3 |
| Monoraphidium griffithii | 4 | 3 |
| Netrium interruptum | 1 | 1 |
| Netrium sp. | 1 | 1 |
| Oedogonium pluvioporum | 10 | 4 |
| Pediastrum biradiatum | 0 | 0 |
| Pediastrum borvanum | 7 | 3 |
| Pediastrum duplex | 9 | 4 |
| Pediastrum simplex | 4 | 2 |
| Pediastrum tetras | 8 | 3 |
| Pseudocharacium sp. | 0 | 0 |
| Ouadricoccus verrocosus | 1 | 1 |
| z Rhizoclonium heroglyphicum | 3 | 1 |
| Scenedesmus acuminatus | 2 | 2 |
| Scenedesmus acutus | 8 | 2 |
| Scenedesmus alternans | 3 | - 2 |
| Scenedesmus arcuatus | - 1 | - 1 |
| Scenedesmus brevispina | 0 | 0 |
| Scenedesmus cf. granulatus | 1 | 1 |
| | - | - |

| Scenedesmus cf. ovelternus | 2 | 1 |
|----------------------------|----|---|
| Scenedesmus dimorphus | 4 | 1 |
| Scenedesmus ecornis | 4 | 3 |
| Scenedesmus linearis | 0 | 0 |
| Scenedesmus sp. | 3 | 2 |
| Schroederia sp. | 0 | 0 |
| Sphaerocystis schroeteri | 1 | 1 |
| Spirogyra cf. rivularis | 13 | 5 |
| Staurastrum alternans | 3 | 2 |
| Staurastrum sp. | 1 | 1 |
| Stigeoclonium tenue | 4 | 3 |
| Tetraedron caudatum | 6 | 2 |
| Ulothrix cf. tenerrima | 2 | 2 |
| Ulothrix cf. variabilis | 2 | 1 |
| <i>Ulothrix</i> sp. | 5 | 2 |
| Ulothrix zonata | 0 | 0 |
| Uronema elongatum | 1 | 1 |
| Bacillariophyta | | |
| Achnathidium minutissimum | 1 | 1 |
| Amphora cf. pediculus | 1 | 1 |
| Amphora libyca | 0 | 0 |
| Amphora ovalis | 1 | 1 |
| Amphora sp. | 4 | 4 |
| Aulacoseira granulata | 2 | 2 |
| Aulacoseira italica | 1 | 1 |
| Aulacoseira planctonica | 5 | 2 |
| Brachysira brebissonii | 1 | 1 |
| Caloneis sp. | 6 | 2 |
| Cocconeis pediculus | 1 | 1 |
| Cocconeis placentula | 4 | 3 |
| Cymatopleura solea | 2 | 2 |
| Cymbella cistula | 3 | 2 |
| Cymbella lanceolata | 3 | 1 |
| Cymbella leptoceras | 1 | 1 |
| Cymbella parva | 1 | 1 |
| <i>Cymbella</i> spp. | 10 | 4 |
| Cymbella tumida | 7 | 3 |
| Diadesmis contenta | 5 | 3 |
| Diatoma ehrenbergii | 2 | 1 |
| Diatoma mesodon | 1 | 1 |
| Diatoma monoliformis | 3 | 1 |
| Diatoma tenuis | 2 | 2 |
| Diatoma vulgare | 11 | 5 |
| Encyonema caespitosum | 1 | 1 |
| Encyonema gracile | 1 | 1 |
| Encyonema minutum | 3 | 2 |
| Encyonema prostratum | 3 | 3 |
| Encyonema sp. | 4 | 2 |
| <i>Eunotia</i> sp. | 0 | 0 |

| Fragilaria capucina | 9 | 4 |
|----------------------------|----|---|
| Fragilaria vaucheriae | 10 | 3 |
| Frustulia rhomboides | 5 | 1 |
| Frustulia sp. | 9 | 3 |
| Frustulia vulgaris | 2 | 1 |
| Gomphoneis minuta | 4 | 2 |
| Gomphonema angustatum | 1 | 1 |
| Gomphonema capitatum | 1 | 1 |
| Gomphonema cf. brasiliense | 0 | 0 |
| Gomphonema cf. hastatum | 1 | 1 |
| Gomphonema olivaceum | 3 | 1 |
| Gomphonema sp. | 9 | 3 |
| Gomphonema truncatum | 8 | 5 |
| Gyrosigma attenuatum | 0 | 0 |
| Gyrosigma sp. | 0 | 0 |
| Luticola geoppertiana | 0 | 0 |
| Melosira varians | 12 | 5 |
| Meridion circulare | 2 | 1 |
| Navicula capitata | 0 | 0 |
| Navicula capitoradiata | 2 | 2 |
| Navicula cf. drouetiana | 0 | 0 |
| Navicula cf. fonticola | 1 | 1 |
| Navicula cf. hungarica | 0 | 0 |
| Navicula cf. margalithi | 3 | 1 |
| Navicula cryptocephala | 3 | 1 |
| Navicula gregaria | 11 | 4 |
| Navicula lanceolata | 4 | 3 |
| Navicula rhyncocephala | 4 | 2 |
| Navicula spp. | 8 | 3 |
| Neidium sp. | 1 | 1 |
| Nitzschia acicularis | 1 | 1 |
| Nitzschia amphibia | 3 | 1 |
| Nitzschia angustatula | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia brevissima | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia cf. agnita | 2 | 1 |
| Nitzschia cf. radicula | 1 | 1 |
| Nitzschia cf. vermicularis | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia dissipata | 3 | 2 |
| Nitzschia draveillensis | 1 | 1 |
| Nitzschia filiformis | 1 | 1 |
| Nitzschia linearis | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia obtusa | 1 | 1 |
| Nitzschia palea | 4 | 1 |
| Nitzschia paleacea | 1 | 1 |
| Nitzschia sigmoidea | 2 | 2 |
| Nitzschia spp. | 12 | 4 |
| Pinnularia sp. | 9 | 2 |
| Rhoicosphenia abbreviata | 1 | 1 |
| Rhopalodia sp. | 0 | 0 |
| Sellaphora sp. | 0 | 0 |

| Staurosirella leptostauron | 1 | 1 |
|-------------------------------|----|---|
| Stephanocyclus meneghiana | 2 | 7 |
| Surirella cf. tenera | 0 | 0 |
| Surirella elegans f. elongata | 0 | 0 |
| Surirella minuta | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Surirella</i> sp. | 2 | 2 |
| Synedra acus | 2 | 2 |
| Synedra cf. affinis | 1 | 1 |
| Synedra formosa | 0 | 0 |
| Synedra spp. | 15 | 5 |
| Tabellaria flocculosa | 0 | 0 |
| Thalassiosira sp. | 0 | 0 |
| Ulnaria ulna | 11 | 5 |
| | | |
| Chrysophyceae | | |
| Ochromonas | 1 | 1 |
| Chlamydophyceae | | |
| Chlamydomonas sp. | 0 | 0 |
| Pandorina sp. | 5 | 1 |
| Raphidophyceae | | |
| Colponema sp. | 0 | 0 |
| | | |
| Euglenophyta | | |
| Lepocinclis ovum | 1 | 1 |
| Parmidium sp. | 1 | 1 |
| Phacus sp. | 0 | 0 |
| Trachelomonas volvocina | 2 | 2 |
| Dinophyta | | |
| Peridinium sp. | 0 | 0 |
| Sphaerodinium cinctum | 0 | 0 |



Figure 2.37. Relative composition of different algal phyla on the wooden floway at Muddy Run.

Species-area curves for two seasonal aggregations of samples from the wood floway at the Muddy Run Reservoir ATSTM are shown in Figures *2.38* and *2.39*. In neither have the slopes reached an asymptote after about 150 cm² of sampled area (spring-summer) and 325 cm² (fall-winter). Moreover, both aggregated seasons present a high number of species, achieving about 100 species in a little over 150 cm² of screen.



Figure 2.38. Species area curve for the wooden floway data set during Spring-Summer.



Figure 2.39. Species area curve for the wooden floway data set during Fall-Winter.

Life form distributions are presented in Figure 2.40. Solitary was most common at 48% of the total, though colonial, coenobial, and filamentous species were well represented, with 17%, 17%, and 15% of the total diversity, respectively.



Figure 2.40. Distribution of life forms on the wooden floway at Muddy Run (by species composition).

Having some species that physically attach to the screen is important for formation of the turf structure. However, only 42% of species attach to the screen, by a mucilaginous structure or holdfast cell, while most species (58%) lack an attachment adaptation (Figure 2.41).



Figure 2.41. Distribution of attachment adaptations on the wooden floway at Muddy Run (by species composition).

The original habitats of the algal species encountered on the aluminum screens at Muddy Run are shown in Figure 2.42. While most species were benthic (29%), a larger percentage derives from the plankton (28%), showing an important role of this habitat on the community growing on this ATSTM.



Figure 2.42. Distribution of types of habits of the algae associated to the wooden floway at Muddy Run (by species composition).

Figure 2.43 compares the relative contribution of microalgae to macroalgae found on the wooden floway. It was the same percentage as seen on the aluminum floway, following the pattern as all the other sites in this study.



Figure 2.43. Relative contribution of microalgae vs. macroalgae on the wooden floway of Muddy Run (by species composition).

Similarity among sites

In Table 2.7, lists of species at each site are presented. The studied Chesapeake Bay ATSTM community was compared between each site, and among all three sites, to determine how closely the periphytic community resembles another site's community. It was found that only 4.3% (14 taxa of the 324 total) of the algal community is shared among all three sites (Muddy Run ATSTM, Great Wicomo ATSTM, and VIMS ATSTM). Each site was also compared between each other (pairwise comparison). The Muddy Run algal community was compared to both Great Wicomico and VIMS, and it was found that they share 7.8% (24 shared from 307 taxa) and 6.9% (18 shared from 262) of the total algal community, respectively. The two brackish communities resemble each other more by sharing 34.3% (48 taxa of 140) of their aggregated community. Interesting is that most of the 4.3% of the total shared among the three sites were those taxa that were only identified to genus level (Amphora sp., Caloneis sp., Cocconeis sp., Gyrosigma sp., Leptolyngbya sp., Navicula spp., Nitzschia spp., Pinnularia sp., Rhopalodia sp. Surirella sp., Synedra sp., Thalassiosira sp.) – except for one, Stephanocyclus *meneghiana* – demonstrating the nuances which are lost when higher taxonomic resolution is not achieved.

Table 2.7. List of the periphytic community at the ATSTM floways located at Muddy Run, Great Wicomico,

and VIMS.

| | Muddy | | |
|----------------------------|-------|----------|------|
| Species | Rin | Wicomico | VIMS |
| CYANOBACTERIA | | | |
| Aphanocapsa holsatica | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Aphanocapsa rivularis | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Aphanothece sp. | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Asterocapsa sp. | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Bacularia vermicularis | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Calothrix sp. | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Chroococcus minor | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Chroococcus minutus | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Chroococcus sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Cyanobium sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Cyanodictyon sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Cyanosarcina sp. | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Eucapsis carpatica | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Eucapsis parallelepipedon | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Geitlerinema amphibium | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Geitlerinema sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Gloeothece sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Jaaginema sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Johannesbaptista pellucida | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Leibleinia epiphytica | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Komvophoron minutum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Leptolyngbya boryana | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Leptolyngbya</i> sp. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Leptolyngbya subtilis | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Lyngbya giussepei | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Lyngbya martensiana | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Lyngbya major | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Lyngbya nigra | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Lyngbya salina | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Merismopedia tenuissima | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Nodularia sp. | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Oscillatoria curviceps | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Oscillatora froelichii | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Oscillatoria princeps | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Oscillatoria limosa | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Oscillatoria sp. | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Phormidium autumnale | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Phormidium fonticolum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Phormidium interruptum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Phormidium retzii | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Phormidium simplicissimum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Phormidium sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Phormidium stagninum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Phormidium tergestinum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Planktolyngbya limnetica | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Pseudanabaena galeata | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Pseudanabaena limnetica | 1 | 0 | 0 |

| Pseudanabaena sp. | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|
| Spirulina subsala | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| СНГАМАДОЬНАТА | | | |
| Chlamvdomonas sp. | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Pandorina sp. | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| CHLOROPHYTA | | | |
| Achrochaete sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Ankistrodesmus convolutus | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Botryococcus braunii | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Bulbochaete sp. | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Chlorella sp. | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cladophora glomerata | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cladophora sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Closteriopsis acicularis var. acicularis | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Closterium</i> cf. <i>sublaterale</i> | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Closterium</i> sp. | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Coelastrum astroideum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Coelastrum cf. cambricum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Coelastrum cf. microporum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cosmarium cf. margaritatum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cosmarium cf. pseudoconnatum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cosmarium cf. pseudoexiguum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cosmarium cf. sublobulatum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cosmarium cf. subtumidum var. subtumidum f. | | | |
| minor | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cosmarium formosulum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cosmarium monomazum var. polymazum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cosmarium perforatum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cosmarium sp. | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cosmarium trilobulatum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cosmarium vexatum var. lacustre | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cylindrocapsa</i> sp. | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Desmodesmus alternans | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Desmodesmus balatonicus | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Desmodesmus bicaudatus | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Desmodesmus communis | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Desmodesmus denticulatus | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Desmodesmus gutwinskii | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Desmodesmus intermedius | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Desmodesmus opoliensis | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Desmodesmus polyspinosus | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Desmodesmus sp. | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Diacanthos belenophorus | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Dictyosphaerium pulchellum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Gloeocystis sp. | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Hydrodictyon reticulatm | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Kirchneriella lunaris | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Klebsormidium sp. | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Lagerheimia quadriseta | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Microspora floccosa | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Microspora quadrata | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Microspora wittrockii | 1 | 0 | 0 |

| Monoraphidium arcuatum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|--|---|---|---|
| Monoraphidium contortum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Monoraphidium contonum Monoraphidium griffithii | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Notrium interrumptum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Netrium merrumpium | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Ordegenium of physics of my | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Dedogonium Ci. piuvioporum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Pediastrum biradiatum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Pediastrum boryanum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Pediastrum auplex | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Pediastrum simplex | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Pealastrum tetras | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Pseudenaocionium marinum | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Pseudocharacium sp. | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Quaaricoccus verrocosus | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Rhizoclonium hieroglyphicum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Scenedesmus acuminatus | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Scenedesmus acutus | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Scenedesmus alternans | l | 0 | 0 |
| Scenedesmus arcuatus | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Scenedesmus brevispina | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Scenedesmus cf. granulatus | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Scenedesmus cf. ovalternus | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Scenedesmus dimorphus | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Scenedesmus linearis | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Scenedesmus sp. | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Scenedesnus ecornis | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Schroederia sp. | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Sphaerocystis schroeteri | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Spirogyra cf. rivularis | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Staurastrum alternans | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Staurastrum sp. | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Stigeoclonium tenue | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Tetraedron caudatum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Ulothrix cf. tenerrima | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Ulothrix cf. variabilis | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Ulothrix sp. | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Ulothrix zonata | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Ulva intestinalis | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Uronema elongatum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Unknown green #2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Coccoid green | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| EUGLENOPHYCEAE | | | |
| <i>Euglena</i> sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Lepocinclis cf. ovum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Parmidium sp. | 1 | | 0 |
| Phacus sp. | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Trachelomonas sp. | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| DINOPHYTA | | | |
| Alexandrium sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Ceratium sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Dino cysts | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Dinophysis sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |

| <i>Gyrodinium</i> sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|----------------------------|---------|--------|---|
| Katodinium sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Peridinium sp. | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Prorocentrum sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Sphaerodinium cinctum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| RAPHIDOPHYCEAE | | | |
| Colponema sp. | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| CDVCODIN/CEAE | | | |
| CRISOPHICEAE | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Chromoling sp. | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Chromuthu sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| BACILLARIOPHYTA | | | |
| Achnanthes brevipes | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Achnanthes sp. | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Achnanthidium minutissimum | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Actinoptychus senarius | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Amphipleura sp. | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Amphora cf. pediculus | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Amphora libyca | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Amphora ovalis | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Amphora sp. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Anaulus sp. | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Aulacoseira cf. granulata | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Bacillaria paxillifer | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Brachysira brebissonii | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Berkeleya fennica | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Berkeleya fragilis | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Berkeleya rutilans | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Caloneis sp. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| <i>Camplyodiscus</i> sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Catenula sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Chaetoceros sp. | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Cocconeis placentula | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cocconeis pediculus | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Coscinodiscus sp. | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Ctenophora sp. | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Cymatopleura solea | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cymatosira sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Cymbella cf. affine | 1 | 0 | Ő |
| Cymbella parva | 1 | Ő | Ő |
| Cymbella cistula | 1 | Ő | Ő |
| Cymbella lanceolata | 1 | 0 0 | Ő |
| Cymbella lentoceras | 1 | Ő | Ő |
| Cymbella spp | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Cymbella tumida | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cymbonleura sp | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cylindrotheca closterium | 1 () | 1 | 1 |
| Dactuliosolan sp | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Danticula sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Diademsis of contenta | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Diatoma of mesodon | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Diatoma ehrenheroii | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Diatonia chi chici și | 1 | 0 | U |

| Diatoma monoliformis | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|--|--------|---|---|
| Diatoma tenuis | 1 | Ő | 0 |
| Diatoma vulgare | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Diploneis sp. | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Ditylum brightwellii | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Encyonema caespitosum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Encyonema gracile | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Encyonema minutum | 1 | Ő | Ő |
| Encyonema prostraturm | 1 | Ő | Ő |
| Encyonema sp. | 1 | Ő | Ő |
| Entomoneis sp | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Enithemia sp. | Ő | 1 | 0 |
| Eucampia sp. | Ő | 0 | 1 |
| <i>Eurotia</i> sp | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Eunotogramma laevis | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Fogedia sp | ů 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Fragilaria canucina | 1 | 0 | Ő |
| Fragilaria vaucheriae | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Fragilaria martvii | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Fragilaria sp | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Fragilarionsis sp | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Frustulia vulgaris | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Frustulia rhomhoides | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Frustulia sp | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Comphoneis of minute | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Comphonena capitatum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Comphonema of angustatum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Comphonema of brasilianse | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Comphonema of hastatum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Comphonema of alingooum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Comphonema C1. Olivaceum | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Comphonema iruncaium | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Crammatonhona sp. | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Graninaiophora sp. | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Gyrosigma anenualum | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Hantzschia sp. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Hadog an | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Hinnedenta an | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Inppoaonia sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Liepiocylinarus minimus | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Liemonhong on (short) | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Liemonhourg en complex | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Lucinophora sp. complex | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Luticola CI. geopperitana | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Luncola sp. | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Lunella sp. | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Lyreua sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Mastogioia sp. | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Melosing mumulaidas | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Melosira nummulolaes | U 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Melosira C1. Italica Melosira variana | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Meiosira varians | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Intertation</i> C1. <i>Circulare</i> | 1 | 1 | U |
| meuniera membranacea | U | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Miniaiscus</i> sp. | 0 | 1 | 1 |

| Navicula capitata | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|--|---|---|---|
| Navicula capitoradiata | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Navicula cf. drouetiana | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Navicula cf. fonticola | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Navicula cf. hungarica | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Navicula cf. margalithi | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Navicula cryptocephala | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Navicula gregaria | 1 | 1 | Ő |
| Navicula lanceolata | 1 | 0 | Ő |
| Navicula rhynconcephala | 1 | Ő | Ő |
| Navicula smithii | 0 | 1 | Ő |
| Navicula spp | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Neidium sp | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Neodelphineis sp | 0 | 1 | Ő |
| Nitzschia acicularis | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia amphibia | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia angustatula | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia hravissima | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia of agnita | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia cf. radicula | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia vermieularis | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia dissipata | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia dissipala Nitzschia dravoillongia | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzachia filiformia | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia fuijormis Nitzschia fusiliformia | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Nitzachia linoania | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Nitzschia linearis | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia iongissima Nitzschia nana | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Nitzschia nana | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Nitzschia obtusa | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia palea | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia scalpellijormis | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Nitzschia sigma | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Nitzschia sigmaformis | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Nitzschia sigmoidea | l | 1 | 0 |
| Nitzschia tryblionella | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Nitzschia ventricosa | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Nitzschia spp. | l | 1 | 1 |
| <i>Opephora</i> sp. | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Paralia sulcata | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Parlibellus sp. | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Pinnularia sp. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Plagiogramma sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Plagiotropis sp. | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Pleurosigma cf. fasciola | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Podocystis sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| <i>Psammothidium</i> sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Pseudonitzschia cf. multiseries | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Pseudonitzschia cf. pungens | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Pseudonitzschia sp. | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Pseudostaurosira sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Rhaphoneis sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Rhizosolenia sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Rhoicosphenia abbreviata | 1 | 0 | 0 |

| Rhopalodia sp. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|--|---|---|---|
| Sellaphora sp. | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Sieminskia sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Skelatonema costatum | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Stauroneis sp. | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Stauronella sp. | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Staurosirella leptostauron | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Stephanocyclus (Cyclotella) meneghiana | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Striatella sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Surirella cf. tenera | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Surirella elegans f. elongata | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Surirella minuta | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Surirella</i> sp. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Synedra acus | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Synedra cf. affinis | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Synedra formosa | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Synedra sp. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Synedropsis sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Tabellaria flocculosa | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Tabellaria sp. | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Tabularia tabulata. | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Thalassionema nitzschiodes | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Thalassiosira sp. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Ulnaria ulna | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Unidentified diatom | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Centrales | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| RHODOPHYTA | | | |
| <i>Ceramium</i> sp. | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Polysiphonia sp. | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Discussion

_

In the aquatic environment algae are typically planktonic or benthic. Benthic algae are mostly cyanobacteria, chlorophytes, diatoms, rhodophytes, and/or phaeophytes. Previous work carried out by researchers on ATSTM systems in Florida and in California demonstrated that the systems were dominated by filamentous green algae in both diversity and abundance (Craggs et al., 1996; Adey et al., 2011). When comparing the VIMS 'species-pool' data with the ATSTM taxa (Tables *2.1 & 2.7*), 27% of the taxa (31 of 116 total) are shared with the plankton, 21% (19 of 89 total) was shared with the sediment, and 40% (31 of 78 total) with the macroalgal epiphytes. Thus, these data
demonstrate that these ATSTM systems are actually dominated by microalgal epiphytes growing on macroalgae growing in the source waters, which are carried through the plankton, and then attach to the screen. The mechanism of attachment in which they attach is mostly through mucilaginous structures. Researchers have demonstrated that the epiphytic algae on macrophytes are capable of accumulating 40-70% of the phosphorus added to the water column in controlled mesocosms (Havens et al., 1999b), which is important since this demonstrates that although these are not the 'periphytic/benthic' algae, they still are functionally capable to 'scrub' the water of nutrients, which is the principal application of ATSTM (Adey et al., 2011). Mucilage is also fascinating since Whitton et al. (2009) demonstrate the importance of the mucilage in diatoms as the site of most phosphatase activity in the organism.

The differences in the community structure analyzed during this study can be attributed in part to the artificial substrate (ATSTM screen) continuously undergoing colonization and succession of species, from the time it was exposed to the aquatic environment and after each harvest, when it is a partially empty habitat for species to colonize, while other species maintain their basal cells or whole cells attached to the substratum after every harvest. According to Ricklefs (1996), creating a new habitat invites numerous species, especially those well adapted, considered invasive, to inhabit. According to this author, these first colonizers are followed by others that are slower in taking advantage of the new habitat, but are eventually more successful than the pioneer-species. With this characteristic, the community changes over time. Due to the harvest of the ATSTM, a distinct characteristic that maximizes productivity, these subsequent species are sometimes not allowed to colonize the screens.

Algal turfs are dynamic, as seen in Fig. 2.11. Diatoms are always present and abundant, although different species become dominant during different times of the year. *Tabularia tabulata*, *Nitzschia sigmoidea*, and *N. sigma* are most abundant from July to September, but when winter comes these species are not found, while other taxa, such as *Nitzschia nana*, *Thalassionema nitzschiodes*, and *Thalassiosira* sp. are found. However, as a group, chlorophytes increase during late spring and late summer at Wicomico, though at VIMS this happened differently. Since the system encountered at VIMS contained a high amount of silt (data not shown), it could have influenced the algal dyamics (van Vuuren & Pieterse, 2005). The cyanobacteria were abundant in fall due to the large amount of two taxa at Wicomico, first, in mid-September, *Gloeothece* sp. was dominating the periphyton and afterwards *Lyngbya salina* was present. This same species increased at VIMS, though was found at the end of the experiment, thus it was not possible to verify if this was an anomaly or would maintain stable throughout the season (Figs. 2.11, 2.20, and 2.29).

Species of *Pseudonitzschia* increased during October/November 2009. These were probably filtered from the plankton, since these do not have an attachment mechanism. *Skeletonema costatum* peaked between March and July/2010, while *Stauronella* sp. was most abundant between May/July 2010. *Ulva intestinalis* had two small 'peaks' during the yearly cycle on the ATSTM, one in late fall and the other in late spring, while the large increase in cyanobacteria towards the end of the study period was mainly due to two species of cyanobacteria readily present during the study, though exponentially grew towards the end, *Lyngbya salina* and a nostocalean species capable of fixing atmospheric nitrogen, *Calothrix*.

Life forms (Figs. 2.5, 2.15, 2.24, 2.33, and 2.40) are important in determining the structure of the turf communities. The filamentous species along with the chain-forming species to a lesser degree comprise the overstory of the turf, which generally give physical character to the turf. These species can be thought of as "ecosystem engineers" (Kangas, 2004) due to their role in structuring the system. The remaining life forms are found in the understory. These species generally have a more passive role in the structure of the turf since they exist as mostly single-cells in the multicellular, mucilaginous matrix of the filaments. In all likelihood, the matrix of filaments creates microzones of reduced flow rates that act as refuges for the solitary cells that might otherwise be swept away by currents. Also, the filaments may physically filter suspended cells from the passing currents.

Overall, the ATSTM floways in this study were dominated by diatom communities (Figs. 2.2, 2.10, 2.11, 2.12, 2.19, 2.20, 2.21, 2.28, 2.29, 2.30, and 2.37) specialized to living on the benthos and by those organisms adapted to living attached to other substrata by mucilage (epiphytes), rather than periphyton and hard bottom algal turf communities. Predominance of diatoms, can be related, in part, to the higher quantity of ubiquitous and opportunistic species that possess a variety of mechanisms to adapt to life on diverse substrates, such as mucilaginous stalks that fix to the substrate (Achnanthales, Cymbellales, and Tabellariales) and pores of mucilage capable of facilitating adhesion to the substrate (Naviculales and Fragilariales). Diatoms represent the most important component of the periphytic community during the initial stages of succession on glass slides (Lam & Lei, 1999; Sheath & Wehr, 2003), as well as on plastic plants (Cattaneo & Kalff, 1978). Studies have also shown (Hoagland et al., 1982; Biggs, 1996) that due to

constant grazing (in natural communities) or periodic harvesting (ATSTM) (Laughinghouse, 2010), which happens weekly to biweekly on the ATSTM floways (dependent on seasons), the system is expected to be dominated by rapidly growing diatoms, since the more morphologically 'complex' chlorophytes only occur in those systems which have longer periods for growth, and freshwater rhodophtyes and phaeophytes are rare, found mostly in oligotrophic conditions or those with a slight influx of salinity. The periodic harvest maintained both the species abundance and species richness in the diatoms. In addition, several studies of periphyton using glass/plastic slides have shown how diatoms are the group that copes best on artificial substrates (Ács & Kiss, 1993; Albay & Akcaalan, 2003). The species which attach to the substrate, if found in the plankton, are not 'true' plankters, being mixed from the benthic habitat in which they occur.

Of the studied sites, the most diverse was Muddy Run, followed by Wicomico then VIMS (Table 2.8). The largest difference noted in this comparison is that Muddy Run was much more diverse than the other two sites, especially concerning chlorophtyes. The Muddy Run site was different than Wicomico and VIMS since it was freshwater, and there is a natural 'dip' in species diversity towards estuarine systems (Remane & Schlieper, 1971), which can be attributed in part to the species' capability of osmoregulation, both freshwater species to salt and marine species to fresh waters. Also, Muddy Run was different in the way the incoming water entered the systems, which could also partly explain this large diversity. As was explained in Chapter 1, incoming water for the floways located here came from the bottom of the Muddy Run Reservoir, which is filled from and drains back into the Susquehanna River daily during the

operation of Exelon's pumped-storage hydroelectric facility. Thus, the water input into the ATSTM is modified from ambient river conditions by a retention time in this reservoir, providing more source communities of both lentic and lotic environments to the ATSTM floways. However, the most pertinent factor observed for the increase in Chlorophyta for Muddy Run is attributed to the more species-rich (freshwater) Chlorococcales, Sphaeropleales, and Zygnematales. These were readily present on the ATSTM and are groups that do not survive in waters with salt.

Surprisingly the two estuarine locations – Wicomico and VIMS – had large differences in total taxa. While salinity did not differ greatly at both locations, only by 3-4, this is not ascribed as the factor causing this major difference. Most likely, the difference in these two locations is caused by sampling effort, since there were 74 samples taken at Wicomico and only 55 samples at VIMS, hence the possibility of finding more species. Furthermore, two ATSTM floways were sampled at Wicomico, just one at VIMS, which had variations in construction and could have favored the growth of different taxa. However, local environmental factors could also have influenced these differences.

Table 2.8. Total taxa of each algal group on the ATS^{TM} floways at the different studied sites.

| | Muddy Run | Wicomico | VIMS |
|-----------------|-----------|----------|------|
| CYANOBACTERIA | 34 | 16 | 8 |
| CHLAMYDOPHYTA | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| CHLOROPHYTA | 79 | 7 | 2 |
| EUGLENOPHYCEAE | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| DINOPHYTA | 2 | 7 | 1 |
| RAPHIDOPHYCEAE | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| CHRYSOPHYCEAE | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| BACILLARIOPHYTA | 95 | 86 | 56 |
| RHODOPHYTA | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 218 | 120 | 68 |

The periphytic community showed seasonal successions throughout the year (Figs. 2.11, 2.20, and 2.29), with the most dramatic changes from a spring-summer flora to an autumn-winter flora at all sites, since this reflects the own physiological preferences of the species capable of functioning at these different times. Seasonal successions usually depend on seasonal variations of the physical environment, such as temperature and light, but can also be caused by nutrient changes. In freshwater systems, *Spirogyra* and *Melosira varians* are the most dominant species found during the summer, while *Diatoma vulgare, Fragilaria capucina* and *Tabellaria flocculosa* are the most abundant during the winter months. This is probably due to seasonal preferences of these species. Also, there was a drastic shift from the freshwater to the brackish water species, likely due to the species' capability of osmoregulating the salt content (Table 2.7).

As commented previously, diatoms dominate the floways in all of the ATSTM systems studied from the Chesapeake Bay, in both biomass and species richness, oscillating from 51% to over 83% of the total abundance during harvest periods and 46% to 82% of species richness depending on the system and/or the season (2.2, 2.10, 2.11, 2.12, 2.19, 2.20, 2.21, 2.28, 2.29, 2.30, and 2.37). However, there were certain 'zones' in the floways, which were dominated by other groups. *Ulva intestinalis* was dominant at the top of the floways in the estuarine systems, especially on the dump buckets (used to promote the wave actions). It is true that these dump buckets were not harvested as routinely as the floway, thus allowing *Ulva intestinalis* to grow thicker, but the higher energy of the top portions of these systems favored its growth (Brodie et al., 2007), and these were routinely harvested. Furthermore, in the freshwater floways, the thick

cyanobacterial mats are abundant at the highest water turbulence, possibly partly due to the mucilaginous matrix capable of holding the filamentous together, while other taxa without this secretory ability would be displaced down screen. In the freshwater systems, species of *Ulothrix* were readily found dominating at the top.

Understanding the mode in which algae attach to the systems can support future changes in design parameters to favor a certain community. Both the diversity and abundance are greatest in the diatoms, which do not have a specialized holdfast cell, such as the morphologically complex chlorophytes, adapted to a more turbulent environment. The diatoms attach by mucilage, mucilaginous stalks, pads, or forming tubes. Figures *2.7, 2.16, 2.25,* and *2.34* compare the attachment mechanisms in which the turf algae 'fix' themselves to the screen. By diversity, most species are 'unattached', or those species that are not fixed; however, by abundance most species (64%-80%) attach using mucilage. This mucilage is composed of complex polysaccharides, which secrete in certain groups of diatoms through ocelli and adhere to the substrate, fixing the diatom (Daniel et al., 1987). Mucilage in diatoms has even been shown to protect again erosion, when dense populations form pellicles over the sand, protecting it against wave action (Holland et al., 1974).

Some of the most abundant and frequent species of diatoms found in the freshwater ATSTM systems are *Melosira varians*, *Diatoma vulgare*, *Fragilaria* spp. (e.g. *F. capucina*), *Gomphonema* spp. (e.g. *G. olivaceum* and *G. truncatum*), *Navicula* spp. (e.g. *N. gregaria*, *N. lanceolata*), and *Nitzschia* spp. (e.g. *N. dissipata*). In the estuarine systems, the diatoms encountered are the tube-forming *Berkeleya rutilans* and *Navicula smithii*, *Melosira nummoloides*, and species of *Licmophora*, *Gyrosigma*, and *Nitzschia*.

As commented previously, diatoms, like other algae, can be found naturally in many environments, though the genera Gyrosigma, Navicula, and Nitzschia are common on the sediment or strictly part of the silt flora, also on rocks, which are covered with fine sediment. Diatoma vulgare and Gomphonema spp. are commonly found growing on rocks (sometimes plants) in rivers, and are capable of massive growths (Biggs, 1996; Kelly, 2000). *Fragilaria* are found in various habitats, like the plankton in lakes or on sediments and plants in rivers. Melosira varians forms 'loose-flocs' on riverbanks especially in the slower moving parts of the river (Kelly, 2000). These are easily broken apart, since the filaments lack the tensile strength to consistently remain attached in the moderate energy environments, releasing the frustules into the water column of the river, where they are able to move downstream and re-colonize. M. varians is a freshwater species; however, in brackish environments species such as *M. nummoloides* can be found in similar habitats (Kelly, 2000). Berkeleya rutilans grows in estuarine-marine environments, attached to the rocks, forming colonies resembling macroscopic seaweeds, though having a free living state during times of the year (Lobban, 1984).

Chapter 3: Longitudinal patterns of periphytic algal communities of ATSTM systems at Muddy Run Reservoir, PA

Introduction

Throughout the 21st century, water quality will be an important factor for the survival of humanity and the biosphere as a whole. Population growth and extreme demands on aquatic resources are some of the fundamental causes of the crises with water, which have arisen (Tundisi, 2005). In this sense, Wetzel (2001) highlights the need for detailed knowledge on the functional properties of waters to assess the influence that human activities have on their characteristics, maximizing the need for management of water resources. This author, among many others, recognizes anthropogenic eutrophication as one of the most apparent impacts of mankind on aquatic ecosystems.

Enrichment by phosphorus and/or nitrogen is considered one of the main causes of eutrophication (Tundisi & Matsumara-Tundisi, 1992). At low concentrations, these nutrients limit algal growth, including periphyton, and when available in larger quantities, are rapidly assimilated stimulating structural and functional alterations in the aquatic communities (Dodds, 2003).

The periphytic community is an intricate three-dimensional community where subsequent taxa overgrow earlier colonizers, acquiring nutrients and resources from the water column, unless capable of heterotrophy or nitrogen fixation (Stevenson & Glover, 1993). This community succession, similar to what happens in plant vegetation dynamics (Pickett et al., 2009), is due, in part, to the species' different growth rates, nutrient tolerances, morphological guilds, capability to acquire resources, allelopathy, among other factors (McCormick & Stevenson, 1991; Suikkanen, 2008; Passy & Larson, 2011).

This community is well represented in shallow ecosystems, considering that in these ecosystems there are several surfaces for its development, such as aquatic macrophytes, rocks, and sediments. Studies carried out during the last two decades (Wetzel, 1990, 1996) have demonstrated how these communities dominate in these ecosystems worldwide. These studies initiated an interest in the role of periphyton in aquatic ecosystem metabolism, principally consisting as the dominant source for synthesis of organic matter (Wetzel, 1990, 1996).

Several reasons have lead to the increasing use of periphyton in environmental studies, for example: a) its role as a chemical modulator, converting many inorganic forms into organic forms in the aquatic ecosystem (Stevenson, 1996); b) sessile mode of life, along with its short life cycle, which allows periphyton to respond quickly to changes in water quality, making it ideal for monitoring (Lowe & Pan, 1996; Stevenson, 1996); c) in relation to other groups of aquatic organisms, the community of periphytic algae is usually species-rich, providing a rich information system for environmental monitoring (Lowe & Pan, 1996); and d) it includes the quality of the water column, as well as the substrate to which it is associated, integrating both water and sediment quality, the latter where most substances that deteriorate environmental quality are accumulated (Lowe & Pan, 1996). Furthermore, periphyton is adequate for general hypotheses related to community colonization, succession, diversity, and stability, by having short generation times and constituting in a community that is spatially compressed, with well defined limits and considered a 'model ecosystem' (Stevenson, 1996).

Experimental studies with the periphytic community have been conducted evaluating the effects of enrichment on species diversity and/or characterization of algal species with disturbances (Carrick et al., 1988; Hillebrand & Sommer, 2000), phytoplankton and periphyton interactions (Havens et al., 1996), predicting the effects of eutrophication on productivity and water quality (Manny et al., 1994), simulating food web interactions and nutrient dynamics (Istvánovics et al. 1986), evaluating limiting nutrients in the environment (Francoeur et al., 1999; Huszar et al., 2005), among others. Considering that phosphorus (P) is often the key factor triggering eutrophication in freshwater environments, many experimental studies with periphyton have focused on the dynamics of this element in freshwater systems and to its effect on biodiversity. Such work has intensified since 1990.

The composite macrophyte with periphytic communities can substantially reduce inorganic nutrients in the pelagic region of aquatic systems. Various authors have reported the capacity of periphyton in removing nutrients from water (Havens et al., 1999a,b; Pizarro et al., 2006; Mulbry, 2008; Adey et al., 2011). Phosphorus, in particular, tends to be highly conserved in the macrophyte/periphyton/sediment associations (Wetzel, 1990). Within the periphytic 3D complex, this element is heavily recycled between autotrophic and heterotrophic components, largely assimilated by the periphyton, with little or nothing going to the open water (Wetzel, 1990, 2001).

Cronk & Mitsch (1994) evaluated periphytic productivity against different hydrological regimes and nutrient loads using artificial wetlands. They found that periphytic assemblages could serve as an indicator of nutrient availability in the water column, since the community responded to both concentration and nutrient loading in

these wetlands with higher productivity correlating with higher flow and higher nutrient availability. Also, using an experimental approach, Havens et al. (1999b) estimated that periphyton accumulated 40-70% of the phosphorus added to the water column over 28 days, introduced in wetland mesocosms. In a study comparing periphytic and phytoplanktonic communities, Havens et al. (2001) found that most of the phosphorus taken up in the littoral region was removed by the periphyton. This information reinforces the models by Sand-Jensen & Borum (1991), Goldsborough & Robinson (1996), and McCormick et al. (1998), which predict that attached algae dominate in terms of biomass and phosphorus content in relatively shallow systems with high irradiance.

Recent information on wetlands in Florida have reinforced the hypothesis of the role of periphyton in phosphorus removal, thus contributing to the maintenance of low concentrations of this element in water, soil, and macrophytes (McCormick et al., 2001; Havens et al., 2004), through the assimilation of excess phosphorus released by human activities in these systems. Besides this aspect, McCormick & Stevenson (1998) obtained promising results for establishing a threshold of P from which the balance of the system is changed by the characterization of oligotrophic periphyton assemblages, through a transitional community, to a final eutrophic assemblage. These authors emphasized that periphyton is sensitive to P enrichment, which can be used to detect early signs of eutrophication before other ecological changes are noted. Thus the use of the periphytic community in studies of anthropogenic impact assessment and to propose measures to restore impacted ecosystems is highly promising.

In summary, the studies point to the importance of periphyton not only for the diagnosis of environmental impact, but also to detect early signs of eutrophication and to establish recovery goals (McCormick & Stevenson, 1998; McCormick et al., 2001).

Longitudinal patterns in streams have been widely studied and are critical in the way these are viewed (Vannote et al., 1980; Fisher et al., 1982, 1983; Mulholland & Rosemond, 1992). For example, uptake of nitrogen in upstream reaches of streams can lead to longitudinal depletion, thus dominance of cyanobacteria in lower reaches (Fisher et al., 1982), since certain species of cyanobacteria are capable of fixing atmospheric nitrogen, under these conditions, and have a competetive advantage over the other algal species. In the river continuum concept, Vannote et al. (1980) comment how upstream communities are capable of influencing communities downstream through nutrient dynamics. Since the ATSTM is a continuous flow system, much like a lotic system, it is logical to assume that longitudinal patterns would occur in longer systems, due to self-organization of the periphytic community, nutrient depletion, maturity, and succession.

As described in Chapter 1, ATSTM is an ecologically engineered, floway system for utilizing algal photosynthesis and growth to control a wide variety of water quality parameters. Developed in the early 1980's as a biomimic of coral reef primary productivity, ATSTM was initially used as a tool to manage an extensive series of living microcosm and mesocosm models of wild ecosystems (Adey & Loveland, 2007). During the 1990's and early 21st century, this ecological technology was successfully scaled-up for nutrient removal, from point-source and open waters, and ATSTM use ranged from aquaculture and tertiary treatment of sewage to agricultural canal amelioration of nutrients (Adey et al., 2011).

ATSTM was developed as a simple, flow-through, low cost means of utilizing algal photosynthetic and productivity potential using attached algae for remediating waters and growing a viable algal biomass that can be used for by-products. In the design of ATSTM, capital costs² are highest at the top and bottom of the ATSTM floways, with low costs in the 'trough'; where algae grow. Thus it is critical to understand maximum lengths in which these ATSTM floways can be constructed, maximizing productivity and nutrient uptake, without losing biomass production, while understanding the species frequency on a longitudinal scale, relative to the functional role of species.

The aim of this chapter is to examine longitudinal patterns of the ATSTM units located at Muddy Run hydroelectric Power Plant. The Muddy Run system was chosen because it was longer than any other ATSTM included in this dissertation. Special attention is given to the top, middle, and bottom sections of these floways, in order to examine longitudinal patterns. Each section is of 100 ft (30.5m) long. Several approaches at examining the longitudinal patterns are used: a specialization index, χ^2 test of deviation of a species' distribution from an idealized distribution of equal, and a visual graphic of distribution frequency. Both patterns of nutrient uptake into biomass and species composition are investigated, while examining relationships between these two parameters.

² Capital costs refer to all the costs regarding the equipment and processes to pump water into the ATS^{TM} floway and from the ATS^{TM} back into the main aquatic body to which it is 'connected'.

Materials and Methods

Study Area

The ATSTM systems were located in SE Pennsylvania, near Lancaster, on the banks of the Susquehanna River. Incoming water came from the Muddy Run Reservoir, which is filled from and drains into the Susquehanna River daily during the operation of Exelon's pumped-storage hydroelectric facility. Thus the water input into the ATSTM is modified from ambient river conditions by retention time in this reservoir. Details of the ATSTM systems are given in Table *1.1*.

Nutrients³

Water samples (0.1L) were collected at the inflow and outflow of the ATSTM before harvests in the late morning or early afternoon in order to quantify the maximum effect of metabolism of the algal community. These samples were acidified and stored at 4°C for analysis of total nitrogen (TN), total phosphorus (TP), nitrate (NO₃), and orthophophate (PO₄). The samples were also analyzed for ammonium, but the resulting values were below detection limits or non-interpretable. Biomass samples (0.93m² sections for the aluminum floway and 0.74m² sections for the wood floway in replicates of 2) were analyzed for N and P after oven-drying. TN was determined using total Kjeldahl block digestion followed by flow injection analysis (Model 8000, Lachat Instruments, Milwaukee, WI) (APHA, 1995). Elemental analyses were verified using inductively coupled plasma analysis (APHA, 1995).

³ Data supplied by the thesis work of P.J. Klavon.

Algal Sampling

Periphytic samples from the screen were collected a the top, middle, and bottom sections on the aluminum ATSTM on 23/Jul/2008, 01/Aug/2008, 13/Aug/2008, 07/Mar/2009, 17/Jul/2009, and 09/Oct/2009 and wood ATSTM on 27/Oct/2008, 13/Aug/2008, 07/Mar/2009, 17/Jul/2009, and 09/Oct/2009, and samples were brought live for analysis at the National Museum of Natural History (NMNH). At NMNH samples were examined live and/or preserved in 4% formaldehyde or in acidic Lugol's. For each date, frequency data (presence/absence) was recorded for the samples at the top, middle, and bottom sections.

Qualitative analysis

Samples were examined between a slide and coverslip using an Olympus BX50 binocular light microscope (LM) in duplicate (n=2) for each sample site. For diatom identification, a sub-sample (20 ml) was boiled in 30% hydrogen peroxide in a 1:1 sample ratio, adding potassium dichromate concentration during the process to speed oxidization, until returning to the original volume with D1. The cleaned material was concentrated in a centrifuge, and rinsed several times with distilled water until reaching a neutral pH. This material was diluted into 3 different dilutions, air dried on coverslips, and processed for either LM or scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

For LM, coverslips were allowed to dry overnight, and mounted onto glass slides with the high infraction index (1.704) mounting medium Cargille Meltmount[™] (Cargille-Sacher Laboratories, NJ). The samples were then analyzed under 400x or 1000x (oil

immersion) using an Olympus Bx50 compound microscope with phase contrast. Morphological characteristics were recorded for the taxa for specific delimitation, i.e., valve/cell diameter and length, diameter of central area, striae length, density, and number. For SEM, coverslips were mounted on specimen stubs and sputter-coated with a gold/palladium alloy (10 nm) analyzed using a Leica Stereoscan 440 SEM.

The following specific literature for identification was used for each group: Bacillariophyta (Krammer & Lange-Bertalot, 1986, 1988, 1991, 1991; Cox, 1996; Round et al., 1990); Cyanobacteria (Komárek & Anagnostidis, 1998, 2005); Chlorophyta (Prescott, 1982; Bicudo & Menezes, 2005; John & Williamson, 2009) in addition to using some regional floras (Prescott, 1982).

All samples and slides are maintained in the National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution.

Longitudinal patterns in algae: Specialists vs. generalists

To determine the presence of generalist or specialist species longitudinally, a specialization index was created, where a null hypothesis was proposed that the percent total frequency of each species would be equal between the top, middle, and bottom of the floways. This is a frequency of 33% for a species for each section, since the total frequency that a species can occur on the floway is 100%, and 33% is this total divided among the three sections. To categorize the deviation of the taxa from the null hypothesis (33%), the following formula was used: Specialization Index = |(% top - 33%)| + |(% middle - 33%)| + |(% bottom - 33%)| (Tables *3.6*, *3.7*). Thus the index ranges from 0 (complete mapping of null hypothesis: i.e., 33% top, middle and bottom) to

133 (for example, 100% at top and 0% at the middle and bottom). This index does not indicate where a species is found most frequently, but it is a quantitative index of how far a species' longitudinal distribution differs from being evenly distributed along the ATSTM floway.

Statistical treatment

The results were initially evaluated using descriptive statistics, with graphs of means and histograms. Species frequency of algae among the systems on a longitudinal scale was calculated. To assess longitudinal patterns in nitrogen and phosphorus removal rates [gN or gP m² day⁻¹ calculated by biomass harvest (g m² day⁻¹) multiplied by the nutrient concentration in %] along the aluminum and wood ATSTM floways, linear regressions between each of these parameters were computed for each sampling date and tested for significance of slopes (Tukey) with comparisons made between samples taken on three longitudinal sections: the top 100 ft (30.5m), the middle 100 ft (30.5 m), and the bottom 100 ft (30.5m). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was also used to test the difference of each section. The linear regression was applied to test/determine if there was a significant linear component (i.e., if the slope of the line was different than 0), allowing either an ascending or descending pattern in each nutrient analyzed in the ATSTM relative to the length of the floway. The statistical program SPSS (IBM, New York) was used to carry out these analyses.

To assess pattern in species longitudinal distributions, the deviation from the null hypothesis (33%) (i.e., expected value) was tested using the χ^2 test for the most dominant (>50% frequency) taxa (Tables 3.4, 3.5; Appendix 16, 17).

Results

Nutrients

Mean nutrient concentrations (n=17) of the incoming water for the sampling period from 2008 to 2009 were: 0.89 mg L⁻¹ (NO₃), 1.38 mg L⁻¹ (TN), 0.06 mg L⁻¹ (PO₄), and 0.12 mg L⁻¹ (TP). These nutrients decreased with algal growth as the water passed through the ATSTM floway, where the turnover time of water in the systems was between 7 and 10 min. Midday removal percentages are presented in Table *3.1*, which are point measurements during a diurnal cycle, remembering that ecosystem metabolism is highest at midday.

Table 3.1. Mean nutrient removal at midday during spring-fall 2009 (n=17). Data calculated by dividing outflow concentrations (bottom) by inflow concentrations (top) and multiplying by 100 (Kangas et al., 2010).

| Nutrient | Aluminum ATS TM | Wood ATS TM |
|-----------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| NO ₃ | 18% | 27% |
| PO ₄ | 17% | 50% |
| TN | 28% | 37% |
| ТР | 9% | 17% |

Nutrients in Biomass

The biomass production rate was found by the harvested mass by unit area divided by the number of days in which growth took place (time between harvests). Nutrient uptake by the ATSTM was found by multiplying the biomass production rate (g dry weight/m²/day) by the nutrient contents of the biomass (% of the biomass made up of N and P). Mean harvested biomass (production) is presented in Figure *3.1*. Mean

percent nutrient (nitrogen and phosphorus) data for the longitudinal patterns (top-middlebottom) during the study period for the both the aluminum and woods floway are demonstrated in Figure 3.2. Mean nutrient uptake (nitrogen and phosphorus) by both the aluminum and wood floway are demonstrated in Figure 3.3. Figure 3.4 illustrates for the aluminum and wood ATSTM percent of biomass as N and P in Figure 3.2. The ratio between nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) was approximately 8:1.

In the aluminum floway, as a whole, there was not a mean significant difference in N or P in the biomass across the floway; however, there were significant (p<0.05, slope $\neq 0$) linear regressions on several dates (see Table 3.2). However there was a significant decrease in nutrient uptake (p<0.05, Fig. 3.3). In the wood floway, longitudinal patterns in both N and P as a whole significantly increased from the top of the ATSTM floway to the bottom (p<0.01, Fig. 3.2).



Figure 3.1. Mean ATS^{TM} harvested biomass over the floway (top-middle-bottom) during the study period (2008-2009) for the both the aluminum and wood systems. Error bars show standard error. Columns followed by the same letter do not differ by the pairwise t-test (p<0.05).



Figure 3.2. Mean percent nutrient content of scrubber biomass over the floway (top-middle-bottom) during the study period (2008-2009) for the both the aluminum and wood systems, (top) nitrogen and (bottom) phosphorus. Error bars show standard error. Columns followed by the same letter do not differ by the pairwise t-test (p<0.05).



Figure 3.3. Mean nutrient uptake, (top) nitrogen and (bottom) phosphorus, by the aluminum and wood floways. Error bars show standard error. Columns followed by the same letter do not differ by the pairwise t-test (p<0.05).



Figure *3.4.* Percent nitrogen in the biomass (top) in the aluminum and wood ATSTM at Muddy Run during 2009. Percent phosphorus in the biomass (bottom) in the aluminum and wood ATSTM at Muddy Run during 2009. Error bars represent standard error.

Both ATSTM systems at Muddy Run received nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorus) through their input waters (see Materials & Methods; Table *3.1*). However, input nutrients were higher than output nutrients, and Figs. *3.3* and *3.4* show biomass nutrient

concentrations (nitrogen and phosphorus) at the top, middle, and bottom sections of the screens for both raceways. Although the phosphorus and nitrogen, in the water, decreased from input to output; a slight mean increase in nitrogen content in biomass was verified and a decrease in phosphorus content in biomass was found (aluminum) (p<0.05) (Figs. 3.2, 3.3). Overall, a negative linear regression slope was verified for both nitrogen and phosphorus (Table 3.2) on the aluminum floway until 14 Aug 09, in which slope then became positive. This change in slope for the linear regression analysis coincided with a change in the physical slope of the aluminum floway from 2% to 0.5%. Thus, it is possible that the system, as a whole, no longer had a difference in uptake rate along the floway as the physical slope changed. When compared to the wooden ATSTM (Table 3.3), where the slope of the floway was maintained at 1%, it was verified that this system demonstrated a positive linear regression slope, though not significant. It is important to note that these differences in slope seem to affect nutrient uptake, where 2% slope shows a negative linear regression and 1% slope, positive linear regression (Tables 3.2, 3.3). These results demonstrate the importance of the physical slope of the floway and its effect on nutrient uptake, and this is the first time this has been shown.

Table 3.2. Direction of the linear regression slopes for nutrient uptake (nitrogen-N and phosphorus-P) on the aluminum floway during the study period: (+) means slope increased from top to bottom, (-) means slope deacreased from top to bottom. Regression was calculated on total nutrient uptake (g.), which is the $g/m^2/day$. Both slope and significance are presented.

| Date | Slope N (g.) | Significant | Slope P (g.) | Significant |
|-----------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| 28-Jul-08 | - | no | - | yes |
| 6-Aug-08 | - | yes | - | yes |
| 16-Aug-08 | - | yes | - | yes |
| 12-May-09 | - | no | - | yes |
| 21-May-09 | - | no | - | no |
| 12-Jun-09 | - | yes | - | yes |
| 26-Jun-09 | - | yes | - | yes |
| 10-Jul-09 | - | yes | - | yes |
| 17-Jul-09 | - | yes | - | yes |
| 31-Jul-09 | - | yes | - | yes |
| 14-Aug-09 | + | yes | + | yes |
| 28-Aug-09 | + | no | + | no |
| 17-Sep-09 | + | no | + | yes |
| 26-Sep-09 | + | no | + | no |
| 29-Oct-09 | + | no | + | no |

*dotted line indicates when there was a change in the physical slope of the floway.

Significance level (p<0.05)

Table 3.3. Direction of the linear regression slopes for nutrient uptake (nitrogen-N and phosphorus-P) on the wood floway during the study period: (+) means slope increased from top to bottom, (-) means slope deacreased from top to bottom. Regression was calculated on total nutrient uptake (g.), which is the $g/m^2/day$. Both slope and significance are presented.

| Date | Slope N (g.) | Significant | Slope P (g.) | Significant |
|-----------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| 28-Jul-08 | | | | |
| 6-Aug-08 | | | | |
| 16-Aug-08 | | | | |
| 12-May-09 | + | no | + | no |
| 21-May-09 | + | no | + | no |
| 12-Jun-09 | + | no | + | no |
| 26-Jun-09 | + | no | - | no |
| 10-Jul-09 | + | no | + | no |
| 17-Jul-09 | + | no | + | no |
| 31-Jul-09 | + | no | + | no |
| 14-Aug-09 | + | no | + | no |
| 28-Aug-09 | + | no | + | no |
| 17-Sep-09 | - | no | - | no |
| 26-Sep-09 | + | no | - | no |
| 29-Oct-09 | + | no | + | no |

Significance level (p<0.05)

Algal Community Structure

A total of 149 taxa were identified from six algal phyla on the wood ATSTM over the study period (*Appendix 14*) and a total of 136 taxa were identified from five algal phyla on the aluminum ATSTM (*Appendix 15*). Many of the species were rare based on frequency of occurrence: 53% of the total community was found only once (a frequency of 1/26 or 4%) on the aluminum ATSTM and 47% of the total community was found only once (a frequency of 1/22 or 5%) on the wooden ATSTM. Thus, as is typical of any ecological community, most of the species in the algal turf community were rare (McGill et al., 2007). Fifteen species were found in at least half of the samples on the aluminum ATSTM, with 5 species showing different frequencies than the expected even longitudinal pattern (from the genera *Navicula, Stephanocyclus, Spirogyra, Ulnaria,* and *Melosira*) (Table *3.4*). Twenty-seven species were found in at least half of the samples on the wooden ATSTM, with nine taxa demonstrating different frequencies than the expected (from the genera *Navicula, Diatoma, Ulnaria, Nitzschia, Stephanocyclus, Melosira, Spirogyra, Synedra,* and *Monoraphidium*) (Table *3.5*).

Longitudinal patterns in algae: Specialization Index

The dominant taxa (Fig. 3.5) ranged from 0[%] (*Fragilaria capucina*, *Ulothrix zonata*, and *Navicula gregaria*) to 67% (*Oedogonium pluvioporum*) for the wood floway and from 5% (*Desmodesmus communis*) to 47% (*Phormidium retzii*) for the aluminum floway (Tables 3.6 and 3.7), calculated by the specialization formula provided in the Materials & Methods.

Table 3.4. Summarized χ^2 test table for the most dominant taxa on the aluminum floway demonstrating

| (+) greater than expected frequency, (0) expected frequency, or $(-)$ less than expect | ed frequency. |
|--|---------------|
| | |

| | top | middle | bottom |
|---------------------------|-----|--------|--------|
| <i>Cymbella</i> spp. | - | 0 | 0 |
| Desmodesmus communis | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diadesmis contenta | - | 0 | + |
| Diatoma vulgare | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fragilaria capucina | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gomphonema truncatum | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Melosira varians | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Navicula spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia spp. | - | 0 | 0 |
| Phormidium retzii | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rhoicosphenia abbreviata | 0 | + | - |
| Spirogyra cf. rivularis | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stephanocyclus meneghiana | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Synedra sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ulnaria ulna | - | 0 | 0 |

Table 3.5. Summarized χ^2 table for the most dominant taxa on the wood floway demonstrating (+) greater

| than expected frequency, (0) expected frequency, or (-) less | ss than expected frequency. |
|--|-----------------------------|
| | |

| | top | middle | bottom |
|---------------------------|-----|--------|--------|
| Cladophora glomerata | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Closterium</i> sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cosmarium sp. | - | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Cymbella</i> spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cymbella tumida | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Desmodesmus communis | 0 | 0 | - |
| Diadesmis contenta | - | 0 | + |
| Diatoma vulgare | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fragilaria capucina | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gomphonema truncatum | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Leptolyngbya</i> sp. | 0 | 0 | - |
| Melosira varians | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Monoraphidium arcuatum | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Monoraphidium contortum | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Navicula cryptocephala | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Navicula gregaria | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Navicula spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oedogonium pluvioporum | - | 0 | + |
| Pediastrum boryanum | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pediastrum duplex | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Phormidium retzii | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spirogyra rivularis | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stephanocyclus meneghiana | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Synedra sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ulnaria ulna | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ulothrix zonata | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Species | Wood ATS TM floway |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Fragilaria capucina | 0 |
| Ulothrix zonata | 0 |
| Navicula gregaria | 0 |
| Melosira varians | 11 |
| Diatoma vulgare | 13 |
| Stephanocyclus meneghiana | 13 |
| Ulnaria ulna | 13 |
| <i>Cymbella</i> spp. | 17 |
| Phormidium retzii | 17 |
| Spirogyra cf. rivularis | 17 |
| Monoraphidium arcuatum | 17 |
| Navicula spp. | 19 |
| Nitzschia spp. | 19 |
| Synedra sp. | 21 |
| Gomphonema truncatum | 23 |
| Pediastrum duplex | 27 |
| Closterium sp. | 27 |
| Cladophora glomerata | 27 |
| Pediastrum boryanum | 27 |
| Monoraphidium contortum | 27 |
| Cymbella tumida | 27 |
| Navicula crytocephala | 27 |
| Diademis contenta | 33 |
| Cosmarium sp. | 37 |
| Desmodesmus communis | 37 |
| Leptolyngbya sp. | 37 |
| Oedogonium pluvioporum | 67 |

Table 3.6. Specialization index values of the dominant taxa on the wood.

Table 3.7. Specialization index values of the dominant taxa on the aluminum floway.

| Species | Aluminum ATS TM floway |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Desmodesmus communis | 5 |
| Spirogyra cf. rivularis | 12 |
| Stephanocyclus meneghiana | 13 |
| Gomphonema truncatum | 13 |
| Melosira varians | 13 |
| Fragilaria capucina | 17 |
| <i>Synedra</i> sp. | 19 |
| Diatoma vulgare | 21 |
| Navicula spp. | 21 |
| Ulnaria ulna | 39 |
| <i>Cymbella</i> spp. | 39 |
| Rhoicosphenia abbreviata | 41 |
| Diademis contenta | 43 |
| Nitzschia spp. | 45 |
| Phormidium retzii | 47 |

Discussion

Two ATSTM floways, one wooden and one aluminum (Table *1.1*), were analyzed for the effect of relative position (top-middle-bottom) on the species composition and putative effect of nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorus). Two hundred five species were found on the two scrubbers at Muddy Run, which together make-up around 60 m² of surface area. This high diversity can be attributed to the source communities for these systems. The species, which immigrated to colonize either system, originated from the lotic Susquehanna River or the lentic Muddy Run reservoir. Both lotic and lentic systems are distinct ecosystems, with different algal floras, thus allowing for a high diversity of potential colonizers on these applied ecosystems.

Among the various taxa of diatoms, *Diatoma vulgare*, *Fragilaria capucina*, *Gomphonema truncatum*, *Melosira varians*, *Stephanocyclus meneghiniana*, and *Ulnaria ulna* were the species of Bacillariophyceae most frequent (>75%) along the ATSTM. *Diatoma vulgare*, *F. capucina*, and *U. ulna* are araphid diatoms that form colonial rosettes adhered apically or as aggregated cells (Stevenson & Peterson, 1989; Biggs et al., 1998). *Melosira varians* and *S. meneghiniana* are both centric diatoms which form chains, and *G. truncatum* is a biraphid epiphytic species with a mucilaginous stalk. Araphid taxa are more active immigrants than monoraphid and biraphid taxa, since they form colonies that adhere apically to the substrate, thus capable of living vertically. However, some of the adhered monoraphid taxa persist on the substrate during disturbances (Stevenson & Peterson, 1989).

Passy & Larson (2011) comment that faster currents enhance nutrient uptake and stimulate growth of some species, which they consider tolerant high profile species, e.g.,

Synedra/Ulnaria species and *Gomphonema* species as well as filamentous chlorophytes, but tolerant unattached species can slough, e.g., *Fragilaria* and *Melosira* filaments. In the floways, this was not readily seen since the faster current was at the top section (near the dump bucket) and *U. ulna* was significantly less frequent (p<0.05), while more frequent towards the bottom, such as was seen with *G. truncatum*. However, both *Fragilaria* and *Melosira* showed patterns of higher frequency towards the bottom, though this pattern was not significant (Fig. 3.5, Tables 3.4, 3.5). It is possible that the abundance of the taxa changed, though this was not measured in this study.

Whitton et al. (2009) demonstrate the importance of the mucilaginous stalks in diatoms, showing that this is the site of most phosphatase activity in the organism. With this idea in mind, as the phosphorus (Fig. 3.3) content decreased on a longitudinal scale in both the wood and aluminum floways, several mucilaginous stalk diatom species maintained abundance on both floways (*Gomphonema truncatum, Cymbella* spp., *Cymbella tumida, U. ulna, Synedra* sp., *Rhoicoshphenia abbreviata*) (Fig. 3.6; Tables. 3.6, 3.7). Interestingly, the species with the shortest mucilage stalk (*R. abbreviata*) also had a significantly lower frequency (p<0.05) at the bottom section, while *Diadesmis contenta*, which has practically no stalk, had a higher frequency (p<0.05). Levels of phosphorus uptake at the bottom section of the floway are significantly lower than the top sections (Fig. 3.3). This data shows that that the species frequency does not corroborate with the hypothesis by Whitton et al. (2009); however, cell abundance should be analyzed in the future to determine if the frequency and abundance agree, and if these species could function differently than those studied by Whitton et al. (2009).



Figure 3.5. Longitudinal patterns of all taxa on the ATSTM floways compiled into their respective Orders (top) wood ATSTM floway; (bottom) aluminum ATSTM floway.



Figure *3.6.* Longitudinal patterns of the dominant taxa on the wood floway (top) and aluminum floway (bottom).

Interspecific patterns in the characteristics of growth and emigration in diatoms indicate different ecological strategies. These strategies vary from opportunistic species that reproduce quickly and disperse when nutrient sources become limiting, to those competitive species with slower growth, which use resources in a more efficient manner (Stevenson et al., 1991). The ecological strategies vary by the ability to proliferate in spaces recently emptied (Peterson, 1996), by exploring sources of local or passing inorganic nutrients, and by the resistance of the species against disturbances (Biggs & Thomsen, 1995). The ability to proliferate and resist disturbances reveals a large plasticity in the species of the assemblages to establish and persist in different environmental conditions. Among these, *Encyonema minutum* and *Fragilaria capucina* are cited by Biggs et al. (1998) as species that rapidly grow and are found in disturbed environments.

The presence of cyanobacteria, desmids, and chlorophytes along the ATSTM, was related, in part, to the decrease in the abundance of diatoms, as well as succession of the community. The higher concentrations of phosphorus, at the top section of the ATSTM, in addition to the faster current, could have favored the cyanobacterial mat, which was readily present in both the wood and aluminum floways during the whole study period, since studies show a positive correlation with phosphorus forms and the development of tolerant taxa, i.e., species of cyanobacteria (Douterelo et al., 2004; Perona & Mateo, 2006). In addition the higher turbulence in which the inflowing water enters favored this mucilaginous mat composed of species of the genera *Leptolyngbya, Phormidium*,
Pseudanabaena, and *Oscillatoria* (*Appendix 14, 15*), which may be more stabe to the community.

Both systems had a significant increase in species number relative to position, with the trend being an increase from top to bottom of the system (Appendix 14, 15). Factors that could influence this trend are: change in nitrogen and/or phosphorus concentrations, pH, temperature, oxygen, and/or physical disturbance. Mostly, there was an increase in the number of species presence from the Orders Chroococcales (genera Aphanocapsa, Aphanothece, Bacularia, Chroococcus, Eucapsis, and Merismopedia); Zygnematales (genera Closterium, Cosmarium, Pediastrum 'lato sensu', and Staurastrum); Sphaerospleales (genera Coelastrum, Desmodesmus, Gloeocystis, Hydrodictyon, Kirchneriella, Monoraphidium, Pseudocharacium, Scenedesmus, Schroederia, and Tetraëdron); Microsporales (genus Microspora); and Fragilariales (genera Meridion, Staurosirella, and Synedra) towards the bottom of both systems. The Orders Zygnematales and Sphaerospleales are mostly represented by species, which are loosely fixed to the substrate. Species of these Orders grow in communities that are in intermediate stages of succession, and are related to a higher stability of the periphytic community, which was seen in the lower portions of both floways, due to lower turbulence.

Dominant species on the floway are either considered generalist species or specialist species, the latter demonstrating a greater affinity (frequency) to one or two sections of the floway. Analyzing the deviations from the ideal frequency of the null hypothesis (i.e., expected frequency) (Tables *3.4*, *3.5*), it was noted that most species are generalist species. Five species on the aluminum and five species on the wood floways

had a speciality for a particular section of the floway (p < 0.05). Overall, these species do specialize had a lower frequency at the top of the floway and higher frequency at the bottom of the floway. Only one species, *Rhoicosphenia abbreviata*, was found to have increased frequency at the middle section of the floway, when compared to the expected (p<0.05). Nitzschia spp., Cymbella spp., Diadesmis contenta, and Ulnaria ulna had a lower frequency than expected at the top (p < 0.05) on the aluminum floway and Oedogonium pluvioporum, Cosmarium sp., and D. contenta on the wood. At the bottom of the floway, six species had a significant difference in frequency, with D. contenta presenting an increased frequency at the bottom on the aluminum and the wood, while O. *pluvioporum* also demonstrated this trend on the wood floway. *Leptolyngbya* sp. and Desmodesmus communis decreased frequency on the wood floway, while R. abbreviata decreased frequency on the aluminum floway. It is generally recognized that most species whose ecological demands have been well studied are restricted to only a certain range of habits, due to their demands (Perona & Mateo, 2006). Thus these taxa are not ubiquitous and contain ecological niches, constrained by their ecological demands, which they have evolved over evolutionary time. Other species are broad-niched, which here, are those considered generalist species (Komárek, 1994). On these floways, most of the dominant taxa are broad-niched or, in other words, the null hypothesis (84.4% on the aluminum floway and 91.4% on the wood floway). There were more species that differed in frequency from the top to the bottom of the floways on the wood floway than on the aluminum floways, this could be due in part that the aluminum floway and the wood floways had different floway slopes. The aluminum floway had a physical gradient (slope) of 2% that was changed to 0.5% during the study period, while the wood floway

was maintained at 1% during the whole study period. At a 2% gradient of the floway the slope of the linear regressions were significantly negative, but when this gradient was changed to 0.5%, the regressions became positive (aluminum floway, Table *3.2*). At a 1% gradient the linear regression slopes were almost always positive (though not significant) (wood floway, Table *3.3*). Furthermore, the percent phosphorus of the algal biomass was lower while at the 2% slope, and when this slope was changed to a 0.5%, the percent concentration became higher than the wood floway at 1% slope (Fig. 3.4). This is the first time that it was shown that slope plays a role in nutrient dynamics in ATSTM, indicating that there may be a 'threshold' between the 0.5% and 1% physical slope for ATSTM floways to obtain significant differences in nutrient data. These nutrient differentiations could be important for differing species frequency.

With the lower slopes one would expect that more planktonic species would be present on the wood floway due to less turbulence. This is due to the possibility for a longer residence time of the water flowing over the floway. Thus the natural history diagrams in Chapter 2 were verified and this pattern was not observed, at least for species number. Therefore the number of species present on both floways, planktonic versus non-planktonic or not different. However, it could be that the abundance of these taxa is significantly different, though this was not studied.

Interestingly is that most dominant taxa found on these floways did not present a significant difference in frequency from top to bottom (Table *3.4, 3.5*) compared to the null hypothesis. However the specialization index (Table *3.6, 3.7*) demonstrated that species did demonstrate patterns in distribution. These patterns are better visualized in Figure *3.6*. Thus, the differences in nitrogen and phosphorus from top to bottom of the

floways did not affect most of the species frequencies in this study; however, overall, based on an ANOVA when all the taxa from each section were grouped, the frequencies significantly differed from top to bottom (*Appendix 22*), which coincides with nutrient uptake of both nutrients being significantly different from the top section to the bottom section (p<0.05) (Fig. 3.3). Thus, taxa frequencies, as a community, differ between top and bottom sections; however, there still needs to be more studies undertaken to understand the influence of these nutrients on the frequency of each taxon. Moreover, other environmental factors which were not studied or considered, could be affecting the longitudinal patterns of these algae, such as pH.

Chapter 4: Systematic studies on periphytic Oscillatoriales (Cyanobacteria) from ATSTM floways along the Chesapeake Bay.

Cyanophyceae/Cyanobacteria

The Division Cyanobacteria (Domain Bacteria) contains only one class, Cyanobacteria. Cyanobacteria, also known as cyanophytes, cyanoprokaryotes, or bluegreen algae, are primitive organisms, being one of the first to produce O_2 in the atmosphere. Many researchers admit that the evolutionary history of the group began in the Archezoic Era (3.5 billion years ago) (Whitton & Potts, 2000).

The success of cyanobacterial adaptation to a wide variety of habitats can be related to their long evolutionary history. This success is consequent of peculiar physiological characteristics, such as tolerance to extreme temperatures and solar irradiation, to desiccation, and to high concentrations of sulphuric gas. They also have the ability to use low concentrations of light and CO₂, in addition to the capability of fixing atmospheric nitrogen (Whitton, 1992; Whitton & Potts, 2000). They resist drastic environmental variations, occurring from the poles to tropics, oceans to ponds, and desert sands to tree trunks. There are also those with endophytic, epiphytic, and epilithic habitats (Hoek et al., 1995; Whitton, 1992; Whitton & Potts, 2000).

In continental waters, cyanobacteria can be responsible for sanitary problems due to their potential to produce toxins. Various cases of contamination, even human, caused by ingesting water containing toxic cyanobacteria and/or toxins liberated by these organisms, have been documented in various parts of the world. The most expressive case was documented in Brazil, with human death by hepatotoxins (microcystins) due to

the use of water contaminated by cyanobacteria in Caruaru, Pernambuco, in 1996, during routine dialysis treatment (Falconer & Fitzgerald, 1999).

Taxonomy/Systematics of Cyanobacteria

The Division Cyanophyta contains approximately 150 genera and around 2800 species (Hoek et al., 1995; Sant'Anna et al., 2006). They present numerous taxonomic and nomenclatural problems, mainly supported by review studies and floristic surveys undertaken by various authors. One of the biggest problems for cyanobacterial taxonomy is that many species present an array of different morphotypes, leading to difficulty in precise and specific identification (Werner & Laughinghouse, 2009).

The first classification systems of cyanobacteria were based exclusively on thallus morphology. In *Species Plantarum* (Linnaeus, 1753), the first classification of less complex forms of the group are found. During the 19th century, the classic works by Nägeli (1849), Rabenhorst (1865), and Thuret (1875) appeared where cyanobacteria were classified according to morphological characteristics. The review works carried out by Bornet & Flahault (1886-1888) and Gomont (1892) are the starting point of nostocaceans and oscillatoriaceans, respectively; thus, the beginning of filamentous cyanobacteria recognized under the *International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants* (ICN). In the 20th century other systems were proposed, the majority based on metric and morphological characters of preserved material. Among the most well known was Geitler (1932) who studied a large Central European flora. In addition, Frémy (1929-1933, 1930), Elenkin (1935, 1938-1949), Geitler (1942), Desikachary (1959), and Bourrelly (1970) contributed to describing the diversity of the group.

More recently, the systems by Komárek & Anagnostidis (1989, 1998, 2005) and Anagnostidis & Komárek (1990) were proposed, in which cyanobacteria were reorganized based on morphological, metric, ecological, physiological, and biochemical characteristics, where the following are important: cell division pattern, life cycle, thylakoid organization, ability of producing aerotopes and their respective location, cell wall structure, motility, trichome structure, and thallus type. Among the morphological characteristics, cell form, cell wall constriction, presence and type of sheath, granulation, and branching pattern are the diacritical characters used for the taxonomy of the group. According to this system, the class Cyanophyceae has four Orders: Chroococcales, Oscillatoriales, Nostocales, and Stigonematales.

In recent years, many researchers have incorporated molecular techniques answer questions related to taxonomy, population dynamics, and cyanobacterial evolution. According to Wilmotte (1994), molecular systematics is especially important in taxa where morphological variability is limited or the homology of these characteristics is not clear. Studies capable of incorporating both molecular and morphological data provide stronger descriptions and interpretations of biological diversity than those that study them in isolation (Wilmotte et al., 1994; Moffitt et al., 2001). Thus polyphasic taxonomy has been recommended for the classification of cyanobacteria and many studies are being published using this approach (Casamatta et al., 2005; Hoffmann et al., 2005; Taton et al., 2006; Johansen et al., 2011; Kling et al., 2012; Werner et al., 2012).

Molecular techniques involving the sequencing of the ribosomal RNA (rRNA) are commonly used to investigate evolutionary relations among different cyanobacterial genera. According to Wilmotte (1994) the genes that encode for rRNA present properties

which make them good candidates for broad use in evolutionary studies, such as: universality, functional constancy, and combination of conserved regions with variable regions.

Order Oscillatoriales Elenkin, 1934

Cyanobacteria of the Order Oscillatoriales are characterized as homocyte filamentous cyanobacteria (without heterocytes and akinetes), with a facultative mucilaginous sheath, and cell division in one plane (Castenholz, 2001). According to the review by Komárek & Anagnostidis (2005), the group differs from the other cyanobacteria mainly by presenting homocytic filaments; however, characters, such as presence or absence of sheath, filament aspect, false branching, and content or amount of cell pigment, are also relevant. For species, the main taxonomic criteria used are: cell size, cell shape (especially the terminal cells of trichomes), constriction at cross-walls, and cellular inclusions (granules and aerotopes), besides environmental characteristics.

The oscillatorian cyanobacteria generally have isopolar trichomes, which sometimes taper towards the ends. The terminal cell can differ morphologically to the adjacent cells and their characteristics are used for interspecific differentiation. Furthermore, an additional modification can develop around this cell, i.e., a thicker membrane or a calyptra (Castenholz, 2001; Whitton, 2002; Komárek & Anagnostidis, 2005). The trichomes can form hormogonia, reproductive structures with facultative motility, and some members produce false-branching (Anagnostidis & Komárek, 1988; Komárek & Anagnostidis, 2005). All genera and probably all species present facultative

motility. Many planktonic forms contain aerotopes, which are found in the entire protoplasm or only next to the walls (Komárek & Anagnostidis, 2005). Aerotopes are absent from benthic forms of the Order.

Cyanobacteria of the Order Oscillatoriales are found in a diverse number of habitats, from terrestrial to aquatic systems, planktonic or benthic, forming periphyton, soil crusts, or microbial mats (Sheath & Müller, 1997; Casamatta et al., 2005; Taton et al., 2006).

The monograph by Maurice Gomont, "Monographie des Oscillatoriées" (Gomont, 1892), is considered the first manual for taxonomic classification of Oscillatoriales, the starting-point of its validity under the ICN. The author recognized 15 genera within the Family Hormogonoeae, Subfamily Homocystae, which was divided into two tribes: Vaginareiae and Lyngybyeae, differing, by the type of mucilaginous sheath and by the number of trichomes per sheath.

In the 20th century, Geitler (1925, 1932) united all the oscillatorian cyanobacteria – classified by Gomont as Hormogoneae – into the Family Oscillatoriaceae, characterized mainly by the type of mucilaginous sheath, trichome type and its disposition within the sheath, cell dimensions, and habitat. In his two studies, 18 and 23 genera were separated, respectively. Following, studies by Frémy (1930), Elenkin (1936-1949), Desikachary (1959), Starmach (1966), and Kondrateva (1968) presented diverse taxonomic evaluations based on morphology, describing several more genera, in addition to revisions in the classification system.

Most recently, Anagnostidis & Komárek (1988) and Komárek & Anagnostidis (2005) undertook important revisions of the Order Oscillatoriales, introducing new

taxonomic criteria to obtain a natural classification system, such as cell proportions and patterns of cell division, presence or absence of aerotopes, and motility, besides environmental characteristics. The results transferred many species to new generic entities, distributed within the Families Pseudanabaenaceae, Schizotrichaceae, Borziaceae, Phormidiaceae, Gomontiellaceae, and Oscillatoriaceae. However, the systematics of the Order is still changing, with new information and novel techniques, facilitating understanding the evolution of these ancient organisms.

Based on the studies by the authors above, the Order Oscillatoriales is defined by presenting unisseriate trichomes, motile or not, with a transveral cell division along the width of the trichome; mucilaginous sheath present or absent; absence of true-branching; absence of heterocytes and akinetes; aerotopes present, absent or facultative; reproduction by hormogonia and hormocytes, rarely by planocytes.

Taxonomic studies of periphytic Oscillatoriales in the Chesapeake Bay region

There is no unique taxonomic survey of the periphytic Oscillatoriales in the Chesapeake Bay region. Taxonomy studies on cyanobacteria in North America initiated with J. Tilden (1910), though her study did not contain samples from the Bay area, with New Jersey being closest. Ott (1972) states in his review of Chesapeake Bay macroalgal flora that since periphytic microflora is not part of the phytoplankton and is not studied during benthic macroalgal surveys, there is no knowledge of these organisms in the Bay, thus not being able to attribute any kind of human impact on these organisms. Earlier studies carried out by Strickland (1940) and Zaneveld (1965) contain some oscillatorian taxa, though lack descriptions and/or illustrations; however, Strickland (1940) does cite Herbarium vouchers. They also rely heavily on the assistance of F. Drouet for identifications, whose systematic view was never widely accepted by the cyanobacterial community, since he significantly reduced the number of genera and species without compelling arguments.

Francis Drouet (1939) carried out a study of cyanobacteria in Maryland reporting several oscillatoriales, although only one can be corroborated, *Phormidium weissii* Drouet, with both a description and illustrations. In a subsequent work, Drouet (1942) only described and illustrated *Spirulina weissii* Drouet, though he listed other species for North America. Thus, two species of the Order Oscillatorales, both novel when described, have been cited for the Chesapeake Bay and its tributaries in Somerset County, Maryland.

More recently, there is a review of phytoplankton for the Chesapeake Bay and its surroundings by Marshall et al (2005), containing a few species that could be found in the benthos (tychoplanktonic?). Since the authors do not present descriptions, illustrations, or voucher specimens, this report cannot be confirmed as a true taxonomic assessment. As a result, there has not been a thorough taxonomic survey carried out of this flora, thus demonstrating the need for a study of these important organisms, which play a crucial role in the aquatic environment.

This Chapter is divided into two Subchapters. Subchapter 1 aims to examine field populations of cyanobacteria from the Order Oscillatoriales in the Chesapeake Bay region by using morphological features that are easily recognizable under light microscopy. Phenotypic and ecological characterizations of natural (field) populations are necessary to increase the ecological and systematic knowledge of these important

organisms (Komárek et al., 2002; McGregor, 2007; Werner & Laughinghouse IV, 2009). The only current, widespread and practical method for recognizing the diversity of cyanobacteria is through phenotypic characterization, which is the first methodological step in a polyphasic characterization (McGregor, 2007). Subchapter 2 includes the elevation of the subgenus *Ilyonema* of the genus *Pseudanabaena* to generic status using a polyphasic approach, which is needed to correctly describe the periphytic organisms that are found in this project, as well as ascribe the correct phylogenetic placement of the organisms found in this (sub)genus. This level of detail is meant to compliment the more ecologically-focused previous algal community chapters, since this chapter has a more systematic and taxonomic focus.

Subchapter 1. Periphytic Oscillatoriales (Cyanobacteria) from freshwater and brackish environments along the Chesapeake Bay, USA

Introduction

Cyanobacteria are the largest group of photosynthetic prokaryotes with a wide occurrence, abundant frequency, and large morphological diversity. This group is vital due to their importance in primary productivity, role in biogeochemical cycles, and relevance in the trophic chain (Whitton & Potts, 2000). Due to the importance of the role that cyanobacteria play in different ecosystems, floristic studies of the group are necessary, aiming to increase our taxonomic knowledge of these organisms, as well as, support other studies of social-economical interest and public health.

Materials and Methods

Samples were taken from different algal turf scrubber systems (ATSTM) of freshand brackish water environments from the Chesapeake Bay Region, USA (Figure 4.1). The ATSTM is an ecological engineered system, which uses naturally growing periphytic and benthic algae to remediate incoming waters while providing a useful algal biomass (Adey et al., 2011). In these systems periphytic algae are naturally selected to grow due to the different pressures of the system, thus a useful system for studying this community.

The morphometric analyses of natural and preserved samples were carried with an Olympus BX50 binocular light microscope with an attached Canon Rebel EOS Rebel T1i digital camera for photography. Thirty individuals and 20 to 30 measurements of each characteristic of taxonomic interest were analyzed for each specimen. Photographs and/or descriptions are presented for each species.

The classification system by Hoffmann et al. (2005) was used and species were classified according to Komárek & Anagnostidis (2005).

Results and Discussion

BORZIACEAE

Komvophoron minutum (Skuja) Anagnostidis et Komárek, 1988

Basionym: Pseudanabaena minuta Skuja

(*Plate 1, A-D*)

Trichomes solitary or forming mats, short, tangled, straight, deeply constricted; cells 1.9- $2.5 \mu m$ wide, 0.9-1.9 μm long (length/width ratio 0.5-1), spherical to barrel-shaped; cell content blue-green; apical cells spherical, 2-2.9 μm wide, 1.9-2.5 μm long.

According to Skuja (1948), the diameter of cells varies from 2-2.5 μ m wide, with cells 0.5-1.33 times longer than wide. Hašler & Poulíčková (2010) studying populations of *Komvophoron minutum* from the Czech Republic found that the diameter of cells can reach 4 μ m. However, the Czech populations contained a wart-like protrusion on the apical cell, which was not seen in the studied specimens.

Komvophoron minutum resembles *K. constrictum* (Szafer) Anagnostidis & Komárek, but is smaller (about half the size), has shorter cells and its color is more pale. Furthermore, *K. minutum* lacks granules at the cross-walls, a character found in *K. constrictum*.

The type-specimen is cited as occurring occasionally in the plankton, being tychoplanktonic. This is in agreement with the benthic specimens found in this study in addition to the specimens found in the muddy sediments by Hašler & Poulíčková (2010).

Distribution: Muddy Run (PA)

PSEUDANABAENACEAE

Pseudanabaena galeata Böcher

(*Plate 2, B*)

Trichomes solitary or in fine, delicate mats, straight or flexuous, deeply constricted at the cross-walls; cells cylindrical, $0.9-2.2 \mu m$ wide, $1.4-7 \mu m$ long, usually 2-3x longer than

wide, slightly rounded, refractive aerotopes visible at the poles; cell content emeraldgreen; apical cell is conical or rounded, with 1 or more apical aerotopes.

The populations found in this study agree mostly with the morphometric description of *P. (=Ilyonema) galeata* presented in the review by Komárek & Anagnostidis (2005) [0.8-2.4(2.7) μ m wide, (1.2)2-7(10-15) μ m long]; however, specimens in this study were slightly smaller. McGregor (2007) found *P. galeata* [from Queensland (1-2.5 μ m wide, 1.5-7.5 μ m long)] more similar in size to the studied populations.

This species is regarded as a cosmopolitan species, common in the plankton of ponds, lakes, and reservoirs. Though found in the plankton, its life begins in the benthos, and is secondarily planktonic (Komárek & Anagnostidis, 2005). *Pseudanabaena galeata* is cited to produce the taste and odor compounds 2-methylisoborneol and geosmin, causing sanitary inconveniences for water impoundments (Izaguirre & Taylor, 2004).

Distribution: Muddy Run ATSTM.

Pseudanabaena lonchoides Anagnostidis

(*Plate 7, A-C*)

Trichomes solitary or in fine, delicate mats, straight or flexuous, deeply constricted at the cross-walls; cells cylindrical, $0.9-1.3 \mu m$ wide, $3.8-6 \mu m$ long usually (3.2)3.8-6x longer than wide, aerotopes absent; cell content blue-grey; apical cell rounded to conical, sometimes with refractive aerotope.

The morphological and metric characteristics of the studied specimens are within the limits cited for *Pseudanabaena* (=*Ilyonema*) *lonchoides* (0.6-1 µm wide) in the review by Komárek & Anagnostidis (2005)

This species was found to increase in abundance during the higher temperatures in the heated discharge canal at the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, demonstrating a putative preference for warmer waters. Komárek & Anagnostidis (2005) comment that this species can be found in thermal waters, indicating the preference that this species has for this habitat.

Distribution: Peach Bottom ATSTM.

Pseudanabaena limnetica (Lemmermann) Komárek (1974)

Basionym: Oscillatoria limnetica Lemmermann (1900)

(*Plate 2, C*)

Trichomes solitary, straight or flexuous, motile, deeply constricted at the cross-walls; cells long-cylindrical, 1-2 μ m wide, 3-9 μ m long, bluntly rounded ends at the cross-walls, no aerotopes; cell content pale blue-green to olive-green, homogenous with a distinct chromatoplasm; apical cell rounded, without aerotopes.

Pseudanabaena limnetica is a common species in the plankton of lakes and reservoirs; however, begins in the benthos, then later becomes planktonic. It has a wide geographic distribution in temperate and tropical zones.

The morphometric characteristics of the studied specimens agree with the bibliography consulted for this species [Skuja, 1948 (as *Oscillatoria limnetica*); Komárek & Anagnostidis, 2005; McGregor, 2007; Whitton, 2011 (as *Oscillatoria limnetica*)].

Distribution: Muddy Run ATSTM

Geitlerinema amphibium (Agardh ex Gomont) Anagnostidis, 1989

Basionym: Oscillatoria amphibia Agardh ex Gomont (1892)

(*Plate 1, E-F*)

Trichomes solitary or tangled, straight or bent, constricted at the ends; cells 2.2 μ m wide, 3.5-6 μ m long, 1.6-2.7x longer than wide; cell-content blue-green, homogenous; cross-wall granulated (1 granule), translucent; apical cells cylindrical to rounded, sometimes slightly attenuated, not thickened.

The specimens studied can be confused with *Geitlerinema unigranulatum* (R.N. Singh) Komárek et Azevedo. Besides the biogeographical and ecological differences of the studied material from those described by Komárek & Azevedo (2000) from populations in São Paulo, Brazil, it was verified that *G. unigranulatum* did not taper, and the cross-walls were indistinct. Slightly attenuate apical cells were found among the analyzed individuals, different from *G. unigranulatum*, which are always cylindrical and rounded, morphologically similar to the other vegetative cells (Komárek & Azevedo, 2000).

According to the authors above, *Geitlerinema amphibium* can present one or (rarely) two granules at the cross-walls, while *G. unigranulatum* has only one granule. In

the analyzed populations, only one granule was found at the cross-walls. The metric variations presented by Komárek & Azevedo (2000) for both species show an overlap in cell width and length; however, the width for *G. amphibium* is larger (1.2-4 μ m) than *G. unigranulatum* [0.8-2(-2.4) μ m].

The size limits of the specimens in this study are similar to those referred for *G*. *amphibium* by Romo et al. (1993) and Whitton (2011), ranging from 1.2-4 μ m, from European waters. Diacritical characters in this species are also having distinct, thick, and translucent cross-walls, in addition to trichomes being slightly attenuated, sometimes inconspicuous.

The examined specimens can also be confused with *Jaaginema quadripunctatum* (Brühl et Biswas) Anagnostidis et Komárek. They differ from this species by movement. In live samples, motile individuals were observed. *Jaaginema* does not possess motility. In addition, the cross-walls in *J. quadripunctatum* can contain two or three (rarely) granules, and in the sampled individuals there was only one granule.

Distribution: Muddy Run ATSTM

Leptolyngbya boryana (Gomont) Anagnostidis et Komárek (1988)

Basionym: Plectonema boryanum Gomont (1899)

Filaments curved, entangled, sometimes pseudobranched, up to 3 µm wide; pseudobranches thinner than main filament; mucilaginous sheath thin, colorless; trichomes not attenuated, constricted at cross-walls; trichomes 1-2 µm wide, cells ±isodiametric or slightly longer than wide; color blue-green, not granulated, apical cell rounded, without a calyptra.

The morphometric characteristics of the populations agree with those found by other authors (Gomont, 1892; Komárek & Anagnostidis, 2005; McGregor, 2007). A diacritical feature of *Leptolyngbya boryana* is its ability to form pseudobranches.

This species occurs in fresh water, metaphytic among other benthic algae and aquatic plants. It is widespread in slow-flowing waters worldwide (Komárek & Anagnostidis, 2005).

Distribution: Muddy Run ATSTM

Planktolyngbya limnetica (Lemmermann) Komárková-Legnerová et Cronberg, 1992
Basionym: Lyngbya limnetica Lemmermann (1898)

(*Plate 2, A*)

Filaments solitary, straight, or flexuous; mucilaginous sheath fine, homogenous, colorless, sometimes extending beyond the trichome at one or both sides; trichome 1-1.5 μ m wide, not attenuated, not constricted; cells cylindrical, 2.5-5 μ m long; cell content blue-green, homogenous; cross-walls vaguely evident to sometimes thick and evident, not granulose; apical cells rounded or conical, without thickened cap.

In a general manner, the studied specimens agree with the description of *Planktolyngbya limnetica* presented by Komárková-Legnerová & Cronberg (1992) for populations of southern Swedish lakes. According to these authors the cross-walls of the

trichomes can sometimes be inconspicuous, which was also seen in the analyses populations.

Populations in this study closely resemble those by McGregor (2007), except he noted that small refractive bodies were located on either side of the cross-walls. These bodies were not seen in the studied material from the Muddy Run ATSTM.

This species is considered planktonic (Komárek & Anagnostidis, 2005), and in the ATSTM it was found in areas of slow moving water entangled with other algae. Komárek & Anagnostidis (2005) also comment of its occurrence in lakes and ponds among other algae, which is the case of the Muddy Run ATSTM.

Distribution: Muddy Run ATSTM

Spirulina subsalsa Oersted ex Gomont, (1892)

Trichomes sometimes solitary, densely and regularly spirally coiled, not constricted, 1-2 μ m wide; spirals very tight, rarely with visible spaces between them, 3-5 μ m wide; cell content blue-green, homogenous.

Spirulina subsala is recognizable since it is densely coiled and its spirals touch one another along the organism, different than the other species in the genus. Morphologically, the population studied agreed with the type description and descriptions presented in literature (Gomont, 1892; Geitler, 1932; Komárek & Anagnostidis, 2005; McGregor, 2007).

Most authors are unanimous in stating that the metric limits are 1-2 μ m for the width of the trichomes and 3-5 μ m for the width of the coils. However, wider limits for

the species were found by Sant'Anna et al. (1983) – 1.5-2.5 μ m – in São Paulo, Brazil and by McGregor (2007) – up to 2.3 μ m – in Queensland, Australia.

Distribution: Wicomico, VIMS

PHORMIDIACEAE

Leibleinia epiphytica (Hieronymus) Compère, (1985)

Lyngbya epiphytica Hieronymus in Kirchner (1898) incl.

Filaments solitary, straight, or flexuous, up to 2 μ m wide; mucilaginous sheath thin, colorless; trichomes not attenuated, not constricted at cross-walls; cells 1-1.8 μ m wide, 1-2.3 μ m long, ±isodiametric; color blue-green, not granular, apical cell rounded, without a calyptra.

Populations found in this study are within the metric limits known for this species. McGregor (2007) found narrower trichomes from NE Australia, however, the review by Komárek & Anagnostidis (2005) considers 1.5-2 µm the width of this species.

This species was common in flowing and stagnant waters, attached to other algae especially *Cladophora glomerata*. It is considered cosmopolitan (McGregor, 2007), although Komárek & Anagnostidis (2005) reinforce that the marine populations should be confirmed.

Distribution: Muddy Run ATSTM

Phormidium autumnale (Agardh) Trevisan *ex* Gomont (1892)

Basionym: Oscillatoria autumnalis Agardh (1812)

(*Plate 2, E*)

Thallus is thick, leathery; sheaths fine, hyaline, sometimes absent; trichomes mostly straight to slightly flexuous, 4.5-6.5 μ m wide, not constricted at cross-walls; cells 3-5 μ m long, mostly isodiametric; cell-content black-brown to dark blue-green, homogenous; cross-walls granulated; apical cells elongated to conical, ends abruptly attenuated, often capitate, with rounded or truncate calyptra; hormogonia common.

Phormidium autumnale is commonly encountered in periphytic, benthic habitats in slow-flowing waters of streams and rivers (Gomont, 1892; McGregor, 2007). This species is found in similar freshwater habitats worldwide.

The specimens observed in this study agree with the type reviewed by Gomont (1892) – trichomes 4-7 µm wide, cells 2-5 µm long. In addition, diacritical characters of this species are the abrupt attenuation of the trichome, the fast oscillatory movement of the filaments, and the presence of a calyptra.

Distribution: Muddy Run ATSTM, Peach Bottom ATSTM

Phormidium retzii (Agardh) Gomont *ex* Gomont (1892)

Basionym: Oscillatoria retzii Agardh (1812)

(*Plate 3, A*)

Thallus is thick, entwined, compact prostrate mat, mucilaginous; sheaths thin (0.5-1 μ m wide) hyaline, usually diffluent, sometimes absent. Trichomes 5-11 μ m wide tangled, usually straight, not constricted at cross-walls; cells 4-8 μ m long, mostly isodiametric; cell-content dark blue-green, homogenous; cross-walls not granulated; apical cells

cylindrical-rounded to truncate, not attenuated, without thickened cell wall; hormogonia and necridia present.

The populations observed in this study agree with the morphometric characters for the type of *Phormidium retzii* presented by Gomont (1892) and later reviews. The difference with the populations in this study and those from Gomont (1892) is that the current populations are not as wide [Gomont (1892) – 4.5-12 μ m wide, 4-9 μ m long]. This species is probably the most common freshwater cyanobacterium of lotic environments, which leads to the broad range in its width and length reported in the literature possibly due to misidentifications by several authors. The range of habitats in which this species has been cited and the variability in its morphology suggests that this taxon is a complex of cryptic species (Casamatta et al., 2003).

Phormidium retzii is found on edges of streams, on rocks and wood. The original type was reported from cold, northern flowing or stagnant waters, springs, waterfalls, mountains; however it has been cited for tropical streams, warm waters, and thermal springs (Komárek & Anagnostidis, 2005). The species often forms entangled mats in fast currents, which was seen at the top of the ATSTM unit. Gomont (1892) noted this particular ecological characteristic of this species observing that *P. retzii* thrives in fast-moving currents, where it is capable of forming large clumps, attached to the substrate.

Distribution: Muddy Run ATSTM, Peach Bottom ATSTM

Phormidium simplicissimum (Gomont) Anagnostidis et Komárek, 1988

Basionym: Oscillatoria simplicissima Gomont (1892)

Thallus thin, sheaths absent. Trichomes straight or flexuous, long, 8-9.5 μ m wide, not constricted at cross-walls; cells 2.5-4.5 μ m long; cell-content dark blue-green, cross-walls slightly granulated; apical cells hemispherical to rounded.

The specimens in this study agree with the morphometric characters of the species *Oscillatoria simplicissima* (Gomont, 1892). However, the populations in this study had wider and longer cells than the type specimen. Other authors have found that this species can reach $9(10) \mu m$ wide and 4.5 (6) μm long (Komárek & Anagnostidis, 2005), resembling the populations in this study.

Phormidium simplicissimum was originally described from small stagnant waters near Paris and streams near Salzburg (Gomont, 1892). Komárek & Anagnostidis (2005) state that this species has been found in Europe, Asia, and Australia, periphytic in streams, stagnant waters, and occasionally in thermal springs.

Distribution: Muddy Run ATSTM

Phormidium stagninum Anagnostidis (2001)

Basionym: Lyngbya stagnina Kützing ex Gomont (1892)

Thallus thin, mucilaginous, sheaths (0.5-2 µm wide) distinct, firm, thick, slightly yellowish, lamellated. Trichomes tangled, usually straight, not constricted at cross-walls; cells 8-11 µm wide, 2.5-4.5µm long; cell-content dark green or blue-green, homogenous; cross-walls granulated; apical cells cylindrical to rounded, not attenuated, without thickened cell wall.

Phormidium stagninum populations found in this study agree with the morphometric characters of the species presented in Komárek & Anagnostidis (2005). Moreover, these authors point out that this species is found in cold waters, which is interesting, since this species was found during the cold months of operating the ATSTM in southeastern Pennsylvania. The diacritical morphological features of this species are the tangled filaments, with yellowish, lamellated sheaths. These features were found in this species, and distinguish it from any other species similar in size.

Distribution: Muddy Run ATSTM

Phormidium tergestinum (Kützing) Anagnostidis et Komárek, 1988

Basionym: Oscillatoria tergestina Kützing, (1836)

(*Plate 4, A-B*)

Thallus thin, mucilaginous, sheaths (0.5 μ m wide) occur facultatively. Trichomes solitary or tangled, usually straight, sometimes irregularly bent, not constricted at crosswalls; cells 5-6 μ m wide, 2.5-4.5 μ m long, 1.2-2 times wider than long; cell-content greyblue-green, homogenous; cross-walls (very) granulated, sometimes translucent; apical cells cylindrical to rounded, not attenuated, with or without thickened cell wall.

Phormidium tergestinum was originally described as *Oscillatoria tergestina* Kützing. The studied specimens agree with the description of *Oscillatoria tenuis* Agardh var. *tergestina* Rabenhorst presented by Gomont (1892) [4-6 µm wide], who described this species primarily as benthic, then later planktonic, since the populations were observed forming part of benthic/periphytic mats.

Distribution: Muddy Run ATSTM

OSCILLATORIACEAE

Lyngbya giuseppei F.E.Drouet, (1942)

(*Plate 4, C-D*)

Filaments usually straight, rarely flexuous; 14 μ m; sheath thin, colorless, not lamellate; trichomes (8-)10.5-13 μ m wide, not constricted at the cross-walls. Cells 2.5-3.5 long; cellular content finely granular, blue-green; cross-walls ungranulated; apical cell rounded with a thickened outer wall, capitate.

Drouet (1942) described *L. giuseppei* from periphyton growing in New York and Minnesota, having trichomes from 5 to 10 μ m wide. Although, specimens found in this study resemble the original description for *L. giuseppei*, they have wider filaments. McGregor (2007) also found wider filaments [9-12.5) μ m wide] of what he identified as *Lyngbya* cf. *giuseppei* in Capalaba Wetlands, Queensland, Australia. Besides these studies, this species has not been readily found, demonstrating its rare occurrence, or that it has been overlooked in taxonomic surveys.

This cyanobacterium was found growing among other cyanobacteria (*Oscillatoria* and *Lyngbya* spp.) in dense periphytic mats in this study. It was difficult to find forming single-species strands, and separating it into unialgal cultures due to contamination of a finer *Lyngbya*. McGregor (2007) also observed this species among other algae, and noted that this species rarely formed large single-species mats.

Due to the morphometric similarity, specimens from this study lie within the length/width ratio of the type specimen, thus were identified as *Lyngbya giuseppei*.

Distribution: Muddy Run ATSTM

Lyngbya major Meneghini ex Gomont, (1892)

(*Plate 3, E*)

Filaments solitary, in small clusters or mats, usually straight, 15-17 μ m wide; sheath firm, thick (1-3 μ m wide), colorless, sometimes lamellate; Trichomes (10-)12.5-13 μ m wide, not constricted at cross-walls. Cells 2-2.6(-4) μ m long, cellular content blue-green with finely granulated cross-walls; apical cell capitate, rounded, slightly attenuated, sometimes with a thickened outer wall, calyptra absent.

The population studied falls within the metric and morphological limits of the description presented by Gomont (1892). Moreover, the cell length:width ratio is similar to that presented by the author of the species, defending the identification of the species as *L. major*.

Gomont (1892), Geitler (1932), and Desikachary (1959) found this species to present blue-green coloration in its cells; however, Santa'Anna & Azevedo (1995) found that this species could be blue-green and/or brownish. In the present study, the cells were found to be blue-green.

Lyngbya major resembles *L. majuscula* Harvey *ex* Gomont, but they differ basically due to cell dimensions. *L. majuscula* has wider filaments and trichomes [16-60

 μ m, normally 20-40 μ m (Gomont, 1892)] and a larger cell width:length ratio. Besides, the cross-walls in *L. major* are granulated, while in *L. majuscula* they are not.

Lyngbya major has been cited occurring in several different environments, such as stagnant waters, puddles, tanks, on mud, humid soils, and in thermal waters (Gomont, 1892; Geitler, 1932; Desikachary, 1959; Komárek & Anagnostidis, 2005).

Distribution: Muddy Run ATSTM

Lyngbya martensiana Meneghini ex Gomont (1892)

(*Plate 2, D; Plate 3, B-C*)

Filaments solitary, straight or flexuous, or forming mats of entangled filaments, 10-12 μ m wide; sheath firm, thick, sometimes lamellate, colorless, outside rough, 1.5-4 μ m thick; trichomes not attenuated, not constricted, 8-10.5 μ m thick; cells 2-3.5 μ m long; cell content blue-green; cross-walls granulated; apical cells rounded, without thickened outer wall.

In the specimens from this study, the morphological characters, as well as the metric variations of the analyzed specimens, agree with those described by Gomont (1892) for *Lyngbya martensiana*. Interestingly, the original material found by Gomont (1892) was collected in thermal springs (Italy and Guadeloupe); the populations analyzed here were found in the heated water discharge canal at the Peach Bottom Nuclear Power Plant.

This species has been recorded for other ecosystems in temperate, tropical, and subtropical zones. According to Frémy (1930) and Geitler (1932), *Lyngbya martensiana*

can develop in lentic and lotic environments, though rarely in marine ecosystems; it has also been observed growing on moist soils. Geitler (1932) considered the species cosmopolitan, also shown by Komárek & Anagnostidis (2005) and McGregor (2007).

Distribution: Peach Bottom ATSTM

Lyngbya nigra Agardh ex Gomont (1892)

(*Plate 3, D*)

Filaments in mats, straight, 14 μ m wide; sheath thin, colorless, not lamellated; trichomes 9-12.5 μ m wide, not constricted at cross-walls. Cells 2.5-3.5 μ m long, cellular content blue-green to dark blue-green, finely granular, cross-walls not granulated. Apical cells rounded-conical, calyptra present.

Most of the studied specimens were within the metric limits of the original description of Gomont (1892). However, specimens from the Chesapeake Bay region were found to be a little wider.

The original description of *Lyngbya nigra* in Gomont (1892) presents trichomes from 8-11 μ m wide, however populations in this study presented widths of up to 12.5 μ m, similar to those found by McGregor (2007) whose northeastern Australian populations vary from 8-12(-14) μ m. Due to the L:W ratio of the studied specimens here, as well as other diacritical characters, such as the apical cell presenting a calyptra, this specimen was identified as *L. nigra*.

This species resembles *Lyngbya hollerbachii* Elenkin, however, it differs in cell dimensions, where *L. hollerbachii* has larger filaments and trichomes (up to 20 µm wide),

as well as a larger width to length cell ratio (cells 1/3x as long as wide, 4-5 µm wide) (Komárek & Anagnostidis, 2005). In addition, the apical cell of *L. hollerbachii* is capitate, but has no calyptra.

Distribution: Muddy Run ATSTM

Lyngbya salina Kützing ex Starmach (1966)

(*Plate 5, C*)

Filaments long, wavy, 12.5-14 μ m wide; sheath compact, colorless, lamellate; trichomes 11-12 μ m wide, not constricted at cross-walls. Cells 2-2.5(-3) μ m long, cellular content bright blue-green. Apical cells rounded, sometimes with a thickened cell wall present, calyptra absent

This species was abundant at both the VIMS and Wicomico ATSTM floways, and produced high biomass at certain times of the year. The metric limits of the morphological characters are in agreement to those reported in literature (eg. Komárek & Anagonistidis, 2005).

Distribution: Great Wicomico ATSTM, VIMS ATSTM

Oscillatoria curviceps Agardh ex Gomont (1892)

Trichomes solitary or in small clusters, \pm straight with a hooked/bent end, not or slightly attenuated, 11-16 µm wide, not constricted at cross-walls; cells 3-5.5 µm long; cell content dark blue-green, homogenous, cross-walls sometimes with granules; apical cells flat-rounded, not capitate, sometimes with thickened cell wall.

Oscillatoria curviceps differs from other closely related species by its hooked or bent ends. This morphological feature clearly distinguishes it from other species of the genus. The metric limits agree with those presented other authors (Komárek & Anagonistidis, 2005; McGregor, 2007) from locations worldwide. In the Muddy Run ATSTM, it was found among other algae, in the periphyton, which is similar to shallow waters of its natural habitat reported in literature.

Peculiarly, *O. curviceps* is cited for stagnant waters, which is not a character of the algal turf system, however, there were occasions in which the system would form very slow moving currents due to dips in its construction and in these slow moving areas was where this organism was found.

Distribution: Muddy Run ATSTM

Oscillatoria froelichii Kützing ex Gomont (1892)

(*Plate 5, A-B*)

Trichomes solitary, straight, slightly attenuated, 7.5-8.5 μ m wide, not constricted at cross-walls; cells 2-3 μ m long; cell content blue-green, homogenous, cross-walls sometimes with granules; apical cells with distinct, hyaline, rounded to hemispherical calyptra.

The original type material of *Oscillatoria froelichii* ranges from (15-)18-21 μ m, which is much wider than the studied populations of the project. However, Komárek & Anagonistidis (2005) state that specimens with trichomes only 8-9 μ m wide have also been described for this species. Further, these authors suggest that the validity of these

narrower filaments with the type should be proven in the future. Since narrower filaments have been described for this species, and the diacritical character is the formation of a distinct, hyaline, rounded to hemispherical calyptra, the population studied is maintained as *Oscillatoria froelichii*, with the hope that future studies will be able to confirm if these wider and narrower filaments are in fact the same taxon.

Distribution: Muddy Run ATSTM

Oscillatoria limosa Agardh ex Gomont (1892)

(*Plate 5, D-E*)

Trichomes solitary, straight, sometimes quickly attenuated, slighty constricted, (7-)8.1-9 µm wide; cells 2.3-4.5 times shorter than long, 2-3.5 µm long; cell content blue-green, homogenous, cross-walls sometimes with granules; apical cells rounded, sometimes with a thickened outer wall.

According to Gomont (1892) the width of *Oscillatoria limosa* ranges from 11 to 20 μ m (usually 13-16 μ m). In the population studied, trichomes with a smaller width were found [(7-)8.1-9 μ m].

Most other authors have found narrower trichome widths than originally described by Gomont (1892) (Tilden 1910; Frémy, 1930; Geitler, 1932; Desikachary, 1959; Franceschini, 1992; McGregor, 2007). The narrower trichomes are similar to the populations in this study. Despite the differences regarding cell width and cell length, the L:W ratio and morphological characteristics agree with the type presented in literature, justifying the present identification. *Oscillatoria limosa* can develop in fresh and brackish water as well as on mud (Gomont, 1892; Settchel & Gardner, 1903; Geitler, 1932), and is considered cosmopolitan (Fjerdingstad, 1971).

Distribution: Muddy Run ATSTM

Oscillatoria princeps Vaucher ex Gomont (1892)

Trichomes solitary, straight, or slightly curved at the ends, $30-45 \ \mu m$ wide, not constricted at cross-walls, not attenuated; cells $3.5-6 \ \mu m$ long; cell content blue green; cross-walls not granulated; apical cell widely rounded, sometimes slightly capitate and calyptrate.

The morphometric characteristics of the studied specimens agree with the traits for this species (Gomont, 1892; Geitler, 1932; Sant'Anna & Azevedo, 1995; Komárek & Anagnostidis, 2005, McGregor, 2007). *Oscillatoria princeps* is commonly found in periphyton, forming thick dark-green mats. According to Gomont (1892) the species is first found in the benthos, then capable of floating free in the plankton as it matures.

Distribution: Muddy Run ATSTM

Oscillatoria sancta Kützing ex Gomont (1892)

(*Plate 6, A-C*)

Trichomes solitary, flexuous, straight, or sometimes slightly curved, constricted, 11-12 µm wide; cells discoid, 1.5-2.5 µm long; cell content blue-green or olive-green,

granulose; cross walls granulated; apical cells rounded to truncate-rounded, sometimes capitate, with a thickened outer cell wall.

The floway specimens agree with the description of *Oscillatoria sancta* presented by Gomont (1892). The only difference is the type of habitat. Gomont (1892) typified a species that occurred in tanks, on soil or moist rocks, while the material in this study was found in the hot water discharge from Peach Bottom Atomic Nuclear Power Plant. Komárek & Anagnostidis (2005) report that the species can be found in thermal springs, especially in those of lower temperatures. According to Geitler (1932), the species can also be found in stagnant or flowing-waters, free-floating, or on soils or rocks. Desikachary (1959) reports that *O. sancta* can develop in fresh- and brackish lakes, planktonic or epiphytic.

Distribution: Peach Bottom ATSTM

Conclusions

The floristic study on periphytic Oscillatoriales demonstrated that our knowledge on this cyanobacterial flora in the Chesapeake Bay is truly scarce. Most of these species had not been previously found in the region, either overlooked or not inventoried. Understanding the periphyton becomes pertinent in understanding further works of water quality and how potential climate change is affecting the Chesapeake Bay area. This study is seen as a baseline for further studies on periphytic cyanobacteria in the region using integrated morphological and molecular methods.



Figure *4.1*. Map of the study area: (+) Muddy Run ATSTM, (**x**) Peach Bottom ATSTM, (*) Great Wicomico ATSTM, and (•) VIMS ATSTM.



Plate 1. A-D. Komvophoron minutum, E-F. Geitlerinema amphibium.


Plate 2. A. Planktolyngbya limnetica, B. Pseudanabaena galeata, C. Pseudanabaena limnetica, D. Lyngbya martensiana, E. Phormidium autumnale.



Plate 3. A. Phormidium retzii, B-C. Lyngbya martensiana, D. Lyngbya nigra, E. Lyngbya major.



Plate 4. A-B. Phormidium tergestinum, C-D. Lyngbya giuseppei.



Plate 5. A-B. Oscillatoria froelichii, C. Lyngbya salina, D-E. Oscillatoria limosa.



Plate 6. A-C. Oscillatoria sancta.



Plate 7. A-C. Pseudanabaena lonchoides.

Subchapter 2. *Taxonomic revision of the freshwater periphytic* 'Pseudanabaena' *and its elevation to* Ilyonema (*Anagnostidis et Komárek*) *comb. nova*

Introduction

Cyanobacteria are a dominant component of the plankton of several freshwater, brackish, and marine environments, and receive attention, especially when forming toxigenic blooms (Carvalho et al., 2008; Werner & Laughinghouse, 2009; Laughinghouse et al., 2012). However, the diversity of cyanobacteria surpasses the large bloom-forming species, and little attention is given to thin benthic and/or periphytic homocytic filamentous taxa. The potential existence of a larger biodiversity than currently described is well accepted in the cyanobacterial community, and especially demonstrated by the amount of novel taxa and systematic revisions currently being published (i.e. Zapomelová et al., 2009; Bohunická et al., 2011; Johansen et al., 2011; Perkerson et al., 2011; Strunecky et al., 2011; Kling et al., 2012; Werner et al., 2012). Understanding the true diversity of these organisms is vital for any downstream ecological work, especially when trying to interpret biogeographic patterns in these organisms and/or how they are affected with global climate change.

The genus *Pseudanabaena* Lauterborn, revised by Anagnostidis & Komárek (Anagnostidis & Komárek, 1988; Komárek, 2003), is morphologically variable (Romo & Miracle, 1994). To ascribe the morphological variability within this genus, Anagnostidis & Komárek (1988) erected three subgenera: *Pseudanabaena, Skujanema*, and *Ilyonema* within the genus, the latter (*Ilyonema*), containing benthic species, with cylindrical cells, rounded-conical terminal cell, and with the diacritical character of terminal gas vesicles.

These authors also foresaw that further revisions and transfers would be necessary to the genus, as systematic science progressed. The genus *Pseudanabaena* has been found closely related, both genetically and morphologically, to the genus *Limnothrix* Meffert, whose main diacritical marker separating it from the genus *Pseudanabaena* is the ability of forming gas vesicles and deep constrictions between the cells (Acinias et al., 2009). Furthermore, this similarity has been attributed between the planktonic subgenus *Pseudanabaena* (genus *Pseudanabaena*) and genus *Limnothrix* (Kling et al., 2012).

Acinias et al. (2009) noted that some planktonic morphotypes belonging to the genus *Pseudanabaena* form a monophyletic cluster, and this cluster in the phylogenetic tree (Figure 1) contains both members of *Pseudanabaena* and *Limnothrix*. Several authors have cited the genus *Pseudanabaena* as being heterogenous and/or polyphyletic (Anagnostidis & Komárek, 1988; Komárek & Anagnostidis, 2005; Kling et al., 2012), though no exhaustive attempts to resolve these systematic problems have been published up to date.

The aim of this study is to describe a novel cyanobacterial branch within the morphological and ecological limits of *Ilyonema* (=*Pseudanabaena*) *lonchoides* (Anagnostidis) comb. nova in the subgenus *Ilyonema* of the genus *Pseudanabaena*, while proposing to officially elevate *Ilyonema* to generic status due to (a) unique morphological character(s), ecology, phylogenetic placement, and evolutionary history.

Materials and Methods

Material. Ilyonema (=*Pseudanabaena*) *lonchoides* was found during routine analyses of periphytic samples from an algal turf scrubber system (ATSTM – see descriptions of ATSTM in Adey et al., 2011) receiving water from the discharge canal at the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station situated on the banks of the Susquehanna River in Delta, Pennsylvania, USA (39.753129, -76.261082). The morphometric analyses of samples from nature, fixed material, and strains were undertaken using an Olympus BX50 binocular light microscope with an attached Canon Rebel EOS Rebel T1i digital camera for photography. Thirty individuals and 20 to 30 measurements of each were analyzed for each individual. Photographs and/or morphometric delimitations are presented.

Culture. Subsamples of natural material were isolated into BG-11 growth media. These are maintained in culture collection at the Molecular Microbial Ecology Laboratory of the Institute of Marine and Environmental Technology – University of Maryland Center for Environmental Sciences (IMET-UMCES), Baltimore, MD, USA, at ambient light and temperature.

Genomic DNA Extraction, PCR Amplification, and Sequencing. Total genomic DNA was isolated following a maceration/boiling technique described in Kling et al. (2012), using 2 ml of fresh culture.

Partial 16S rRNA was amplified using the oligonucleotide primers CYA106F (5'-CGG ACG GGT GAG TAA CGC GTG-3') and CYA781R (5'-GAC TAC AGG GGT ATC TAA TCC-3') (Nübel et al. 1997). A 50 µl reaction for each sample was performed on a MJ Research PYC-200 Thermo Cycler, with the following conditions: 1) 94 °C for 5 min, 2) 94 °C for 60 sec, 3) 55 °C for 60 sec, 4) 72 °C for 60 sec, 5) repeat steps 2-4 for 35 cycles, 6) 72 °C for 10 min, then 7) 4 °C, indefinitely. A 1% agarose gel was used to verify amplified products. Direct sequencing of the PCR product was undertaken with the primer CYA106F.

The Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) was used for locating OTUs similar to the sequences obtained. Sixty-four sequences were obtained from NCBI after performing BLAST.

After obtaining sequences from NCBI, they were aligned using MAFFT version 6 (Katoh & Toh, 2008), then were manually refined considering conserved regions using SeaView version 4 (Gouy et al., 2010). For construction of the phylogenetic trees, substitution models for nucleotide evolution were determined using jModeltest (Guindon & Gascuel, 2003; Posada, 2008). Under the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), the TIM3+gamma model was used for the 16S rRNA. Two trees were constructed on this genetic marker. The software MrBayes v3.2 (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003) was used for determining Bayesian inference. A Dirichlet (1,1,1,1,1) prior was utilized for substitution rate parameters, in addition to a uniform prior (0,1) for the pinvar parameter. A uniform (0, 200) prior was set on the gamma shape parameters and for branch lengths, an unconstrained: Exponential (10) prior. Two runs of four chains (three heated and one cold) were run for 5 x 10^6 generations, sampling every 100 trees. In each run, the first 25% of samples were discarded as the burn-in phase. Maximum Likelihood (ML) was

determined using MEGA 5 (Tamura et al., 2011). Statistical analyses of tree topologies were carried out by performing bootstrap analysis with 1,000 pseudoreplicates.

Results and Discussion

Nuclear power plant discharge canals have been shown to increase chlorophyll *a* in rivers, partially due to high temperatures which increases bacterial activity and nutrient recycling, and partially due to selection for higher temperature species (Agha et al., 2012). Here, it was found that the high temperatures from the nuclear discharge canal at Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station also provide optimal conditions for the massive proliferation of benthic and periphytic cyanobacteria on the algal turf scrubbers (ATSTM). This two-unit nuclear generation facility located in SE Pennsylvania on the Susquehanna River is composed of boiling water reactors which use water from the Susquehanna River to cool the reactors.

Within this periphytic matrix a peculiar oscillatorian species was found, which had not been encountered previously in regional floristic studies. This was isolated and cultured. Morphologically, this species resembled taxa within the subgenus *Ilyonema* of the genus *Pseudanabaena* (Anagnostidis & Komárek, 1988), which are recognized as benthic or periphytic, with cylindrical intercalary cells, rounded-conical terminal cells, whose diacritical morphological character is the capability of forming terminal gas vesicles (Figure 2C). Komárek & Anagnostidis (2005) state that there are no clear limits between the genera *Limnothrix* and *Pseudanabaena*, and comment that this similarity is between the subgenus *Ilyonema* and the genus *Limnothrix*. Kling et al. (2012), while describing *Pseudanabaena rutilus-viridis* Kling, Laughinghouse IV & Komárek,

demonstrate that the phylogenetic similarity between these two groups are found between the subgenus *Pseudanabaena* and *Limnothrix*, which can also be interpreted by results presented in a study by Acinias et al. (2009) and the present study.

The two phylograms for the 16S rRNA undertaken in this study (Bayesian and maximum likelihood (ML) were similar in topology. *Ilyonema* (=*Pseudanabaena*) *lonchoides* does not fall within the clade of the genus *Pseudanabaena* (subgenus *Pseudanabaena*), but forms its own separate smaller clade with generic entities that have not been identified to the species-level. However, strain ANT.BFI.1 was re-examined morphologically and this material demonstrates similar morphology at the generic *Ilyonema*-level with that proposed in this study. It is known that caution should be used when obtaining data from GenBank (Kling et al., 2012), and several researchers believe that roughly 50% of the names of cyanobacterial taxa deposited in GenBank are incorrect (B. Whitton & J. Komárek, pers. commun.), and the present analysis demonstrates that there are both polyphyletic taxa with putative incorrect taxa names on strains.

Morphologically, the species found in this study could not be *Oscillatoria* since *Oscillatoria* is a well-defined genus with trichomes from 8-45 µm wide, with discoid cells, and no aerotopes. The genus *Leptolyngbya* is thin, similar to *Pseudanabaena* however, *Leptolyngbya* is characterized by the absence of gas vesicles and the presence of a thin- to thicker mucilaginous sheath. Also, genetically, the *Leptolyngbya boryana* clade demonstrates the phylogeny of true-*Leptolyngbya*, though recent studies have shown that some *Leptolyngbya*-like species actually belong to novel entities (Johansen et al., 2011; Perkerson et al., 2011), and our taxon does not cluster within this clade.

Pseudanabaena subgenus Pseudanabaena forms a larger Limnothrix/

Pseudanabaena clade with the type-species of *Limnothrix*, *L. redekei* (van Goor) Meffert, which can be seen in the 16S rRNA phylogenetic trees (Figure 1), and with what is understood as the 'true' *Pseudanabaena* (Acinias et al., 2009; Kling et al., 2012). Interestingly, *Ilyonema* (=*Pseudanabaena*) *lonchoides* is a novel branch in a small clade with other taxa, named *Leptolyngbya* sp. and *Oscillatoria* sp., though ML support values are low. This analysis demonstrates that it is not the subgenus *Ilyonema* that is most closely related to *Limnothrix*, as stated by Komárek & Anagnostidis (2005), but in fact the subgenus *Pseudanabaena*.

Description

Ilyonema (Anagnostidis et Komárek) Laughinghouse, Kling et Kangas, comb. nova Basionym: *Pseudanabaena* Lauterborn (1915) subgenus *Ilyonema* Anagnostidis et Komárek (1988)

Description: Trichomes in benthos, periphytic, or metaphytic in fine mats, usually straight or slightly waved, constricted at the cross-walls; trichomes usually long (350-400 celled) sometimes short (15-celled). Cells cylindrical, thin ($< 3.5 \mu$ m), with rounded ends, 2-6x longer than wide. Terminal cells conical to pointed, with the presence of terminal aerotopes (on the end not attached to the substrate).

Type species: Pseudanabaena biceps Böcher (1946)

Ilyonema lonchoides (Anagnostidis) Laughinghouse, Kling et Kangas, comb. nova

(Fig. 2A-C)

Trichomes solitary or in fine, delicate mats, straight or flexuous, deeply constricted at the cross-walls; cells cylindrical, 0.9-1.3 μ m wide, 3.8-6 μ m long usually (3.2)3.8-6x longer than wide, granules absent; cell content blue-grey; apical cell rounded to conical, sometimes with refractive granule (terminal gas vesicle?).

Occurrence: Heated discharge water canal (38 $^{\circ}C - 40 ^{\circ}C$) at the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, York County, SE Pennsylvania on the Susquehanna River.

Nomenclatural revision of Ilyonema species:

With this study, we recommend that all previous *Pseudanabaena* species belonging to the subgenus *Ilyonema* be transferred to the newly elevated genus *Ilyonema*. The present work demonstrates that the genus *Pseudanabaena* is polyphyletic and that the subgenera *Pseudanabaena* and *Ilyonema* form distinct clades. Further work is still needed in the genus *Pseudanabaena 'lato sensu'*, and future confusion will persist until the systematic placements of the taxa are completely understood, especially among the other subgenera.

- The genus *Ilyonema* has a unique phylogenetic placement (unique clade), in the 16S rRNA gene tree.
- Trichomes are isopolar, constrictions at cross-walls, without sheaths.
 Presence of gas vesicles in terminal cells and/or at cross-walls is a diactrical characteristic.
- Occurrence of this genus is in benthic, periphytic, and/or metaphytic environments, with trichomes up to 3µm wide.

Ilyonema amphigranulata (van Goor) Laughinghouse et Kling, comb. nova – Basionym: *Oscillatoria amphigranulata* van Goor (1918)

Ilyonema batrachospermorum (Skuja) Laughinghouse et Kling, comb. nova – Basionym: Oscillatoria batrachospermorum Skuja (1961)

Ilyonema biceps (Böcher) Laughinghouse et Kling, comb. nova – Basionym:

Pseudanabaena biceps Böcher (1946)

Ilyonema galeata (Böcher) Laughinghouse et Kling, comb. nova – Basionym:

Pseudanabaena galeata Böcher (1949)

Ilyonema lonchoides (Anagnostidis) Laughinghouse, Kling et Kangas, comb. nova –

Basionym: Pseudanabaena lonchoides Anagnostidis (1961)

Ilyonema papillaterminata (Kiselev) Laughinghouse et Kling, comb. nova -

Basionym: Phormidium papillaterminata Kiselev (1927)

Ilyonema thermalis (Anagnostidis) Laughinghouse et Kling, comb. nova – Basionym: *Pseudanabaena lonchoides* Anagnostidis (1961)

Ilyonema ulula (Welsh) Laughinghouse et Kling, comb. nova – Basionym:

Anabaenella ulula Welsh (1964)

Uncertain due to subaerophytic habitat

Pseudanabaena skujae Claus (1962)

Pseudanabaena spelaea Anagnostidis (2001)







Figure 4.3. A-C. *Ilyonema lonchoides* comb. nova. A-C. LM images. C. Detail of terminal refractive granule.

Chapter 5: Final Conclusions

Algal Turf Communities

In Chapter 1, a model (Fig. 1.1) was introduced of the colonization process of an algal turf community on an ATSTM from a 'species-pool' through a filter (plastic screen), self-organizing into the ATSTM community studied throughout this project. With the data and knowledge acquired from this study, it was found that this model was too simplistic.

The updated diagram (Figure 5.1) depicts a more accurate conception of how source communities pass through filters and are found on the algal turf of the floways. In this diagram, both the filamentous species and single-celled (a.k.a. solitary) species are separated as distinct source communities, since these have separate filter pressures acting on each. The filamentous species can physically attach to the system with a holdfast or mucilage; hence those found on ATSTM floways are pre-adapted evolutionarily to attach to the ATSTM. Only select filamentous species colonize the ATSTM environment. The single-celled species have another filter pressure, where they can physically sediment into the turf environment of the ATSTM from the plankton, though being unattached. Furthermore, the filamentous complex, itself, facilitates this entrapment (depicted in Fig 5.1 by the returning arrow), where microzones and/or microhabitats can form specific niches with different microcurrents, nutrient regimes, pH, etc., favoring the growth of these unattached species. In addition, the mucopolysaccharide materials secreted by the algae (and associated heterotrophic bacteria) from this filamentous colonization can also segregate certain solitary species from the thin lamina of water, which flows through the turf community. An ultimate filter is the harvest, where the filamentous species have to maintain attached or solitary species have to re-colonize after each harvest.



Figure *5.1.* 'Updated' self-organization of a community from a species pool. Not all species are able to pass through the filters and become part of the ATSTM community.

The dissertation began in Chapter 1 with explaining how the ATS[™] was composed of a periphytic community, defined as the 'complex community of microbiota composed of bacteria, fungi, algae, animals, and inorganic/organic detritus that live attached to live or dead submerged substrates' (Wetzel, 1983). However, the term periphyton itself, has a long history and is a little controversial, since authors working with the subject have slight variations/interpretations of what they consider periphyton, from just a few centimeters of biofilm to also including larger benthic algae in the overall matrix (Hoagland et al., 1982; Burgis & Morris, 1987; Steinman, 1996; Wetzel, 2001). With the insights gained from the studies undertaken in Chapter 2, it was found that only 11% (± 6.8) of species diversity and 16% (± 11.53) of cell abundance were composed of those taxa known to be periphytic (or metaphytic). In fact, the ATSTM community is dominated by benthic and epiphytic (or epilithic/epipelic/episammic) taxa, in both species diversity and cell abundance. The high diversity of benthic taxa is due to most of the diatoms being single-celled benthic taxa, but, interestingly, only \approx 52% (± 4) of the algal abundance on the ATSTM is due to filamentous species; thus these solitary (or colonial and chain-forming) species are also a significant component of the relative abundance, thus overall biomass. This is critical to recognize for improving production materials during construction that can facilitate higher biomass yields for these applied systems.

The source-community study at VIMS (Chapter 2) also indicated that the ATSTM community algae are largely derived from the epiphytes of macroalgae, which they inhabit, since 40% of the taxa were shared between these habitats. Therefore, the algal turf scrubber could be referred to as an 'epiphyte' turf scrubber, with the idea that those algae which live as epiphytes in the surrounding areas are transported through the water column, colonizing the ATSTM. In this novel environment, they are able to rapidly grow and form the turf communities, common of the ATSTM. Research has demonstrated that epiphytes have higher organic productivity than other plant communities⁴, and Wetzel (2001) presented that this community can be up to 6 times more efficient than phytoplankton (see Wetzel, 2001, for more information). For floway construction, this is extremely important since it acknowledges that knowing what is growing in the algal

⁴Plant communities is used here in a broad term considering forests, herbs/annuals, macrophytes, epiphytes, and phytoplankton.

communities around the ATSTM is vital for realizing what will potentially colonize the system. With this understanding, future locations to install ATSTM floways can be chosen where there are more potential 'colonizers' from the source waters (i.e., which can potentially colonize the screen substrate) critical when investing private capital and/or public funds to potentially scale up this technology to thousands of acres to remediate large bodies of water.

Taxonomy/Systematics in applied studies

The manner in which people understand the functioning of machines, animals, plants, nature, atoms, etc., or anything unknown is by dividing them into their 'building-blocks' to then understand how everything functions together. Thus, to understand nature as a whole it can be divided into its 'parts', then pieced together to understand the functions.

A science that undertakes this task is Systematics where over time, and with novel information, it looks to find the exact positioning of organisms in a natural order. This science, when compared to other areas, is many times second due to budget constraints, thoughts of it being 'out of style', not containing spectacular findings which will bring large investments and funding, in addition to the idea that this science is only a question of 'giving names'. However, Taxonomy (Systematics) is much more than a set of nomenclatural rules or possessive obsession to classify or identify an organism. Senna & Magrin (1999) claim that taxonomy is the base of all serious and organized scientific knowledge, arguing that without first knowing an organism exists, all subsequent studies

on that organism cannot be undertaken. If this importance were more emphasized, there would probably be more taxonomists and systematists and students dedicated to this area, with fewer threats on the 'future of taxonomy' (Wilson, 2000; Blackmore, 2002; Wheeler et al., 2004).

What exactly is taxonomy? Taxonomy is the science that deals with the identification, nomenclature, and classification of objects in biological nature (Lawrence, 1973). Identification consists in recognizing that a taxon is identical or similar to one already known, using specific literature, herbaria (nomenclatural types), photographs, and/or drawings. Nomenclature refers to the correct determination of a name according to the nomenclatural system, which is updated periodically. Finally, classification deals with placing the botanical entity or entities in categories according to the rules proposed in the *International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants* (Lawrence, 1973).

Taxonomy and systematics are vital for understanding biodiversity on Earth. However, is it important in applied systems such as the ATSTM? Returning to the previous comment, without knowing what exists in these systems, recommendations to improve the design of systems conforming to the specificities to the taxa that there grow are only speculative. Taxonomic variations of the algae which grow on these systems are capable of giving insights to differing physichochemical patterns on longitudinal scales. Furthermore, since different species have different physiologies, their biochemistries are different and are capable of producing different potential by-products (Adey et al., under review). During the study, utilizing taxonomical practices, source communities to these systems were able to be described as well as explain putative dynamics occurring on longitudinal scales.. Furthermore, taxonomy was key in helping with the design of a

three-dimensional screen system, since it was understood that chlorophytes did not contain high abundance, thus change had to be made to facilitate the attachment of the single-celled benthic and epiphytic diatoms which composed the majority of relative abundance and subsequent biomass (Adey et al., under review).

As seen in the taxonomic survey of Chapter 4, studying more thoroughly the diversity of Oscillatoriales demonstrated a hidden biodiversity that exists in the Chesapeake Bay area and on these applied systems. Putative novel taxa were found, and others that are in need of further investigation before describing their systematic position. Many species found had not yet been cited for the region, demonstrating a lack in understanding organisms found in the area, important in times of climate change when researchers are trying to understand what is happening to flora and fauna and predict what will happen in the future.

Future Directions

ATS[™] systems have been studied for over 20 years (Adey & Steneck, 1985; Adey & Hackney, 1988; Adey et al., 1993; 1996; 2011; Craggs et al., 1996; Small & Adey, 2001; Pizarro et al., 2006; Adey & Loveland, 2007; Mulbry, 2008), and during this process improvements have been made with findings from research undertaken on floways. While this dissertation gives an insight to the biodiversity of the microalgae growing on them, as is seen in many ecological studies, trying to understand the attribute and/or function of each species is difficult in holistic sense. Culture studies of the 'keyspecies' on these floways could help in understanding; however, a predicament of culture

studies is that how the algal entity functions in culture may not necessarily replicate the diverse floways.

To get a better insight on how the microalgae function and how metabolic routes can be optimized to obtain higher product yields, studies integrating metabolomics, genomics, transcriptomics, and/or proteomics should be carried out in the future, especially with the potential of using the ATSTM technology for remediating watersheds. Interestingly, ATSTM can be used as a model of lotic environments, thus integrating novel processes that can help in answering both basic science questions, while optimizing the engineering of the ATSTM. Since biodiversity can be high on these systems, it is important to characterize the biodiversity, and understand how this can change due to the various processes occurring on ATSTM. Characterizing and understanding ATSTM biodiversity allows for a fuller comprehension on the functioning of these applied systems, since each species has a role in the ATSTM algal community productivity.

Aspects of research, which also need to be expanded, are the diversity of heterotrophic bacteria and the processes they exert on the ATSTM. While analyzing samples, it is always seen that these bacteria exist and visually vary at different longitudinal scales and seasonally; however are there correlations in these processes? Since these bacteria are an integral part of the functioning of periphytic metabolism, research into characterizing these communities and the influence, which they exert on the floways, is central for upscaling.

Summary

Chapter 2.

- 218 taxa were found at Muddy Run, 120 at Great Wicomico, and 68 at VIMS.
- This high diversity of species at Muddy Run ATSTM can be attributed to the source communities for these systems. The species, which immigrated to colonize either system, originated from the lotic Susquehanna River or the lentic Muddy Run reservoir.
- Most species encountered were rare, since they only occurred one time in the sampling efforts.
- In estuarine sites, the diatom genera *Berkeleya* and *Melosira* and the green alga *Ulva* are the dominant "canopy" species that structure most of the sampled algal communities, while at freshwater sites *Melosira* and *Ulothrix* fill this role.
- On the VIMS ATSTM, 27% of the taxa were shared with the plankton, 21% were shared with the sediment, and 40% with the macroalgal epiphytes.
- The ATS floways in this study were dominated by diatom communities specialized to living on the benthos and by those organisms adapted to living attached to other substrata by mucilage (epiphytes), rather than periphyton and hard bottom algal turf communities.
- Of the studied sites, the most diverse was Muddy Run, followed by Wicomico then VIMS.
- In the freshwater systems, species of *Ulothrix* were dominant at the top of the floway, while *Ulva intestinalis* was encountered at the top of estuarine systems.

Cyanobacteria were abundant in fall at Wicomico due to the large amount of two taxa (*Gloethece* sp. and *Lyngbya salina*).

• In freshwater systems, *Spirogyra* and *Melosira varians* are the most dominant taxa found during the summer, while *Diatoma vulgare*, *Fragilaria capucina* and *Tabellaria flocculosa* are most abundant during the winter months.

Chapter 3.

- At Muddy Run, the ratio between nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) was about 8:1.
- In the aluminum floway, nitrogen and phosphorus uptake into biomass decreases longitudinally, while in the wood, there is an increase towards the middle, then subsequent decrease (p<0.05).
- The nutrient data indicated that there is a 'threshold' between the 0.5% and 1% slope for ATSTM floways to obtain significant differences in nutrient data.
- Higher concentrations of phosphorus, at the top section of the ATSTM, in addition to the faster current, could have favored the cyanobacterial mat at the this location.
- Most dominant species are generalist species, with only few taxa (nine) specialized to a determined section of the floway.
- (Muddy Run ATSTM) Longitudinally, there is a trend of species number (biodiversity) increasing from the top to the bottom of the floways.

Chapter 4.

• Periphytic Oscillatoriales is a group of Cyanobacteria that has been little studied in the Chesapeake Bay Region.

- Many of the taxa found in this study had, up to now, not been cited for the region.
- Studies utilizing gene markers on unique taxa, demonstrate that the degree of diversity is higher than solely based on phenotypic characters.
- Periphytic *Pseudanabaena* form a separate cluster than their planktonic counterparts, indicating the need to separate these two genera.

Appendices

A-1. Natural history classification information for taxa found on the Muddy Run ATSTM aluminum floway.

| Species | Life Form | Habit | Attachment |
|---|-----------|--------------------|------------|
| Cyanobacteria | | | |
| Aphanocapsa holsatica | colony | planktonic | unattached |
| Bacularia vermicularis | colony | periphytic | mucilage |
| Chroococcus minor | colony | metaphytic | mucilage |
| Chroococcus minutus | colony | metaphytic | mucilage |
| Eucapsis parallelepipedon | colony | metaphytic | mucilage |
| Geitlerinema amphibium | filament | periphytic | mucilage |
| Leibleinia eniphytica | filament | eninhytic | mucilage |
| Leptolyngbya sp. | filament | periphytic | mucilage |
| Merismopedia tenuissima | colony | metaphytic | unattached |
| Oscillatoria curviceps | filament | periphytic | mucilage |
| Oscillatoria princeps | filament | benthic | mucilage |
| Phormidium autumnale | filament | periphytic | mucilage |
| Phormidium interruptum | filament | epilithic | mucilage |
| Phormidium lividum | filament | epilithic | mucilage |
| Phormidium retzii | filament | benthic/periphytic | mucilage |
| Phormidium stagninum | filament | benthic | mucilage |
| Planktolyngbya brevicellularis | filament | planktonic | unattached |
| Pseudanabaena galeata | filament | benthic/epiphytic | mucilage |
| Pseudanabaena limnetica | filament | benthic | mucilage |
| | | | |
| Dinophyta | | | |
| Peridinium sp. | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| 1 | 2 | * | |
| Chlorophyta | | | |
| Bulbochaete | filament | periphytic | holdfast |
| cf. Pseudocharacium sp. | solitary | epiphytic | holdfast |
| cf. Schroederia sp. | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Cladophora glomerata | filament | periphytic | holdfast |
| Closteriopsis acicularis var. acicularis | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Chlorella sp. | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Coelastrum astroideum | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Coelastrum cf. microporum | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Cosmarium cf. subtumidum var. subtumidum f. minor | solitary | metaphytic | unattached |
| Cosmarium formosulum | solitary | metaphytic | unattached |
| Cosmarium monomazum var. polymazum | solitary | metaphytic | unattached |
| Cosmarium sp. | solitary | metaphytic | unattached |
| Cosmarium vexatum var. lacustre | solitary | metaphytic | unattached |
| Desmodesmus balatonicus | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Desmodesmus communis | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Desmodesmus gutwinskii | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Desmodesmus intermedius | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Desmodesmus polyspinosus | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Desmodesmus sp. | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Diacanthos belenophorus | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Kirchneriella lunaris | colony | planktonic | unattached |
| Microspora floccosa | filament | periphytic | holdfast |

Microspora quadrata Monoraphidium arcuatum Monoraphidium contortum Monoraphidium griffithii Oedogonium cf. pluvioporum Pediastrum biradiatum Pediastrum boryanum Pediastrum duplex Pediastrum tetras Rhizoclonium hieroglyphicum Scenedesmus acuminatus Scenedesmus acutus Scenedesmus alternans Scenedesmus brevispina Scenedesmus dimorphus Scenedesmus linearis Scenedesmus sp. Sphaerocystis schroeteri Spirogyra cf. rivularis Staurastrum alternans Stigeoclonium tenue Tetraedron caudatum Ulothrix cf. tenerrima Ulothrix cf. variabilis Ulothrix zonata Uronema elongatum

Bacillariophyta

Amphora libyca Amphora sp. Aulacoseira cf. granulata Brachysira brebissonii Cocconeis sp. Stephanocyclus meneghiana Cymbella cf. affine Cymbella cf. parva Cymbella cistula Cymbella lanceolata Cymbella spp. Cymbella tumida Cymbopleura

Diademsis cf. contenta Diatoma ehrenbergii Diatoma monoliformis Diatoma tenuis Diatoma vulgare Encyonema cf. caespitum Encyonema minutum Encyonema prostraturm Encyonema sp. Fragilaria capucina Fragilaria vaucheriae Frustulia sp.

filament solitary solitary solitary filament coenobia coenobia coenobia coenobia filament coenobia coenobia coenobia coenobia coenobia coenobia coenobia colonv filament colony filament colony filament filament filament filament solitary solitary chain solitary solitary solitary/chain solitary solitary solitary solitary solitary solitary solitary solitary/colony colony colony colony colony colony colony colony colony

periphytic planktonic planktonic planktonic epiphytic metaphytic metaphytic metaphytic metaphytic periphytic planktonic planktonic planktonic planktonic planktonic planktonic planktonic planktonic planktonic metaphytic periphytic planktonic periphytic periphytic periphytic epiphytic epilithic epilithic planktonic epipelic/benthic epiphytic planktonic

epiphytic

epiphytic

epiphytic

epiphytic

epiphytic

epiphytic

walls

benthic

benthic

benthic

benthic

benthic

benthic

benthic

benthic

benthic

planktonic

planktonic

moist soils/wet

holdfast unattached unattached unattached holdfast unattached unattached unattached unattached holdfast unattached holdfast unattached holdfast holdfast holdfast holdfast mucilage mucilage unattached

unattached mucilage pad unattached stalk stalk stalk stalk stalk stalk epipelic/benthic unattached unattached mucilage pad mucilage pad mucilage pad mucilage pad tubes tubes tubes tubes unattached unattached tubes

colony

colony

solitary

| Gomphonema cf. angustatum | solitary | epiphytic | stalk |
|------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Gomphonema cf. brasiliense | solitary | epiphytic | stalk |
| Gomphonema truncatum | solitary | epiphytic | stalk |
| Gyrosigma cf. attenuatum | solitary | epiphytic | stalk |
| Gyrosigma sp. | solitary | epipelic | unattached |
| Melosira cf. italica | chain | benthic | unattached? |
| Melosira varians | chain | benthic | unattached? |
| Meridion cf. circulare | colony | epiphytic | mucilage pad |
| Navicula capitata | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Navicula cf. drouetiana | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Navicula cf. hungarica | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Navicula cryptocephala | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Navicula gregaria | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Navicula lanceolata | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Navicula rhynconcephala | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Navicula spp. | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Nitzschia angustatula | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Nitzschia brevissima | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Nitzschia paleacea | solitary | benthic/planktonic | mucilage pad |
| Nitzschia cf. radicula | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Nitzschia sigmoidea | solitary | epipelic | unattached |
| Nitzschia vermicularis | solitary | epipelic | unattached |
| Nitzschia dissipata | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Nitzschia linearis | solitary | epipelic | mucilage pad |
| Nitzschia spp. | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Rhoicosphenia abbreviata | solitary | benthic | stalk |
| Rhopalodia sp. | solitary | benthic | mucilage pad |
| Sellaphora sp. | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Surirella elgans f. elongata | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Surirella minuta | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Surirella sp | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Synedra formosa | solitary | epiphytic | mucilage pad |
| Synedra sp. | solitary | epiphytic | mucilage pad |
| Tabellaria cf. flocculosa | colony | epiphytic | mucilage pad |
| Tabellaria sp. | colony | epiphytic | mucilage pad |
| Thalassiosira sp. | solitary/chain | planktonic | unattached |
| Ulnaria ulna | solitary | epiphytic | mucilage pad |
| | | | |
| Chlamydophyta | | | |
| Chlamydomonas sp. | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| EUGLENOPHYCEAE | | | |
| Trachelomonas sp. | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| RAPHIDOPHYCEAE | | | |
| Colponema sp. | solitary | planktonic | unattached |

A-2. Natural history classification for the Muddy Run ATS^{TM} wood floway

| Species | Life Form | Habit | Attachment |
|--------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|------------|
| Cyanobacteria | | | |
| Aphanocapsa rivularis | colony | epilithic | mucilage |
| Aphanocapsa holsatica | colony | planktonic | unattached |
| Aphanothece sp. | colony | periphytic | mucilage |
| Asterocapsa | colony | epilithic | mucilage |
| Chroococcus minutus | colony | metaphytic | mucilage |
| Eucapsis carpatica | colony | metaphytic | mucilage |
| Leptolyngbya boryana | filament | metaphytic | mucilage |
| Leptolyngbya sp. | filament | metaphytic | mucilage |
| Leptolyngbya subtilis | filament | metaphytic/benthic | mucilage |
| Oscillatoria curviceps | filament | periphytic | mucilage |
| Oscillatoria princeps | filament | benthic | mucilage |
| Phormidium fonticolum | filament | epilithic | mucilage |
| Phormidium retzii | filament | benthic/periphytic | mucilage |
| Phormidium simplicissimum | filament | periphytic | mucilage |
| Planktolyngbya brevicellularis | filament | planktonic | unattached |
| Pseudanabaena galeata | filament | benthic/epiphytic | mucilage |
| Pseudanabaena limnetica | filament | benthic | mucilage |
| | | | - |
| CHLAMYDOPHYCEAE | | | |
| Pandorina sp. | colony | planktonic | unattached |
| | | | |
| Chlorophyta | | | |
| Chlorella sp. | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Cladophora glomerata | filament | periphytic | holdfast |
| Closterium cf. sublaterale | solitary | metaphytic | unattached |
| Closterium sp. | solitary | metaphytic | unattached |
| Coelastrum astroideum | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Coelastrum cf. cambricum | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Coelastrum cf. microporum | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Cosmarium cf. margaritatum | solitary | metaphytic | unattached |
| Cosmarium cf. pseudoconnatum | solitary | metaphytic | unattached |
| Cosmarium cf. pseudoexiguum | solitary | metaphytic | unattached |
| Cosmarium cf. sublobulatum | solitary | metaphytic | unattached |
| Cosmarium cf. trilobulatum | solitary | metaphytic | unattached |
| Cosmarium perforatum | solitary | metaphytic | unattached |
| Cosmarium sp. | solitary | metaphytic | unattached |
| Cylindrocapsa sp. | filament | periphytic | holdfast |
| Desmodesmus alternans | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Desmodesmus balatonicus | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Desmodesmus bicaudatus | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Desmodesmus communis | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Desmodesmus denticulatus | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Desmodesmus intermedius | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Desmodesmus opoliensis | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Desmodesmus polyspinosus | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Desmodesmus sp. | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Gloeocystis sp. | colony | planktonic | unattached |
| Hydrodictyon reticulatum | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Lagerheimia quadriseta | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Microspora floccosa | filament | periphytic | holdfast |

| Microspora quadrata | filament | periphytic | holdfast |
|-----------------------------|----------------|------------------|--------------|
| Microspora wittrockij | filament | periphytic | holdfast |
| Monoraphidium arcuatum | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Monoraphidium contortum | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Monoraphidium griffithii | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Netrium interrumptum | solitary | metanhytic | unattached |
| Notrium sp | solitary | motaphytic | unattached |
| Octogramium of pluvionorum | filemont | aninhytic | holdfast |
| Padiastrum biradiatum | coorobio | motorbytic | unattachad |
| Pediastrum borgenum | coenobia | metaphytic | unattached |
| Pediastrum durlay | coenobia | metaphytic | unattached |
| Pediastrum duplex | coenobia | metaphytic | unattached |
| Pediastrum simplex | coenobia | metaphytic | unattached |
| Oue dries error er | coellobla | nleaphytic | unattached |
| Quadricoccus sp. | colony | planktonic | unattached |
| Rhizocionium hierogiyphicum | filament | periphytic | noldfast |
| Scenedesmus acutus | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Scenedesmus alternans | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Scenedesmus arcuatus | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Scenedesmus cf. granulatus | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Scenedesmus cf. ovalternus | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Scenedesmus dimorphus | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Scenedesmus sp. | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Scenedesnus ecornis | coenobia | planktonic | unattached |
| Sphaerocystis schroeteri | colony | planktonic | unattached |
| Spirogyra cf. rivularis | filament | planktonic | unattached |
| Staurastrum alternans | colony | metaphytic | unattached |
| Stigeoclonium tenue | filament | periphytic | holdfast |
| Tetraedron caudatum | colony | planktonic | unattached |
| Ulothrix cf. variabilis | filament | periphytic | holdfast |
| Ulothrix zonata | filament | periphytic | holdfast |
| Uronema elongatum | filament | epiphytic | holdfast |
| EUGLENOPHYCEAE | | | |
| Lepocinclis cf. ovum | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Parmidium | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Trachelomonas sp. | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| | y | F | |
| CRYSOPHYCEAE | | -leuleten is | |
| Ochromonas | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Bacillariophyta | | | |
| Amphora cf. pediculus | solitary | epilithic | mucilage |
| Amphora ovalis | solitary | epilithic | mucilage |
| Amphora sp. | solitary | epilithic | mucilage |
| Aulacoseira cf. granulata | chain | planktonic | unattached |
| Brachysira brebissonii | solitary | epipelic/benthic | unattached |
| Caloneis sp. | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Cocconeis placentula | solitary | epiphytic | mucilage pad |
| Cocconeis sp. | solitary | epiphytic | mucilage pad |
| Stephanocyclus meneghiana | solitary/chain | planktonic | unattached |
| Cymatopleura solea | solitary | epipelic | unattached |
| Cymbella cf. parva | solitary | epiphytic | stalk |
| Cymbella cistula | solitary | epiphytic | stalk |
| - | • | · · · | |

Cymbella lanceolata Cymbella leptoceras Cymbella spp. Cymbella tumida Cymbopleura Diademsis cf. contenta Diatoma cf. mesodon Diatoma ehrenbergii Diatoma monoliformis Diatoma tenuis Diatoma vulgare Encyonema cf. gracile Encyonema minutum Encyonema prostraturm Encyonema sp. Fragilaria capucina Fragilaria vaucheriae Frustulia vulgaris Frustulia rhomboides Frustulia sp. Gomphoneis cf. minuta Gomphonema capitatum Gomphonema cf. hastatum Gomphonema cf. olivaceum Gomphonema truncatum Melosira cf. italica Melosira varians Meridion cf. circulare Navicula capitoradiata Navicula cf. fonticola Navicula cf. margalithi Navicula cryptocephala Navicula gregaria Navicula lanceolata Navicula rhynconcephala Navicula spp. Neidium sp. Nitzschia acicularis Nitzschia cf. agnita Nitzschia amphibia Nitzschia draveillensis Nitzschia filiformis Nitzschia paleacea Nitzschia cf. radicula Nitzschia sigmoidea Nitzschia dissipata Nitzschia obtusa Nitzschia palea Nitzschia spp. Pinnularia sp Rhoicosphenia abbreviata Staurosirella leptostauron Surirella sp Synedra acus

solitary solitary solitary solitary solitary solitary/colony colony solitary solitary solitary solitary solitary solitary solitary solitary chain chain colony solitary solitary/colony solitary solitary

epiphytic epiphytic epiphytic epiphytic epipelic/benthic moist soils/wet walls benthic benthic benthic benthic benthic benthic benthic benthic benthic planktonic planktonic benthic benthic benthic epiphytic epiphytic epiphytic epiphytic epiphytic benthic benthic epiphytic benthic benthic benthic benthic benthic benthic benthic benthic benthic benthic/planktonic benthic benthic benthic/planktonic benthic benthic/planktonic benthic epipelic benthic benthic benthic benthic benthic benthic epiphytic benthic epiphytic

stalk stalk stalk stalk unattached unattached mucilage pad mucilage pad mucilage pad mucilage pad mucilage pad tubes tubes tubes tubes unattached unattached tubes tubes tubes stalk stalk stalk stalk stalk unattached? unattached? mucilage pad unattached tubes mucilage pad unattached unattached unattached unattached mucilage pad unattached unattached stalk mucilage pad unattached mucilage pad

| Synedra cf. affinis | solitary | epiphytic | mucilage pad |
|---------------------|----------|-----------|--------------|
| Synedra sp. | solitary | epiphytic | mucilage pad |
| Ulnaria ulna | solitary | epiphytic | mucilage pad |

A-3. Natural history classification for the Boat Basin ATS^{TM} floway at VIMS.

| Species | Life Form | Habit | Attachment |
|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| СУАПОРНУСЕАЕ | | | |
| Calothrix sp. | filament | benthic | mucilage |
| Cyanosarcina sp. | colony | epipelic | mucilage |
| Leptolyngbya sp. | filament | metaphytic | mucilage |
| Lyngbya sp. | filament | metaphytic/periphytic | mucilage |
| Nodularia sp. | filament | benthic/planktonic | mucilage |
| Oscillatoria sp. | filament | periphytic | mucilage |
| Pseudanabaena sp. | filament | benthic | mucilage |
| Spirulina sp. | filament | planktonic | unattached |
| I III | | 1 | |
| CHLOROPHYCEAE | | | |
| Ulva intestinalis | filament | benthic | holdfast |
| Ulothrix sp. | filament | epiphytic | holdfast |
| | | | |
| BACILLARIOPHYTA | | | |
| Achnanthes brevipes | chains | periphytic | stalk |
| Achnanthes sp. | chains | periphytic | stalk |
| Achnanthidium sp. | solitary | epiphytic | stalk |
| Actinoptychus senarius | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Amphipleura sp. | solitary/filamentous | benthic | tubes |
| Amphora sp | solitary | epilithic | mucilage |
| Anaulus sp. | solitary | epipelic | unattached |
| Bacillaria sp. | colonial | planktonic | unattached |
| Berkeleya fennica | filamentous | benthic/epiphytic | tubes |
| Berkeleya rutilans | filamentous | benthic/epiphytic | tubes |
| Caloneis sp. | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Chaetoceros sp. | chains | planktonic | unattached |
| Cocconeis sp. | solitary | epiphytic | mucilage pad |
| Coscinodiscus sp. | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Ctenophora sp. | solitary | epiphytic | mucilage pad |
| Stephanocyclus meneghiana | solitary/chain | planktonic | unattached |
| Cymbella sp. | solitary | epiphytic | stalk |
| Cylindrotheca closterium | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Diatoma sp. | colony | benthic | mucilage pad |
| Diploneis sp. | solitary | epipelic/epilithic | unattached |
| Entomoneis sp | solitary | epipelic | unattached |
| Eucampia sp. | chain | planktonic | unattached |
| Fragilaria sp. | chain | planktonic | unattached |
| Fragilariopsis sp. | chain | planktonic | unattached |
| Gomphonema sp. | solitary | epiphytic | stalk |
| Grammatophora sp. | colony | benthic | mucilage pad |
| Gyrosigma sp. | solitary | epipelic | unattached |
| Hantzschia sp. | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Haslea sp. | solitary | epiphytic | mucilage pad |
| Licmorpha sp. complex | solitary/colony | epiphytic | stalk |
| Luticola sp. | solitary | epipelic | unattached |

| Lunella sp. | filamentous | planktonic/epipsammic | mucilage |
|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Mastogloia sp. | solitary | epipelic | unattached |
| Melosira monoliformes | chain | benthic | mucilage |
| Melosira nummuloides | chain | benthic | mucilage |
| Minidiscus sp. | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Navicula sp. complex | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Nitzschia sp. complex | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Opephora sp. | colony | epipsammic | unattached |
| Parlibellus sp. | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Pinnularia sp. | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Plagiotropis sp. | solitary | epipelic | unattached |
| Pleurosigma cf. fasciola | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Pseudonitzschia cf. multiseries | chain | planktonic | unattached |
| Pseudonitzschia cf. pungens | chain | planktonic | unattached |
| Pseudonitzschia sp. | chain | planktonic | unattached |
| Rhopalodia sp. | solitary | benthic | mucilage pad |
| Sellaphora sp. | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Skelatonema costatum | chain | planktonic | unattached |
| Stauroneis sp. | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Stauronella sp. | solitary/chain | benthic/epiphytic | mucilage pad |
| Surirella sp. | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Synedra sp. | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Tabularia sp. | solitary | epiphytic | mucilage pad |
| Thalassiosira sp. | solitary/chain | planktonic | unattached |
| Unidentified diatom | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| DINOPHYTA | | | |
| Peridinium sp. | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| RHODOPHYTA | | | |
| Ceramium sp | filamentous | benthic | holdfast |
| Species | Life form | Habit | Attachment |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| CYANOPHYCEAE | | | |
| Aphanocapsa | colonial | benthic | mucilage |
| Aphanothece | colonial | benthic | mucilage |
| Calothrix sp. | filament | benthic | mucilage |
| Chroococcus sp. | colonial | benthic/planktonic | unattached |
| Cyanobium sp. | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Cyanodictyon sp. | colonial | planktonic | unattached |
| Geitlerinema sp. | filament | benthic/epiphytic | mucilage |
| Gloeothece sp. | colonial | benthic | mucilage |
| Jaaginema sp. | filament | benthic | mucilage |
| Johannesbaptista pellucida | colonial | benthic | mucilage |
| Leptolyngbya sp. | filament | metaphytic | mucilage |
| Lyngbya sp. | filament | metaphytic/periphytic | mucilage |
| Oscillatoria sp. | filament | periphytic | mucilage |
| Phormidium sp. | filament | periphytic | mucilage |
| Pseudanabaena sp. | filament | benthic | mucilage |
| Spirulina sp. | filament | planktonic | unattached |
| | | L | |
| CHLOROPHYCEAE | | | |
| Achrochaete sp. | filament | epiphytic | holdfast |
| Cladophora sp. | filament | benthic | holdfast |
| Ulva intestinalis | filament | benthic | holdfast |
| Ulothrix sp. | filament | epiphytic | holdfast |
| Pseudendoclonium marinum | filament/pseudoparenchymatous | epiphytic | holdfast |
| Unknown green #2 | filament | epiphytic | holdfast |
| Coccoid green | colonial | planktonic | unattached |
| DINOPHVTA | | | |
| Divol in TA Prorocentrum sp | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Dipo cysts | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Alexandrium sp | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Ceratium sp. | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| cf Katodinium sp. | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Dipophysis sp | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Curodinium sp | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Gyrodinium sp. | sontary | planktonic | unattacheu |
| BACILLARIOPHYTA | | | |
| Achnanthes brevippes | chains | periphytic | stalk |
| Achnanthes sp. | chains | periphytic | stalk |
| Achnanthidium sp. | solitary | epiphytic | stalk |
| Actinoptychus senarius | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Amphipleura sp. | solitary/filamentous | benthic | tubes |
| Amphora sp. | solitary | epilithic | mucilage |
| Bacillaria sp. | colonial | planktonic | unattached |
| Berkeleya fennica | filamentous | - benthic/epiphytic | tubes |
| Berkeleya fragilis | filamentous | benthic/epiphytic | tubes |
| Berkeleya rutilans | filamentous | benthic/epiphytic | tubes |
| Caloneis sp. | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| cf. Diadesmis sp. | chain | benthic/epiphytic | mucilage |
| Chaetoceros sp. | chains | planktonic | unattached |
| | | | |

A-4. Natural history classification for the combined ATS^{TM} Floways 1 & 2 at the Great Wicomico River.

Camplyodiscus sp. Catenula sp. Cocconeis sp. Coscinodiscus sp. Cylindrotheca closterium Cymatosira sp. Dactyliosolen sp. Denticula sp. Diploneis sp. Ditylum brightwellii Entomoneis sp. Epithemia sp. Eunotia sp. Eunotogramma laevis Fogedia sp. Fragilaria martyii Fragilaria sp. Grammatophora sp. Gyrosigma sp. Hippodonta sp. Leptocylindrus minimus Licmophora sp. (long) Licmophora sp. (short) Lyrella sp. Mastogloia sp. Melosira monoliformes Melosira nummuloides Meridion cf. circulare Meuniera membranacea Minidiscus sp. Navicula gregaria Navicula smithii Navicula sp. Neodelphineis sp. Nitzschia filiformis Nitzschia fusiliformis Nitzschia longissima Nitzschia nana Nitzschia tryblionella Nitzschia scalpelliformis Nitzschia sigma Nitzschia sigmaformis Nitzschia sigmoidea Nitzschia ventricosa Nitzschia sp. Opephora sp. Paralia sulcata Parlibellus sp. Pinnularia sp. Plagiogramma sp. Plagiotropis sp. Pleurosigma cf. fasciola Podocystis sp. Psammothidium sp.

solitary filamentous solitary solitary solitary filamentous chain solitary/chain solitary solitary/chain solitary solitary solitary/chain solitary solitary colony colony colony solitary solitary chain solitary/colony solitary/colony solitary solitary chain chain colony chain solitary solitary solitary solitary colony solitary/filamentous solitary colony chain solitary solitary colony solitary solitary solitary solitary

epipelic planktonic/epipsammic epiphytic planktonic planktonic benthic/epipsammic planktonic periphytic/epiphytic epipelic/epilithic planktonic epipelic periphytic epiphytic benthic epiphytic planktonic planktonic benthic benthic benthic planktonic epiphytic epiphytic epipelic/epilithic epipelic benthic benthic epiphytic planktonic planktonic benthic benthic benthic planktonic benthic benthic epipelic epipelic benthic epipelic epipelic epipelic epipelic benthic benthic epipsammic benthic benthic benthic planktonic epipelic benthic epipelic/epiphytic benthic

unattached mucilage mucilage pad unattached unattached mucilage unattached unattached unattached unattached unattached mucilage mucilage unattached mucilage unattached unattached mucilage pad unattached unattached unattached stalk stalk unattached unattached mucilage mucilage mucilage pad unattached unattached unattached unattached unattached unattached tubes unattached mucilage pad unattached unattached unattached unattached unattached unattached unattached unattached unattached mucilage unattached unattached unattached unattached unattached mucilage pad mucilage pad

| Pseudostaurosira sp. | chains | epipsammic | mucilage |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Rhaphoneis sp. | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Rhizosolenia sp. | chain/solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Rhopaloidia sp. | solitary | benthic | mucilage pad |
| Sieminskia sp. | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Skelatonema costatum | chain | planktonic | unattached |
| Stauronella sp. | solitary/chain | benthic/epiphytic | mucilage pad |
| Staurosirella sp. | solitary/colony | epiphytic | mucilage pad |
| Stephanocyclus meneghiana | solitary/chain | planktonic | unattached |
| Striatella sp. | solitary/chain | planktonic | unattached |
| Surirella sp. | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Synedra sp. | solitary | epiphytic | mucilage pad |
| Synedropsis sp. | solitary/chain | benthic/epiphytic | mucilage |
| Tabularia sp. | solitary | epiphytic | mucilage pad |
| Thalassionema sp. | colony | benthic | mucilage pad |
| Thalassiosira sp. | solitary/chain | planktonic | unattached |
| Unidentified diatom | solitary | benthic | unattached |
| Centrales | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| CHRYSOPHYTA | | | |
| Chromulina sp. | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| EUGLENOPHYCEAE | | | |
| Phacus sp. | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| Euglena sp. | solitary | planktonic | unattached |
| RHODOPHYTA | | | |
| Polysiphonia sp. | filamentous | benthic | holdfast |

A-5. Algal community structure on the VIMS boat basin floway for 5/18/09. Values are densities as numbers of individuals x 10^3 per square centimeter of screen surface. Numbers in parentheses are percentages of the total average densities.

| Species | Тор | Middle | Bottom | Average |
|-----------------------------|------|--------|--------|-----------|
| Diatoms | | | | |
| Berkeleya rutilans | 543 | 515 | 524 | 527 (45) |
| Melosira nummuloides | 287 | 149 | 17 | 151 (13) |
| Navicula sp. | 78 | 274 | 71 | 141 (12) |
| Grammatophora sp. | 174 | 43 | 12 | 76 (7) |
| Nitzschia nana | 0 | 50 | 17 | 22 (2) |
| Achnanthes sp. | 9 | 47 | 4 | 20 (2) |
| Nitzschia sp. | 6 | 34 | 13 | 18 (2) |
| Skeletonema costatum | 0 | 19 | 33 | 17 (1) |
| Stauroneis sp. | 6 | 9 | 17 | 11 (1) |
| Cyclotella sp. | 0 | 13 | 4 | 6 (<1) |
| Thalassiosira sp. | 0 | 0 | 17 | 6 (<1) |
| Tabularia tabulata | 3 | 13 | 0 | 5 (<1) |
| Chaetoceros sp. | 0 | 3 | 8 | 4 (<1) |
| Coscinodiscus sp. | 0 | 3 | 8 | 4 (<1) |
| Minidiscus sp. | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 (<1) |
| <i>Cymbella</i> sp. | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 (<1) |
| Diploneis sp. | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 (<1) |
| Entomoneis sp. | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 (<1) |
| Unidentified pennate diatom | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 (<1) |
| Amphora sp. | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 (<1) |
| <i>Fragilaria</i> sp. | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 (<1) |
| Subtotal | 1112 | 1172 | 769 | 1017 (87) |
| Non-Diatoms | | | | |
| Ceramium sp. | 0 | 206 | 0 | 69 (6) |
| Pseudanabaena sp. | 0 | 0 | 125 | 42 (4) |
| Ulva intestinalis | 0 | 0 | 96 | 32 (3) |
| Subtotal | 0 | 206 | 221 | 143 (13) |
| Total | 1112 | 1378 | 990 | 1160 |

A-6. Algal community structure on the VIMS boat basin floway for 7/22/09. Values are densities as numbers of individuals x 10^3 per square centimeter of screen surface. Numbers in parentheses are percentages of the total average densities.

| Species | Тор | Middle | Bottom | Average |
|---------------------------------|------|--------|--------|-----------|
| Diatoms | | | | |
| Berkeleya rutilans | 2046 | 666 | 789 | 1167 (48) |
| Melosira nummuloides | 433 | 1029 | 324 | 595 (24) |
| Licmophora sp. 1 | 415 | 62 | 22 | 166 (7) |
| Fragilariopsis sp. | 41 | 98 | 122 | 87 (4) |
| Pseudonitzschia cf. multiseries | 181 | 13 | 0 | 65 (3) |
| Achnanthes sp. | 23 | 13 | 101 | 46 (2) |
| Licmophora sp. 2 | 58 | 27 | 22 | 37 (1) |
| Tabularia tabulata | 12 | 36 | 53 | 34 (1) |
| Navicula sp. | 6 | 36 | 31 | 24 (1) |
| Amphora sp. | 6 | 45 | 18 | 23 (1) |
| Bacillaria paxillifer | 0 | 4 | 35 | 13 (1) |
| Nitzschia sp. | 0 | 36 | 0 | 12 (1) |
| Minidiscus sp. | 12 | 13 | 0 | 8 (<1) |
| Thalassosira sp. | 0 | 13 | 9 | 7 (<1) |
| Cocconeis sp. | 0 | 13 | 4 | 6 (<1) |
| Coscinodiscus sp. | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 (<1) |
| Entomoneis sp. | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 (<1) |
| Gyrosigma sp. | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 (<1) |
| Subtotal | 3245 | 2108 | 1530 | 2295 (94) |
| | | | | |
| Non-Diatoms | | | | |
| Ulva intestinalis | 292 | 0 | 0 | 97 (4) |
| Calothrix sp. | 0 | 98 | 0 | 33 (1) |
| <i>Nodularia</i> sp. | 0 | 0 | 22 | 7 (<1) |
| Oscillatoria sp. | 0 | 18 | 0 | 6 (<1) |
| Peridinium sp. | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 (<1) |
| Subtotal | 298 | 116 | 22 | 145 (6) |
| | | | | |
| Total | 3543 | 2224 | 1552 | 2440 |

A-7. Algal community structure on the VIMS boat basin floway for 10/28/09. Values are densities as numbers of individuals x 10^3 per square centimeter of screen surface. Numbers in parentheses are percentages of the total average densities.

| Species | Тор | Middle | Bottom | Average |
|-----------------------|------|--------|--------|-----------|
| Diatoms | | | | |
| Berkeleya rutilans | 1563 | 277 | 3034 | 1291 (57) |
| Nitzschia sp. | 44 | 216 | 26 | 95 (4) |
| Actinoptychus sp. | 0 | 135 | 0 | 45 (2) |
| Entomoneis sp. | 91 | 0 | 23 | 38 (2) |
| Navicula sp. | 7 | 24 | 58 | 30 (1) |
| Licmorpha sp. 1 | 14 | 6 | 71 | 30 (1) |
| Grammatophora sp. | 24 | 18 | 41 | 28 (1) |
| Achnanthes sp. | 10 | 9 | 41 | 20(1) |
| Melosira nummuloides | 7 | 0 | 49 | 19 (1) |
| Bacillaria sp. | 0 | 0 | 45 | 15 (1) |
| Amphora sp. | 17 | 0 | 19 | 12(1) |
| Minidiscus sp. | 10 | 15 | 0 | 8 (<1) |
| Licmorpha sp. 2 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 5 (<1) |
| Pseudonitzschia sp. | 0 | 0 | 15 | 5 (<1) |
| Gyrosigma sp. | 0 | 0 | 11 | 4 (<1) |
| Melosira monoliformes | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 (<1) |
| Coscinodiscus sp. | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 (<1) |
| Cocconeis sp. | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 (<1) |
| Cyclotella sp. | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 (<1) |
| Subtotal | 1794 | 700 | 2468 | 1654 (83) |
| Non-Diatoms | | | | |
| Ulva intestinalis | 0 | 0 | 1443 | 481 (21) |
| Calothrix sp. | 405 | 0 | 0 | 135 (6) |
| Subtotal | 405 | 0 | 1443 | 616 (17) |
| Total | 2199 | 700 | 3911 | 2270 |

A-8. Algal community structure on the VIMS boat basin floway for 11/03/09. Values are densities as numbers of individuals x 10^3 per square centimeter of screen surface. Numbers in parentheses are percentages of the total average densities.

| Species | Тор | Middle | Bottom | Average | |
|-----------------------------|-------|--------|--------|-----------|--|
| Diatoms | | | | | |
| Berkeleya rutilans | 7886 | 943 | 1444 | 3424 (72) | |
| Melosira monoliformes | 763 | 16 | 22 | 267 (6) | |
| Achnanthes brevipes | 151 | 20 | 292 | 154 (3) | |
| Entomoneissp. | 99 | 146 | 164 | 136 (3) | |
| Navicula sp. | 184 | 91 | 69 | 115 (2) | |
| Amphora sp. 2 | 26 | 79 | 50 | 52 (1) | |
| Melosira nummuloides | 118 | 0 | 36 | 51 (1) | |
| Achnanthes sp. | 59 | 79 | 0 | 46 (1) | |
| Fragilariopsis sp. | 7 | 28 | 83 | 39 (<1) | |
| Pseudonitzschia cf. pungens | 0 | 107 | 0 | 36 (<1) | |
| Pseudonitzschia sp. | 20 | 0 | 83 | 34 (<1) | |
| Licmophora sp. 1 | 59 | 8 | 31 | 33 (<1) | |
| Nitzschia sp. | 26 | 43 | 11 | 27 (<1) | |
| Tabularia tabulata | 26 | 8 | 0 | 11 (<1) | |
| Coscinodiscus sp. | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 (<1) | |
| <i>Cyclotella</i> sp. | 7 | 16 | 0 | 8 (<1) | |
| Minidiscus sp. | 13 | 4 | 8 | 8 (<1) | |
| Bacillaria paxillifer | 0 | 0 | 22 | 7 (<1) | |
| Eucampia sp. | 0 | 20 | 0 | 7 (<1) | |
| Licmophora sp. 2 | 13 | 0 | 6 | 6 (<1) | |
| Navicula sp. (tube) | 0 | 16 | 0 | 5 (<1) | |
| <i>Melosira</i> sp. | 13 | 0 | 0 | 4 (<1) | |
| Cylindrotheca closterium | 13 | 0 | 0 | 4 (<1) | |
| <i>Cymbella</i> sp. | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 (<1) | |
| <i>Tabularia</i> sp. | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 (<1) | |
| Thalassiosira sp. | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 (<1) | |
| Sellaphora sp. | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 (<1) | |
| Grammatophora sp. | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 (<1) | |
| Pleurosigma cf. fasciola | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 (<1) | |
| Subtotal | 9504 | 1644 | 2338 | 4493 (94) | |
| Non-Diatoms | | | | | |
| Ulva intestinalis | 539 | 0 | 0 | 180 (4) | |
| Calothrix sp. | 0 | 134 | 144 | 93 (2) | |
| Subtotal | 539 | 134 | 144 | 273 (6) | |
| Total | 10043 | 1778 | 2482 | 4766 | |

A-9. Algal community structure on the VIMS boat basin floway for 12/08/09. Values are densities as numbers of individuals x 10^3 per square centimeter of screen surface. Numbers in parentheses are percentages of the total average densities.

| Species | Тор | Middle | Bottom | Average |
|------------------------|------|--------|--------|----------|
| Diatoms | | | | |
| Nitzschia sp. (long) | 337 | 324 | 144 | 268 (30) |
| Melosira nummuloides | 215 | 193 | 111 | 173 (19) |
| Melosira monoliformes | 43 | 57 | 97 | 66 (7) |
| Navicula smithii | 43 | 79 | 43 | 55 (6) |
| Achnanthus brevipes | 97 | 26 | 22 | 48 (5) |
| Navicula sp. (small) | 43 | 75 | 22 | 47 (5) |
| Amphora sp. | 57 | 35 | 29 | 40 (4) |
| Berkeleya rutilans | 36 | 39 | 36 | 37 (4) |
| Nitzschia sp. (small) | 47 | 13 | 0 | 20 (2) |
| Stauroneis sp. | 57 | 0 | 0 | 19 (2) |
| Nitzschia sp. | 0 | 0 | 50 | 17 (2) |
| Thalassiosira sp. | 22 | 0 | 14 | 12 (1) |
| Nitzschia sp. (mid) | 26 | 0 | 4 | 10(1) |
| Achnanthes sp. | 0 | 13 | 14 | 9(1) |
| <i>Cyclotella</i> sp. | 18 | 9 | 0 | 9(1) |
| Pinnularia sp. (small) | 26 | 0 | 0 | 9(1) |
| Amphora sp. (small) | 18 | 0 | 0 | 6(1) |
| Pinnularia sp. | 18 | 0 | 0 | 6(1) |
| Navicula sp. (mid) | 14 | 0 | 0 | 5(1) |
| Fragilaria sp. | 0 | 0 | 14 | 5(1) |
| Navicula sp. | 0 | 13 | 0 | 4 (<1) |
| Caloneis/Luticola sp. | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 (<1) |
| Skeletonema costatum | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 (<1) |
| Coscinodiscus sp. | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 (<1) |
| Hantzschia sp. | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 (<1) |
| Diploneis sp. | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 (<1) |
| <i>Cymbella</i> sp. | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 (<1) |
| Staurosira sp. | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 (<1) |
| Cocconeis sp. | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 (<1) |
| Gomphonema sp. | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 (<1) |
| Subtotal | 1135 | 884 | 622 | 878 (97) |
| Non-Diatoms | | | | |
| Ulothrix sp. | 39 | 0 | 0 | 13 (2) |
| Oscillatoria sp. | 0 | 0 | 29 | 10(1) |
| Subtotal | 39 | 0 | 29 | 23 (3) |
| Total | 1174 | 884 | 651 | 901 |

A-10. Algal community structure on the VIMS boat basin floway for 03/29/10. Values are densities as numbers of individuals x 10^3 per square centimeter of screen surface. Numbers in parentheses are percentages of the total average densities.

| Species | Тор | Middle | Bottom | Average |
|-----------------------------|------|--------|--------|-----------|
| Diatoms | | | | |
| Berkeleya rutilans | 543 | 515 | 524 | 527 (45) |
| Melosira nummuloides | 287 | 149 | 17 | 151 (13) |
| Navicula sp. | 78 | 274 | 71 | 141 (12) |
| Grammatophora sp. | 174 | 43 | 12 | 76 (7) |
| Nitzschia nana | 0 | 50 | 17 | 22 (2) |
| Achnanthes sp. | 9 | 47 | 4 | 20 (2) |
| Nitzschia sp. | 6 | 34 | 13 | 18 (2) |
| Skeletonema costatum | 0 | 19 | 33 | 17 (1) |
| Stauroneis sp. | 6 | 9 | 17 | 11 (1) |
| Cyclotella sp. | 0 | 13 | 4 | 6 (<1) |
| Thalassiosira sp. | 0 | 0 | 17 | 6 (<1) |
| Tabularia tabulata | 3 | 13 | 0 | 5 (<1) |
| Chaetoceros sp. | 0 | 3 | 8 | 4 (<1) |
| Coscinodiscus sp. | 0 | 3 | 8 | 4 (<1) |
| Minidiscus sp. | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 (<1) |
| <i>Cymbella</i> sp. | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 (<1) |
| Diploneis sp. | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 (<1) |
| Entomoneis sp. | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 (<1) |
| Unidentified pennate diatom | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 (<1) |
| Amphora sp. | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 (<1) |
| <i>Fragilaria</i> sp. | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 (<1) |
| Subtotal | 1112 | 1172 | 769 | 1017 (87) |
| Non-Diatoms | | | | |
| Ceramium sp. | 0 | 206 | 0 | 69 (6) |
| Pseudanabaena sp. | 0 | 0 | 125 | 42 (4) |
| Ulva intestinalis | 0 | 0 | 96 | 32 (3) |
| Subtotal | 0 | 206 | 221 | 143 (13) |
| Total | 1112 | 1378 | 990 | 1160 |

A-11. Algal community structure on the VIMS boat basin floway for 05/24/10. Values are densities as numbers of individuals x 10^3 per square centimeter of screen surface. Numbers in parentheses are percentages of the total average densities.

| Species | Тор | Middle | Bottom | Average |
|----------------------------|------|--------|--------|-----------|
| Diatoms | | | | |
| Stauronella sp. | 211 | 202 | 1441 | 618 (41) |
| Berkeleya rutilans | 173 | 326 | 85 | 195 (13) |
| Navicula sp. | 61 | 52 | 136 | 83 (6) |
| Nitzschia nana | 8 | 6 | 144 | 53 (4) |
| <i>Thalassiosira</i> sp. | 34 | 20 | 85 | 46 (3) |
| Plagiotropis sp. | 8 | 13 | 85 | 35 (2) |
| Coscinodiscus sp. | 2 | 26 | 42 | 23 (2) |
| Nitzschia sp. | 27 | 20 | 17 | 21 (1) |
| Skeletonema costatum | 21 | 13 | 25 | 20(1) |
| <i>Haslea</i> sp. | 0 | 0 | 34 | 11 (1) |
| Amphora sp. | 0 | 6 | 17 | 8 (<1) |
| Cocconeis sp. | 0 | 0 | 25 | 8 (<1) |
| Flagilariopsis sp. | 0 | 0 | 25 | 8 (<1) |
| Melosira nummuloides 2 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 5 (<1) |
| Achnanthes sp. | 0 | 6 | 9 | 5 (<1) |
| Licmophora sp. | 0 | 6 | 9 | 5 (<1) |
| Achnanthidium minutissimum | 2 | 6 | 0 | 3 (<1) |
| Actinoptychus senarius | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 (<1) |
| Cylindrotheca closterium | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 (<1) |
| Minidiscus sp. | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 (<1) |
| Unidentified diatom | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 (<1) |
| Cyclotella sp. | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 (<1) |
| Melosira monoliformes | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 (<1) |
| <i>Opephora</i> sp. | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 (<1) |
| Tabularia tabulata | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 (<1) |
| Subtotal | 563 | 715 | 2215 | 1163 (78) |
| Non-Diatoms | | | | |
| Ulva intestinalis | 749 | 0 | 0 | 250 (17) |
| Oscillatoria sp. | 0 | 241 | 0 | 80 (5) |
| Subtotal | 749 | 241 | 0 | 330 (22) |
| Total | 1312 | 956 | 2215 | 1493 |

| | | | 13-Jul- | 19-Jul- | | 29-Aug- | 11-Sep- | 25-Sep- | 4-Dec- | 26-Mar- | 24-Apr- | 2-May- | 16-May- |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|--------|---------|
| Species | 1-Jul-10 | 7-Jul-10 | 10 | 10 | 25-Jul-10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Cyanophyceae | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aphanocapsa | 0 | 292321 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122775 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cyanobium sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150336 |
| Cyanodictyon sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1157590 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gloeothece sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35043410 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Johannesbaptista pellucida | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 155904 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Leptolyngbya sp. | 0 | 0 | 25056 | 0 | 25056 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 289398 | 164430 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Lyngbya</i> sp. | 0 | 1753924 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16925371 | 0 | 26309 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oscillatoria sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32886 | 21924 | 0 |
| Phormidium sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32886 | 0 | 0 |
| Pseudanabaena sp. | 50112 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 292321 | 0 | 0 | 295975 | 197316 | 0 |
| Spirulina sp. | 0 | 0 | 25056 | 0 | 42387 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 263089 | 482329 | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chlorophyceae | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Achrochaete sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3507849 | 15346839 | 38976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cladophora sp. | 100224 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25056 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87696 | 1210208 | 98658 | 504253 | 139061 |
| Pseudendoclonium marinum | 100224 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ulva intestinalis | 3983914 | 116928 | 7090866 | 8681926 | 1474689 | 0 | 0 | 3946330 | 0 | 3314917 | 0 | 0 | 496110 |
| Ulothrix sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16114180 | 21924 | 9971060 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dinophyta | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prorocentrum sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19488 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131544 | 230203 | 43848 | 0 |
| Dino cysts | 0 | 0 | 25056 | 0 | 112752 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26309 | 0 | 0 | 18792 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bacillariophyta | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Achnanthes brevippes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43848 | 26309 |
| Achnanthes sp. | 350785 | 175392 | 1027299 | 570025 | 407519 | 38976 | 140314 | 175392 | 648952 | 920810 | 131544 | 21924 | 22550 |
| Achnanthidium sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3262299 | 105235 | 0 | 21924 | 45101 |
| Actinoptychus senarius | 25056 | 0 | 25056 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Amphora sp. | 451009 | 146160 | 375841 | 1446988 | 271142 | 331297 | 1683767 | 584641 | 543717 | 447251 | 197316 | 241165 | 246176 |
| Bacillaria sp. | 0 | 58464 | 0 | 0 | 25056 | 0 | 35078 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Berkeleya fennica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4647900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Berkeleya fragilis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2174866 | 175392 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Berkeleya rutilans | 150336 | 233857 | 325729 | 9032711 | 2973081 | 837986 | 7401561 | 1461604 | 5437166 | 13285977 | 2334912 | 833114 | 2989815 |

A-12. Algal community structure on the Great Wicomo Floway 1 from 1/July/2010 to 16/May/11. Values are densities as numbers of individuals per milliliter. .

| Camplyodiscus sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32886 | 0 | 0 |
|---------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| Caloneis sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18792 |
| Catenula sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131544 |
| Cocconeis sp. | 50112 | 29232 | 0 | 0 | 29232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52618 | 0 | 32886 | 43848 | 242417 |
| Coscinodiscus sp. | 0 | 0 | 50112 | 0 | 50112 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35078 | 26309 | 32886 | 21924 | 52618 |
| Cyclotella sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27840 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17539 | 0 | 32886 | 0 | 0 |
| Cylindrotheca closterium | 0 | 0 | 50112 | 0 | 14616 | 194880 | 0 | 87696 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18792 |
| Cymatosira sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 175392 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Denticula sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32886 | 0 | 26309 |
| Diploneis sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14616 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26309 | 0 | 0 | 35705 |
| Ditylum sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26309 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Entomoneis sp. | 0 | 58464 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Epithemia sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35078 | 78927 | 0 | 21924 | 0 |
| Eunotogramma laevis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19488 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fogedia sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131544 | 0 | 52618 |
| Fragilaria martyii | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fragilaria sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86861 | 0 | 70157 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 164430 | 0 | 315706 |
| Grammatophora sp. | 0 | 58464 | 125280 | 87696 | 225672 | 0 | 35078 | 730802 | 719109 | 605104 | 65772 | 21924 | 18792 |
| Gyrosigma sp. | 25056 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23942 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17539 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Licmophora sp. (long) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 418436 | 19488 | 2034552 | 29232 | 175392 | 0 | 1019469 | 0 | 537453 |
| Licmophora sp. (short) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 112752 | 1675972 | 841884 | 0 | 70157 | 52618 | 460405 | 263089 | 3076258 |
| Lyrella sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87696 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26309 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mastogloia sp. | 25056 | 233857 | 50112 | 43848 | 303894 | 19488 | 70157 | 175392 | 52618 | 26309 | 131544 | 21924 | 45101 |
| Melosira monoliformes | 300673 | 584641 | 0 | 3858634 | 1931474 | 0 | 1438218 | 3858634 | 228010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Melosira nummuloides | 300673 | 1812389 | 3282344 | 6708761 | 810086 | 97440 | 1122512 | 760034 | 17539 | 184162 | 32886 | 0 | 26309 |
| Minidiscus sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25056 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Navicula smithii | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98658 | 109620 | 78927 |
| Navicula sp. | 400897 | 58464 | 200449 | 43848 | 241493 | 0 | 140314 | 58464 | 87696 | 289398 | 0 | 43848 | 169128 |
| Neodelphineis sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38976 | 140314 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia filiformis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 184162 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia fusiliformis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 263089 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia longissima | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105235 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia nana | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129957 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 289398 | 32886 | 109620 | 310069 |
| Nitzschia scalpelliformis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140314 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia sigma | 4109194 | 0 | 1302915 | 0 | 175392 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia sigmaformis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 350785 | 0 | 214369 | 105235 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia sigmoidea | 0 | 2250870 | 651458 | 0 | 43848 | 0 | 0 | 58464 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia sp. | 50112 | 116928 | 225505 | 350785 | 349711 | 233857 | 35078 | 0 | 0 | 52618 | 0 | 21924 | 674634 |

| Opephora sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25056 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32886 | 43848 | 0 |
|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| Parlibellus sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97440 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pinnularia sp. | 0 | 0 | 25056 | 0 | 0 | 19488 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21924 | 18792 |
| Podocystis sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43848 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18792 |
| Psammothidium sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105235 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rhaphoneis sp. | 25056 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rhizosolenia sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75168 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rhopaloidia sp. | 0 | 0 | 50112 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70157 | 175392 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Skelatonema sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78927 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sieminskia sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18792 |
| Stauronella sp. | 751682 | 175392 | 100224 | 43848 | 203073 | 136416 | 701570 | 292321 | 1017276 | 1052355 | 32886 | 65772 | 107115 |
| Staurosirella sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14616 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26309 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Synedra</i> sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78927 |
| Tabularia sp. | 0 | 760034 | 50112 | 175392 | 1321588 | 272833 | 350785 | 233857 | 0 | 420942 | 0 | 0 | 48859 |
| Thalassionema sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 289398 | 0 | 21924 | 1698801 |
| Thalassiosira sp. | 0 | 0 | 100224 | 0 | 56376 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35078 | 342015 | 559063 | 87696 | 304431 |
| Unidentified diatom | 0 | 0 | 25056 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Centrales | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 504253 | 0 |
| Chrysophyta | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chromulina sp. | 0 | 29232 | 0 | 657722 | 131544 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Euglenophyceae | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Phacus sp. | 0 | 29232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26309 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rhodophyta | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Polysiphonia sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140314 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | | 11-Inl- | | 1-Aug- | 24-Aug- | 6-Sen- | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|----------|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Species | 3-Jul-10 | 11-501- | 18-Jul-10 | 10 | 24-Mug- 10 | 10 | 26-Sep-10 | 13-Nov-10 | 27-Nov-10 | 8-Jan-11 | 23-Jan-11 | 6-Feb-11 | 29-Mar-11 | 26-Mav-11 |
| Cyanophyceae | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aphanocapsa | 0 | 3273992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 605104 | 0 | 0 |
| Aphanothece | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 482329 |
| Calothrix sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 974402 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 276243 | 0 | 0 |
| Chroococcus sp. | 0 | 58464 | 140314 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cyanodictyon sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Geitlerinema sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 745418 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gloeothece sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jaaginema sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 323380 | 263089 | 0 | 0 |
| Johannesbaptista pellucida | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 545665 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Leptolyngbya sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 434096 | 372709 | 184162 |
| Lyngbya sp. | 0 | 0 | 420942 | 0 | 31658336 | 206713 | 6684401 | 9339647 | 21924 | 43848 | 3540735 | 670876 | 0 | 0 |
| Pseudanabaena sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 662594 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87696 | 5682715 | 0 | 201701 |
| Spirulina sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43848 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87696 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chorophyceae | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Achrochaete sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cladophora sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 394633 | 46041 |
| Pseudendoclonium marinum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ulva intestinalis | 1227747 | 0 | 35078 | 1890341 | 0 | 0 | 1071843 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26309 | 0 | 13285977 |
| Unknown green #2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131544 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ulothrix sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 306937 | 903271 |
| Coccoid green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 460405 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dinophyta | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alexandrium sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21924 | 0 |
| Ceratium sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21924 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| cf. Katodinium sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43848 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dino cysts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105235 | 0 | 0 |
| Dinophysis sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21924 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gyrodinium sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43848 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Prorocentrum sp. | 29232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 158949 | 171008 | 65772 | 26309 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bacillariophyta | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Achnanthes brevipes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131544 |
| Achnanthes sp. | 380017 | 58464 | 596334 | 1071843 | 482329 | 25056 | 77952 | 131544 | 76734 | 142506 | 641279 | 289398 | 131544 | 24116 |
| Achnanthidium sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58464 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 876962 | 0 | 0 | 447251 | 0 | 116197 |
| Actinoptychus senarius | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21924 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Amphipleura sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54810 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65772 |
| Amphora sp. | 292321 | 263089 | 631413 | 331297 | 1140051 | 137808 | 545665 | 1907393 | 219241 | 449443 | 372709 | 381479 | 87696 | 425327 |

A-13. Algal community structure on the Great Wicomo Floway 1 from 3/July/2010 to 26/May/11. Values are densities as numbers of individuals per milliliter.

| Berkeleya fernica 0 0 0 0 0 953696 1337367 487810 0 263089 0 0 Berkeleya fragilis 0 0 0 0 311809 26 311809 26 311809 287038 92130 388761 5360431 975620 3668625 4686267 4590897 5174077 1513417 Caloneis sp. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 21924 Catenuia sp. 0 175392 0 |
|--|
| Berkeleya rajilis 0 0 0 0 311809 0 |
| Berkeleya nutilans 3537081 1110819 1403140 311809 2587038 952130 389761 5360431 975620 3668625 4686267 4590897 5174077 1513417: Calonicis sp. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2192 Catenula sp. 0 175392 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 306937 0 |
| Caloneis sp.0000000000000012122Catenula sp.017539200 <th< td=""></th<> |
| Catenula sp.0000876900 |
| cf. Diadesmis sp. 0 175392 0 0 0 0 0 306937 0 0 0 Chaetoceros sp. 0 29232 0 38976 0 0 0 43848 0 65772 0 0 0 0 Cocconeis sp. 496945 175392 0 77975 0 12528 19488 00 0 76734 0 0 131544 399018 Coscinodisus sp. 0 0 0 1488 0 0 65772 21924 0 43848 26309 0 |
| Chaetoceros sp. 0 29232 0 38976 0 0 0 43848 0 65772 0 0 0 Cocconeis sp. 496945 175392 0 77952 0 12528 19488 0 0 76734 0 0 131544 39901 Coscindiscus sp. 0 0 0 1488 0 0 65772 21924 0 43848 26309 0 0 0 Cyclotella sp. 0 0 19488 0 0 65772 21924 0 43848 26309 0 0 0 Cyclotella sp. 0 0 38976 131544 0 19488 65772 8769 0 |
| Cocconeis sp.49694517539207795201252819488007673400131544399013Coscinodiscus sp.00019488000657722192404384826309000Cyclotella sp.0000000000065772219240438487125306577200Cylindrotheca closterium00 </td |
| Coscinodiscus sp. 0 0 19488 0 0 65772 21924 0 43848 26309 0 0 Cyclotella sp. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 54810 43848 71253 0 65772 0 Cylindrotheca closterium 0 0 38976 131544 0 19488 65772 87696 0 |
| Cyclotella sp. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 54810 43848 71253 0 65772 0 Cyclindrotheca closterium 0 0 38976 131544 0 19488 65772 87696 0 0 0 0 0 Dactyliosolen sp. 0 |
| Cylindrotheca closterium 0 0 38976 131544 0 19488 65772 87696 0 0 0 0 0 0 Dactyliosolen sp. 0 |
| Dactyliosolen sp. 0 |
| Denticula sp. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5261 Diploneis sp. 0 29232 0 0 12528 0 0 0 0 0 21924 0 Ditylum brightwellii 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 21924 0 0 21924 0 0 21924 0 0 21924 0 0 21924 0 0 21924 0 0 26309 0 <th< td=""></th<> |
| Diploneis sp. 0 29232 0 0 12528 0 0 0 0 21924 0 Ditylum brightwellii 0 0 0 0 0 0 21924 0 0 26309 0 0 Entomoneis sp. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 65772 0 <t< td=""></t<> |
| Ditylum brightwellii 0 0 0 0 0 0 26309 0 0 Entomoneis sp. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 65772 0 |
| Entomoneis sp. 0 |
| Epithemia sp. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 21924 0 Eunotia sp. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 21924 0 Eunotia sp. 0 0 0 0 0 0 65772 131544 0 <t< td=""></t<> |
| Eunotia sp. 0 <th< td=""></th<> |
| Eunotogramma laevis 0 |
| Fogedia sp. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 26309 |
| |
| Fragilaria martyii 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Fragilaria sp. 0 0 0 38976 0 12528 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 18416' |
| Fragilariopsis sp. 0 0 35078 0 0 50112 0 0 0 0 137025 210471 219241 (|
| Frustulia sp. 0 0 0 0 175392 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Grammatophora sp. 204625 175392 596334 311809 306937 187920 233857 361747 504253 847730 1008507 1591686 219241 96466 |
| <i>Gyrosigma</i> sp. 116928 29232 35078 0 0 0 0 0 21924 0 0 0 0 26309 |
| Hippodota sp. 29232 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| $L_{\text{ptocylindrus minimus}}$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 131544 274051 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Licmophora sp. (long) 0 0 140314 0 131544 225505 19488 263089 32886 0 65772 26309 21924 21924 |
| <i>Licmophora</i> sp. (short) 0 0 0 19488 2060861 212977 0 32886 87696 82215 21924 157853 21924 14689' |
| Mastogloia sp. 29232 643106 175392 38976 613874 50112 194880 98658 21924 0 60291 0 109620 12277: |
| Melosira monoliformes 0 0 0 58464 0 0 194880 295975 0 32886 0 52618 21924 (|
| Melosira numuloides 6314128 993890 3086907 3936586 1973165 125280 1344675 1479874 109620 215587 71253 78927 153468 13373" |
| Meridion sp. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| <i>Meuniera</i> sp. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 65772 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Navicula gregaria 0 29232 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 76734 (|
| Navicula sp. 58464 175392 140314 214369 87696 75168 214369 98658 43848 115101 65772 197316 87696 613874 |
| Navicula smithii 0 0 0 0 0 0 62640 0 0 0 350785 131544 0 0 10962(|
| Neodelphineis sp. 0 0 0 0 0 0 38976 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Nitzschia cf. longissima 0 0 3016750 38976 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Nizschia filifornis 0 0 0 0 219241 0 19488 0 0 0 0 0 230203 (|
| Nitzschia nana 0 3800170 0 623618 0 37584 0 0 0 0 350785 153468 26309 43848 47575: |
| Nitzschia scalpelliformis 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 43848 52618 0 0 |
| Nitzschia sigma 0 0 0 253345 0 162864 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Nitzschia sigmaformis 0 0 0 0 789266 0 19488 98658 208279 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Nitzschia sigmoidea 3040136 0 0 0 0 62640 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Nizschia tryblionella 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |

| Nitzschia sp. | 0 | 204625 | 0 | 58464 | 219241 | 0 | 253345 | 263089 | 208279 | 0 | 49329 | 26309 | 0 | 131544 |
|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|-------|--------|--------|---------|----------|----------|---------|---------|--------|
| Nitzschia sp. (tube) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 953696 | 65772 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nitzschia ventricosa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43848 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Opephora sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43848 | 12528 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26309 |
| Paralia sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131544 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parlibellus sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pinnularia sp. | 0 | 29232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26309 |
| Plagiogramma sp. | 0 | 58464 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Plagiotropis sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43848 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pleurosigma sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19488 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pseudostaurosira sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21924 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rhaphoneis sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rhopaloidia sp. | 29232 | 58464 | 0 | 0 | 789266 | 0 | 0 | 65772 | 0 | 43848 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Skelatonema costatum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 756380 | 1600456 | 3499079 | 0 | 0 |
| Stauronella sp. | 467713 | 438481 | 70157 | 155904 | 438481 | 0 | 136416 | 0 | 0 | 400114 | 65772 | 39463 | 986582 | 868193 |
| Staurosirella sp. | 0 | 0 | 35078 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52618 | 21924 | 898886 |
| Striatella sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21924 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Surirella sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21924 | 0 |
| Synedropsis sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12528 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tabularia sp. | 964658 | 175392 | 771727 | 370273 | 1534684 | 37584 | 0 | 197316 | 208279 | 69426 | 126063 | 92081 | 109620 | 109620 |
| Thalassionema sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19488 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 394633 | 6237394 | 11999766 | 12102078 | 5156538 | 1512760 | 0 |
| Thalassiosira sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58464 | 0 | 0 | 38976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3801631 | 65772 | 24116 |
| Unidentified diatom | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chrysophyta | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chromulina sp. | 0 | 526177 | 0 | 233857 | 1753924 | 87696 | 0 | 0 | 175392 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Euglenophyceae | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Euglena sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32886 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rhodophyta | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Polysiphonia sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 954914 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 285013 | 460405 | 0 | 0 |

A-14. Algal species on the wood ATS^{TM} floway during the study period (2008-2009) at Muddy Run, PA.

| | | To | ор | | | Mid | ldle | | | Bott | om | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Wood | 28-Oct-08 | 8-Mar-09 | 18-Jul-09 | 10-Oct-09 | 28-Oct-08 | 8-Mar-09 | 18-Jul-09 | 10-Oct-09 | 28-Oct-08 | 8-Mar-09 | 18-Jul-09 | 10-Oct-09 |
| | Wood_1 | Wood_1 | Wood_1 | Wood_1 | Wood_2 | Wood_2 | Wood_2 | Wood_2 | Wood_3 | Wood_3 | Wood_3 | Wood_3 |
| Chroococcales | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aphanocapsa rivularis | | | | | | | | | 1 | | 1 | |
| Aphanocapsa sp. | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Aphanothece sp. | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 |
| Asterocapsa sp. | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chroococcus minutus | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Eucapsis carpatica | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oscillatoriales | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Leptolyngbya boryana | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Leptolyngbya sp. | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | | |
| Leptolyngbya subtilis | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Oscillatoria curviceps | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Oscillatoria princeps | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Phormidium coutinhoi | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Phormidium fonticolum | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Phormidium retzii | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 |
| Phormidium simplicissima | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Planktolyngbya brevicellularis | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Pseudanabaena galeata | | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | 1 | | |
| Pseudanabaena limnetica | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Volvocales | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pandorina sp. | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chlorellales | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chlorella sp. | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lagerheimia quadriseta | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Quadricoccus sp. | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cladophorales | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Cladophora glomerata | | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Rhizoclonium hieroglyphicum | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zygnmentales | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Closterium cf. sublaterale | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Closterium sp. | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 |
| Cosmarium cf. margaritatum | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Cosmarium cf. pseudoconnatum | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | |
| Cosmarium cf. pseudoexiguum | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Cosmarium cf. sublobulatum | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | |
| Cosmarium cf. trilobulatum | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Cosmarium perforatum | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Cosmarium sp. | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | 1 |
| Netrium interrumptum | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Netrium sp. | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Pediastrum biradiatum | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pediastrum boryanum | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Pediastrum duplex | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | | 1 | |
| Pediastrum simplex | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | 1 | |
| Pediastrum tetras | 1 | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | 1 | |
| Spirogyra cf. rivularis | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | 1 |
| Staurastrum alternans | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sphaeropleales | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Coelastrum astroideum | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | |
| Coelastrum cf. cambricum | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Coelastrum cf. microporum | | | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| Desmodesmus alternans | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Desmodesmus balatonicus | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Desmodesmus bicaudatus | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Desmodesmus communis | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| Desmodesmus denticulatus | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Desmodesmus intermedius | 1 | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | |
| Desmodesmus opoliensis | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Desmodesmus polyspinosus | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 |
| Desmodesmus sp. | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |

| Gloeocystis sp. | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Hydrodictyon reticulatum | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Monoraphidium arcuatum | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 |
| Monoraphidium contortum | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | | | |
| Monoraphidium grifithii | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Scenedesmus acutus | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | |
| Scenedesmus alternans | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | 1 |
| Scenedesmus arcuatus | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Scenedesmus cf. granulatus | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Scenedesmus cf. ovalternus | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Scenedesmus dimorphus | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | |
| Scenedesmus sp. | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Scenedesnus ecornis | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Tetraedron caudatum | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chlorococcales | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cylindrocapsa sp. | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Microsporales | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Microspora floccosa | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Microspora quadrata | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Microspora wittrockii | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oedogoniales | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oedogonium cf. pluvioporum | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetrasporales | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sphaerocystis schroeteri | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| ~ · · · · | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chaetophorales | | | | | | | | | _ | | | |
| Stigeoclonium tenue | | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Uronema elongatum | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | |
| T11 = 4k = 2 = 1 = 1 = - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ulothrin of agricultu | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| Utoinrix CI. variabilis | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | |
| Utotnrix zonata | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | |

| Euglenales | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Lepocinclis cf. ovum | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Parmidium circulare | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trachelomonas volvocina | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chromulinales | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ochromonas sp. | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Thalassiophysales | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amphora cf. pediculus | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amphora ovalis | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Amphora sp. | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aulacoseirales | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aulacoseira granulata | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Aulacseira italica | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| Novienleles | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prachusing on | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| Grachystra sp. | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | | | |
| Calonels sp. | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Frustulia of vulgaris | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Frustulia rhomboides | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | |
| Frustulia sp | 1 | 1 | 1 | | I | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | |
| Navicula capitoradiata | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | |
| Navicula cf fonticola | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Navicula cf. margalithi | | | | | 1 | | | | | • | | |
| Navicula crvptocephala | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | | |
| Navicula gregaria | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | |
| Navicula lanceolata | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Navicula rhynconcephala | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | | | |
| Navicula spp. | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | | 1 | 1 |
| Neidium sp. | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pinnularia sp | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Achnanthales | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cocconeis placentula | | | | 1 | | 1 | | | 1 | | | |
| Cocconeis sp. | | | | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| Thalassiosirales | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stephanocyclus meneghiana | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surirellales | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Cymatopleura</i> sp. | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Surirella sp | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cymbellales | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cymbella cf. parva | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Cymbella cistula | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Cymbella lanceolata | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | |
| Cymbella leptoceras | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Cymbella spp. | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | |
| Cymbella tumida | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | |
| Cymbopleura sp. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Encyonema cf. gracile | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Encyonema minutum | | 1 | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Encyonema prostraturm | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Encyonema sp. | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Gomphoneis minuta | 1 | | | | | | | | 1 | | 1 | |
| Gomphonema capitatum | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Gomphonema cf. hastatum | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Gomphonema olivaceum | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | |
| Gomphonema truncatum | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Rhoicosphenia abbreviata | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fragilariales | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Diatoma mesodon | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Diatoma ehrenbergii | | 1 | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Diatoma monoliformis | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | |
| Diatoma tenuis | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Diatoma vulgare | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 |

| Fragilaria capucina | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Fragilaria sp. | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | |
| Meridion circulare | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Staurosirella leptostauron | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Synedra acus | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Synedra affinis | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| Synedra sp. | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Ulnaria ulna | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Melosirales | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Melosira varians | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bacillariales | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nitzschia acicularis | | | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Nitzschia cf. agnita | | 1 | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Nitzschia amphibia | 1 | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Nitzschia cf. draveillensis | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nitzschia cf. filiformis | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Nitzschia cf. paleacea | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nitzschia cf. radicula | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nitzschia sigmoidea | | | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Nitzschia dissipata | | 1 | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Nitzschia obtusa | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| Nitzschia palea | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | | |
| <i>Nitzschia</i> spp. | 1 | | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 |

A 1 indicates presence; a 'blank' indicates absence.

A-15. Algal species on the aluminum ATS^{TM} floway during the study period (2008-2009) at Muddy Run, PA.

| Alexander | 23-Jul- | 1-Aug- | T 13- | op 7-Mar- | 17-Jul- | 9-Oct- | 23-Jul- | 1-Aug- | Mi 13- | ddle 7-Mar- | 17-Jul- | 9-Oct- | 23-Jul- | 1-Aug- | Bot 13- | tom 7-Mar- | 17-Jul- | 9-Oct- |
|--|-----------|------------|----------|--------------|---------|--------|---------|---------|-----------|----------------|----------|----------|---------|------------|------------|---------------|----------|--------|
| Aluminum | Alum 1 | Alum 1 | Aug-08 | Alum 1 | Alum 1 | Alum 1 | Alum 2 | Alum 2 | Aug-08 | Alum 2 | Alum 2 | Alum 2 | Alum 3 | Alum 3 | Alum 3 | Alum 3 | Alum 3 | Alum 3 |
| Chroococcales | - indin_1 | <u>_</u> 1 | | | | | | . nun_2 | . nun_2 | . indini_2 | . mani_2 | . muni_2 | inan_5 | <u>.</u> , | | <u></u> 5 | - mann_5 | |
| Aphanocapsa sp. | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | |
| Bacularia vermicularis | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | |
| Chroococcus minor | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Chroococcus minutus | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Merismopedia tenuissima Eucapsis parallelepipedon | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oscillatoriales | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Geitlerinema amphibium | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Leibleinia epiphytica | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | |
| Leptolyngbya sp. | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Oscillatoria curviceps | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Oscillatoria princeps | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | 1 | |
| Phormidium autumnale | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Phormidium interruptum | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Phormidium lividum | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Phormidium retzii | | | | 1 | | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Phormidium stagninum Planktolyngbya brevicellularis | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Pseudanabaena galeata | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | 1 | | |
| Pseudanabaena limnetica | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chlorellales | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chlorella sp. Closteriopsis acicularis var. acicularis | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | 1 |
| Diacanthos belenophorus | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Cladophorales | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cladophora glomerata | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Rhizoclonium hieroglyphicum | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------|--------|---|---|---|---|--------|
| Zygnematales | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Closterium sp. | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cosmarium subtumidum var. subtumidum f. minor | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Cosmarium formosulum Cosmarium monomazum var. polymazum | | | | | | | | | | | 1 1 | | | | | |
| Cosmarium sp. Cosmarium vexatum var. lacustre | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 1 |
| Pediastrum biradiatum | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| Pediastrum boryanum | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | |
| Pediastrum duplex | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| Pediastrum tetras | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Spirogyra cf. rivularis | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Staurastrum alternans | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | |
| Sphaeropleales | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Coelastrum astroideum | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 |
| Coelastrum microporum Desmodesmus balatonicus | | | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | |
| Desmodesmus communis | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Desmodesmus gutwinskii Desmodesmus intermedius Desmodesmus polyspinosus | | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | | 1 |
| Desmodesmus sp. | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Gloeocystis sp. | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Kirchneriella lunaris Monoraphidium arcuatum Monoraphidium contortum | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 1 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Monoraphidium grifithii | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| Pseudocharacium sp. | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Scenedesmus acuminatus | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| Scenedesmus acutus | | | | | | | | | 1 | - | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Scenedesmus alternans | | | | | | | | | - | - | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 |

| Scenedesmus brevispina | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Scenedesmus dimorphus | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | |
| Scenedesmus linearis | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Scenedesmus sp. | 1 | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | |
| Schroederia sp. | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Tetraedron caudatum | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Microsporales | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Microspora floccosa | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Microspora quadrata | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oedogoniales | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bulbochaete sp. | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| pluvioporum | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | 1 | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetrasporales | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sphaerocystis schroeteri | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chaetophorales | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stigeoclonium tenue | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 |
| Uronema elongatum | | 1 | 1 | | | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ulothrichales | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ulothrix tenerrima | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ulothrix variabilis | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Ulothrix zonata | | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Euglenales | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trachelomonas volvocina | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Phacus sp. | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Thalassiophysales | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amphora libyca | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Amphora sp. | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Aulacoseirales | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Aulacoseira granulata | | | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aulacoseira italica | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Naviculales | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Brachysira sp. | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Diademsis contenta | | | 1 | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 |
| Frustulia sp. | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Gyrosigma attenuatum | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gyrosigma sp. | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Navicula capitata | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Navicula drouetiana | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Navicula hungarica | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Navicula cryptocephala | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 |
| Navicula gregaria | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Navicula lanceolata | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Navicula rhynconcephala | | | | 1 | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Navicula spp. | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 |
| Sellaphora sp. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Achnanthales | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cocconeis sp. | | | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Thalassiosirales | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stephanocyclus meneghiana | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 |
| Thalassiosira sp. | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surirellales Surirella elgans f. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| elongata | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surirella minuta | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surirella sp. | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cymbellales | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cymbella affine | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cymbella cistula | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Cymbella lanceolata | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | |
|---|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cymbella parva | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Cymbella spp. | | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | |
| Cymbella tumida | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | 1 |
| Cymbopleura sp. | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Encyonema caespitum | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Encyonema minutum | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Encyonema prostratum | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Encyonema sp. Gomphonema angustatum | | | | 1 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Gomphonema brasiliense | | | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Gomphonema truncatum | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Rhoicosphenia abbreviata | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fragilariales | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Diatoma ehrenbergii | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Diatoma monoliformis | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Diatoma tenuis | | | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Diatoma vulgare | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Fragilaria capucina | | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | |
| Fragilaria sp. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Meridion circulare | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Synedra formosa | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Synedra sp. | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Ulnaria ulna | | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Melosirales | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Melosira varians | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bacillariales | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nitzschia cf. angustatula | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Nitzschia cf. brevissima | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Nitzschia cf. paleacea | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Nitzschia cf. radicula | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Nitzschia siamoidaa | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |

| Nitzschia cf. vermicularis | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Nitzschia dissipata | 1 | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | | |
| Nitzschia linearis | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nitzschia spp. | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 |
| 11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tabellariales | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tabellaria flocculosa | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | 1 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chlamydomonadales | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chlamydomonas sp. | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rhopalodiales | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rhopalodia sp. | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Protomonadina | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Colponema sp. | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Peridiniales | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Peridinium sp. | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |

A-16. Chi-square tables for dominant taxa at the aluminum floway at Muddy Run ATS^{TM}

| Nitzschia spp. | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
|---------------------|----------|----------|-------|------------|
| Тор | 11 | 33 | -22 | 14.67 |
| Middle | 44 | 33 | 11 | 3.67 |
| Bottom | 44 | 33 | 11 | 3.67 |
| | | | | 22.00 |
| | | | | |
| Cymbella spp. | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Тор | 14 | 33 | -19 | 10.94 |
| Middle | 43 | 33 | 10 | 3.03 |
| Bottom | 43 | 33 | 10 | 3.03 |
| | | | | 17.00 |
| | | | | |
| Diademsis contenta | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Тор | 13 | 33 | -20 | 12.12 |
| Middle | 38 | 33 | 5 | 0.76 |
| Bottom | 50 | 33 | 17 | 8.76 |
| | | | | 21.64 |
| | | | | |
| Ulnaria ulna | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Тор | 14 | 33 | -19 | 10.94 |
| Middle | 43 | 33 | 10 | 3.03 |
| Bottom | 43 | 33 | 10 | 3.03 |
| | | | | 17.00 |
| | | | | |
| Phormidium retzii | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Тор | 20 | 33 | -13 | 5.12 |
| Middle | 40 | 33 | 7 | 1.48 |
| Bottom | 40 | 33 | 7 | 1.48 |
| | | | | 8.09 |
| | | | | |
| Fragilaria capucina | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Тор | 29 | 33 | -4 | 0.48 |
| Middle | 43 | 33 | 10 | 3.03 |
| Bottom | 29 | 33 | -4 | 0.48 |
| | | | | 4.00 |
| | | | | |
| Synedra sp. | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Тор | 29 | 33 | -4 | 0.48 |
| Middle | 29 | 33 | -4 | 0.48 |
| Bottom | 43 | 33 | 10 | 3.03 |
| | | | | 4.00 |

| Navicula spp. | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
|---------------------------|----------|----------|-------|------------|
| Тор | 27 | 33 | -6 | 1.09 |
| Middle | 45 | 33 | 12 | 4.36 |
| Bottom | 27 | 33 | -6 | 1.09 |
| | | | | 6.55 |
| | | | | |
| Rhoicosphenia abbreviata | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Тор | 38 | 33 | 5 | 0.76 |
| Middle | 50 | 33 | 17 | 8.76 |
| Bottom | 13 | 33 | -20 | 12.12 |
| | | | | 21.64 |
| | | | | |
| Stephanocyclus meneghiana | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Тор | 30 | 33 | -3 | 0.27 |
| Middle | 30 | 33 | -3 | 0.27 |
| Bottom | 40 | 33 | 7 | 1.48 |
| | | | | 2.03 |
| | | | | |
| Diatoma vulgare | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Тор | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Middle | 22 | 33 | -11 | 3.67 |
| Bottom | 44 | 33 | 11 | 3.67 |
| | | | | 7.33 |
| | | | | |
| Spirogyra cf. rivularis | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Тор | 29 | 33 | -4 | 0.48 |
| Middle | 36 | 33 | 3 | 0.27 |
| Bottom | 36 | 33 | 3 | 0.27 |
| | | | | 1.03 |
| | | | | |
| Desmodesmus communis | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Тор | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Middle | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Bottom | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | |
| Gomphonema truncatum | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Тор | 40 | 33 | 7 | 1.48 |
| Middle | 30 | 33 | -3 | 0.27 |
| Bottom | 30 | 33 | -3 | 0.27 |
| | | | | 2.03 |

| Melosira varians | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
|------------------|----------|----------|-------|------------|
| Тор | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Middle | 40 | 33 | 7 | 1.48 |
| Bottom | 27 | 33 | -6 | 1.09 |
| | | | | 2.58 |

A-17. Chi-square tables for dominant taxa at the wood floway at Muddy Run ATS^{TM}

| Oedogonium pluvioporum | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
|------------------------|----------|------------|------------------|------------|
| Тор | 0 | 33 | -33 | 33.00 |
| Middle | 40 | 33 | 7 | 1.48 |
| Bottom | 60 | 33 | 27 | 22.09 |
| | | | | 56.58 |
| | | | | |
| | 01 1 | | | <u> </u> |
| Cosmarium sp. | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Top | 14 | 33 | -19 | 10.94 |
| Middle | 43 | 33 | 10 | 3.03 |
| Bottom | 43 | 33 | 10 | 3.03 |
| | | | | 17.00 |
| | | | | |
| Diadesmis contenta | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Тор | 17 | 33 | -16 | 7.76 |
| Middle | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Bottom | 50 | 33 | 17 | 8.76 |
| | | | | 16.52 |
| | | | | |
| | 01 1 | | | |
| Closterium sp. | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Top | 20 | 33 | -13 | 5.12 |
| Middle | 40 | 33 | 7 | 1.48 |
| Bottom | 40 | 33 | 1 | 1.48 |
| | | | | 8.09 |
| | | | | |
| Pediastrum duplex | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Тор | 20 | 33 | -13 | 5.12 |
| Middle | 40 | 33 | 7 | 1.48 |
| Bottom | 40 | 33 | 7 | 1.48 |
| | | | | 8.09 |
| | | | | |
| Comphonomo trass estas | Observed | Europeterd | $(0,\mathbf{E})$ | Chi aman |
| Gomphonema truncatum | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Cm-square |
| rop Middle | 22 | 22 22 | -11 | 3.07 |
| Nildale Datta w | 33 | 33 22 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Bottom | 44 | 33 | 11 | 3.07 |
| | | | | 1.33 |
| | | | | |
| Phormidium retzii | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Тор | 25 | 33 | -8 | 1.94 |
| Middle | 38 | 33 | 5 | 0.76 |
| Bottom | 38 | 33 | 5 | 0.76 |
| | | | | 3.45 |

| Managan hidiran anaratan | Observed | E | (\mathbf{O}, \mathbf{E}) | Chi annon |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|----------------------------|--------------|
| Monoraphicium arcuatum | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Cni-square |
| Top | 29 | 33 | -4 | 0.48 |
| Middle | 43 | 33 | 10 | 3.03 |
| Bottom | 29 | 33 | -4 | 0.48 |
| | | | | 4.00 |
| | | | | |
| Cymbella spp. | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Ton | 29 | 33 | -4 | 0.48 |
| Middle | 43 | 33 | 10 | 3.03 |
| Bottom | 29 | 33 | -4 | 0.48 |
| Dottolli | 2) | 55 | • | 4 00 |
| | | | | 1.00 |
| | | | | |
| Nitzschia spp. | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Тор | 29 | 33 | -4 | 0.48 |
| Middle | 29 | 33 | -4 | 0.48 |
| Bottom | 43 | 33 | 10 | 3.03 |
| | | | | 4.00 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Ulothrix zonata | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Тор | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Middle | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Bottom | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Navicula gregaria | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Тор | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Middle | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Bottom | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0.00 |
| | | | | 0.00 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Cladophora glomerata | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Тор | 40 | 33 | 7 | 1.48 |
| Middle | 40 | 33 | 7 | 1.48 |
| Bottom | 20 | 33 | -13 | 5.12 |
| | | | | 8.09 |
| | | | | |
| Pediastrum horvanum | Observed | Fynected | (O -F) | Chi-square |
| Ton | <u></u> | 33 | 7 | 1 /18 |
| Middle | 40 | 22 | , 7 | 1.40 |
| Rottom | 40 | 22 22 | / | 1.40 5.10 |
| Douoin | 20 | 55 | -13 | 3.12 8.00 |
| | | | | 0.09 |

| Monoraphidium contortum Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 40 33 7 1.48 Bottom 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 20 33 -13 5.12 Cymbella tumida Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 40 33 7 1.48 Bottom 20 33 -13 5.12 Navicula cryptocephala Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 30 33 -3 0.27 Middle 30 33 -3 0.27 Middle 30 33 | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|----------|----------|------------------|--------------|
| Top 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 40 33 7 1.48 Bottom 20 33 -13 5.12 Cymbella tumida Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 40 33 7 1.48 Bottom 20 33 -13 5.12 Navicula cryptocephala Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -3 0.27 Middle 30 33 -3 0.27 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Expected (O-E) Chi-square <td< td=""><td>Monoraphidium contortum</td><td>Observed</td><td>Expected</td><td>(O-E)</td><td>Chi-square</td></td<> | Monoraphidium contortum | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Middle 40 33 7 1.48 Bottom 20 33 -13 5.12 Rop 33 7 1.48 Middle 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 40 33 7 1.48 Bottom 20 33 -13 5.12 Navicula cryptocephala Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 20 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -3 0.27 Middle 30 33 -3 0.27 Middle 30 33 3 0 0.00 Top 33 33 0 0.00 M | Тор | 40 | 33 | 7 | 1.48 |
| Bottom 20 33 -13 5.12 Roy 8.09 Cymbella tumida Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 40 33 7 1.48 Bottom 20 33 7.1 5.12 Navicula cryptocephala Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Niddle 30 33 -3 0.27 Middle 30 33 -3 0.27 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Cogn 33 3 0 0.00 Middle 33 | Middle | 40 | 33 | 7 | 1.48 |
| Cymbella tumida Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 40 33 7 1.48 Bottom 20 33 -13 5.12 Navicula cryptocephala Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Stephanocyclus meneghiana Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 30 33 -3 0.27 Middle 33 33 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 Middle <td>Bottom</td> <td>20</td> <td>33</td> <td>-13</td> <td>5.12</td> | Bottom | 20 | 33 | -13 | 5.12 |
| Cymbella tumida Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 40 33 7 1.48 Bottom 20 33 -13 5.12 Navicula cryptocephala Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Niddle 30 33 -3 0.27 Middle 30 33 7 1.48 Pragilaria capucina Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 33 33 | | | | | 8.09 |
| Cymbella tumida Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 40 33 7 1.48 Bottom 20 33 -13 5.12 Navicula cryptocephala Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -3 0.27 Middle 30 33 0 0.00 Middle 33 33 0 0.00 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | | |
| Top 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 40 33 7 1.48 Bottom 20 33 -13 5.12 Navicula cryptocephala Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -3 0.27 Middle 30 33 -3 0.27 Middle 30 33 -3 0.27 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Dotom 40 33 0 0.00 Middle 33 33 0 0.00 Middle 33 33 0 0.00 <td< td=""><td>Cymbella tumida</td><td>Observed</td><td>Expected</td><td>(O-E)</td><td>Chi-square</td></td<> | Cymbella tumida | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Middle 40 33 7 1.48 Bottom 20 33 -13 5.12 8.09 33 -13 5.12 8.09 Navicula cryptocephala Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 No 33 7 1.48 Stephanocyclus meneghiana Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 30 33 -3 0.27 Middle 30 33 7 1.48 Dottom 40 33 7 1.48 2.03 1.48 Fragilaria capucina Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square 0.00 Middle <td< td=""><td>Тор</td><td>40</td><td>33</td><td>7</td><td>1.48</td></td<> | Тор | 40 | 33 | 7 | 1.48 |
| Bottom 20 33 -13 5.12 8.09 Navicula cryptocephala Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Notom 40 33 7 1.48 Stephanocyclus meneghiana Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 30 33 -3 0.27 Middle 30 33 7 1.48 0 2.03 - 2.03 Fragilaria capucina Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 33 33 0 0.00 0.00 Middle 33 33 0 0.00 0.00 Spirogyra rivularis | Middle | 40 | 33 | 7 | 1.48 |
| Navicula cryptocephala Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Rottom 30 33 -3 0.27 Middle 30 33 -3 0.20 Fragilaria capucina Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 33 33 0 0 | Bottom | 20 | 33 | -13 | 5.12 |
| Navicula cryptocephala Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Stephanocyclus meneghiana Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 30 33 -3 0.27 Middle 30 33 -3 0.27 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 2.03 2.03 2.03 2.03 Fragilaria capucina Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 33 33 0 0.00 0.00 Middle 33 33 0 0.00 0.00 Spirogyra rivularis Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square | | | | | 8.09 |
| Navicula cryptocephala Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Stephanocyclus meneghiana Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 30 33 -3 0.27 Middle 30 33 -3 0.27 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 2.03 Fragilaria capucina Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 33 33 0 0.00 0.00 Middle 33 33 0 0.00 0.00 Spirogyra rivularis Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 38 33< | | | | | |
| Kavicua Cypiocepiaia Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 40 33 7 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Stephanocyclus meneghiana Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 30 33 -3 0.27 Middle 30 33 -3 0.27 Middle 30 33 -3 0.27 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 2.03 2.03 3 -3 0.27 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 2.03 2.03 - 2.03 - Fragilaria capucina Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 33 33 0 0.00 - Spirogyra rivularis Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square To | Navioula gruptogophala | Observed | Expected | $(0 \mathbf{E})$ | Chi squara |
| top 40 5.5 / 1.48 Middle 20 33 -13 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Stephanocyclus meneghiana Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 30 33 -3 0.27 Middle 30 33 -3 0.27 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 30 33 0 0.27 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 33 33 0 0.00 Middle 33 33 0 0.00 Bottom 33 33 5 0.76 Middle 38 33 5 0.76 Bottom 25 33 -8 1.94 33 | Tvavicula cryptocephala | 40 | | (U-E) 7 | 1 40 |
| Muture 20 5.3 -1.3 5.12 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 8.09 Stephanocyclus meneghiana Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 30 33 -3 0.27 Middle 30 33 -3 0.27 Bottom 40 33 -7 1.48 2.03 2.03 | Top Middle | 40 20 | 22 22 | / 12 | 1.48 5.12 |
| Stephanocyclus meneghiana Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 30 33 -3 0.27 Middle 30 33 -3 0.27 Bottom 40 33 -3 0.27 Bottom 40 33 -3 0.27 Bottom 40 33 -7 1.48 2.03 2.03 2.03 2.03 Fragilaria capucina Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 33 33 0 0.00 0.00 Middle 33 33 0 0.00 0.00 Middle 33 33 0 0.00 0.00 Bottom 33 33 5 0.76 0.00 Spirogyra rivularis Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 38 33 5 0.76 Bottom 25 33 -8 1.94 < | Bottom | 20 40 | 22 22 | -13 7 | J.12 1 49 |
| Stephanocyclus meneghiana Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 30 33 -3 0.27 Middle 30 33 -3 0.27 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 2.03 2.03 2.03 3 7 1.48 September Expected (O-E) Chi-square 2.03 Fragilaria capucina Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 33 33 0 0.00 0.00 Middle 33 33 0 0.00 0.00 Bottom 33 33 0 0.00 0.00 Spirogyra rivularis Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 38 33 5 0.76 Middle 38 33 5 0.76 Middle 38 33 5 0.76 Middle 38 33 10 | Воцош | 40 | 33 | / | 1.48 |
| Stephanocyclus meneghiana Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 30 33 -3 0.27 Middle 30 33 -3 0.27 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 2.03 2.03 2.03 2.03 Fragilaria capucina Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 33 33 0 0.00 Middle 33 33 0 0.00 Bottom 33 33 0 0.00 Middle 33 33 0 0.00 Bottom 33 33 0 0.00 Spirogyra rivularis Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 38 33 5 0.76 Middle 38 33 5 0.76 Bottom 25 33 -8 1.94 | | | | | 8.09 |
| Stephanocyclus meneghiana Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 30 33 -3 0.27 Middle 30 33 -3 0.27 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 Pragilaria capucina Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 33 33 0 0.00 Middle 33 33 0 0.00 Bottom 33 33 0 0.00 Spirogyra rivularis Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 38 33 5 0.76 Middle 38 33 5 0.76 Bottom 25 33 | | | | | |
| Top 30 33 -3 0.27 Middle 30 33 -3 0.27 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 2.03 2.03 2.03 Fragilaria capucina Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 33 33 0 0.00 Middle 33 33 0 0.00 Middle 33 33 0 0.00 Bottom 33 33 0 0.00 Bottom 33 33 0 0.00 Bottom 25 33 5 0.76 Middle 38 33 5 0.76 Middle 38 33 5 0.76 Bottom 25 33 -8 1.94 | Stephanocyclus meneghiana | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Middle 30 33 -3 0.27 Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 2.03 Fragilaria capucina Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 33 33 0 0.00 Middle 33 33 0 0.00 Middle 33 33 0 0.00 Bottom 25 33 5 0.76 Middle 38 33 5 0.76 Bottom 25 33 -8 1.94 3.45 Leptolyngbya sp. Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 43 33 10 3.03 | Тор | 30 | 33 | -3 | 0.27 |
| Bottom 40 33 7 1.48 2.03 Fragilaria capucina Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 33 33 0 0.00 Middle 33 33 0 0.00 Bottom 33 33 0 0.00 Middle 33 33 0 0.00 Bottom 33 33 0 0.00 Bottom 33 33 0 0.00 Bottom 33 33 0 0.00 Leptolyngbya sp. Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 38 33 5 0.76 Middle 38 33 5 0.76 Bottom 25 33 -8 1.94 .45 | Middle | 30 | 33 | -3 | 0.27 |
| Fragilaria capucina Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 33 33 0 0.00 Middle 33 33 0 0.00 Bottom 33 33 0 0.00 Spirogyra rivularis Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 38 33 5 0.76 Middle 38 33 5 0.76 Bottom 25 33 -8 1.94 . . . 3.45 Leptolyngbya sp. Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 43 33 10 3.03 Middle 43 33 10 3.03 Bottom 14 33 -19 10.94 <td>Bottom</td> <td>40</td> <td>33</td> <td>7</td> <td>1.48</td> | Bottom | 40 | 33 | 7 | 1.48 |
| Fragilaria capucina Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 33 33 0 0.00 Middle 33 33 0 0.00 Bottom 33 33 0 0.00 Bottom 33 33 0 0.00 Bottom 33 33 0 0.00 Spirogyra rivularis Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 38 33 5 0.76 Middle 38 33 5 0.76 Bottom 25 33 -8 1.94 . . . 3.45 3.45 Leptolyngbya sp. Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 43 33 10 3.03 Middle 43 33 10 3.03 Bottom 14 33 -19 10.94 | | | | | 2.03 |
| Fragilaria capucina Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 33 33 0 0.00 Middle 33 33 0 0.00 Bottom 33 33 0 0.00 Bottom 33 33 0 0.00 Bottom 33 33 0 0.00 Spirogyra rivularis Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 38 33 5 0.76 Middle 38 33 5 0.76 Bottom 25 33 -8 1.94 | | | | | |
| Inaginal capacina Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 33 33 0 0.00 Middle 33 33 0 0.00 Bottom 33 33 0 0.00 Spirogyra rivularis Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 38 33 5 0.76 Middle 38 33 5 0.76 Middle 38 33 -8 1.94 Top 43 33 10 3.03 Middle 43 33 10 3.03 Middle 43 33 10 3.03 Middle 43 33 10 3.03 Bottom 14 33 -19 10.94 17.00 17.00 17.00 17.00 | Fragilaria canucina | Observed | Expected | (O -F) | Chi_square |
| Middle 33 33 0 0.00 Bottom 33 33 0 0.00 Spirogyra rivularis Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 38 33 5 0.76 Middle 38 33 5 0.76 Middle 38 33 5 0.76 Middle 38 33 5 0.76 Bottom 25 33 -8 1.94 Image: Spirogyna rivularis Deserved Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 38 33 10 3.03 Middle 43 33 10 3.03 Middle 43 33 10 3.03 Middle 43 33 -19 10.94 Middle 14 33 -19 10.94 | Top | 33 | 33 | 0 | |
| Middle 3.3 3.3 0 0.00 Bottom 33 33 0 0.00 Spirogyra rivularis Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 38 33 5 0.76 Middle 38 33 5 0.76 Bottom 25 33 -8 1.94 Image: Spirogyra sp. Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Image: Spirogyra sp. Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 43 33 10 3.03 Middle 43 33 10 3.03 Middle 43 33 10 3.03 Middle 43 33 -19 10.94 Middle 14 33 -19 10.94 | Middle | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Spirogyra rivularis Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 38 33 5 0.76 Middle 38 33 5 0.76 Bottom 25 33 -8 1.94 Image: Spirogyra rivularis Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Middle 38 33 10 3.45 Leptolyngbya sp. Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 43 33 10 3.03 Middle 43 33 10 3.03 Middle 43 33 -19 10.94 17.00 14 33 -19 10.94 | Bottom | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Spirogyra rivularis Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 38 33 5 0.76 Middle 38 33 5 0.76 Bottom 25 33 -8 1.94 Leptolyngbya sp. Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 43 33 10 3.03 Middle 43 33 10 3.03 Bottom 14 33 -19 10.94 | Dottom | 55 | 55 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Spirogyra rivularis Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 38 33 5 0.76 Middle 38 33 5 0.76 Bottom 25 33 -8 1.94 Leptolyngbya sp. Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 43 33 10 3.03 Middle 43 33 10 3.03 Middle 43 33 -19 10.94 Top 14 33 -19 10.94 | | | | | 0.00 |
| Spirogyra rivularis Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 38 33 5 0.76 Middle 38 33 5 0.76 Bottom 25 33 -8 1.94 Leptolyngbya sp. Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 43 33 10 3.03 Middle 43 33 10 3.03 Bottom 14 33 -19 10.94 | | | | | |
| Top 38 33 5 0.76 Middle 38 33 5 0.76 Bottom 25 33 -8 1.94 25 33 -8 1.94 3.45 3.45 Leptolyngbya sp. Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 43 33 10 3.03 Middle 43 33 10 3.03 Bottom 14 33 -19 10.94 17.00 10 17.00 10 10 | Spirogyra rivularis | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Middle 38 33 5 0.76 Bottom 25 33 -8 1.94 3.45 3.45 3.45 Leptolyngbya sp. Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 43 33 10 3.03 Middle 43 33 10 3.03 Bottom 14 33 -19 10.94 17.00 10 17.00 10 | Тор | 38 | 33 | 5 | 0.76 |
| Bottom 25 33 -8 1.94 3.45 Leptolyngbya sp. Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 43 33 10 3.03 Middle 43 33 10 3.03 Bottom 14 33 -19 10.94 17.00 10 17.00 10 10 | Middle | 38 | 33 | 5 | 0.76 |
| Leptolyngbya sp. Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 43 33 10 3.03 Middle 43 33 10 3.03 Bottom 14 33 -19 10.94 17.00 10 10 10 | Bottom | 25 | 33 | -8 | 1.94 |
| Leptolyngbya sp. Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 43 33 10 3.03 Middle 43 33 10 3.03 Bottom 14 33 -19 10.94 17.00 10 11 11 11 | | | | | 3.45 |
| Leptolyngbya sp. Observed Expected (O-E) Chi-square Top 43 33 10 3.03 Middle 43 33 10 3.03 Bottom 14 33 -19 10.94 17.00 10 11 11 11 | | | | | |
| Top 43 33 10 3.03 Middle 43 33 10 3.03 Bottom 14 33 -19 10.94 17.00 | Leptolyngbya sp. | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Middle 43 33 10 3.03 Bottom 14 33 -19 10.94 17.00 | Тор | 43 | 33 | 10 | 3.03 |
| Bottom 14 33 -19 10.94 17.00 | Middle | 43 | 33 | 10 | 3.03 |
| 17.00 | Bottom | 14 | 33 | -19 | 10.94 |
| | | | | | 17.00 |

| Desmodesmus communis | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
|----------------------|----------|----------|-------|------------|
| Тор | 43 | 33 | 10 | 3.03 |
| Middle | 43 | 33 | 10 | 3.03 |
| Bottom | 14 | 33 | -19 | 10.94 |
| | | | | 17.00 |
| | | | | |
| Synedra sp. | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Тор | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Middle | 22 | 33 | -11 | 3.67 |
| Bottom | 44 | 33 | 11 | 3.67 |
| | | | | 7.33 |
| | | | | |
| Navicula spp. | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Тор | 43 | 33 | 10 | 3.03 |
| Middle | 29 | 33 | -4 | 0.48 |
| Bottom | 29 | 33 | -4 | 0.48 |
| | | | | 4.00 |
| | | | | |
| Melosira varians | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Тор | 36 | 33 | 3 | 0.27 |
| Middle | 27 | 33 | -6 | 1.09 |
| Bottom | 36 | 33 | 3 | 0.27 |
| | | | | 1.64 |
| | | | | |
| Diatoma vulgare | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Тор | 40 | 33 | 7 | 1.48 |
| Middle | 30 | 33 | -3 | 0.27 |
| Bottom | 30 | 33 | -3 | 0.27 |
| | | | | 2.03 |
| | | | | |
| Ulnaria ulna | Observed | Expected | (O-E) | Chi-square |
| Тор | 40 | 33 | 7 | 1.48 |
| Middle | 30 | 33 | -3 | 0.27 |
| Bottom | 30 | 33 | -3 | 0.27 |
| | | | | 2.03 |

| | | Nitr | ogen | | Phosphorus | | | |
|--------------------|-------|-------|-------------|------|-------------------|-------|------|------|
| . . | Repl. | Repl. | | Std | Repl. | Repl. | | Std |
| Date | 1 | 2 | Mean | dev | 1 | 2 | Mean | dev |
| 28-Jul-08 | | | a 60 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.01 |
| Тор | 2.64 | 2.55 | 2.60 | 0.06 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.01 |
| Mid | 2.42 | 2.50 | 2.46 | 0.06 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.02 |
| Bot | 1.74 | 1.64 | 1.69 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.00 |
| Mean | | | 2.25 | 0.06 | | | 0.25 | 0.01 |
| 6-Aug-08 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 2.81 | 2.87 | 2.84 | 0.04 | 0.37 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.01 |
| Mid | 2.81 | 2.59 | 2.70 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.00 |
| Bot | 1.96 | 1.75 | 1.86 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.01 |
| Mean | | | 2.47 | 0.12 | | | 0.25 | 0.00 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 16-Aug-08 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 3.05 | 2.89 | 2.97 | 0.11 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.01 |
| Mid | 2.79 | 2.77 | 2.78 | 0.01 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.01 |
| Bot | 2.31 | 1.96 | 2.14 | 0.25 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.01 |
| Mean | | | 2.63 | 0.12 | | | 0.23 | 0.01 |
| 12-May-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 1.75 | 1.41 | 1.58 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.02 |
| Mid | 1.44 | 1.97 | 1.71 | 0.37 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.00 |
| Bot | 1.89 | 2.02 | 1.96 | 0.09 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.01 |
| Mean | | | 1.75 | 0.24 | | | 0.21 | 0.01 |
| 21 Mar - 00 | | | | | | | | |
| 21-May-09 | 1 70 | 1 (7 | 1 72 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.01 |
| Top | 1.79 | 1.07 | 1.73 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.01 |
| Mid | 1.68 | 1./3 | 1./1 | 0.04 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.01 |
| Bot | 1.98 | 2.19 | 2.09 | 0.15 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.01 |
| Mean | | | 1.84 | 0.09 | | | 0.19 | 0.01 |
| 12-Jun-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 1.96 | 1.82 | 1.89 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.01 |
| Mid | 1.66 | 1.79 | 1.73 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.01 |
| Bot | 1.81 | 1.64 | 1.73 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.01 |
| Mean | | | 1.78 | 0.10 | | | 0.18 | 0.01 |
| 26.Jun.09 | | | | | | | | |
| Ton | 2 56 | 2 60 | 2 58 | 0.03 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.01 |
| Mia | 2.50 | 2.00 | 2.30 | 0.03 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.20 | 0.01 |
| Bot | 2.63 | 2.83 | 2.73 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.01 |

A-18. Percent (%) of nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) in the biomass at different sections of the aluminum ATS^{TM} at Muddy Run.
| Mean | | | 2.58 | 0.06 | | | 0.25 | 0.01 |
|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 10-Jul-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 2.61 | 2.14 | 2.38 | 0.33 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.01 |
| Mid | 2.33 | 2.78 | 2.56 | 0.32 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.01 |
| Bot | 2.51 | 2.64 | 2.58 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.01 |
| Mean | | | 2.50 | 0.25 | | | 0.20 | 0.01 |
| 17-Jul-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Top | 2.42 | 2.09 | 2.26 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.01 |
| Mid | 2.12 | 2.30 | 2.21 | 0.13 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.01 |
| Bot | 2.68 | 2.45 | 2.57 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.01 |
| Mean | | | 2.34 | 0.17 | | | 0.22 | 0.01 |
| 31-Jul-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 2.68 | 2.67 | 2.68 | 0.01 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.00 |
| Mid | 2.41 | 2.69 | 2.55 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.01 |
| Bot | 1.25 | 1.79 | 1.52 | 0.38 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.01 |
| Mean | | | 2.25 | 0.20 | | | 0.23 | 0.01 |
| 14-Aug-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 1.64 | 1.78 | 1.71 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.01 |
| Mid | 1.48 | 2.00 | 1.74 | 0.37 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.01 |
| Bot | 2.72 | 2.62 | 2.67 | 0.07 | 0.30 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.02 |
| Mean | | | 2.04 | 0.18 | | | 0.24 | 0.02 |
| 28-Aug-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 2.55 | 1.83 | 2.19 | 0.51 | 0.27 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.04 |
| Mid | 2.96 | 3.17 | 3.07 | 0.15 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.04 |
| Bot | 3.61 | 3.34 | 3.48 | 0.19 | 0.38 | 0.32 | 0.35 | 0.04 |
| Mean | | | 2.91 | 0.28 | | | 0.30 | 0.04 |
| 17-Sep-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 2.21 | 2.14 | 2.18 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.01 |
| Mid | 2.12 | 2.38 | 2.25 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.01 |
| Bot | 2.40 | 2.53 | 2.47 | 0.09 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.01 |
| Mean | | | 2.30 | 0.11 | | | 0.32 | 0.01 |
| 26-Sep-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 2.46 | 2.26 | 2.36 | 0.14 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.01 |
| Mid | 2.68 | 2.68 | 2.68 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.01 |
| Bot | 3.02 | 3.18 | 3.10 | 0.11 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.01 |
| Mean | | | 2.71 | 0.08 | | | 0.30 | 0.01 |
| 29-Oct-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 2.08 | 1.95 | 2.02 | 0.09 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.00 |
| - | | | | | | | | |

| Mean | | | 2.08 | 0.10 | | | 0.31 | 0.00 |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Bot | 2.33 | 2.48 | 2.41 | 0.11 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.01 |
| Mid | 1.76 | 1.90 | 1.83 | 0.10 | 0.30 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.01 |

| | Doral | <u>Nitr</u> | ogen | S4.J | Dard | Phosp Borr! | horus | 643 |
|------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------|-------|----------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| Data | Kepi. | Repl. | Маат | Sta | Kepi. | Repl. | Маан | Sta |
| | 1 | 2 | Mean | dev | 1 | 2 | Mean | aev |
| 28-Jul-08 | 0.414 | 0.405 | 0.410 | 0.006 | 0.055 | 0.057 | 0.056 | 0.002 |
| Тор | 0.414 | 0.405 | 0.410 | 0.006 | 0.055 | 0.057 | 0.050 | 0.002 |
| Iviiu Dot | 0.308 | 0.498 | 0.303 | 0.007 | 0.039 | 0.030 | 0.034 | 0.000 |
| DOL | 0.479 | 0.284 | 0.382 | 0.138 | 0.050 | 0.025 | 0.029 | 0.009 |
| wiean | | | 0.431 | 0.050 | | | 0.040 | 0.000 |
| 6-Aug-08 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 0.318 | 0.284 | 0.301 | 0.024 | 0.042 | 0.036 | 0.039 | 0.004 |
| Mid | 0.216 | 0.241 | 0.229 | 0.018 | 0.019 | 0.022 | 0.020 | 0.003 |
| Bot | 0.208 | 0.203 | 0.206 | 0.004 | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.000 |
| Mean | | | 0.245 | 0.015 | | | 0.025 | 0.002 |
| 16-Aug-08 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 0.329 | 0.324 | 0.327 | 0.004 | 0.034 | 0.035 | 0.034 | 0.001 |
| Mid | 0.282 | 0.271 | 0.277 | 0.008 | 0.024 | 0.022 | 0.023 | 0.002 |
| Bot | 0.249 | 0.186 | 0.218 | 0.045 | 0.019 | 0.015 | 0.017 | 0.003 |
| Mean | | | 0.274 | 0.019 | | | 0.025 | 0.002 |
| 12-Mav-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 0.084 | 0.090 | 0.087 | 0.004 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.011 | 0.001 |
| Mid | 0.050 | 0.108 | 0.079 | 0.041 | 0.008 | 0.012 | 0.010 | 0.003 |
| Bot | 0.034 | 0.034 | 0.034 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.000 |
| Mean | | | 0.067 | 0.015 | | | 0.008 | 0.001 |
| 21-May-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Top | 0.091 | 0.100 | 0.096 | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.001 |
| Mid | 0.109 | 0.052 | 0.080 | 0.040 | 0.012 | 0.005 | 0.009 | 0.004 |
| Bot | 0.061 | 0.022 | 0.042 | 0.028 | 0.007 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.003 |
| Mean | 01001 | 010 | 0.073 | 0.025 | 01007 | 0.002 | 0.007 | 0.003 |
| 12 Jun 00 | | | | | | | | |
| 12-Juii-09 | 0 127 | 0.075 | 0 101 | 0.037 | 0.011 | 0.007 | 0.000 | 0.003 |
| Mid | 0.127 | 0.075 | 0.101 | 0.003 | 0.011 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.005 |
| Bot | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Mean | 0.047 | 0.055 | 0.068 | 0.017 | 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.007 | 0.001 |
| 26 Jun 00 | | | | | | | | |
| 20-Juii-09 | 0.520 | 0 575 | 0 5 4 9 | 0.020 | 0.051 | 0.060 | 0.055 | 0.007 |
| тор міа | 0.320 | 0.373 | 0.340 | 0.039 | 0.031 | 0.000 | 0.033 | 0.007 |
| Rot | 0.360 | 0.337 | 0.339 | 0.030 | 0.039 | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.002 |
| Mean | 0.157 | 0.155 | 0.14 5 0.350 | 0.029 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 0.036 | 0.000 0.003 |
| 10 7 1 00 | | | | | | | | |
| 10-Jul-09 Top | 0 347 | 0 276 | 0 312 | 0.050 | 0.028 | 0.026 | 0.027 | 0.001 |
| тор міа | 0.347 | 0.270 | 0.312 0.247 | 0.030 | 0.028 | 0.020 | 0.027 | 0.001 |
| Rot | 0.238 0.171 | 0.250 | 0.247 0.144 | 0.013 | 0.020 | 0.017 | 0.019 | 0.002 |
| Mean | 0.171 | 0.110 | 0.144 | 0.032 | 0.014 | 0.000 | 0.011 | 0.004 |

A-19. Mass nutrient uptake (grams of N or $P/m^2/day$) for the aluminum ATS^{TM} floway at Muddy Run.

| 17-Jul-09 | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|----------|-------|-------|
| Тор | 0.482 | 0.378 | 0.430 | 0.074 | 0.0 | 52 0.043 | 0.048 | 0.006 |
| Mid | 0.248 | 0.267 | 0.258 | 0.013 | 0.0 | 25 0.023 | 0.024 | 0.001 |
| Bot | 0.239 | 0.228 | 0.234 | 0.008 | 0.0 | 19 0.018 | 0.018 | 0.001 |
| Mean | | | 0.307 | 0.032 | | | 0.030 | 0.003 |
| 31-Jul-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 0.437 | 0.417 | 0.427 | 0.014 | 0.0 | 46 0.044 | 0.045 | 0.001 |
| Mid | 0.321 | 0.307 | 0.314 | 0.010 | 0.0 | 33 0.027 | 0.030 | 0.004 |
| Bot | 0.141 | 0.193 | 0.167 | 0.037 | 0.0 | 16 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.001 |
| Mean | | | 0.303 | 0.020 | | | 0.031 | 0.002 |
| 14-Aug-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 0.151 | 0.093 | 0.122 | 0.041 | 0.0 | 18 0.011 | 0.015 | 0.005 |
| Mid | 0.123 | 0.210 | 0.167 | 0.062 | 0.0 | 17 0.024 | 0.021 | 0.005 |
| Bot | 0.220 | 0.241 | 0.231 | 0.015 | 0.0 | 24 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.000 |
| Mean | | | 0.173 | 0.039 | | | 0.020 | 0.003 |
| 28-Aug-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 0.153 | 0.137 | 0.145 | 0.011 | 0.0 | 16 0.017 | 0.016 | 0.000 |
| Mid | 0.089 | 0.105 | 0.097 | 0.011 | 0.0 | 08 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.002 |
| Bot | 0.173 | 0.130 | 0.152 | 0.030 | 0.0 | 18 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.004 |
| Mean | | | 0.131 | 0.018 | | | 0.014 | 0.002 |
| 17-Sep-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 0.177 | 0.182 | 0.180 | 0.004 | 0.0 | 20 0.023 | 0.022 | 0.002 |
| Mid | 0.131 | 0.209 | 0.170 | 0.055 | 0.0 | 21 0.030 | 0.025 | 0.007 |
| Bot | 0.250 | 0.258 | 0.254 | 0.006 | 0.0 | 36 0.037 | 0.037 | 0.000 |
| Mean | | | 0.201 | 0.021 | | | 0.028 | 0.003 |
| 26-Sep-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 0.175 | 0.237 | 0.206 | 0.044 | 0.0 | 19 0.029 | 0.024 | 0.007 |
| Mid | 0.126 | 0.257 | 0.192 | 0.093 | 0.0 | 16 0.031 | 0.023 | 0.010 |
| Bot | 0.356 | 0.340 | 0.348 | 0.011 | 0.0 | 35 0.033 | 0.034 | 0.002 |
| Mean | | | 0.248 | 0.049 | | | 0.027 | 0.006 |
| 29-Oct-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 0.031 | 0.033 | 0.032 | 0.001 | 0.0 | 04 0.005 | 0.004 | 0.000 |
| Mid | 0.018 | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 03 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.000 |
| Bot | 0.044 | 0.030 | 0.037 | 0.010 | 0.0 | 07 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.002 |
| Mean | | | 0.029 | 0.004 | | | 0.004 | 0.001 |

Nitrogen Phosphorus Repl. Repl. Std Repl. Repl. Std 2 2 Date 1 Mean dev 1 Mean dev 12-May-09 1.45 1.29 1.37 0.11 0.20 0.19 0.20 0.01 Top Mid 1.99 0.09 0.25 1.86 1.93 0.19 0.22 0.04 0.21 0.21 Bot 2.34 1.83 2.09 0.36 0.20 0.01 Mean 1.79 0.19 0.21 0.02 21-May-09 1.69 0.00 0.18 0.19 0.19 0.01 Top 1.69 1.69 0.42 0.20 0.19 0.02 Mid 2.46 1.86 2.16 0.17 Bot 2.81 2.17 2.49 0.45 0.23 0.20 0.22 0.02 Mean 2.11 0.29 0.20 0.02 12-Jun-09 2.49 2.30 0.25 0.30 0.28 0.04 Top 2.11 0.27 Mid 2.71 2.41 2.56 0.21 0.24 0.25 0.26 0.01 Bot 2.92 2.90 2.91 0.01 0.26 0.29 0.28 0.02 2.59 Mean 0.16 0.27 0.02 26-Jun-09 2.83 2.78 0.08 0.24 0.25 0.25 0.01 Top 2.72 Mid 3.36 3.18 3.27 0.13 0.22 0.22 0.01 0.21 Bot 3.68 3.29 3.49 0.28 0.24 0.30 0.27 0.04 Mean 3.18 0.16 0.24 0.02 10-Jul-09 Top 2.40 2.70 2.55 0.21 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.00 Mid 2.56 2.69 2.63 0.09 0.20 0.21 0.21 0.01 Bot 2.94 0.21 0.22 2.65 2.80 0.21 0.22 0.01 Mean 2.66 0.17 0.21 0.00 17-Jul-09 0.01 2.62 2.19 2.41 0.30 0.22 0.23 0.23 Top Mid 2.96 3.01 2.99 0.04 0.21 0.20 0.21 0.01 2.94 0.21 0.22 Bot 2.65 2.80 0.21 0.22 0.01 0.22 Mean 2.73 0.18 0.01 31-Jul-09 Top 2.15 2.56 2.36 0.29 0.23 0.27 0.25 0.03 Mid 3.37 0.08 0.30 0.29 0.01 3.26 3.32 0.28 Bot 2.82 2.782.80 0.03 0.18 0.19 0.19 0.01 Mean 2.82 0.13 0.24 0.02 14-Aug-09 1.89 0.22 Top 1.83 1.94 0.08 0.21 0.22 0.01 Mid 2.57 2.11 2.34 0.33 0.25 0.22 0.24 0.02 Bot 2.16 2.68 2.42 0.37 0.21 0.26 0.24 0.04

A-20. Percent (%) of nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) in the biomass at different sections of the wood ATSTM at Muddy Run.

| Mean | | | 2.22 | 0.26 | | | 0.23 | 0.02 |
|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 28-Aug-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 2.70 | 2.57 | 2.64 | 0.09 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.00 |
| Mid | 3.29 | 2.89 | 3.09 | 0.28 | 0.36 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.08 |
| Bot | 3.61 | 3.96 | 3.79 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.33 | 0.30 | 0.05 |
| Mean | | | 3.17 | 0.21 | | | 0.28 | 0.04 |
| 17-Sep-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 2.46 | 1.91 | 2.19 | 0.39 | 0.29 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.04 |
| Mid | 2.88 | 2.52 | 2.70 | 0.25 | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.03 |
| Bot | 3.33 | 2.41 | 2.87 | 0.65 | 0.34 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.05 |
| Mean | | | 2.59 | 0.43 | | | 0.30 | 0.04 |
| 26-Sep-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 2.25 | 1.90 | 2.08 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.01 |
| Mid | 2.92 | 2.77 | 2.85 | 0.11 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.01 |
| Bot | 3.36 | 3.33 | 3.35 | 0.02 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.03 |
| Mean | | | 2.76 | 0.12 | | | 0.27 | 0.02 |
| 29-Oct-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 1.98 | 1.84 | 1.91 | 0.10 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.01 |
| Mid | 2.44 | 2.50 | 2.47 | 0.04 | 0.29 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.01 |
| Bot | 2.74 | 2.80 | 2.77 | 0.04 | 0.32 | 0.38 | 0.35 | 0.04 |
| Mean | | | 2.38 | 0.06 | | | 0.30 | 0.02 |

| | <u>Nitr</u> Repl. | ogen Repl. | | Std | <u>Pho</u> Renl | <u>sphorus</u> Repl. | | |
|-------------|----------------------|---------------|-------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| Date | 1 | 2 | Mean | dev | 1 | 2 | Mean | dev |
| 12-May- | 09 | - | | | | - | | |
| Тор | 0.007 | 0.003 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.000 |
| Mid | 0.006 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.000 |
| Bot | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.000 |
| Mean | | | 0.007 | 0.002 | | | 0.001 | 0.000 |
| 21-May-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 0.002 | 0.012 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| Mid | 0.007 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.000 |
| Bot | 0.020 | 0.013 | 0.016 | 0.005 | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.000 |
| Mean | | | 0.011 | 0.005 | | | 0.001 | 0.000 |
| 12-Jun-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 0.032 | 0.017 | 0.025 | 0.010 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.001 |
| Mid | 0.171 | 0.108 | 0.140 | 0.045 | 0.015 | 0.012 | 0.014 | 0.002 |
| Bot | 0.093 | 0.084 | 0.089 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.000 |
| Mean | | | 0.084 | 0.020 | | | 0.008 | 0.001 |
| 26-Jun-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 0.177 | 0.113 | 0.145 | 0.045 | 0.016 | 0.010 | 0.013 | 0.004 |
| Mid | 0.323 | 0.283 | 0.303 | 0.028 | 0.021 | 0.019 | 0.020 | 0.002 |
| Bot | 0.166 | 0.158 | 0.162 | 0.006 | 0.011 | 0.014 | 0.013 | 0.003 |
| Mean | | | 0.203 | 0.026 | | | 0.015 | 0.003 |
| 10-Jul-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 0.130 | 0.130 | 0.130 | 0.000 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.001 |
| Mid | 0.197 | 0.239 | 0.218 | 0.030 | 0.015 | 0.019 | 0.017 | 0.002 |
| Bot | 0.132 | 0.233 | 0.183 | 0.071 | 0.010 | 0.019 | 0.014 | 0.007 |
| Mean | | | 0.177 | 0.034 | | | 0.014 | 0.003 |
| 17-Jul-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 0.178 | 0.099 | 0.138 | 0.056 | 0.015 | 0.010 | 0.013 | 0.003 |
| Mid | 0.246 | 0.259 | 0.253 | 0.009 | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.000 |
| Bot Mean | 0.162 | 0.249 | 0.206 | 0.062 | 0.012 | 0.021 | 0.016 | 0.006 |
| Witan | | | 0.177 | 0.042 | | | 0.015 | 0.000 |
| 31-Jul-09 | 0.056 | 0.050 | 0.057 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Top | 0.056 | 0.059 | 0.057 | 0.002 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.000 |
| M1d | 0.256 | 0.140 | 0.198 | 0.082 | 0.023 | 0.012 | 0.017 | 0.008 |
| Bot | 0.130 | 0.181 | 0.155 | 0.036 | 0.008 | 0.012 | 0.010 | 0.003 |
| Mean | | | 0.137 | 0.040 | | | 0.011 | 0.004 |
| 14-Aug-09 | 0.044 | 0.055 | 0.070 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.00 |
| Top | 0.064 | 0.052 | 0.058 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 0.001 |
| Mid | 0.147 | 0.091 | 0.119 | 0.039 | 0.014 | 0.010 | 0.012 | 0.003 |
| Bot | 0.136 | 0.097 | 0.116 | 0.028 | 0.013 | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.003 |
| Mean | | | 0.098 | 0.025 | | | 0.010 | 0.002 |

A-21. Mass nutrient uptake (grams of N or $P/m^2/day$) for the wood ATSTM floway at Muddy Run.

| 28-Aug-09 | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|
| Тор | 0.035 | 0.064 | 0.050 | 0.021 | 0.003 | 3 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.002 |
| Mid | 0.211 | 0.090 | 0.150 | 0.086 | 0.023 | 3 0.007 | 0.015 | 0.011 |
| Bot | 0.090 | 0.111 | 0.101 | 0.015 | 0.00 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.002 |
| Mean | | | 0.100 | 0.040 | | | 0.009 | 0.005 |
| 17-Sep-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 0.118 | 0.126 | 0.122 | 0.006 | 0.014 | 4 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.001 |
| Mid | 0.050 | 0.043 | 0.046 | 0.005 | 0.00 | 5 0.006 | 0.005 | 0.000 |
| Bot | 0.047 | 0.089 | 0.068 | 0.030 | 0.005 | 5 0.010 | 0.007 | 0.004 |
| Mean | | | 0.079 | 0.014 | | | 0.009 | 0.002 |
| 26-Sep-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 0.117 | 0.148 | 0.133 | 0.022 | 0.012 | 2 0.019 | 0.015 | 0.005 |
| Mid | 0.137 | 0.072 | 0.105 | 0.046 | 0.013 | 3 0.007 | 0.010 | 0.005 |
| Bot | 0.134 | 0.190 | 0.162 | 0.039 | 0.01 | 0.018 | 0.015 | 0.005 |
| Mean | | | 0.133 | 0.036 | | | 0.013 | 0.005 |
| 29-Oct-09 | | | | | | | | |
| Тор | 0.055 | 0.053 | 0.054 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 8 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.000 |
| Mid | 0.046 | 0.045 | 0.046 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 6 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.000 |
| Bot | 0.063 | 0.092 | 0.078 | 0.021 | 0.00 | 0.013 | 0.010 | 0.004 |
| Mean | | | 0.059 | 0.008 | | | 0.008 | 0.001 |

A-22. Permutation-based nonparametric MANOVA calculated with the method of Anderson (2001) on the top (Level 1), middle (Level 2), and bottom (Level 3) sections of algal community at Muddy Run.

| Gro | Groups were defined by values of: Setor | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------------|------|---------|-----|--|--|
| Mai Dist | n ma tance | trix has: measure = | 30 Linhas = Euclidean | by 31 ((Pythago | Colunas rean) | | | | | | |
| Evaluatio | on of | difference | es in Coluna | s betwee | n groups. | | | | | | |
| Design: (| Design: One-way | | | | | | | | | | |
| Randomi | izatio | n test of s | ignificance | of pseudo | F values | | | | | | |
| Number of randomizations: 4999 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rando | om ni | umber see | d: 3 | 635 selec | ted by tim | ne. | | | | | |
| Source | d.f. | SS | MS | F | p * | | | | | | |
| Setor | 2 | 27.600 | 13.800 | 2.2943 | 0.003 | 3000 | | | | | |
| Residual Total | 27 29 | 162.40 190.00 | 6.0148 | | | | | | | | |
| Statistics | fron | n randomiz | zations | | | | | | | | |
| | F | | F from rand | omized g | roups | Num \rightarrow or = | nber | | | | |
| Source | C | Dbserved | Mean | Maxir | num | S.Dev | obse | erved F | p * | | |
| Setor | 2. | 29433 | 1.01067 | 2.7547 | 5 0.0 | 0211 | 14 | 0.0030 | 00 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

* proportion of randomized trials with indicator value equal to or exceeding the observed indicator value.

 $p = (1 + number of runs \ge observed)/(1 + number of randomized runs)$

Variance components estimated for random effects model (Model II)

Ignore variance components if you consider the factor to have fixed effects.

COMPONENTS OF VARIANCE

| Source | Variance | % of variation |
|----------|----------|----------------|
| Setor | 0.77852 | 11.460 |
| Residual | 6.0148 | 88.540 |
| Total | 6.7933 | 100.000 |

Setor has 3 levels.

PAIRWISE COMPARISONS for factor Setor

Note: p values are not corrected for multiple comparisons.

Level vs. Level t p 1 vs. 2 1.1591 0.177200 1 vs. 3 2.0896 0.000200 2 vs. 3 1.1308 0.196200 A-23. List of taxa and their botanical authority according to Algaebase.org.

| Taxon | Authority |
|----------------------------|--|
| Achnanthes brevipes | Agardh |
| Achnanthes sp. | Bory de Saint-Vincent |
| Achnanthidium minutissimum | (Kützing) Czarnecki |
| Achrochaete sp. | Pringsheim |
| Actinocyclus octonarius | Ehrenberg |
| Actinocyclus subtilis | (W.Gregory) Ralfs |
| Actinoptychus senarius | (Ehrenberg) Ehrenberg |
| Acutodesmus acuminatus | (Lagerheim) Tsarenko |
| Acutodesmus obliquus | (Turpin) Hegewald & Hanagata |
| Adlafia drouetiana | (R.Patrick) D.Metzeltin & Lange-Bertalot |
| Alexandrium sp. | Halim |
| Amphipleura sp. | Kützing |
| Amphora libyca | Ehrenberg |
| Amphora cf. pediculus | (Kützing) Grunow ex A.Schmidt |
| Amphora ovalis | (Kützing) Kützing |
| Amphora sp. | Ehrenberg ex Kützing |
| Anaulus sp. | Ehrenberg |
| Aphanocapsa holsatica | (Lemmermann) Cronberg & Komárek |
| Aphanocapsa rivularis | (Carmichael) Rabenhorst |
| Aphanocapsa sp. | Nägeli |
| Aphanothece minutissima | (West) Komárková-Legnerová & Cronberg |
| Aphanothece sp. | Nägeli |
| Asterionellopsis sp. | Round in F.E. Round, R.M. Crawford & D.G. Mann |
| Asterocapsa sp. | Chu |
| Aulacoseira granulata | (Ehrenberg) Simonsen |
| Aulacoseira italica | (Ehrenberg) Simonsen |
| Auliscus cf. sculptus | (W. Smith) Brightwell |
| Auliscus sp. | Ehrenberg |
| Bacillaria paxillefer | (O.F.Müller) T.Marsson |
| Bacteriastrum sp. | Shadbolt |
| Bacularia vermicularis | (Federov) Komárek & Anagnostidis |
| Berkeleya fennica | Juhlin-Dannfelt |
| Berkeleya fragilis | Greville |
| Berkeleya rutilans | (Trentepohl ex Roth) Grunow |
| Brachysira brebissonii | R.Ross |
| Bulbochaete sp. | Agardh |
| Caloneis aemula | Schultz |
| Caloneis sp. | P.Cleve |
| Calothrix sp. | Agardh ex Bornet & Flahault |
| Campylodiscus sp. | Ehrenberg ex Kützing |
| Catenula sp. | Mereschkowsky |
| Ceramium sp. | Roth |
| Ceratium sp. | Schrank |
| Chaetoceros aequatorialis | Cleve |
| Chaetoceros compressus | Lauder |
| Chaetoceros danicus | Cleve |
| Chaetoceros deciepens | Cleve |
| - | |

Chaetoceros lorenzianus Chaetoceros sp. Chlamydomonas sp. Chlorella sp. Chromulina sp. Chroococcus minor Chroococcus minutus Chroococcus sp. Cladophora glomerata Cladophora sp. Closteriopsis acicularis *Closterium cf. sublaterale* Closterium sp. Cocconeis placentula Cocconeis sp. Coelastrum astroideum Coelastrum microporum Coelastrum pulchrum Colponema sp. Coscinodiscus radiatus Coscinodiscus sp. Cosmarium formosulum Cosmarium cf. margaritatum Cosmarium monomazum var. polymazum Cosmarium perforatum Cosmarium cf. pseudoconnatum Cosmarium cf. pseudoexiguum Cosmarium cf. subtumidum Cosmarium cf. trilobulatum Cosmarium vexatum var. lacustre Cosmarium sp. Ctenophora sp. Cyanobium sp. Cyanodictyon sp. Cyanosarcina sp. Cyclotella cf. caspia Cylindrocapsa sp. Cylindrotheca closterium Cymatopleura solea Cymatosira sp. Cymbella cf. affinis Cymbella cistula *Cymbella* cf. *parva* Cymbella lanceolata Cymbella leptoceros Cymbella tumida Cymbella sp. *Cymbellonitzschia* sp. *Cymbopleura* sp. Dactyliosolen sp.

Grunow Ehrenberg Ehrenberg Beijerinck Cienkowsky (Kützing) Nägeli (Kützing) Nägeli Nägeli (Linnaeus) Kützing Kützing (Chodat) Belcher & Swale Ruzicka Nitzsch ex Ralfs Ehrenberg Ehrenberg De Notaris Nägeli Schmidle Stein Ehrenberg Ehrenberg Hoff (Lundell) Roy & Bisset Lundell Lundell Nordstedt Raciborski Nordstedt Reinsch Messikommer Corda ex Ralfs (Grunow) D.M.Williams & Round Rippka & Cohen-Bazire Pascher Kovácik Grunow Reinsch (Ehrenberg) Reimann & J.C.Lewin (Brébisson) W.Smith Grunow Kützing (Hemprich & Ehrenberg) O.Kirchner (W.Smith) Cleve Kirchner (Ehrenberg) Kützing (Brébisson) van Heurck Agardh Hustedt in A. schmidt (K.Krammer) K.Krammer Castracane

Delphineis sp. Denticula sp. Desmodesmus communis Desmodesmus denticulatus Desmodesmus granulatus Desmodesmus intermedius Desmodesmus opoliensis Desmodesmus polyspinosus Desmodesmus sp. Diacanthos belenophorus Diademsis cf. contenta Diatoma ehrenbergii Diatoma cf. mesodon Diatoma monoliformis Diatoma tenuis Diatoma vulgaris Dimeregramma minor Dinophysis sp. Diploneis sp. Ditylum brightwellii Encyonema cf. caespitosum Encyonema cf. gracile Encyonema minutum Encyonema prostratum Encyonema sp. Entomoneis sp. *Epithemia* sp. Euastrum sublobatum Eucampia sp. Eucapsis carpatica Eucapsis parallelepipedon Euglena sp. Eunotia sp. Eunotogramma laevis Fallacia sp. Fogedia sp. Fragilaria capucina Fragilaria capucina var. vaucheriae Fragilaria pulchella var. constricta Fragilaria sp. Fragilariopsis sp. Frustulia vulgaris Frustulia rhomboides Frustulia sp. Geitlerinema amphibium Geitlerinema sp. Gloeocystis sp. *Gloeothece* sp. Gomphoneis cf. minuta Gomphonema cf. angustatum

G.W.Andrews Kützing (Hegewald) Hegewald (Lagerheim) An, Friedl & Hegewald (West & West) Hentschke & Torgan (Chodat) Hegewald (Richter) Hegewald (Hortobágyi) Hegewald (Chodat) An, Friedl & Hegewald Korshikov (Grunow ex Van Heurck) Mann Kützing (Ehrenberg) Kützing Kützing C.Agardh Bory de Saint-Vincent C (Gregory) Ralfs ex Pritchard Ehrenberg Ehrenberg ex Cleve (T.West) Grunow Kützing Ehrenberg (Hilse) D.G.Mann (Berkeley) Kützing Kützing Ehrenberg Kützing Brébisson ex Ralfs Ehrenberg Komárek & Hindák (Schmidle) Komárek & Hindák Ehrenberg Ehrenberg Grunow Stickle & D.G.Mann A.Witkowski, Lange-Bertalot, D.Metzeltin & G.Bafana Desmazières (Kützing) Lange-Bertalot (B.Liebetanz) Witkowski Lyngbye Hustedt in Schmidt (Thwaites) De Toni (Ehrenberg) De Toni Rabenhorst (Agardh ex Gomont) Anagnostidis (Anagnostidis & Komárek) Anagnostidis Nägeli Nägeli (Stone) Kociolek & Stoermer (Kützing) Rabenhorst

Gomphonema cf. *brasiliense* Gomphonema capitatum Gomphonema cf. hastatum Gomphonema olivaceum Gomphonema truncatum Gomphonema sp. Grammatophora sp. Guinardia flaccida Gyrodinium sp. Gyrosigma cf. attenuatum Gyrosigma fasciola Gyrosigma sp. Hantzschia sp. Haslea sp. Hippodonta capitata Hippodonta cf. hungarica Hippodonta sp. Hydrodictyon reticulatum Jaaginema sp. Johannesbaptista pellucida cf. Katodinium sp. Kirchneriella lunaris Lagerheimia genevensis Leibleinia epiphytica Lepocinclis globulus *Leptocylindrus danicus* Leptocylindrus minimus Leptolyngbya boryana Leptolyngbya subtilis Leptolyngbya sp. Licmophora sp. Lunella sp. Luticola sp. Lyngbya salina Lyrella sp. Martyana martyi Mastogloia sp. Melosira moniliformes Melosira nummuloides Melosira varians Meridion circulare Merismopedia tenuissima Meuniera membranacea Meuniera sp. Microspora floccosa Microspora quadrata Microspora wittrockii Minidiscus sp. Monactinus simplex Monoraphidium arcuatum

Grunow Ehrenberg (Wislouch) Lange-Bertalot & Reichardt (Hornemann) Brébisson Ehrenberg Ehrenberg Ehrenberg (Castracane) H.Peragallo Kofoid & Swezy (Kützing) Rabenhorst (Ehrenberg) J.W.Griffith & Henfrey (Ehrenberg) J.W.Griffith & Henfrey Grunow Simonsen (Ehrenberg) Lange-Bertalot, Metzeltin & Witkowski (Grunow) Lange-Bertalot, Metzeltin & Witkowski Lange-Bertalot, Witkowski & Metzeltin (Linnaeus) Bory de Saint-Vincent Anagnostidis & Komárek (Dickie) Taylor & Drouet Fott (Kirchneer) Möbius (Chodat) Chodat (Hieronymus) Compère Perty Cleve Gran (Gomont) Anagnostidis & Komárek (West) Anagnostidis Anagnostidis & Komárek Agardh P.Snoeijs D.G.Mann in F.E. Round, R.M. Crawford & D.G. Mann Gomont Karajeva (Héribaud-Joseph) Round Thwaites ex W.Smith (O.F.Müller) C.Agardh Agardh Agardh (Greville) C.Agardh Lemmermann (Cleve) P.C.Silva P.C.Silva (Vaucher) Thuret Hazen (Wille) Lagerheim Hasle (Meyen) Corda (Korshikov) Hindák

Monoraphidium contortum Monoraphidium griffithii Navicula arenaria var. rostellata Navicula bottnica Navicula capitoradiata Navicula celinei Navicula cryptocephala Navicula duerrenbergiana Navicula cf. fonticola Navicula gregaria Navicula cf. margalithii Navicula lanceolata Navicula rhynconcephala Navicula vaneei Navicula sp. Neidium sp. Neocalyptrella robusta Neodelphineis sp. Netrium sp. Nitzschia acicularis Nitzschia cf. agnita Nitzschia amphibia Nitzschia angustatula Nitzschia brevissima Nitzschia dissipata Nitzschia draveillensis Nitzschia fasciculata Nitzschia filiformis Nitzschia frustulum Nitzschia fusiformis Nitzschia linearis Nitzschia longissima Nitzschia nana Nitzschia obtusa Nitzschia palea Nitzschia paleacea Nitzschia cf. radicula Nitzschia scalpelliformis Nitzschia sigma Nitzschia sigmaformis Nitzschia sigmoidea Nitzschia trvblionella Nitzschia valdestriata Nitzschia ventricosa Nitzschia vermicularis Nitzschia sp. Nodularia sp. Ochromonas sp. Odontella granulata Odontella mobilensis

(Thuret) Komárková-Legnerová (Berkeley) Komárková-Legnerová Lange-Bertalot Grunow Germain A.Witkowski, D.Metzeltin & Lange-Bertalot Kützing Hustedt Grunow Donkin Lange-Bertalot Ehrenberg Kützing Lange-Bertalot Bory de Saint-Vincent Pfitzer (G.Norman ex Ralfs) Hernández-Becerril & Meave del Castillo Takano (Nägeli) Itzigsohn & Rothe in Rabenhorst (Kützing) W.Smith Hustedt Grunow Lange-Bertalot Grunow (Kützing) Rabenhorst Coste & Ricard (Grunow) Grunow (W.Smith) Hustedt (Kützing) Grunow Grunow West (Brébisson) Ralfs Grunow W.Smith (Kützing) W.Smith Grunow Hustedt Grunow (Kützing) W.Smith Hustedt (Nitzsch) W.Smith Hantzsch Aleem & Hustedt Kitton (Kützing) Hantzsch Hassall Mertens ex Bornet & Flahault Vvsotskii (Roper) R.Ross (J.W.Bailey) Grunow

Odontella obtusa Oedogonium cf. pluvioporum Opephora burchardtiae **Opephora** marina *Opephora* sp. Oscillatoria curviceps Oscillatoria princeps Oscillatoria sp. Pandorina sp. Paralia sulcata Parapediastrum biradiatum Parlibellus sp. Parmidium sp. Pediastrum duplex Peridinium sp. Phacus sp. Phormidium autumnale Phormidium fonticola Phormidium interruptum Phormidium lividum Phormidium retzii Phormidium simplicissimum Phormidium stagninum Phormidium sp. Pinnularia sp Plagiogramma sp. Plagiotropis sp. Planktolyngbya brevicellularis Planotaenium interrumptum Planothidium sp. *Pleurosigma* sp. Podocystis sp. Polysiphonia sp. Proboscia alata Prorocentrum sp. Protoperidinium sp. Psammothidium sp. Pseudanabaena galeata Pseudanabaena limnetica Pseudanabaena sp. Pseudendoclonium submarinum Pseudocharacium sp. Pseudonitzschia fraudulenta Pseudonitzschia cf. multiseries Pseudonitzschia pungens Pseudonitzschia sp. Pseudopediastrum boryanum Quadricoccus sp. Rhaphoneis amphiceros Rhaphoneis sp.

Reinsch Link ex Hirn Witkowski (Gregory) Petit P.Petit Agardh ex Gomont Vaucher ex Gomont Vaucher ex Gomont Bory de Saint-Vincent (Ehrenberg) Cleve (Meyen) Hegewald E.J.Cox Christen Meyen Ehrenberg Dujardin (Agardh) Trevisan ex Gomont Kützing ex Gomont Kützing ex Gomont Nägeli (Agardh) Kützing ex Gomont (Gomont) Anagnostidis & Komárek (Kützing ex Gomont) Anagnostidis Kützing ex Gomont Ehrenberg Greville Pfitzer Cronberg & Komárek (Brébisson ex Ralfs) Petlovany & Palamar-Mordvintseva Round & L.Bukhtiyarova W.Smith J.W.Bailey Greville (Brightwell) Sundström Ehrenberg Bergh L.Buhtkiyarova & Round Böcher (Lemmermann) Komárek Lauterborn Wille Korshikov (Cleve) Hasle (Hasle) Hasle (Grunow ex Cleve) G.R.Hasle H.Peragallo in H. & M. Peragallo (Turpin) Hegewald Fott (Ehrenberg) Ehrenberg Ehrenberg

Rhizoclonium hieroglyphicum Rhizosolenia setigera Rhizosolenia setigera f. pungens Rhoicosphenia abbreviata Rhopalodia sp. Scenedesmus arcuatus Scenedesmus balatonicus Scenedesmus bicaudatus Scenedesmus brevispina Scenedesmus ecornis Scenedesmus ellipticus Scenedesmus obliquus Scenedesmus obtusus Scenedesmus subspicatus Scenedesmus sp. Schroederia sp. Sellaphora sp. Sieminskia sp. Skeletonema costatum Skeletonema marinoi Sphaerocystis schroeteri Spirogyra cf. rivularis Spirulina subsala Staurastrum alternans Stauridium tetras Stauroneis sp. Stauronella sp. Staurosirella leptostauron Staurosirella sp. Stephanocyclus meneghiniana Stigeoclonium tenue Striatella sp. Surirella brebissonii Surirella elgans f. elongata Surirella minuta Surirella sp Synedra formosa Synedra sp. Synedropsis sp. Tabellaria cf. flocculosa Tabularia fasciculata Tabularia tabulata Tetraedron caudatum Thalassionema nitzschioides Thalassiosira nordenskioeldii Thalassiosira sp. Trachelomonas volvocina Trachysphenia acuminata Ulnaria acus Ulnaria ulna

(Agardh) Kützing Brightwell (Cleve-Euler) Brunel (C.Agardh) Lange-Bertalot Otto Müller Lemmermann Hortobágyi Dedusenko (Smith) Chodat (Ehrenberg) Chodat Corda (Turpin) Kützing Meyen Chodat Meyen Lemmermann Mereschowsky D.Metzeltin & Lange-Bertalot (Greville) Cleve Sarno & Zingone Chodat Hassall Oerstedt ex Gomont Brébisson (Ehrenberg) Hegewald Ehrenberg Mereschkowsky (Ehrenberg) D.M.Williams & Round D.M.Wiliams & Round (Kützing) Skabichevskii (Agardh) Kützing Agardh Krammer & Lange-Bertalot Skvortzov Brébisson Turpin Hantzsch Ehrenberg G.R.Hasle, L.K.Medlin & E.E.Syvertsen (Roth) Kützing D.M.Williams & Round (C.Agardh) Snoeijs (Corda) Hansgirg (Grunow) Mereschkowsky Cleve Cleve (Ehrenberg) Ehrenberg Peragallo (Kützing) M.Aboal (Nitzsch) P.Compère

| Ulothrix cf. tenerrima | (Kützing) Kützing |
|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Ulothrix cf. variabilis | Kützing |
| Ulothrix zonata | (Weber & Mohr) Kützing |
| <i>Ulothrix</i> sp. | Kützing |
| Ulva intestinalis | Linnaeus |
| Uronema elongatum | Hodgetts |
| | |

A-24. Sequences used for generating phylogenetic trees in Chapter 4.

>AB003168_Oscillatoria_neglecta_IAM_M82 tctgcccttaggaggggaacaacagttggaaacgactgctaaatgccccatatgccgaga ggtgaaatgta---attcgcctgaggatgaactcgcgtctgattagctagttggtgaggt aagggctcaccaaggcgacgatcagtagctggtctaagaggatgatcagccacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtgggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaag-ctgacggagcaacgccgcgtgagggaggaa-ggccttagggttgt-aacctcttt tctctgggaa--gaa------taattgacggtaccagagg-aataagcctcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaagacggaggaggcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtccgcaggcggctaattaagtcgttgttaaagccaac-agctcaact gtggatcggcaatggaaactggttggc-tagagtgtggtaggggaaggcaagggaagc--gctc -tactggg-ccacaactgacgctga-gggacgaaagct-aggggagcga-aagggattag ata-cccctgtagtcctagctgtaaacgatggatactaggtgttggacgt-atcgaccg

NNNN

tgca

>GQ859646_Jaaginema_homogeneum_PMC252_05 tctgccttcaggtcgggggacaacgactggaaacggtcgct-aatacccgatgtgccgaga ggtgaaaggtt---aactgcctgaagatgagctcgcgtccgattagctagttggtggggt aagagcctaccaaggcagtgatcggtagctggtttgagaggacaatcagccacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg

aaagcctgacggagcaataccgcgtgagggacgaa-ggcctgtgggttgtaaacctcttt tctcagggaa--gaa-----gttctgacggtacctgagg-aatcagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaagacggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtccgcaggcggtctcgtaagtctgtctttaaagcgtgg-agcttaact ccataaaggggatggaaactgcgagac-tagaggtaggtaggagggtagaaggaatt-ccca gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-tgggaag-aacaccagcagcgaaggc--gttc -tactgga-ccaaacctgacgctca-tggacgaaagct-aggggagcga-aagggattag ata-cccctgtagtcctagccgtaaacgatggacactaggtgtgcacgt-atcgacccg tgca

>HQ738568_Jaaginema_pseudogeminatum_BRIP01 ccgggacctgagaggggtacagc----gggaacggctgct-aataccccatatgccgaaa ggtgaaaagaa---atttgccttgagagggggctcgcgtccgattagctagttggtgaggt aagagcttaccaaggcgacgatcggtagctggtctgagaggatgagcagcacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggccgccattggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaacgccgcgtgggggaagaa-ggcctttgggttgtaaactccttt tctcagggaa--gaa-----gttctgacggtacctgagg-aatcagcctcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaatacggaggaggcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaaggcgtcgtaggggaaactgaaaagcttagaggtcggtaggggcagagggaatt-ccca gtgtagcggtgaaattgcggtaaatattggggaagaaaaaccggtggcgaaagc--gctc ttgctggg-ccgaacctgacgctga-gggacgaaagctaaggggagccaaagggatatag ata-------

>HQ916863.1_Oscillatoria_rosea_ZDa

tctgccttcaggatggggacaacagttggaaacgactgct-aatacccaatatgcctacg ggtgaaactta----tatggcctgaagatgagctcgcgtctgattagctagttggtagtgt aagggactaccaaggcgacgatcagtagctggtctgagaggatgagcagccacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggagcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatggggcg aaagcctgacggagcaataccgcgtgagggaggaa-ggtttttggattgtaaacctcttt tctcagggaa--gaa------ttttgacggtacctgagg-aataagcaccggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaatacggagggtgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagagtccgtaggtggtcatgcaagtctgctgtcaaagcccac-agcttaact gtggatcggcggtggaaactgcatgac-ttgagtacggtaggggaggaagc--gctc -tactgga-ccgtaactgacactga-gggacgaaagcc-aaggtagcga-aagggattag ata-cccctgtagtcttggccgtaaacgatggatactaggcgttgtctgt-atcgacccg agcc

>AB058201.1_Phormidiumsp._MBIC10003

tctgcccttaggtggggggacaaccgttggaaacgacggct-aataccgcatatggcgaga gctgaaagatt---tattgcctagggatgaactcgcgtctgattagctagttggtgaagt aatggtttaccaaggcgacgatcagtagctggtctgagaggatgatcagccacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaaattttccgcaatgggcg caagcctgacggagcaacgccgcgtgggggggagaa-tgtctgtggattgtaaaccccttt tctcagggaa--gaa-----gaactgacggtagcaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtccgtaggcggtttatcaagtctgtcgttaaagcctg-agcttaact gtgggcgagcggtggaaactgattaac-tagagagtggtaggggtagaggaatt-ccca gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-tgggaag-aaccagtggcgaaggg--gctc -tactggg-ccacaactgacgtga-gggacgaaagct-aggggagcga-agggattag ata-cccctgtagtcctagctgtaaacgatggatactaggtgttgcgcgt-atcgacccg tgca

>HM217074.1_Leptolyngbyasp._LEGE06070

tctgcccttaggagggggacaacagttggaaacgactgct-aataccccatatgccgaga ggtgaaacatt---aatggcctgaggaggagctcgcgtctgattagctagttggtggggt aacggcctaccaaggcgacgatcagtagctggtctgagaggatgatcagccacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtgggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaagaccgcgtgagggaggaa-ggtctgtggattgtaaacctcttt tctcagggaa--gaa-----gaactgacggtacctgagg-aatcagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaatacggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtccgtaggtggtgctaagcagtctgtgttaaacct

ccgtaaaggcggtggaaactgcatagc-tagagtgtggtaggggtagagggaatt-ccca gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-tgggaag-aacaccggtggcgaaggc--gctc -tactggg-ccacaactgacactga-tggacgaaagct-aggggggggagcga-aagggattag ata-cccctgtagtcctagccgtaaacgatgaacactaggtgttgcccgt-atcgacccg ggca

>HM217045.1_Leptolyngbyasp._LEGE07319

>HM124562.1_Oscillatoriasp._LEGE06018

ggca

>AY768396.1_Oscillatoriasp._CCAP1459/26

NNNNNNNtagatcggggataactcagggaaactgatgct-aatacccgatatggcgaga gctgaaagatt---aattgcctgaagatgagctcgcgtccgattagctagttggagtggt a atggcacccca aggcgacgatcggtagctggtctgagaggatgatcagccacactgggactgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaataccgcgtgcgggaggac-ggcctttgggttgtaaaccgcttt tctcagggaa--gaa-----gatctgacggtacctgagg-aatcagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaatacggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtccgcaggtggttggtcaagtctgctgtcaaagcgtgg-agcttaact ccataagggcggtggaaactgattaac-tagaggatggtaggggtcacgggaatc-ccca gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-tgggaag-aacaccagcggcgaaagc--gcgt -gactggg-ccattactgacactca-tggacgaaagct-aggggagcga-aagggattag

ggtgaaacggtgaattccgcctgaagatgaactcgcgtcagattagctagttggtggggt aagagcctaccaaggcgacgatctgtagctggtctgagaggatgatcagccacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaacgccgcgtgcgggatgac-ggcctgtgggttgtaaaccgcttt tctcagggaa--gaa-----gatctgacggtacctgagg-aatcagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaatacggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtccgcaggcggcttatcaagtctgctgttaaatgtcgg-ggctcaact ccgatttggcagtggaaactgataggc-tagagtacggtaggggtagagggaatt-ccca gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-tgggaag-aacaccagtggcgaaggc--gctc -tactggg-ccgtaactgacgctga-gggacgaaagct-aggggagcga-aagggattag ata-cccctgtagtcctagctgtaaacgatggacactaggtgttggccgt-atcgacccg

NNNN

gtca

Ν

tctgccttcaggaggggggacaacagttggaaacgactgct-aataccccatatgcccatg

>GU220365.1_Leptolyngbyasp._ITAC101

>EU057151.1_Leptolyngbya_laminosa_0414

ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg a a a g c c t g a c g g a g c a a g a c c g c g t g g g g g g g a a - g g t c t g t g g a t t g t a a a c c t c t t ttctcagggaa--gaa-----gaactgacggtacctgagg-aatcagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaatacggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtccgtaggtggctaagcaagtctgctgttaaagagcgg-agctaaact ccgtaaaggcggtggaaactgcatagc-tagagtgtggtaggggtagagggaatt-ccca gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-tgggaag-aacaccggtggcgaaggc--gctc -tactggg-ccacaactgacactga-tggacgaaagct-aggggagcgNNNNNNNNNNNN ata-cccctgtagt-----

>EU445293.1_Schizothrixsp._PNG5_22

tctgccttagggtcggggacaaccgttggaaacgacggct-aataccgcatatggcgaga gctaaaagctt--aatgtgcctgaggatgaactcgcgtctgattagctagttggtgaagt aaaggtttaccaaggcgacgatcagtagctggtctgagaggatgatcagccacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaataccgcgtgagggaggaa-ggctcttgggttgtaaacctcttt tgattgggaa--gaa-----gaactgacggtacctgagg-aatcagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaatacggaggatgatgagggaggaggagttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtcgtaggtggctggtcaagtctgctgttaaagggggaggaatt-ccca gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-tgggaag-aaccacggtggcgaaggc--gctc -tactgga-ccagaactgacagtgagaggagaagca-aggggagcga-atggaattag ata-ccccagtagtcctagctgtaaacgatggatactaggtgtaggggagcga-atggaattag ata-cccagtagtcctagctgtaaacgatggatactaggtgtagctgt-atcgaccca agct

NNNN

>HQ658458_Pseudanabaena_galeata_SP44 tctgccttcaggaggggggacaaccactggaaacggtggct-aataccccatatgtctatg gatgaaagagt--atactgcctgaagatgagctcgcgtctgattagctagttggtagtgt aagggactaccaaggcaacgatcagtagctggtctgagaggatgatcagccacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtgggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaacgccgcgtgagggaggac-ggcctgtgggttgtaaacctcttt

tctcagggaa--gaa-----gatctgacggtacctgagg-aataagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaagacggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtccgcaggtggctgttcaagtctgctgtcaaaatccag-ggctcaacc ctggttcggcagtggaaactggatagc-tagagtatggtaggggcagagggaatt-cctg gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-caggaag-aacaccggtggcgaaagc--gctc -tgctggg-ccgtaactgacactca-tggacgaaagct-aggggcacga-aagggattag ata-cccctgtagtcctagctgtaaacgtcggatactaggtgtggaccgt-atcgacccg cttc

>AJ635436.1_Spirulina_major_0BB22S09

tctggcttcaggatggggacaacagttggaaacgactgct-aatacccgatatgccgaaa ggtgaaagatt---tatcgcctgaagatgagctcgcggctgattagctagttggtggggt aagagcctaccaaggcgacgatcagtagctgttctgagaggaagatcagccacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtgggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaataccgcgtgcgggaggaa-ggcccttgggtcgtaaaccgcttt tcttagggaa--gaa------acctgacggtacctaagg-aataagcctcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaatacggaggaggcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagagtccgtaggtggttcatcaagtctgctgttaaaggtgc-agctcaact gcataaaggcggtggaaactgttgaac-tagagtatggtaggggaagt-ccca gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagagat-tgggaag-aacaccggtggcgaaagc--gccc -tactggg-ccataactgacactga-gggacgaaagct-agggtagcga-atgggattag ata-ccccagtagtcctagccgtaaacgatggaaactaggcgaagctgtgt-atcgacccg agct

>AF218371.1_Pseudanabaena_tremula_UTCC471 tctgccttcaggttggggacaacagttggaaacgactgct-aatacccaatgtgccgaga ggtgaaagctt---tagtgcctgaagatgagctcgcgtctgattagctagttggtggggt aatggcctaccaaggcgacgatcagtagctggtctgagagggagatgatcagccacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtgggggaattttccgcaatgggcg caagcctgacggagcaagaccgcgtgagggaggaa-ggctcttgggttgtaaacctcttt tatcagggaa--gaa-----gatctgacggtacctgatg-aatcagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaatacggaggatgatagcgggagttatcc-ggatttatt gggcgtaaagcgtcgcaggtggttattcaagtctgctgttaaagcggaatttcc-ggatttatt ccgtacaggcagtggaaactggatgac-tggagtaggaggaggaagcggaaagc--gcgc -tactggg-ccattactgacactca-tggacgaaagct-aggggagcga-aagggattag ata-cccctgtagtcctagctgt-aacgatggtactaggtgttgaccgt-atcgaccg gtca

>AY493590.1_Leptolyngbya_antarctica_ANT.BFI.1

tctgccctcaggagggggacaacgactggaaacggtcgct-aataccccatatgccgaga ggtgaaatgtt--ttttcgcctgaggatgagctcgcgtcagattagctagttggtggggt aacggcctaccaaggcgacgatctgtagctggtctgagaggatgatcagccacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaagaccgcgtgagggatgac-ggcctaatggttgtaaacctcttt tctctgggaa--gat-----aa--tgacggtaccagagg-aataagcctcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaatacggaggaggcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtcgtaggtggttaatcaagtcagctgttaaagcgg-agctcaact ccgttagagcggttgaaactgattgac-tagagtttggtaggggtcgagggaatt-ccca gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-tgggaag-aacaccggtggcgaaagc-gctc -gactggg-cctgaactgacgtaaacgatggatactaggtgttgctcgtcatcgaccg ata-cccctgtagtcctagccgtaaacgatggatactaggtgttgctcgtcatcgaccg agca

>Pseudanabaena_rutilisviridis

---gtctttgggttgggga----acgttggaacgactgct-aataccggatgtgccgaga ggtaaaagctt---tagtgcctgtagatgagcttgcgtccgattaactagatggagtggt aacggcacaccatggcgacgatcggtagctggtctgaaaggatgaccagtcacactggaa ctgaaacacggtccagactcctacgggaggcag-caatggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaataccgcgtgagggacgaa-agtctgtggattgtaaacctcttt tgttagggaa--ga------taatgaccgtacctaacg-aataaacatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaagacggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtacgtaggctgttagataaatctgttgtcaaagcgga-ggctcaacc ttgtaaaggcaatggaaactgtttgac-tagagagaataggggcaggaggaatt-ccag gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcc-tagatat-ctggaag-aacaccagtggcgaaagc-gtcc -tgctgga-tctcaactgacgctga-agtacgaaagct-aggggagcga-atgggattag ata-cccctgtagtc-------

>Pseudanabaena_sp._dqh15

tctacctataggttcgggacaacagttagaaatgactgct-aataccggatatgccttcg ggtgaaagtt---taatgcctgtagatgagcttgcgtccgattagctagatggagtggt aaaggcacaccatggcaacgatcggtaactggtctgagaggatgaccagtcacactggaa ctgagacacggtccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaataccgcgtgagggacgaa-ggtctgtggattgtaaacctcttt tgttagggaa--ga------taatgacggtacctaacg-aataagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaagacggaggatgcaagcgtatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtacgtaggctgttagataagtctgttgtcaaagcgcga-ggctcaacc ttgtaaggcaatggaaactgtttgac-tagagagagataggggcaggaggaatt-ccag

gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-ctggaag-aacaccagtggcgaaagc--gtcc -tgctgga-tctcaactgacgctga-agtacgaaagct-aggggggggga-atgggattag ata-ccccagtagtcctagccgtaaacgatgggtactaggtgttggccgt-atcgacccg gtca

>Pseudanabaena_sp_ABRG5_3 tctacctataggttcgggacaacagttagaaatgactgct-aataccggatgtgccttcg ggtgaaagttt---aaatgcctgtagatgagcttgcgtccgattagctagatggagtggt aacggcacaccatggcgacgatcggtaactggtctgagaggatgaccagtcacactggaa ctgagacacggtccagactcctacgggaggacga-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaataccgcgtgagggacga-ggtctgtggattgtaaacctcttt tgttagggaa--ga------taatgacggtacctaacg-aataagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaagacggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtacgtaggctgttttataagtctgttgtcaaagccga-ggctcaacc ttggaaagcaatggaaactgtgagac-tagagagaataggggaggagaatt-ccag gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-ctggaag-aacaccagtggcgaaagc-gtcc -tgctgga-tctcaactgacgctga-agtacgaaagct-aggggagcga-atgggattag ata-ccccagtagtcctagccgtaaacgatgggtactaggtgttggccgt-atcgacccg gtca

>Pseudanabaena_sp_ACCS-013

tetacetataggttegggacaacagttagaaatgactget-aataceggatatgee-teg ggtgaaagttt---taatgeetgtagatgagettgegttegattagetagatggagtggt aacggeacaceatggegaegategataactggtetgagaggatgaceagteacaetggga etgagacaeggeecagaeteetaegggaggeag-cagtggggaatttteegeaatgggeg aaageetgaeggageaataeeggtgagggaegaa-ggtetgtggattgtaaaeetettt tgttagggaa--ga------taatgaeggaegatgeaagegttatee-ggaattatt gggegtaaagegtaegtaggetgttttataagtetgttgteaaageetgaeggagatttte gggegtaaagegtaegtaggtgttttataagtetgttgteaaageega-ggeteaace ttggaaaggeaatggaaaetgtgagae-tagagagagataggggeagaaggegaaage--gtee -tgetgga-teteaaetgaegetga-agtaegaaaget-aggggaggae--gteg ata-eeceagtagteetageegtaaacgatggaeaagetaggeggaattag ata-eeceagtagteetageegtaaaegatggaeaetaggggaggaeattggaetgg gtea

>Pseudanabaena_sp_Sai005

tctacctataggttcgggacaacagttggaaacgactgct-aataccggatatgccttcg ggtgaaagttt---taatgcctgtagatgagcttgcgttcgattagctagatggtggggt aacggcctaccatggcgacgatcgataactggtctgagaggatgaccagtcacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg

aaagcetgaeggageaataecegegtgagggaegaa-ggtetgtggattgtaaacetettt tgttggggaa--ga-----taatgaeggtaeceaaeg-aataageategge taaeteegtgeeageag-eegeggtaagaeggaggatgeaagegttatee-ggaattatt gggegtaaagegtaegtaggetgttteataagtetgttgteaaagesega-ggetyaaee ttgkaaaggeaatggaaaetgtaagae-tagagagagataggggeaggaggaatt-eeag gtgtageggtgaaat-geg-tagatat-etggaag-aacaeceagtggegaaage--gtee -tgetgga-teteaaetgaegetga-agtaegaaaget-aggggagega-atgggattag ata-eeceagtagteetageegtaaaegatggaeaetagggeega-atgggattag gtca

>Phormidium_laetevirens_LEGE_06103

>Leptolyngbya_sp._RS03

tctgcccttaggcgggggacaaccactggaaacggtggct-aataccccatatggcgaga gctgaaagatt---tattgcctgaggatgagctcgcgtctgattagctagttggtggggt aagggcctaccaaggcaacgatcagtagctgttctgagaggaagatcagccacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaaattttccgcaatgggcg caagcctgacggagcaacgccgcgtgagggaggaa-tgtctgtggattgtaaacctcttt tctctgggaa--gaa-----gtactgacggtaccagagg-aatcagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaagacgaggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtccgtaggcggttagataagtcagttgttaaagctgc-agctcaact gtgggagagcaactgaaactgtttgac-tagagtatggtaggggtagagggaatt-ccta gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-taggaag-aaccagtggcgaaggc--gctc -tgctggg-ccaagactgacgctga-tggacgaaagct-aggggaggagcaactgaacggtgaaaggattag ata-cccctgtagtcctagctgtaaacgatggatactaggtgttgtgcgt-atcgacccg tgca

>Phormidium_sp._A27DM

NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNGGRAacgg-agct-aataccccatatgtcgaga gatgaaagctt--aatgggcctgaggaagagctcgcgtctgattagctagttggttaggt aaaggctgaccaaggcgacgatcagtagctgttctgagaggaagatcagccacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaaattttccgcaatgggcg caagcctgacggagcaacgccgcgtgagggaggaa-tgtctgtggattgtaaacctcttt tctctgtgaa--gaa------gatctgacggtagcagagg-aatcagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaagacggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtccgtaggcggttaattaagtcagttgttaaagactgc-agctcaact gtgggagagcaactgaaactggttaac-tagagtatggtaggggaggaatt-ccta gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-taggaag-aacaccagtggcgaaggc--gctc -tactggg-ccaagactgacgctga-tggacgaaagct-aggggaggagca-aagggattag ata-cccctgtagtcctagctgtaaacgatggatactaggtgttgtgcgt-atcgacccg tgca

>Limnothrix_redekei_CCAP1443_1

tctacctataggttcgggacaacagttagaaatgactgct-aataccggatatgccttcg ggtgaaagttt---taatgcctgtagatgagcttgcgttcgattagctagatggtggggt aacggcctaccatggcgacgatcgataactggtctgagaggatgaccagtcacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaataccgcgtgagggacgaa-ggcctgtgggttgtaaacctcttt tgttagggaa--ga------taatgacggtacctaacg-aataagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaagacggaggatgcaagcgtatatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtacgtaggctgtttcataagtctgttgtcaaagccga-ggctcaacc ttggatcggcaatggaaactgtgagac-tagagaggataggggaggaaggaagcaaggggaggaatt-ccag gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-ctggaag-aacaccagtggcgaaagc-gtcc -tgctgga-tctcaactgacgctga-agtacgaaagct-agggggaggagcga-atgggattag ata-ccccagtagtcctagccgtaaacgatgggtactaggtgttggtcgt-atcgacccg atca

>Pseudanabaena_tremula_UTCC_471

tctgccttcaggttggggacaacagttggaaacgactgct-aatacccaatgtgccgaga ggtgaaagctt---tagtgcctgaagatgagctcgcgtctgattagctagttggtggggt aatggcctaccaaggcgacgatcagtagctggtctgagaggatgatcagccacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtgggggaattttccgcaatgggcg caagcctgacggagcaagaccgcgtgagggaggaa-ggctcttgggttgtaaacctcttt tatcagggaa--gaa-----gatctgacggtacctgatg-aatcagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaatacggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggatttatt gggcgtaaagcgtccgcaggtggttattcaagtctgctgttaaagcgga-ggcttaact

cctgcctctaggacggggacaacagttggaaacgactgct-aacccccgatgaaccgaaa gggcaaatatt---tatagcctgaagaagagctcgcgtccgattagctagttggagaggt aaaagctcaccaaggcgacgatcggtagctggtctgagagggacgatcagccacactggga

gtca >Tychonema_bourrellyi_HAB663

>Leptolyngbya_sp_CENA134

ggcc

>Phothildium_sp_CENATSS tctacctgaaggatggggataactgttggaaacgacagct-aatacccaatgagccgaga ggtaaaaagga---aaccgcctacagaagagctcgcgtccgattagctagttgggagggt aaaagcctaccaaggcaacgatcggtagctggtctgagaggagcagcagccacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggagcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaagaccgcgtggggggggagaa-ggcccttgggttgtaaactccttt tctcggggaa--gaa-----gaactgacggtacccgagg-aaaaagcctcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaagacggaggaggaggaaggaacggttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagggtccgcaggtggccattcaagtctgcagtcaaaggtcgg-ggctcaacc ccgaaaaggctgtggaaactgaaaggc-tagagtacggtaggggaggaaggaaggaaggaagc-gctc -tgctagg-ccgaaactgacagtag-tgggagaagcaaggtgggagaaggattag ata-cccctgtagtcctagctgtaaacgatggagactaggtgggcga-aagggattag ata-cccctgtagtcctagctgtaaacgatggagactaggtgggccgt-acgaccg

>Phormidium_sp_CENA135

gtca

tcgtacaggcagtggaaactggatgac-tggagtatggtaggggtagcgggaatt-ccca gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-tgggaag-aacaccagcggcgaaagc--gcgc -tactggg-ccattactgacactca-tggacgaaagct-aggggagcga-aagggattag ata-cccctgtagtcctagctgt-aacgatgggtactaggtgttgaccgt-atcgacccg

ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaagaccgcgtggggggaagaa-ggctcttgggttgtaaactccttt tctctgggaa--gaa-----ccaaatgacggtaccagagg-aatcagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaagacggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaatgatt gggcgtaaagcgtccgcaggtggcagttcaagtctgctgtcaaagaccgg-ggcttaacc tcggaaaggcagtggaaactgaacagc-tagagtatggtaggggcaaagggaatt-cctg gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagagat-caggaag-aacatcggtggcgaaggc--gctt -tgctgga-ccataactgacactca-gggacgaaagct-agggggggagcga-atgggattag ata-ccccagtagtcctagccgtaaacgatggatactaggtgttgtctgt-atcgacccg gaca

>Geitlerinema_sp_CR_13M

NNNNNNNcaggagggggacaaccattggaaacggtggct-aataccccataagccgaga ggtgaaaagga---atccgcctgtggaggagctcgcgtctgattagctagtaggtaaggt aaaagcttacctaggcgacgatcagtagctggtctgagaggatgagcagcacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagccagaccgcgtgagggaagaa-ggcccttgggttgtaaacctcttt tctcaaggaa--gaa------gcaagtgacggtacttgaggcaataggcctcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaagacggaggaggcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtccgtaggtggtgactcaagtctgctgtcaaaaactag-ggcttaact ctagagaggcagtggaaactgagtaac-tagagagcggcaggggcagagggaatt-cccg gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagrgat-cgggaag-aacaccggtggcgaaagc--gctc -tgctggg-ccgcgactgacactcacaggacgaaagct-aggggagcga-atgggattag ata-ccccagtagtcctagccgtaaacgatggaaactaggtgtggcctgt-atcgacccg ggcc

>Geitlerinema_sp_PCC7105

cctgcctcgaggagggggataacagcgggaaactgctgct-aataccccatatgccgaaa ggtgaaaagaa---atttgccttgagaggggctcgcgtccgattagctagttggtgaggt aagagcttaccaaggcgacgatcggtagctggtctgagaggatgagcagcacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaag-ctgacggagcaacgccgcgtgggggaagaa-ggcctttgggttgtaaactccttt tctcagggaa--gaa-----gaactgacggtacctgagg-aatcagcctcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaatacggagggaggcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgttcgtaggcggcgtttcaagtctgctgtcaaaggccga-ggctcaact tcggaaaggcagtggaaactgaaaagc-tagaggtcggtaggggcaaggggaatt-ccca gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-tgggaag-aacaccggtggcgaaagc-gctc -tgctggg-ccgaacctgacgctga-gggacgaagggaactaggggttgactaggggttagg ata-ccccagtagtcctagccgtaaacgatggagactaggtgttgcctgt-atcgacccg

ggca

>Pseudanabaena_mucicola_PMC279.06

tctacctacagatcggggacaacagttggaaacgactgct-aataccggatatgccttcg ggtgaaagatt---tatcatctgtagatgagcttgcgttcgattagctagatggagtggt aacggcacaccatggcgacgatcgataactggtctgagagggatgaccagtcacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaataccgcgtgagggaagaa-ggtctgtggattgtaaacctcttt tgttagggaa--ga------taatgacggtacctaacg-aataagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaagacggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtacgtaggctgtttcataagtctgttgtcaaagcagtaacc tttgaaaggcaatggaaactgtgaggc-tagagagaaaaggggcaggaggaatt-ccag gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-ctggaag-aacaccagtggcgaaagc-gtcc -tgctgga-tctcaactgacgctga-agtacgaaagct-aggggaggagcaa-tgggattag ata-ccccagtagtcctagccgtaaacgatgggtactaggtgttggccgt-atcgacccg gtca

>Pseudanabaena_mucicola_PMC269.06

tctacctacagactcgggacaacagttggaaacgactgct-aataccggatatgccttcg ggtgaaagatt---tatcatctgtagatgagcttgcgttcgattagctagatggagtggt aacggcacaccatggcgacgatcgataactggtctgagaggatgaccagtcacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaataccgcgtgagggaagaa-ggtctgtggattgtaaacctcttt tgttagggaa--ga------taatgacggtacctaacg-aataagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaagacggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtacgtaggctgtttcataagtctgttgtcaaagcagtaacc tttgaaaggcaatggaaactgtgaggc-tagagagaaaaggggcaggaggaatt-ccag gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-ctggaag-aacaccagtggcgaaagc-gtcc -tgctgga-tctcaactgacgctga-agtacgaaagct-agggggagcga-atgggattag ata-ccccagtagtcctagccgtaaacgatgggtactaggtgttggccgt-atcgacccg gtca

>Pseudanabaena_mucicola_PMC268.06 tctacctacagactcgggacaacagttggaaacgactgct-aataccggatatgccttcg ggtgaaagatt---tatcatctgtagatgagctgcgttcgattagctagatggagtggt aacggcacaccatggcgacgatcgataactggtctgagaggatgaccagtcacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaataccgcgtgagggaagaa-ggtctgtggattgtaaacctcttt tgttagggaa--ga------taatgacggtacctaacg-aataagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaagacggaggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt

gggcgtaaagcgtacgtaggctgtttcataagtctgttgtcaaagcacga-ggcttaacc tttgaaaggcaatggaaactgtgaggc-tagagagagagaaaggggcaggaggaatt-ccag gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-ctggaag-aacaccagtggcgaaagc--gtcc -tgctgga-tctcaactgacgctga-agtacgaaagct-aggggagcga-atgggattag ata-ccccagtagtcctagccgtaaacgatgggtactaggtgttggccgt-atcgacccg gtca

>Pseudanabaena_sp._PMC161.02

tctacctacagactcgggacaacagttggaaacgactgct-aataccggatatgccttcg ggtgaaagatt---tatcatctgtagatgagcttgcgttcgattagctagatggagtggt aacggcacaccatggcgacgatcgataactggtctgagaggatgaccagtcacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaataccgcgtgagggaagaa-ggtctgtggattgtaaacctcttt tgttagggaa--ga------taatgacggtacctaacg-aataagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaagacggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtacgtaggcgtgtttcataagtctgttgtcaaagcaga-ggcttaacc tttgaaaggcaatggaaactgtgaggc-tagagagagaaaggggcaggaggagaatt-ccag gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-ctggaag-aacaccagtggcgaaagc-gtcc -tgctgga-tctcaactgacgctga-agtacgaaagct-aggggagcga-atgggattag ata-ccccagtagtcctagccgtaaacgatgggtactaggtgttggccgt-atcgacccg gtca

>Oscillatoria_limnetica_MR1

tctacctataggttcgggacaacagttagaaatgactgct-aataccggatatgccctcg ggtgaaagttt---taatgcctgtagatgagcttgcgttcgattagctagatggtggggt aatggcctaccatggcgacgatcgataactggtctgagaggatgaccagtcacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcntgacggagcaataccgcgtgagggacgaa-ggcctgtgggttgtaaacctcttt tgttagggaa--ga------taatgacggtacctaacg-aataagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaagacggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtacgtaggctgtttgataagtctgttgtcaaagccga-ggctcaacc ttggatcggcaatggaaactgtgagac-tagagagagataggggaggaaggaaggaatt-ccag gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-ctggaag-aacaccagtggcgaaagc-gtcc -tgctgga-tctcaactgacgctga-agtacgaaagct-aggggaggagcga-atgggattag ata-ccccagtagtcctagccgtaaacgatggacactaggtgttggccgt-atcgacccg gtca

>Limnothrix_redekei_PMC272.06

tctgccttcaggtcggggacaacgactggaaacggtcgct-aatacccgatgtgccgaga ggtgaaaggtt---aactgcctgaagatgagctcgcgtccgattagctagttggtggggt

aagagcctaccaaggcagtgatcggtagctggtttgagagggacaatcagccncactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtgggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaataccgcgtgagggacgaa-ggcctgtgggttgtaaacctcttt tctcagggaa--gaa-----gatctgacggtacctgagg-aatcagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaagacggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtccgcaggcggtctcgtaagtctgtctttaaagcgtgg-agcttaact ccataaaggggatggaaactgcgagac-tagaggtaggtaggggtagaaggaatt-ccca gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-taaatat-tgggaag-aacaccagcagcgaaggc--gttc -tactgga-ccaaacctgacgctca-tggacgaaagct-aggggaggag-aagggattag ata-cccctgtagtcctagcgtaaacgatggacactaggtgttgcacgt-atcgacccg tgca

>Arthrospira_sp_PCC7345

tctggctcccgg-tcgggacaacagagggaaacttctgct-aatcccggatgagccgaaa ggtaaaagatt---tatcgccgggagatgagctcgcgtctgattagctagttggtgaggt aaaggctcaccaaggcgacgatcagtagctggtctgagaggatgatcagccacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaaattttccgcaatgggcg naag-ctgacggagcaagaccgcgtggggggggagaa-ggctcttgggtngtaaaccccttt tctcaaggaa--gaa-----cacaatgacggtacttgagg-aataagcctcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaatacggaggaggaaggcaagcgttatcc-ggaatgatt gggcgtaaagcgtccgtaggtggcagttcaagtcgctgtcaaagacagt-agctcaact actgaaaggcagtggaaactgaacagc-tagagtacggtaggggaggaaggcaagggaaatt-cccg gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-cgggaag-aacaccggtggcgaaagc--gctc -tgctggg-ccgtaactgacactga-gggacgaaagct-aggggagcga-atgggattag ata-ccccagtagtcctagccgtaaacgatggaaactgaaccggtggaaactgaacgatggaaactgaacgatggaaactgaacgatggaaactgaacgatggaaactgaacgatggaaactgaacgatggaaactgaacgatggaaactgaacgatggaaactgaacgatggaaactgaacgatggaaactgaacgatggaaactgaacgatggaaactgaacgatggaaactgaacgatggaaactgaacgatggaaactgaacgatggaaactgaacgatggaaactgaacgatggaaactgaaccgg ggct

>Pseudanabaena_sp_PMC160.02

ata-ccccagtagtcctagccgtaaacgatgggtactaggtgttggccgt-atcgacccg gtca

>Pseudanabaena_sp_1tu24s9

tctacctataggttcgggacaacagttagaaatgactgct-aataccggatatgccttcg ggtgaaagttt---taatgcctgtagatgagcttgcgttcgattagctagatggtggggt aacggcttaccatggcgacgatcgataactggtctgagaggatgaccagtcacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaataccgcgtgagggacgaa-ggcctgtgggttgtaaacctcttt tgttagggaa--ga------taatgacggtacctaacg-aataagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaagacggaggatgcaagcgtatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtacgtaggctgtttgataagtctgttgtcaaagccga-ggctcaacc ttggatcggcaatggaaactgtgagac-tagagagagataggggcaggaggaatt-ccag gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-ctggaag-aacaccagtggcgaaagc-gtcc -tgctgga-tctcaactgacgctga-agtacgaaagct-agggggaggaca-atgggattag ata-ccccagtagtcctagccgtaaacgatggacactaggtgttggccgt-atcgacccg gtca

>Limnothrix_redekei_NIVA-CYA227/1

tctacctataggttcgggacaacagttagaaatgactgct-aataccggatatgccttcg ggtgaaagttt---taatgcctgtagatgagcttgcgttcgattagctagatggtggggt aacggcctaccatggcgacgatcgataactggtctgagaggatgaccagtcacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaataccgcgtgagggacgaa-ggcctgtgggttgtaaacctcttt tgttagggaa--ga------taatgacggtacctaacg-aataagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaagacggaggatgcaagcgtatatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtacgtaggctgtttcataagtcgttgtcaaagccga-ggctcaacc ttggatcggcaatggaaactgtgagacttagagagagataggggcaggaggaatt-cctg gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-ctggaag-aacaccagtggcgaaagc-gtcc -tgctgga-tctcaactgacgctga-agtacgaaagct-aggggagcga-atgggattag ata-ccccagtagtcctagccgtaaacgatgggtactaggtgttggtcgt-atcgacccg atca

>Arthronema_africanum_SAG12.89

tetgeetteaggteggggataaegaetggaaaeggteget-aataeeggatgtgeegaga ggtgaaagett--aatgtgeetgaagatgagetegetgetgatggtggggt aagageetaeeaaggeggtgateggtagetggtttgagaggatgateageeaeetggga etgagaeaeggeeeagaeteetaegggaggeag-eagtgggggaatttteegeaatgggeg eaageetgaeggageaataeeggtgeggggggaa-ggettttgggtegtaaaeegettt tattggggaa--gaa------getetgaeggtaeceaatg-aateageategge

taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaatacggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtccgcaggtggctggtcaagtctgctgttaaagcgtag-agcttaact ctatatcggcagtggaaactggacagc-tagagtacggtaggggtagcgggaatt-ccca gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-tgggaag-aacaccagcggcgaaagc--gcgc -tactggg-cctgtactgacactga-ggggacgaaagct-agggggagga-aagggattag ata-cccctgtagtcctagctgtaaacgatggatactaggtgttgcgcgt-atcgacccg tgca

>Arthronema_gygaxiana_UTCC_393 tctacctataggttcgggacaacagttagaaatgactgct-aataccggatatgccttcg ggtgaaagttt---taatgcctgtagatgagcttgcgttcgattagctagatggtggggt aaaggcctaccatggcgacgatcgataactggtctgagaggatgaccagtcacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaataccgcgtgagggacgaa-ggcctgtgggttgtaaacctcttt tgttagggaa--ga------taatgacggtacctaacg-aataagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaagacgaggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtacgtaggctgtttgataagtctgttgtcaaagcccga-ggcttaacc ttggaaaggcaatggaaactgtgagac-tagagagagataggggcagagaggatat-ccag gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-ctggaag-aacaccagtggcgaaagc-gtcc -tgctgga-tctcaactgacgctga-agtacgaaagct-aggggagcga-aagggattag ata-cccctgtagtcctagctgtaaacgatggatactaggtgttggccgt-atcgaccg

gtca

>Planktothrix_agardhii_NIVA-CYA_10

ggcc

>Calothrix_sp_KVSF5

tctgcccttaggatggggacaacagttggaaacgactgct-aagacccgatgtgccgaga
ggtgaaatatt---tatagcctgaggatgagctcgcgtcagattagctagttggtgggt aatggcctaccaaggcgacgatcgtagctggtctgagaggatgatcagccacactggaa ctgagacacggtccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtgggggaatttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaagaccgcgtggggggatgaa-ggtttgtggattgtaaaccccttt tgtcagggaa--gaa------taactgacggtacctgacg-aataagcctcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaatacggaggaggcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtccgtaggtggtttatcaagtctgttgttaaaggtgg-ggcttaacc tcataaaggcaatggaaactgatggac-ttgagtgcggtaggggtagagggaaggaatt-ccca gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-tgggaag-aacaccggtggcgaaagc--gctc -tactgga-cctgcactgacagtag-gggacgaaagct-aggggagcga-aagggattag ata-ccccagtagtcctagccgtaaacgatggatactaggcgttgtctgt-atcgacccg gaca

>Leptolyngbya_frigida_ANT.L52.2

tctgccctcaggatggggacaacagttggaaacgactgct-aatacccgatgtgccgaaa ggtgaaactat----ttggcctgaggatgagctcgcgtctgattagctagttggagtggt aatggcacaccaaggcgacgatcagtagctggtctgagaggatgatcagccacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaagaccgcgtggggggacgaa-ggtttgtggattgtaaaccccttt tgattgggaa--gaa-----gttctgacggtaccaatcg-aatcagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaatacggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtccgtaggtggttggtcaagtcgataggggcaaggggaatt-tcca gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-tggaaag-aacaccggtggcgaaagc--gcct -tgctggg-tctgcactgacactga-gggacgaaagct-agggggagcga-aagggattag ata-cccctgtagtcctagccgtaaacgatggatactaggcgttgtccgt-atcgacccg gaca

>Leptolyngbya_foveolarum_VP1-08

catgcetttagattggggacaacagttggaaacgactget-aatacegaatgtgeettag ggtgaaagatt---tattgtetagagattggeteggeteagattagetagttggagtggt aacggeacaceaaggegacgatetgtaettggtetgagaggaggaggaecaggeacacetggaa etgagacaeggteeagaeteetaegggaggaggae-eggettttgggttgtaaaeetettt tateagggaa--gaa------tegatgaeggtaeetgatg-aateageategge taaeteegtgeeageag-eegegtaataeggaggageagetgeaagegttatee-ggaattatt gggegtaaagegteegtaggtggtttateaagtetgetgteaaagegtge-ggettaaee geataagggeagtggaaaetgatgaae-tagagtgegataggggtaaeaggaatt-eeea gtgtageggtgaaat-geg-tagatat-tgggaag-aaeaeeaggegaaage--gtgt

-tactggg-tctgcactgacactga-gggacgaaagct-aggggggggga-aagggattag ata-cccctgtagtcctagccgtaaacgatgacaactaggcgtggttcgt-atcgacccg

catgcctttagattggggacaacagttggaaacgactgct-aataccgaatgtgccttag

NNNN

agcc

>Leptolyngbya_sp_CENA104

>Leptolyngbya_sp_CPCC696

catgcctttagattggggacaacagttggaaacgactgct-aataccgaatgtgccttag ggtgaaagatt---tattgtctagagattggctcgcgtcagattagctagttggagtggt aacggcacaccaaggcgacgatctgtacttggtctgagaggatgaccaggcacactggaa ctgagacacggtccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaataccgcgtgagggaggac-ggcttttgggttgtaaacctcttt tatcagggaa--gaa------tcgatgacggtacctgatg-aatcagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaatacggaggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtccgtaggtggtttatcaagtctgctgtcaaagcgtgc-ggcttaacc gcataagggcagtggaaactgatgaac-tagagtgcgataggggtaacaggaatt-ccca gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-tgggaag-aacaccagcggcgaaagc--gtgt -tactggg-tctgcactgacagtgaaacgatgacaactaggcgtggtcgt-aggggattag ata-cccctgtagtcctagccgtaaacgatgacaactaggcgtggttcgt-atcgacccg agcc

>Leptolyngbya_angustata_UTCC_473

catgcctttagatt-gggacaacagttggaaacgactgct-aataccgaatgtgccttag ggtgaaagatt--tatttgtctagagattggctcgcgtcagattagctagttggagtggt aacggcacaccaaggcgacgatctgtacttggtctgagaggatgaccaggcacactggaa ctgagacacggtccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaataccgcgtgagggaggac-ggcttttgggttgtaaacctcttt

tatcagggaa--gaa------tcgatgacggtacctgatg-aatcagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaatacggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtccgtaggtggtttatcaagtctgctgtcaaagcgtgc-ggcttaacc gcataagggcagtggaaactgatgaac-tagagtgcgataggggtaacaggaatt-ccca gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-tgggaag-aacaccagcggcgaaagc--gtgt -tactggg-tctgcactgacactga-gggacgaaagct-agggggagcga-atgggattag ata-cccctgtagtcctagccgtaaacgatgacaactaggcgtggttcgc-atcgacccg agcc

>MicrocoleusrushforthiiUTCC296

>Lyngbya_hieronymusii_var_hieronymusii_CN4_3 tctgcctctaggactgggacaacagaaggaaacttctgct-aatcccggatgagccgcaa ggtgaaagatt---tatcgcctagagatgagctcgcgtctgattagctagttggtaaggt aaaagcttaccaaggcaacgatcagtagctggtctgagaggagatgatcagccacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtgggggaattttccgcaatgggcg caag-ctgacggagcaagaccgcgtgggggggagaa-ggctcttgggttgtaaaccccttt tctcagggaa--gaa-----ccaaatgacggtacctgagg-aatcagcctcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaatacggagggaggcaagcgttatcc-ggaatgatt gggcgtaaagcgttcgtaggtggctattcaagtcgctgtctgaaagacaga-agctcaact tctgaaaggcagtggaaactgagtagc-tggagtgcggtaggggaggaa-agctcaact tctgaaggcagtggaaat-gcg-tagagat-cgggaag-aacaccggtggcgaaagc-gctc -tgctggg-ccgcaactgacactga-gggacgaaagct-aggggagcga-atgggattag ata-ccccagtagtcctagctgtaaacgatggaaactaggtggaaactaggtggaaatcag ggca

>Lyngbya_wollei_str.Carmichael_Alabama

>Lyngbya_bouillonii_PNG7_14

tctgccttagggtcggggacaaccaccggaaacagtggct-aataccggatgtgccgaga ggtgaaagatt---tattgccataagaggagctcgcgtctgattagctagttggtagggt aaaagcctaccaaggcaacgatcagtagctggtctgagaggatgagcagcacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggag-cagtggggaaattttccgcaatggggc aaagcctgacggagcaataccgcgtgagggaggaa-ggcttttgggttgtaaacctcttt tgtcagggaa--gaa-----gaaagtgacggtacctgaaa-aaaaagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaatacggaggatgcagcagtgagcggtaattt gggcgtaaagcgtccgtaggtggtacctcaagtcggctgttaaagcag-ggcttaact ctgggcaggcagtggaaactgagggaac-tagagggcagtaggggtagagggaatt-cccg gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagattt-cgggaag-aacatcggtggcgaaggc--gctc -tactgga-ctgaacctgacactga-gggacgaaagct-agggggagcga-atgggattag ata-ccccagtagtcctagctgtaaacgatggatactaggtgtagcttgt-atcgaccca agct

>Lyngbya_polychroa_PNG7_13

tctgccttagggtcggggacaaccaccggaaacagtggct-aataccggatgtgccgaga ggtgaaagatt---tattgccataagaggggctcgcgtctgattagctagttggtagggt aaaggcctaccaaggcaacgatcagtagctggtctgagaggatgagcagccacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaataccgcgtgagggaggaa-ggcttttgggtgtaaacctcttt tatcagggaa--gaa-----gaaagtgacggtacctgagg-aaaaagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaatacggaggatgcagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtccgtaggtggtacctcaagtcggctgttaaagcag-ggcttaact ctgggcaggcagtggaaactgagggaac-tagagggaggaggagggagggagggaggaggagtgcaggggaggagtgcaggggaggatt-cccg

gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagattt-cgggaag-aacatcggtggcgaaggc--gctc -tactgga-ctgaacctgacactga-gggacgaaagct-aggggagcga-atgggattag ata-ccccagtagtcctagctgtaaacgatggatactaggtgtagcttgt-atcgaccca agct

>Leptolyngbya_sp_LEGE07298

>Leptolyngbya_sp_LEGE_07311

tctgcccttaggaggggaacaacagttggaaacgactgct-aatgccccatatgccgaga ggtgaaacgtt--ttttcgcctgaggatgaactcgcgtctgattagctagttggtggggt aagagcctaccaaggcgacgatcagtagctggtctaagaggatgatcagccacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtgggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaacgccgcgtgagggaggaa-ggccttagggttgtaaacctcttt tctctgggaa--gaa-----gatctgacggtaccagagg-aataagcctcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaagacggaggaggaaggcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtcgcaggggggagca-tagagtgtggtaggggaggaatttcc-ggaattatt gtggatcggcaatggaaactgggagac-tagagtgtggtaggggaggaaggcaagcg-cgcc tactggg-ccacaactgacgctga-gggacgaagct-aggggaggag-atggggagga-gct -tactggg-ccacaactgacgctga-gggacgaagct-aggggagcga-atgggattag ata-cccctgtagtcctagctgtaaacgatggatactaggtgttggacgt-atcgacccg tgca

>Leptolyngbya_sp_PCC7375

tctgcccttaggcggggggacaacttttggaaacggaagct-aataccccatatggcggga gctgaaagctt--aatgtgcctgaggatgaactcgcgtctgattagctagttggtggggt aagagcttaccaaggcgacgatcagtagctgttctgagaggaagatcagccacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg

caagcetgaeggagcaaegeegetgagggaggaa-tgtetgtggattgtaaaectettt tetetgggaa--gaa-----gatetgaeggtaeeaggg-aateageategge taaeteegtgeeageag-eegeggtaagaeggaggatgeaagegttatee-ggaattatt gggegtaaagegteegta-geggttaattaagteagttgttaaagaetge-ageteaaet gtggggagageaaetgaaaetggttaae-tagagtatggtaggggtagagggaatt-eeta gtgtageggtgaaat-geg-tagatat-taggaag-aaeaeeaggggaggegaaaggg--gete -taetggg-eeaagaetgaegetga-tggaegaaaget-aggggagega-aagggattag ata-eeeetgtaetgtaaaegatggataetaggtgttgtgegt-ategaeeeg tgea

>Leptolyngbya_tenerrima_UTCC_77 catgcctttagattggggacaacagttggaaacgactgct-aataccgaatgtgccttag ggtgaaagatt---tattgtctagagattggctcgcgtcagattagctagttggagtggt aacggcacaccaaggcgacgatctgtacttggtctgagagggatgaccaggcacactggaa ctgagacacggtccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaataccgcgtgagggaggac-ggcttttgggttgtaaacctcttt tatcagggaa--gaa------tcgatgacggtacctgatg-aatcagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaatacggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtccgtaggtggtttatcaagtcgctgtaaagcgtgc-ggcttaacc gcataagggcagtggaaactgatgaac-tagagtgcgataggggagaac-ggcttaacc gggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-tgggaag-aacaccagcggcgaaagc--gtgt -tactggg-tctgcactgacactga-gggacgaaagct-aggggagcga-aagggattag ata-cccctgtagtcctagccgt-aacgatgacaactaggcgtggttggt-atcgacccg agcc

>AF132793_Leptolyngbya_boryana_UTEX-B485 catgcctttagattggggacaacagttggaaacgactgct-aataccgaatgtgccttag ggtgaaagatt---tattgtctagagattggctcgcgtcagattagctagttggagtggt aacggcacaccaaggcgacgatctgtacttggtctgagagggtgaccaggcacactggaa ctgagacacggtccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaataccgcgtgagggaggac-ggcttttgggttgtaaacctcttt tatcagggaa--gaa------tcgatgacggtacctgatg-aatcagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaatacggaggaggagcagctgtacc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtccgtaggtggtttatcaagtctgctgtcaaagcgtgc-ggcttaacc gcataagggcagtggaaactgatgaac-tagagtgcgataggggagaac-ggcttaacc gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-tgggaag-aacaccagcggcgaaagc--gtgt -tactggg-tctgcactgacactga-gggacgaaagct-agggggggcga-aagggattag ata-cccctgtagtcctagccgtaaacgatgacaactaggcgtggttcgt-atcgacccg agcc

>AB245143_Leptolyngbya_boryana_IAM_M-101

catgcetttagattggggacaacagttggaaacgactget-aataccgaatgtgeettag ggtgaaagatt---tattgtetagagattggetegeteggteagattagetagttggagtggt aacggeacaceaaggegacgatetgtaettggtetgagaggaggagaccaggeacactggaa etgagacaeggteeagaeteetaegggaggaggag-eagtgggggaatttteegeaatgggeg aaageetgaeggageaataeegegtgagggaggae-ggettttgggttgtaaaeetettt tateagggaa--gaa------tegatgaeggtaeetgatgeaagegttatee-ggaattatt gggegtaaagegteegtaggtggtttateaagetgetgeaagegttaee-ggettaaee geataagggeagtggaaaetgatgaae-tagagtgegataggggtaaeagggataee-ggettaaee gtgtageggtgaaat-geg-tagatat-tgggaag-aaeaeeggggagagae-ggettage ata-eecetgtagteetgaeagetgaaaeggageagaaget-agggggggagaaaggattag ata-eecetgtagteetageegtaaaeggatgaeaaetggggaggagaaaetgagggatgaaaetgaggagtgaaaetgaggaggagaaetgaggggagega-aaggggattag ata-eecetgtagteetageegtaaaegatgaeaetaggggtgetegt-ategaeeeg agee

>AF320093_Halomicronema_sp._TFEP1

tctgcccttaggtggggggacaaccactggaaacggtggct-aataccccatacggcgaga gctaaaagatt---tattgcctgaggatgaactcgcgtctgattagctagttggtgaggt aagggctcaccaaggcgacgatcagtagctggtctgagaggatgatcagccacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtgggggaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaacgccgcgtgcgggaggaa-ggcctgtgggttgtaaaccgcttt tctcagggaa--gaa-----gtactgacggtacctgagg-aatcagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtgatgaggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtcgcaggcggctgttcaagtcgctgtagggtagagggaattcccca tcggataggcagtggaaactgagtagc-tagagtgcggtaggggtagagggaattcccca gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-tgggaag-aaccacagtggcgaagggataga ata-cccctgtagtcctagctgtaaacgatggacactaggtgttggccgt-atcgacccg gtca

>AY493607_Leptolyngbya_antarctica_ANT.L18.1 tctgcccttaggacgggggacaacagttggaaacgactgct-aatacccgatgtgccgaga ggtgaaactat----ttggcctgaggatgagctcgcgtctgattagctagttggagtggt aacggcacaccaaggcgacgatcagtagctggtctgagaggatgatcagccacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaagaccgcgtgcgggaggaa-ggtctattgactgtaaaccgcttt tgatagggaa--gaa-----gatctgacggtacctatcg-aatcagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtaatacggggggtgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtccgtaggtggttttaagttgtaaaccgctg

276

tgca

>Gloeobacter_violaceus_VP3-01 tctgccctcaggagggggataacggccggaaacggccgct-aataccccatatgccgcaa ggtgaaatgaa---tttcgcctgaggatgagcccgcgtctgattagctagttggtggggt aatggcctaccaaggctacgatcagtagctggtctgagaggatgatcagccacactggga ctgagacacggcccagactcctacgggaggcag-cagtggggaaattttccgcaatgggcg aaagcctgacggagcaacgccgcgtgggtgatgaa-ggcccgtgggtcgtaaagcccttt tgtcagggac--gaa------acctgacggtacctgacg-aataagcatcggc taactccgtgccagcag-ccgcggtgatatacggaggatgcaagcgttatcc-ggaattatt gggcgtaaagcgtacgtaggcggccagccaagtctggggtcgtaaagccgc-agctaact gcggaaaggccctggaaactggttggc-tagagtgcggtaggggcaaggggaatt-cccg gtgtagcggtgaaat-gtg-gagatat-cgggaag-aacaccagcggcgaaagc--gcct -tgctgga-ccgcaactgacgctga-ggtacgaaagcc-aggggagcaa-atgggattag ata-ccccagtagtcctggccgtaaacgatggacactaggtgtgccgt-atcgaccg

ggca

tcgtacaggcagtggaaactataagac-tagagtgcggtaggggtagagggaatt-tcca gtgtagcggtgaaat-gcg-tagatat-tggaaag-aacaccggtggcgaaggc--gctc -tactggg-cctgcactgacactga-gggacgaaagct-aggggagcga-aagggattag ata-cccctgtagtcctagccgtaaacgatggaaactaggtgttgcccgt-atcgacccg

References

- Acinias, S.G.; Haverkamp, T.H.; Huisman, J.; Stal, L.J. (2009) Phenotypic and genetic diversification of *Pseudanabaena* spp. (Cyanobacteria). *ISME J.* 3: 31-46.
- Ács, E. & Kiss, K.T. (1993) Colonization process of diatoms on artificial substrates in the river Danube near Budapest (Hungary). *Hydrobiologia* 269/270: 307-315.
- Adey, W.H.; Finn, M.; Kangas, P.; Lange, L.; Luckett, C.; Spoon, D. (1996) A Florida Everglade's mesocosm – model veracity after four years of self-organization. *Ecol. Eng.* 6: 171-224.
- Adey, W.H. & Hackney, J.M. (1988) Harvest Production of Coral Reef Algal Turfs. In: Adey, W.H. & Farrier, D.F. (eds) *The Biology, Ecology and Mariculture of Mithrax* spinosissimus *Utilizing Cultured Algal Turfs*. Los Angeles: The Mariculture Institute, pp.1-83.
- Adey, W.H.; Kangas, P.C.; Mulbry, W. (2011) Algal Turf Scrubbing: Cleaning Surface Waters with Solar Energy while producing a Biofuel. *BioScience* 61: 434-441.
- Adey, W.H.; Laughinghouse IV, H.D.; Miller, J.B.; Hayek, L-A.; Thompsen, J.G.; Bertman, S.; Hampel, K.; Puvanendran, S. Algal Turf Scrubber (ATS) floways on the Great Wicomico River, a mesohaline inlet on the Chesapeake Bay: productivity; algal community structure; substrate and chemistry. *J. Phycol.* (under review), p. 1-56.
- Adey, W.H. & Loveland, K. (2007) Dynamic Aquaria: Building and Restoring Living Ecosystems. Amsterdam: Academic Press/Elsevier, 508p.
- Adey, W.H; Luckett, C; Jensen, K. (1993) Phosphorus removal from natural waters using controlled algal production. *Restor. Ecol.* 1(1): 29-39
- Adey, W.H. & Steneck, R. (1985) Highly productive eastern Caribbean reefs: Synergistic effects of biological, chemical, physical, and geological factors. NOAA's Undersea Research Program, Symposium Series for Undersea Research 3: 163-187.
- Agha, R.; Cirés, S.; Wörmer, L.; Domínguez, J.A.; Quesada, A. (2012) Multi-scale strategies for the monitoring of freshwater cyanobacteria: Reducing the sources of uncertainty. *Water Res.* 46: 3043-3053.
- Albay, M. & Akcaalan, R. (2003) Comparative study of periphyton colonization on common reed (*Phragmites australis*) and artificial substrate in a shallow lake, Manyas, Turkey. *Hydrobiologia* 506-509: 531-540.
- Anagnostidis, K. (1961) Unterschungen über die Cyanophyceen einiger Thermen in Griechenland. *Inst. Syst. Bot. & Planzengeogr. Univ. Thessaloniki.* 322 p.
- Anagnostidis, K. (1989) *Geitlerinema*, a new genus of oscillatorialean cyanophytes. *Pl. Syst. Evol.* 164: 33-46.

- Anagnostidis, K. & Golubič, S. (1966) Über die Ökologie einifer Spirulina-Arten. Nova Hedwigia 11: 309-335.
- Anagnostidis, K. & Komárek, J. (1985) Modern approach to the classification system of cyanophytes.1. Introduction. Arch. Hydrobiol. Suppl.71/Algolog. Stud. 38/39: 291-302.
- Anagnostidis, K. & Komárek, J. (1988) Modern approach to the classification system of cyanophytes. 3. Oscillatoriales. Arch. Hydrobiol. Suppl 80(1-4)/Algolog. Stud. 50-53: 327-472.
- Anagnostidis, K. & Komárek, J. (1990) Modern approach to the classification system of cyanophytes 5 – Stigonematales. *Algolog. Stud.* 79: 1-73.
- Anderson, M. J. (2001) A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. *Austral Ecology* 26:32-46
- APHA (1995) Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 19th ed. Washington, DC: American Public Health Association.
- Behning, A. (1924) Studien über die Malakostraken des Wolga bassins. I. Systematische Übersicht der im Wolga bassin bis jetzt aufgefundenen Malacostraca. II. über den Ursprung und die Verbreitung der Malakostraken Fauna der Wolga. *Int. Rev. ges Hydrobiol. Hydrogr.* 12: 228-247.
- Bicudo, C.E.M. & Menezes, M. (2006) *Gêneros de algas de águas continentais do Brasil*. São Carlos: Editora Rima, 512p.
- Biggs, B.J.F. (1996) Patterns in Benthic Algae of Streams. In: Stevenson, R.J.; Bothwell, M.L.; Lowe, R.L. (eds.) *Algal Ecology: Freshwater Benthic Ecosystems*. San Diego: Academic Press, pp. 31-56.
- Biggs, B.J.F.; Stevenson, J.R.; Lowe, R.L. (1998) A habitat matrix conceptual model for stream periphyton. *Archiv für Hydrobiol*. 143(1): 21-56.
- Biggs, B.J.F. & Thomsen, H.A. (1995) Disturbance of stream periphyton by perturbations in shear stress: time to structural failure and differences in community resistance. J. *Phycol.* 31: 233-241.
- Blackmore, S. (2002) Biodiversity Update-Progress in Taxonomy. Science 298: 365.
- Blankenship, K. (2005) *Susquehanna named most endangered river*. 2 October 2011 < http://www.bayjournal.com/article.cfm?article=2535>.
- Bohunická, M.; Johansen, J.R.; Fučiková, K. (2011) *Tapinothrix clintonii* sp. nov. (Pseudanabaenaceae, Cyanobacteria) a new species at the nexus of five genera. *Fottea* 11(1): 127-140.

- Bornet, E. & Flahault, C. (1886-1888) Révision des Nostocacées hétérocystées contenues dans les principaus herbiers de France. Ann. Sci. nat. Bot. 1(3): 323-381; 1(4): 343-373; 1(5): 51-129; 1(7): 177-262.
- Bourrelly, P (1970) Les algues d'eau douce: initiation à la systématique. Les algues bleues et rouges. vol. 3. Paris: Boubée & Cie, 512p.
- Brodie, J.; Maggs, C.A.; John, D.M. (eds) (2007) *Green Seaweeds of Britain and Ireland*. Dunmurry: British Phycological Society, 242p.
- Burgis, M.J. & Morris, P. (1987) *A Natural History of Lakes*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Canuel, E. & Duffy, E. (2011) Algal Turf Scrubber
 ® and Algal Biomass Production System Production and Composition, Annual Cycle, and Effects of Environmental Variables: Final Report. In: BlackRock Energy (ed). Chesapeake Algae Project (ChAP): Phase 1a: An Integrated Research Approach for Sustainable Algae Energy Production and Environmental Remediation, pp. 51-81.
- Carrick, H.J.; Lowe, R.L.; Rotenberry, J.T. (1988) Guilds of benthic algae along nutrient gradients: relationship to algal community diversity. *J. N. Am. Benth. Soc.* 7: 117-128.
- Carvalho, L.R.; Pipole, F.; Werner, V.R.; Laughinghouse IV, H.D.; Camargo, A.C.M.; Rangel, M.; Konno, K.; Sant'Anna, C.L. (2008) A toxic cyanobacteria bloom in an urban coastal lake, Rio Grande do Sul State, southern Brazil. *Braz. J. Microbiol.* 39: 761-769.
- Castenholz, R.W. (2001) General characteristics of the Cyanobacteria. In: Garrity, E.; Booner, D.R.; Castenholz, R.W. (eds.). *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*, vol. 1. New York: Springer, pp. 474-487.
- Casamatta, D.A.; Johansen, J.R.; Vis, M.L.; Broadwater, S.T. (2005) Molecular and morphological characterization of ten polar and Near-polar strains within the Oscillatoriales (Cyanobacteria). *J. Phycol.* 41: 421–438.
- Casamatta, D.A.; Vis, M.L.; Sheath, R.G. (2003) Cryptic species in cyanobacterial systematics: a case of *Phormidium retzii* (Oscillatoriales) using RAPD molecular markers and 16S rDNA sequence data. *Aquatic Bot.* 77: 295-309.
- Cattaneo, A. & Kalff, J. (1978) Seasonal changes in the epiphyte community of natural and artificial macrophytes in Lake Memphremagog (Que. & Vt.). *Hydrobiologia* 60(2): 135-144.
- Cooke, B.W.M. (1956) Colonization of artificial bare areas by microorganisms. *Bot. Rev.* 22(9): 613-638.

- Cox, E.J. (1996) *Identification of Freshwater Diatoms from Live Material*. London: Chapman & Hall, 158p.
- Craggs, R.; Adey, W.H.; Jessup, B.; Oswald, W. (1996) A controlled stream mesocosm for tertiary treatment of sewage. *Ecol. Eng.* 6: 149-169.
- Cronk, J.K. & Mitsch, W.J. (1994) Periphyton productivity on artificial and natural surfaces in constructed freshwater wetlands under different hydrologic regimes. *Aquat. Bot.* 48: 325- 341.
- Curtin, P.D.; Brush, G.S.; Fisher, G.W. (eds.) *Discovering the Chesapeake: The History* of an Ecosystem. Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Daniel, G.F.; Chamberlain, A.H.L.; Jones, E.B.G. (1987) Cytochemical and electron microscopical observations on the adhesive materials of marine fouling diatoms. *Br. Phycol. J.* 22: 101-118.
- Desikachary, T.V. (1959) *Cyanophyta*. New Delhi: Indian Council of Agricultural Research, 686p.
- Dodds, W.K. (2003) The role of periphyton in phosphorous retention in shallow freshwater aquatic systems. *J. Phycol.* 39: 840-849.
- Douterelo, I.; Perona, E.; Mateo, P. (2004) Use of cyanobacteria to assess water quality in running waters. *Environmental Pollution* 127: 377-384.
- Drouet, F. (1939) The Myxophyceae of Maryland. *Botanical Series Field Museum of Natural History* 20(1): 3-14.
- Drouet, F. (1942) Studies in Myxophyceae. I. *Botanical Series Field Museum of Natural History* 20(6): 125-145.
- Elenkin, A.A. (1935) Ob osnovnych principach sistemy Cyanophyceae. *Sov. Bot.* 4: 51-83.
- Elenkin, A.A. (1938-1949) Monographia algarum cyanophycearum aquis dulcium et terrestrium in finibus URSS inventarum [Sinezelenye vodorosli SSSR]. *Pars spec.* 2(1-2) 1908p
- Falconer, I.R & Fitzgerald, J. (1999) Human Health Aspects. In: Chorus, I & Bartram, J. (eds.) Toxic cyanobacteria in Water: A guide to their public health consequences, monitoring, and management. London: E&FN Spon, pp.113-153.
- Ferragut, C. (2004) Respostas das algas perifíticas e planctônicas à manipulação de nutrientes (N e P) em reservatório urbano (Lago do IAG, São Paulo). PhD thesis, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Rio Claro, SP. 184p.

- Ferragut, C.; Lopes, M.R.M.; Bicudo, D.C.; Bicudo, C.E.M., & Vercellino, S. (2005) Ficoflórula perifítica e planctônica (exceto Bacillariophyceae) de um reservatório oligotrófico raso (Lago do IAG, São Paulo). *Hoehnea* 32: 137-184.
- Fisher, S.G. (1983) Succession in streams. In: Barnes, J.R. & Minshall, G.W. (eds.) *Stream Ecology: Application and Testing of General Ecology Theory*. New York: Plenum Press, pp. 7-27.
- Fisher, S.G.; Gray, L.J.; Grimm, N.B.; Busch, D.E. (1982) Temporal succession in a desert stream ecosystem following flash flooding. *Ecol. Monogr.* 52: 93-110.
- Fjerdingstad, E. (1971) Dimension and taxonomy of Oscillatoriaceae. I. Oscillatoria limosa Ag. and Oscillatoria nitida Schkorb. Emend. Schweiz. Z. Hydrol. 33(1): 171-199.
- Franceschini, I.M. (1990) Flora de Cyanophyceae do Rio Seco, Torres, Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil. *Napaea* 7: 1-39.
- Franceschini, I.M. (1992) Algues d'eau douce de Porto Alegre, Brésil (les Diatomophycées exclues). *Bibliotheca Phycologica* 92: 1-82.
- Francoeur, S.N.; Biggs, B.J.F.; Smith, R.A.; Lowe, R.L. (1999) Nutrient limitation of algal biomass accrual in streams: seasonal patterns and a comparison of methods. J. N. Am. Benth. Soc. 18: 242-260.
- Frémy, P. (1929-1933) Les Cyanophycées de Côtes d'Europe. *Mém. Soc. natn. Sci. nat. Math.* 41: 1-236.
- Frémy, P. (1930) Les Myxophycées de l'Afrique équatoriale française. *Arch. Bot. Mém.* 3(2): 1-493.
- Friedrichs, C.T. (2009) York River physical oceanography and sediment transport. In: Moore, K.A. & Reay, W.G. (eds.). A Site Profile of the Chesapeake Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve, Virginia. Journal of Coastal Research, SI 57: 17-22.
- Geitler, L. (1925) Cyanophyceae. In: Pascher's Süsswasserflora, 12. Jena: G. Fischer-Verlag, 450p.
- Geitler, L. (1932) Cyanophyceae. In: Rabenhorst's Kryptogamenflora von Deutschland, Österreich und der Schweiz. 2. Aufl. Leipzig: Akademische. Verlagsgesellschaft. 14, 1196p.
- Geitler, L. (1942) Schizophyta: Klasse Schizophyceae. In: Engler, A. & Prantl, K. (eds.) *Die natürlichen pflanzenfamilien*, vol. 1b, p.1-232.
- Goldsborough, K.D. & Robinson, G.G.C. (1996) Pattern in wetlands. In: Stevenson, R.J.; Bothwell, M.L.; Lowe, R.L. (eds.). *Algal Ecology: Freshwater Benthic Ecosystems*. San Diego: Academic Press, p.78-117.

- Gomont, M.M. (1892) Monographie des Oscillariées (Nostacacées homocystées). Ann. Sci. nat. Bot. 7(15): 263-368; 7(26): 91-264.
- Gouy, M.; Guindon, S.; Gascuel, O. (2010) SeaView version 4: a multiplatform graphical user interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 27: 221-224.
- Guindon, S. & Gascuel, O. (2003): A simple, fast and accurate method to estimate large phylogenies by maximum-likelihood. *System. Biol.* 52: 696-704.
- Harper, D. (1992) Eutrophication of Freshwater. London: Chapman Hall, 327p
- Hašler, P. & Poulíčková, A. (2010) Diversity, taxonomy and autoecology of autochthonous epipelic cyanobacteria of the genus *Komvophoron* (Borziaceae, Oscillatoriales): a study on populations from the Czech Republic and British Isles. *Biologia* 65(1): 7-16.
- Havens, K.E.; East, T.L.; Hwang, S.J.; Rodusky, A.J.; Sharfstein, B.; Steinmann, A.D. (1999a) Algal responses to experimental nutrient addition in the littoral community of a subtropical lake. *Fresh. Biol.* 42: 329-344.
- Havens, K.E.; East, T.L.; Meeker, R.H.; Davis, W.P. (1996) Phytoplankton and periphyton responses to in situ experimental nutrient enrichment in a shallow subtropical lake. J. Plankton Res. 18: 551-556.
- Havens, K.E.; East, T.L.; Rodusky, A.J.; Sharfstein, B. (1999b) Littoral periphyton responses to nitrogen and phosphorus: an experimental study in a subtropical lake. *Aquat. Bot.* 63: 267-290.
- Havens, K.E.; Sharfstein, B.; Rodusky, A.J.; East, T.L. (2004) Phosphorus accumulation in the littoral zone of a subtropical lake. *Hydrobiologia* 527: 15-24.
- Havens, K.E.; Steinmann, A.D.; Hwang, S.J. (2001) Phosphorus uptake by plankton and periphyton in relation to irradiance and phosphate availability in a subtropical lake (Lake Okeechobee, Florida, USA). Arch. Hydrobiol. 151(2): 177-201.
- Hill, B.H. & Webster, J.R. (1982) Periphyton production in an Appalachian river. *Hydrobiologia* 97: 275-280.
- Hillebrand, H. & Sommer, U. (2000) Diversity of benthic microalgae in response to colonization time and eutrophication. *Aquat. Bot.* 67: 221-223.
- Hoagland, K.D.; Roemer, S.C.; Rosowski, J.R. (1982) Colonization and community structure of two periphyton assemblages, with emphasis on the diatoms. *Am. J. Bot.* 69: 188-213.
- Hoek, C. van den; Mann, D.G.; Jahns, H.M. (1995) *Algae: an introduction to phycology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 623p.

- Hoffmann, L.; Komárek, J.; Kaštovský, J. (2005) System of cyanoprokaryotes (cyanobacteria) state 2004. *Algolog. Stud.* 117: 95-115.
- Holland, A.F.; Zingmark, R.G.; Dean, J.M. (1974) Quantitative evidence concerning the stabilization of sediments by marine benthic diatoms. *Mar. Biol.* 27: 191-196.
- Horne, A.J. & Goldman, C.R. (1994) *Limnology*. 2 ed. Singapore: McGraw-Hill International.
- Huszar, V.L.M.; Bicudo, D.C.; Giani, A.; Ferragut, C.; Martinelli, L.A.; Henry, R. (2005) Subsídios para compreensão sobre a limitação de nutrientes ao crescimento do fitoplâncton e perifíton em ecossistemas continentais lênticos no Brasil. In: Roldam, F.; César, D.; Marinho, M. (eds.) *Lições em Limnologia: Fronteiras Conceituais*. São Carlos: RiMa Editora, pp. 243-260.
- Hutchinson, G.E. (1967) A Treatise on Limnology Volume II Introduction to Lake Biology and the Limnoplankton. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1115p.
- Istvánovics, Y.; Voros, L.; Herodek, S.; Toth, L.G.; Tatrai, I. (1986) Changes of phosphorus and nitrogen concentration and of phytoplankton in enriched lake enclosures. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 31(4): 798-811.
- Izaguirre, G. & Taylor, W.D. (2004) A guide to geosmin- and MIB-producing cyanobacteria in the United States. *Water Sci. Technol.* 49(9): 19-24.
- Johansen, J.R.; Kovačík, L.; Casamatta, D.A.; Fučíková, K.; Kaštovský, J. (2011) Utility of 16S-23S ITS sequence and secondary structure for recognition of intrageneric and intergeneric limits within cyanobacterial taxa: *Leptolyngbya corticola* sp. nov. (Pseudanabaenaceae, Cyanobacteria). *Nova Hedwigia*: 92: 283-302.
- John, D.M. & Williamson, D.B. (2009) A Practical Guide to the Desmids of the West of Ireland. Galway, Ireland: Martin Ryan Institute, 196p.
- Kangas, P.C. (2004) *Ecological Engineering: Principles and Practice*. Boca Raton: Lewis Publishers, 452p.
- Kangas, P.C.; Mulbry, W.; Klavon, P.J.; Laughinghouse IV; H.D. (2010) *Final Report on the Susquehanna Algal Turf Scrubber Project*. In: Adey, W.H. (PI) Algal Turf Scrubber (ATSTM) Algae to Energy Project: Cleaning Rivers while Producing Biofuels and Agricultural and Health Products (pp.61-82). Report to D. Orr & A. Lewis Foundation, Cleveland, OH, USA.
- Katoh, K. & Toh, H. (2008) Recent developments in the MAFFT multiple sequence alignment program. *Brief Bioinform*. 9: 286-298.
- Kelly, M. (2000) Identification of common benthic diatoms in rivers. *Field Studies* 9: 583-700.

- Kling, H.; Laughinghouse IV, H.D.; Šmarda, J.; Komárek, J.; Acreman, J.; Bruun, K.; Watson, S.B.; Chen, F. (2012) A new red colonial *Pseudanabaena* (Cyanoprokaryota, Oscillatoriales) from North American large lakes. *Fottea*: 12(2): 327-339.
- Komárek, J. (1994) Current trends and species delimitations in the cyanoprokaryote taxonomy. *Arch. Hydrobiol./Algol. Stud.* 75: 11-29.
- Komárek, J. (2003) Planktic oscillatorialean cyanoprokaryotes (short review according to combined phenotype and molecular aspects). *Hydrobiologia* 502: 367-382.
- Komárek, J. & Anagnostidis, K. (1989) Modern approach to the classification system of cyanophytes 4 Nostocales. *Arch. Hydrobiol. Suppl.* 82(3): 247-345.
- Komárek, J. & Anagnostidis, K. (1998) Cyanoprokaryota 1.Teil: Chroococcales. In: Ettl H., Gärtner, G; Heynig, H; Mollenhauer, D (eds) Süßwasserflora von Mitteleuropa 19/1, Jena: Gustav Fischer, 548p.
- Komárek, J. & Anagnostidis, K. (2005) Cyanoprokaryota 2.Teil: Oscillatorialles. In: Budel, B; Krienitz, L; Gärtner, G; Schagerl, M (eds) Süßwasserflora von Mitteleuropa 19/2, München: Elsevier GmbH, 758p.
- Komárek, J. & Azevedo, M.T.deP. (2000) Geitlerinema unigranulatum, a common tropical cyanoprokaryote from freshwater reservoirs in Brazil. Algolog. Studies 99: 39-52.
- Komárek, J. & Kling, H. (1991) Variation in six planktonic cyanophyte genera in Lake Victoria (East Africa). *Algol. Studies* 61: 21-45.
- Komárek, J.; Komárková-Legnerová, J.; Sant'Anna, C.L., Azevedo, M.T.deP.; Senna, P.A.C. (2002) Two common *Microcystis* species (Chroococcales, Cyanobacteria) from tropical America, including *M. panniformis* sp. nov. *Cryptog. Algol.* 23: 159-177.
- Komárková-Legnerová, J. & Cronberg, G. (1992) New and recombined filamentous cyanophytes from lakes in South Scania, Sweden. *Algol. Studies* 67: 21-31.
- Kondrateva, N.V. (1968) *Sin'o-zeleni vodorosti Cyanophyta* [Blue-green algae Cyanophyta]. In: Viznačnik prisnovo Vodorosti. Ukraini'Koj RSR. 1(2), 254p.
- Krammer, K.; Lange-Bertalot, H. (1986) Bacillariophyceae 1. Teil: Naviculaceae. In: Ettl, H.; Gerloff, J.; Heynig, H.; Mollenhauer, D. (eds). Süßwasserflora von Mitteleuropa 2/1. Stuttgart: Gustav Fischer Verlag, 876p.
- Krammer, K. & Lange-Bertalot, H. (1988) Bacillariophyceae 2. Teil: Bacillariaceae, Epithemiaceae, Surirelliaceae. In: Ettl, H.; Gerloff, J.; Heynig, H.; Mollenhauer, D. (eds) Süßwasserflora von Mitteleuropa 2/2. Stuttgart: Gustav Fischer Verlag, 596p.

Krammer, K & Lange-Bertalot, H (1991) Bacillariophyceae 3. Teil: Centrales,

Fragilariaceae, Eunotiaceae. In: Ettl, H.; Gerloff, J.; Heynig, H.; Mollenhauer, D. (eds) *Süßwasserflora von Mitteleuropa* 2/3, Stuttgart/Jena: Gustav Fischer Verlag, 576p.

- Krammer, K & Lange-Bertalot, H (1991) Bacillariophyceae 4. Teil: Achnanthaceae, Kritische Ergänzungen zu Navicula (Lineolatae) und Gomphonema Gesamtliteraturverzeichnis Teil 1- 4. In: Ettl H.; Gartner, G.; Gerloff, J.; Heynig, H.; Mollenhauer, D. (eds) *Sußwasserflora von Mitteleuropa* 2/4. Stuttgart/Jena: Gustav Fischer Verlag, 437p.
- Lam, P.K.S. & Lei, A. (1999) Colonization of periphytic algae on artificial substrates in a tropical stream. *Diatom Res.* 14(2): 307-322.
- Laughinghouse IV; H.D. (2010) *Algae of ATS*TM Test Systems. In: Adey, W.H. (PI) Algal Turf Scrubber (ATSTM) Algae to Energy Project: Cleaning Rivers while Producing Biofuels and Agricultural and Health Products (pp.46-60). Report to D. Orr & A. Lewis Foundation, Cleveland, OH, USA.
- Laughinghouse IV, H.D.; Prá, D.; Silva-Stenico, M.E.; Rieger, A.; Frescura, V.D-S.; Fiore, M.F.; Tedesco, S.B. (2012) Biomonitoring genotoxicity and cytotoxicity of *Microcystis aeruginosa* (Chroococcales, Cyanobacteria) using the *Allium cepa* test. *Sci. Total Environ.* 432: 180-188.
- Lawrence, G.H.M. (1973) *Taxonomia das plantas vasculares*, vol. 1. Lisbon: Calouste Gulbenkian Foundation, 298p.
- Linnaeus, C. (1753) Species Plantarum, Exhibentes Plantas Rite Cognitas, ad Genera Relatas, cum Differentiis Specificus, Nominibus Trivialibus, Synonymis Selectis, Locis Natalibus, Secundum Systema Sexuale Digestas, Tomus II. Stockholm: Impensis Laurentii Salvii.
- Lippson, A.J. & Lippson, R.L. (1984) *Life in the Chesapeake Bay*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 229p.
- Lobban, C.S. (1984) Marine tube-dwelling diatoms of eastern Canada: descriptions, checklist, and illustrated key. *Can. J. Bot.* 62: 778-794.
- Lock, M.A.; Wallace, R.R.; Costerton, J. W.; Ventullo, R.M.; Charlton, S.E. (1984) River epilithon: toward a structural-functional model. *Oikos* 42: 10-22.
- Lowe, R.L. & Pan, Y. (1996) Benthic algal communities as biological monitors. In: Stevenson, R.J.; Bothwell, M.L.; Lowe, R.L. (eds.) *Algal Ecology: Freshwater Benthic Ecosystems*. San Diego: Academic Press, pp. 705-739.
- Lund, J.W.G.; Kipling, C.; Le Cren, E.D. (1958) The Inverted Microscope Method of Estimating Algal Numbers and the Statistical Basis of Estimations by Counting. *Hydrobiologia* 11: 143-170.

- Manny, B.A.; Johnson, W.C.; Wetzel, R.G. (1994) Nutrient additions by waterfowl to lakes and reservoirs: predicting their effects on productivity and water quality. *Hydrobiologia* 279/280: 121-132.
- Marcus, M.D. (1980) Periphytic community response to chronic nutrient enrichment by a reservoir discharge. *Ecology* 61: 387-399.
- Margalef, R. (1998) La imprecisa frontera entre el plâncton y otros tipos de comunidades. In: Azevedo, M.T.P., Santos, D.P., Sormus, L., Menezes, M. Fujii, M., Yokoya, N.S., Senna, P.A.C. & Guimarães, S.M.P.B. (eds.). Anais do 4º Congresso Latino-Americano de Ficologia, 2ª Reunião Ibero-Americana e 7ª Reunião Brasileira de Ficologia, Caxambú, MG, pp. 319-326.
- Marshall, H.G.; Burchardt, L; Lacouture, R. (2005) A review of phytoplankton composition within Chesapeake Bay and its tidal estuaries. *J. Plankton Res.* 27(11): 1083-1102.
- McCormick, P.V. & Stevenson, R.J. (1991) Mechanisms of benthic algal succession in lotic environments. *Ecology* 72: 1835-1848.
- McCormick, P.V. & Stevenson, R.J. (1998) Periphyton as a tool for ecological assessment and management in the Florida Everglades. *J. Phycol.* 34: 726-733.
- McCormick, P.V.; O'Dell, M.B.; Shuford III, R.B.E.; Backus, J.G.; Kennedy, W.C. (2001) Periphyton responses to experimental phosphorus enrichment in a subtropical wetland. *Aquat. Bot.* 71: 119-139.
- McGill, B.J.; Etienne, R.S.; Gray, J.S.; Alonso, D.; Anderson, M.J.; Benecha, H.K.;
 Dornelas, M.; ENquist, B.J.; Green, J.L.; He, F.; Hurlbert, A.H.; Magurran, A.E.;
 Marquet, P.A.; Maurer, B.A.; Ostling, A.; Soykan, C.A.; Ugland, K.I.; White, E.P.
 (2007) Species abundance distributions: moving beyond single prediction theories to integration within an ecological framework. *Ecol. Lett.* 10: 995-1015.
- McGregor, G.B. (2007) Freshwater Cyanoprokaryota of North-Eastern Australia I: Oscillatoriales. *Flora of Australian Supplementary Series* 24: 1-123.
- Moffit, M.C.; Blackburn, S.I.; Neilan, B.A. (2001) rRNA sequences reflect the ecophysiology and define the toxic cyanobacteria of the genus *Nodularia. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 51: 505-512.
- Moschini-Carlos, V.; Henry, R.; Pômpeo, M.L.M. (2000) Seasonal variation of biomass and productivity of the periphytic community on artificial substrata in the Jurumirim reservoir (São Paulo, Brazil). *Rev. Bras. Biol.* 61(1): 19-26.
- Mulbry, W. (2008) Treatment of dairy manure effluent using freshwater algae: Algal productivity and recovery of manure nutrients using pilot-scale algal turf scrubbers. *Bioresource Technology* 99: 8137-8142.

- Mulholland, P.J. & Rosemond, A.D. (1992) Periphyton responses to longitudinal nutrient depletion in a woodland stream: evidence of upstream-downstream linkage. *J. N. Am. Benthol. Soc.* 11: 405-419.
- Nägeli, C. (1849) Gattungen einzelliger Algen. Neue Denksch. allg. Aschweiz. Ges. Gen Natur. 10: 1-139.
- Nübel, U.; Garcia-Pichel, F.; Muyzer, G. (1997) PCR Primers to Amplify 16S rRNA Genes from Cyanobacteria. *Appl. Environm. Microbiol.* 63(8): 3327-3332.
- Odum, E.P. (1971) Fundamentals of Ecology. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders, 574p.
- Odum, E.P. (1986) *Ecologia*. Rio de Janeiro: Ed. Guanabara S.A., 434p.
- Odum, H.T. & Odum, E.P. (1955) Trophic structure and productivity of a windward coral reef community on Eniwetok Atoll. *Ecological Monographs* 25: 291-320.
- Orris, P.K. (1980) A Revised Species List and Commentary on the Macroalage of the Chesapeake Bay in Maryland. *Estuaries & Coasts* 3: 200-206.
- Ott, F.D. (1972) Macroalgae of the Chesapeake Bay. Chesapeake Science 13: S83-S84.
- Passy, S.I. & Larson, C.A. (2011) Succession in Stream Biofilms is an Environmentally Driven Gradient of Stress Tolerance. *Microb. Ecol.* 62: 414-424.
- Perkerson III, R.B; Johansen, J.R.; Kováčik, L.; Brand, J.; Kaštovský, J.; Casamatta, D.A. (2011) A unique Pseudanabaenalean (Cyanobacteria) genus *Nodosilinea* gen. nov. based on morphological and molecular data. *Journal of Phycology* 47: 1397-1412.
- Perona, E. & Mateo, P. (2006) Benthic cyanobacterial assemblages as indicators of nutrient enrichment regimes in a Spanish river. *Acta hydrochim. Hydrobiol.* 34: 67-72.
- Peterson, C.G. (1996) Mechanisms of lotic microalgal colonization following spaceclearing disturbances acting at different spatial scales. *Oikos* 77: 417-435.
- Pickett, S.T.A.; Cadenasso, M.L.; Meiners, S.J. (2009) Ever since Clements: from succession to vegetation dynamics and understanding to intervention. *Appl. Veg. Sci.* 12: 9-21.
- Pinto-Coelho, R.M.; Coelho, M.M.; Espírito-Santo, M.M.; Cornelissen, T.G. (1999).
 Efeitos da eutrofização na estrutura da comunidade planctônica na Lagoa da
 Pampulha. In: Henry, R. (ed) *Ecologia de Reservatórios: Estrutura, Função e*Aspectos Sociais. Botucatu: FUNDBIO-FAPESP, p. 551-572.
- Pizarro, C.; Mulbry, W.; Blersch, D.; Kangas, P. (2006) An economic assessment of algal turf scrubber technology for treatment of dairy manure effluent. *Ecolog. Eng.* 26: 321-327.

- Pômpeo, M.L.M. & Moschini-Carlos, V. (2003) *Macrófitas aquáticas e perifíton, aspectos ecológicos e metodológicos*. São Carlos: Editora RiMa, 134p.
- Posada, D. (2008): jModelTest: Phylogenetic Model Averaging. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 25: 1253-1256.
- Prescott, G.W. (1982) *Algae of the Western Great Lakes Area*. Revised edn. Dubuque: Brown, WMC, 977p.
- Primack, R.B. & Rodrigues, E. (2001) *Biologia da Conservação*. Londrina: Ed. Gráfica e Editora Midiograf, 327p.
- Pringle, C.M. (1990) Nutrient spatial heterogeneity: effects on community structure, physiognomy, and diversity of stream algae. *Ecology* 71: 905-920.
- Pritchard, D.W. & Schubel, J.R. (2001) Human Influences on the Physical Characteristics of the Chesapeake Bay. In: Curtin, P.D.; Brush, G.S.; Fisher, G.W. (eds.) *Discovering the Chesapeake: The History of an Ecosystem*. Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins University Press, pp.60-65.
- Rabenhorst, L. (1865) *Flora europaea algarum: algae aquaedulcis et submarine*. sect. 2. Lipsiae apud Eduardum Kummerum, 319p.
- Remane, A. & Schlieper, C. (1971) *Biology of Brackish Water*, 2nd ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Ricklefs, R.E. (1996) A Economia da Natureza. Rio de Janeiro: Guanabara Koogan.
- Romo, S. & Miracle, M.R. (1994) Population dynamics and ecology of subdominant phytoplankton species in a shallow hypereutrophic lake (Albufera of Valencia, Spain). *Hydrobiologia* 273: 37-56.
- Romo, S.; Miracle, M.R.; Hernandez-Marine, M. (1993) *Geitelrinema amphibium* (Ag. ex Gom.) Anagnostidis (Cyanophyceae): morphology, ultrastructure and ecology. *Algolog. Studies* 69: 11-27.
- Ronquist, F. & Huelsenbeck, J.P. (2003): MrBayes 3: Bayesian Phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 19: 1572-1574.
- Roos, P.J. (1983) Dynamics of periphytic communities. In: Wetzel, R. G., (ed.). *Periphyton of freshwater ecosystems*. Boston: Dr. W. Junk Publishers, p. 5-9.
- Rosenzweig, M.L. (1995) *Species diversity in space and time*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Round, F.E. (1983) *Biologia das algas*. Rio de Janeiro: Editora Guanabara Dois.

- Round, F.E.; Crawford, R.M.; Mann, D.G. (1990) *The Diatoms: Biology and Morphology* of the Genera. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 747p.
- Sand-Jensen, K. & Borum, J. (1991) Interactions among phytoplankton, periphyton, and macrophytes in temperate freshwaters and estuaries. *Aquat. Bot.* 41: 137-175.
- Sant'Anna, C.L. & Azevedo, M.T.P. (1995) Oscillatoriaceae (Cyanophyceae) from São Paulo State, Brazil. *Nova Hedwigia* 60(1-2): 19-58.
- Sant'Anna, C.L.; Azevedo, M.T.P.; Agujaro, L.; Carvalho, M.C.; Carvalho, L.R.; Souza, R.C.R. (2006) Manual Ilustrado para Identificação e Contagem de Cianobácterias Planctônicas de Águas Continentais Brasileiras. Sociedadade Brasileira de Ficologia, Ed. Interciência, 58p.
- Sant'Anna, C.L.; Bicudo, R.M.T.; Pereira, H.A.S.L. (1983) Nostocophyceae (Cyanophyceae) do Parque Estadual da Ilha do Cardoso, Estado de São Paulo, Brasil. *Rickia* 10: 1-27.
- Schwarzbold, A. (1992) Efeitos do regime de inundação do Rio Mogi, Guaçu (SP) sobre a estrutura, diversidade, produção e estoque do perifíton na Lagoa do Infernão. PhD Thesis, Universidade Federal de São Carlos, São Carlos, 231p.
- Senna, P.A.C. & Magrin, A.G.E. (1999) A importância da "boa" identificação dos organismos fitoplanctônicos para os estudies ecológicos. In: Pompêo, M.L.M. (ed.) *Perspectivas da Limnologia do Brasil*. São Luís: Gráfica e Editora União, p. 1-12.
- Setchell, W.A. & Gardner, N.L. (1903) Algae of Northwestern America. Univ. Calif. Publs. Bot. 1: 229-263.
- Sheath, R.G. & Müller, K.M. (1997) Distribution of stream macroalgae in four high artic drainage basins. *Arctic* 50(4): 355-364.
- Sheath, R.G. & Wehr, J.D. (2003) Introduction to Freshwater Algae. In: Wehr, J.D. & Sheath, R.G. (eds.) Freshwater Algae of North America: Ecology and Classification. San Diego: Academic Press, p. 1-9.
- Skuja, H. (1948) Taxonomie des phytoplanktons einiger seen in Uppland, Schweden. *Symbolae Botanicae Upsalienses* 9: 1-399.
- Sládecková, A. (1962) Limnological investigation methods for the periphyton ("Aufwuchs") community. *Bot. Rev.* 28: 286-350.
- Small, A.M. & Adey, W.H. (2001) Reef corals, zooxanthellae and free-living algae: a microcosm study that demonstrates synergy between calcification and primary production. *Ecol. Eng.* 16: 443-457.
- Starmach, K. (1966) *Cyanophyta-Sinice. Glaucophyta-Glaukofity. Flora slodkowodna Polski*, Tom 2. Warsaw: Panstwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, 807 p.

- Stevenson, R.J. (1996) An introduction to algal ecology in freshwater benthic habits. In: Stevenson, R.J.; Bothwell, M.L.; Lowe, R.L. (eds.). *Algal Ecology: Freshwater Benthic Ecosystems*. San Diego: Academic Press, p.3-30.
- Stevenson, R.J. and Glover, R. (1993) Effects of algal density and current on ion transport through periphyton communities. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 38: 1276-1281.
- Stevenson, R.J. & Peterson, C.G. (1989) Variation in benthic diatom (Bacillariophyceae immigration with habitat characteristics and cell morphology. *J. Phycol.* 25: 120-129.
- Stevenson, R.J.; Peterson, C.G.; Kirschtel, D.B.; King, C.C.; Tuchman, N.C. (1991) Density-dependent growth, ecological strategies, and effects of nutrients and shading on benthic diatom succession in streams. J. Phycol. 27(1): 59-69.
- Strickland, J.C. (1940) The Oscillatoriaceae of Virginia. Am. J. Bot. 27: 628-633.
- Strunecky, O.; Elster, J.; Komárek, J. (2011) Taxonomic revision of the freshwater cyanobacterium "*Phormidium" murrayi = Wilmottia murrayi. Fottea* 11(1): 57-71.
- Suikkanen, S. (2008) Allelopathic effects of filamentous cyanobacteria on phytoplankton in the Baltic Sea. *Finn. Inst. Mar. Res. Contributions* 15: 9-41.
- Tamura, K.; Peterson, D.; Peterson, N.; Stecher, G.; Nei, M.; Kumar, S. (2011) MEGA5: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis using Maximum Likelihood, Evolutionary Distance, and Maximum Parsimony Methods. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 28: 2731-2739.
- Taniguchi, G.M.; Bicudo, D.C.; Senna, P.A.C. (2005) Gradiente litorâneo-limnético do fitoplâncton e ficoperifíton em uma lagoa da planície de inundação do Rio Mogi-Guaçu. *Revista Brasileira de Botânica* 28: 137-147.
- Taton, A.; Grubisic, S.; Ertz, D.; Hodgson, D.A.; Piccardi, R.; Biondi, N.; Tredici, M.R.; Mainini, M.; Losi, D.; Marinelli, F.; Wilmotte, A. (2006) Polyphasic study of Antarctic cyanobacterial strains. J. Phycol. 42(6): 1257-1270.
- Thomas-Blate, J.; Williams, D.; Schmidt, J. (2011) Susquehanna Named Most Endangered River in the Nation: Natural Gas Drilling Posing Unprecedented Threat. 2 October 2011 < http://www.americanrivers.org/newsroom/pressreleases/2011/susquehanna-named-most-endangered-river-5-17-2011.html>
- Thuret, G. (1875) Essai de classification des Nostochinées. *Ann. Sci. nat. Bot.* 1(6): 372-382.
- Tilden, J.E. (1910) *Minnesota Algae. I. The Myxophyceae of North America and adjacent regions.* Minneapolis: Univ. of Minnesota, 412p.
- Tundisi, J.G. (2005) *Água no século XXI: enfrentando a escassez*, 2^aed. São Carlos: RiMa Editora, 251p.

- Tundisi, J.G. & Matsumara-Tundisi, T. (1992) Eutrophication of lakes and reservoirs: a comparative analysis, case studies, perspectives. In: Cordeiro-Marino, M.; Azevedo, M.T.P.; Sant'Anna, C.L.; Tomita, N.Y.; Plastino, E.M. (eds.) *Algae and Environment: a General Approach*. São Paulo: Sociedade Brasileira de Ficologia, p. 1-33.
- Utermöhl, H (1931) Neue Wege in der quantitativen Erfassung des Planktons. Verh. Internat. Verein. Limnol. 5: 567-596.
- Vadeboncoeur, Y. & Steinman, A.D. (2002) Periphyton function in lake ecosystems. *The Scientific World Journal* 2: 1449-1468.
- van Vuuren, S.J. & Pieterse, A.J.H. (2005) The influence of downstream changes in water quality on phytoplankton composition in the Vaal River, South Africa. *Afr. J. Aquat. Sci.* 30: 11-16.
- Vannote, R.L.; Minshall, G.W.; Cummins, K.W.; Sedell, J.R.; Cushing, C.E. (1980) The river continuum concept. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries & Aquatic Sciences* 37: 130-137.
- Vercellino, I.S. (2001) Sucessão da comunidade de algas perifíticas em dois reservatórios do Parque Estadual das Fontes do Ipiranga, São Paulo: influência do estado trófico e período climatológico. MS Thesis, Universidade Estadual Paulista, 176p.
- Wacklin, P.; Hoffmann, L.; Komárek, J. (2009) Nomenclatural validation of the genetically revised cyanobacterial genus *Dolichospermum* (Ralfs ex Bornet et Flahault) comb. nova. *Fottea* 9: 59-64.
- Watanabe, T. (1990) Perifíton: comparação de metodologias empregadas para caracterizar o nível de poluição das águas. *Acta Limnol. Brasil.* 3: 593-615.
- Werner, V.R. & Laughinghouse IV, H.D. (2009) Bloom-forming and other planktonic Anabaena (Cyanobacteria) morphospecies with twisted trichomes from Rio Grande do Sul State, Brazil. Nova Hedwigia 89(1-2): 17-47.
- Werner, V.R.; Laughinghouse IV, H.D.; Fiore, M.F.; Sant'Anna, C.L.; Hoff, C; Santos, K.R.D.S.; Neuhaus, E.B.; Molica, R.S.R.; Honda, R.Y.; Echenique, R.O. (2012)
 Morphological and molecular studies of *Sphaerospermopsis torques-reginae* (Cyanobacteria, Nostocales) from South American water blooms. *Phycologia* 51(2): 228-238.
- Wetzel, R.G. (1983) Opening remarks. In: Wetzel, R.G. (ed.). *Periphyton of freshwater ecosystems*. Boston: Dr. W. Junk Publishers, p. 3-4.
- Wetzel, R.G. (1990) Land-water interfaces: Metabolic and Limnological regulators. International Association of Theoretical and Applied Limnology 24: 6-24.

- Wetzel, R.G. (1996) Benthic algal and nutrient cycling in lentic freshwater ecosystems. In : Stevenson, R.J., Bothwell, M.L. & Lowe, R.L. (eds.) *Algal Ecology: Freshwater Benthic Ecosystems*. San Diego: Academic Press, p.641-667.
- Wetzel, R.G. 2001. *Limnology: Lake and River Ecosystems*. 3 ed. New York: Academic Press, 1006p.
- Wheeler, Q.D.; Raven, P.H.; Wilson, E.O. (2004) Taxonomy: Impediment or Expedient? Science 303: 285.
- Whitton, B.A. (1992) Diversity, ecology and taxonomy of the Cyanobacteria. In: Mann, N.H. & Carr, N.G. (eds.) *Photosynthetic Prokaryotes*. vol. 6. London: Plenum Press, p.1-51.
- Whitton, B.A. (2011) Phylum Cyanobacteria (Cyanophyta). In: John, D.M.; Whitton, B.A.; Brooks, A.J. (eds) *The Freshwater Algal Flora of the British Isles: An Identification Guide to the Freshwater and Terrestrial Algae*, 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, p. 31-158.
- Whitton, B.A.; Ellwood, N.T.W.; Kawecka, B. (2009) Biology of the freshwater diatom *Didymosphenia*: a review. *Hydrobiologia* 630: 1-37.
- Whitton, B.A. & Potts, M. (2000) Introduction to the Cyanobacteria. In: Whitton, BA; Potts, M (eds) *The ecology of Cyanobacteria: Their diversity in time and space*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic, p. 1-11.
- Wilmotte, A. (1994) Molecular evolution and taxonomy of the cyanobacteria. In: Bryant, D. A. (ed.). *The Molecular Biology of Cyanobacteria*. Dordrecht: Kluwe Academic Publishers, pp. 1-25.
- Wilson, E.O. (2000) A Global Biodiversity Map. Science 289: 2279.
- Zaneveld, J.S (1965) The marine algae of the American Coast between Cape May, NJ and Cape Hatteras, NC. I. The Cyanophyta. *Botanica Marina* 9(3/4): 101-128.
- Zapomelová, E.; Jezberová, J.; Hrouzek, P.; Hisem, D.; Reháková, K.; Komárková, J. (2009) Polyphasic characterization of three strains of *Anabaena reniformis* and *Aphanizomenon aphanizomenoides* (Cyanobacteria) and their reclassification to *Sphaerospermum* gen. nov. (incl. *Anabaena kisseleviana*). J. Phycol. 45: 1363-1373.