WorldPublicOpinion.org

World Opinion on Socio-Economic Rights

November 10, 2008

Q1a. Do you think the [country's] government should be responsible for ensuring that its citizens can meet their basic need for food, OR do you think that is NOT the government's responsibility?

	Should be responsible	Should not be responsible	Depends (vol.)	DK / NS
Argentina	94	3	1	1
Mexico	89	7	2	2
US	74	25		1
France	86	13	1	1
Germany	93	5	2	0
Britain	86	10	3	1
Italy	92	5	3	0
Russia	77	12	10	1
Ukraine	89	6	3	2
Azerbaijan	93	5	2	1
Egypt	82	14	3	1
Jordan	96	2		2
Palest. ter.	80	17	1	1
Turkey	87	10	3	1
Kenya	96	4	0	
Nigeria	84	10	3	3
China	96	1	2	2
Hong Kong*	92	4	4	0
Macau*	95	3	1	1
India	70	10	13	7
Indonesia	97	2	1	0
S Korea	85	12	2	1
Taiwan*	92	3	2	3
Thailand	85	1	9	4
Average	87	8	3	2

^{*} Data listed as Hong Kong, Macau, and Taiwan are not included in the averages displayed.

Q1b. What about the basic need for healthcare? Do you think the government should or should not be responsible for ensuring that people can meet this need?

	Should be responsible	Should not be responsible	Depends (vol.)	DK / NS
Argentina	97	1	0	1
Mexico	96	2	1	1
US	77	21		2
France	92	7	1	0
Germany	95	4	1	0
Britain	93	4	2	1
Italy	97	2	2	0
Russia	96	2	2	1
Ukraine	97	1	1	1
Azerbaijan	96	2	2	1
Egypt	81	14	4	0
Jordan	97	1		2
Palest. ter.	79	19	2	1
Turkey	96	3	1	0
Kenya	96	4	0	0
Nigeria	95	3	1	1
China	96	1	2	1
Hong Kong*	94	2	4	1
Macau*	99	1	1	0
India	70	12	11	6
Indonesia	97	2	1	0
S Korea	93	4	1	1
Taiwan*	96	1	2	1
Thailand	88	2	5	6
Average	92	5	2	1

Q1c. What about the basic need for education? Do you think the government should or should not be responsible for ensuring that people can meet this need?

	Should be responsible	Should not be responsible	Depends (vol.)	DK / NS
Argentina	98	1	0	1
Mexico	96	2	2	1
US	83	16		1

France	89	10	1	0
Germany	93	5	2	0
Britain	96	3	1	1
Italy	95	3	1	1
Russia	94	3	2	1
Ukraine	95	2	2	1
Azerbaijan	89	3	7	2
Egypt	77	19	4	1
Jordan	97	1		2
Palest. ter.	85	11	3	2
Turkey	97	2	0	0
Kenya	95	5	0	0
Nigeria	91	3	6	0
China	98	1	1	1
Hong Kong*	97	1	2	1
Macau*	98	1	0	1
India	64	8	19	8
Indonesia	97	2	1	1
S Korea	95	3	1	1
Taiwan*	95	2	1	2
Thailand	90	1	3	6
Average	91	5	3	1

Q2a. Keeping in mind the limits of the [country's] government's resources, please tell me how well the government IS ENSURING that people can meet the basic need for food? Is it doing very well, somewhat well, not very well, not well at all?

	Very well	Somewhat well	Not very well	Not well at all	Depends (vol.)	DK / NS
Argentina	7	7	18	62	2	3
Mexico	6	38	29	24	0	2
US	10	42	37	10		1
France	2	40	38	18	0	1
Germany	31	56	10	2	2	1
Britain	17	42	24	13	1	3
Italy	7	31	35	20	3	5
Russia	2	22	40	28	3	5
Ukraine	2	13	38	42	3	3

Azerbaijan	16	41	38	5		1
Egypt	10	29	45	16	1	0
Jordan	30	37	22	9		1
Palest. ter.	27	34	30	8	0	1
Turkey	18	29	27	23	2	2
Kenya	8	33	40	19	0	0
Nigeria	7	15	40	37	1	0
China	23	66	9	1	1	1
Hong Kong*	7	55	28	5	3	3
Macau*	7	51	29	7	0	7
India	19	34	24	13	4	5
Indonesia	10	34	42	14	0	0
S Korea	5	38	48	8	1	1
Taiwan*	6	50	30	10	1	3
Thailand	5	20	35	24	12	4
Average	12	33	32	19	2	2

Q2b. What about the need for healthcare? Please tell me if you think the government is ensuring that people can meet this need very well, somewhat well, not very well, not well at all.

	Very well	Somewhat well	Not very well	Not well at all	Depends (vol.)	DK / NS
Argentina	6	8	19	63	1	3
Mexico	7	45	26	19	1	2
US	6	24	43	26		1
France	9	45	31	15	0	0
Germany	17	46	27	5	4	0
Britain	19	47	22	10	1	2
Italy	7	37	33	19	2	3
Russia	1	13	43	40	2	1
Ukraine	1	4	31	62	1	1
Azerbaijan	14	32	43	11		1
Egypt	7	36	43	14	0	0
Jordan	32	42	18	8		1
Palest. ter.	28	37	24	7	0	4
Turkey	24	33	22	21	1	1
Kenya	15	50	29	7		

Nigeria	13	29	35	22	1	0
China	13	55	27	3	2	1
Hong Kong*	6	44	39	8	2	2
Macau*	7	46	33	9	0	5
India	18	34	24	16	4	4
Indonesia	12	43	37	6	0	2
S Korea	6	41	45	6	1	2
Taiwan*	12	60	21	5	1	2
Thailand	6	36	30	15	9	5
Average	12	35	31	19	1	2

Q2c. What about the need for education? Please tell me if you think the government is ensuring that people can meet this need very well, somewhat well, not very well, not well at all.

	Very well	Somewhat well	Not very well	Not well at all	Depends (vol.)	DK / NS
Argentina	6	5	22	64	2	3
Mexico	10	36	28	23	0	3
US	11	50	26	12		1
France	7	48	32	11	1	1
Germany	11	33	36	14	5	1
Britain	20	46	22	8	1	3
Italy	8	38	33	15	2	3
Russia	4	31	36	25	3	2
Ukraine	1	10	38	44	3	4
Azerbaijan	22	42	27	9		1
Egypt	13	40	31	15	1	0
Jordan	38	39	14	8		1
Palest. ter.	31	38	18	11	1	1
Turkey	24	32	21	22	1	1
Kenya	39	47	13	1		
Nigeria	10	26	34	27	3	1
China	15	56	24	3	2	1
Hong Kong*	4	42	39	10	2	3
Macau*	15	59	17	4	0	6
India	24	35	17	18	4	3
Indonesia	13	44	36	5	1	2
S Korea	9	45	36	7	1	2

Taiwan*	5	39	36	15	1	4
Thailand	7	40	28	15	7	5
Average	15	37	28	17	1	2

^{*} Data listed as Hong Kong, Macau, and Taiwan are not included in the averages displayed.

World Public Opinion. org

RESEARCH PARTNERS

Country	Research Center	Contact
Argentina	Graciela Romer y Asociados	Ms. Graciela C. Römer graciela@romer.com.ar (+54-11) 4345-2864/5
Azerbaijan	International Center for Social Research	Dr. Tair Faradov <u>tfaradov@yahoo.com</u> (+99 412) 492 27 34/672 22 49
China	Fudan Media and Public Opinion Research Center (FMORC), Fudan University	Dr. Baohua Zhou zhoubaohua@yeah.net
Hong Kong	Hong Kong University Public Opinion Programme	Dr. Robert Chung robert.chung@hku.hk +852 2859-2988
Масаи	University of Macau	Dr. Angus, W.H. Cheong anguswhc@umac.mo +853 8397 4437
Taiwan	<u>TVBS</u>	Mr. Yeh-Diing Wang ydwang@tvbs.com.tw +886-2-23568961
Egypt	Attitude Market Research	Mr. Mohamed Al Gendy mgendy@attitude-eg.com +202 22711262
France	Efficience 3	Mr. Samuel Lee samuel.l@efficience3.com +33 3 26 79 03 59
Germany	Ri*Questa GmbH	Dr. Bernhard Rieder riquesta.rieder@t-online.de +49 (0)7641 934336
Great Britain	Chatham House (Royal Institute of International Affairs) / Facts International	Dr. Robin Niblett rniblett@chathamhouse.org.uk +44 (0)20 7957 5702
India	Centre for Voting Opinion & Trends in Election Research (CVoter)	Mr. Yashwant Deshmukh yashwant@teamcvoter.com 91 120 4247135
Indonesia	Synovate	Ms. Eva Yusuf Eva.Yusuf@synovate.com (+62-21) 2525 608
Italy	<u>Demoskopea</u>	Ms. Clara Mariotti mariotti@demoskopea.it +39 06 85.37.52.26

Jordan	Center for Strategic Studies, University of Jordan	Dr. Fares Braizat <u>f.braizat@gmail.com</u> (+962 6) 5300100
Kenya	Research Path Associates Limited	Mr. Stephen Dimolo Ashers steve.ashers@rpa.co.ke +254-20-2734770
Mexico	<u>Reforma</u>	Dr. Alejandro Moreno <u>alejandro.moreno@reforma.com</u> +52 56 28 72 35
Nigeria	Market Trends Research International	Mr. Michael Umogun m.umogun@research-intng.com + 234-1 791 79 87
Palestinian territories	Palestinian Center for Public Opinion	Dr. Nabil Kukali kukali@p-ol.com (+972-2) 2774846
Russia	Levada Center	Ms. Ludmila Khakhulina lkhahul@levada.ru (+7 095) 229-55-44
South Korea	East Asia Institute	Dr. Han Wool Jeong hwjeong@eai.or.kr +82 02-2277-1683
Turkey	ARI Foundation / Infakto Research Workshop	Mr. Yurter Ozcan Yurter@arifoundation.org +1 (804) 868 0123 Dr. Emre Erdogan emre.erdogan@infakto.com.tr +90 212 231 07 08
Ukraine	Kiev International Institute of Sociology	Dr. Vladimir Illich Paniotto paniotto@kmis.kiev.ua (+38) 044 537-3376 / (+38) 044 501-7403
United States	Program on International Policy Attitudes / Knowledge Networks	Dr. Stephen Weber sweber@pipa.org +1-202-232-7500 Dr. Michael Dennis mdennis@knowledgenetworks.com +1-650-289-2160

METHODOLOGY

Country	Sample Size (unweighted)	MoE (%)	Field dates	Survey methodology	Type of sample
Argentina	679	3.8	August 20-29, 2008	Face-to-face	Urban ¹
Azerbaijan	600	4.1	August 10-31, 2008	Face-to-face	National
China	1011	3.2	Jul 26 – Aug 2, 2008	Telephone	National ²
Hong Kong	1022	3.1	September 10-26, 2008	Telephone	Representative of Hong Kong
Масаи	1089	3.0	August 11-20, 2008	Telephone	Representative of Macau
Taiwan	823	3.5	August 22-31, 2008	Telephone	Representative of Taiwan
Egypt	600	4.1	Jul 21 – Aug 1, 2008	Face-to-face	Urban ³
France	600	4.1	August 5 - 12, 2008	Telephone	National
Germany	1008	3.1	Jul 15 – Aug 12, 2008	Telephone	National
Great Britain	803	3.5	Jul 31 – Aug 8, 2008	Telephone	National
India	1118	3.0	Aug 30 – Sep 2, 2008	Face-to-face	National ⁴
Indonesia	716	3.7	Jul 26 – Aug 18, 2008	Face-to-face	National ⁵
Italy	600	4.1	July 16-30 and Sep 4-10, 2008	Telephone	National
Jordan	583	4.1	August 12-15, 2008	Face-to-face	National
Kenya	1000	3.2	July 17-30, 2008	Face-to-face	National
Mexico	850	3.4	August 9-10, 2008	Telephone	National ⁶
Nigeria	1000	3.2	August 9-18, 2008	Face-to-face	National ⁷
Palestinian territories	638	4.0	August 1-7, 2008	Face-to-face	National ⁸

Russia	803	3.5	July 18-22, 2008	Face-to-Face	National
South Korea	600	4.1	August 28-29, 2008	Telephone	National
Thailand	2223	2.1	September 1-25, 2008	Face-to-face	National ⁹
Turkey	1023	3.1	Jul 28 – Aug 18, 2008	Face-to-face	National
Ukraine	1043	3.1	Aug 30 – Sep 9, 2008	Face-to-face	National
United States	889	3.4	August 9-20, 2008	Internet	National ¹⁰

¹ In Argentina, the survey was executed in the urban areas of Capital Federal and Gran Buenos Aires, representing 35 percent of Argentina's population.

² In China, the survey was a probability sample of urban and rural households with land-line telephones in the provinces of Anhui, Hebei, Heilongjiang, Hubei, Jiangsu, Shanxi, Shanghai, Sichuan, and Yunnan—representing approximately 60 percent of the mainland Chinese population. The sample was 40 percent rural, 60 percent urban (rural households make up approximately 55 percent of the population).

³ In Egypt, the survey was executed in the urban areas of Cairo, Alexandria, Giza, and Subra. These four urbanized areas represent75 percent of Egypt's urban population, which is 42 percent of the national population.

⁴ In India, a face-to-face survey was conducted in urban and rural areas in 14 of the largest Indian states; these states comprise 77 percent of India's population. The sample is 60 percent urban, India's population is approximately 30 percent urban.

⁵ In Indonesia, a national probability sample was conducted in both urban and rural areas and covering approximately 87 percent of Indonesia's population.

⁶ In Mexico, a random telephone sample of adults who had landline telephones was conducted in all 31 states and the Federal District. Telephone penetration in Mexico is 55 percent.

⁷ In Nigeria, the sample was developed by selecting six states, one per geographic region, based upon their size and representativeness. Within each state, sampling points were selected by means of a multi-stage random sample which disproportionately sampled urban areas. The final sample is 75 percent urban; Nigeria is approximately 50 percent urban.

⁸ In the Palestinian territories, a face-to-face national probability survey was conducted among the population of the West Bank, including East Jerusalem, and the Gaza Strip.

⁹ In Thailand, the survey was conducted in 9 provinces of the country including Bangkok, Samutprakarn, Chanthaburi, Khonkaen, Chiang Mai, Kamphaengphet, Sakonnakhon, Chumphon, and Songkhla.

¹⁰ In the United States, the poll was an online survey drawn from a nationally representative sample of the Knowledge Networks online panel. This panel is probabilistically-based, selected from the population of US telephone households and subsequently provided with an Internet connection if needed.