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Workshop Outline

2:15-3:15 PM
BTAA Latin American Collective Collection Study

3:15-4:15 PM
Area Studies Data Activity + Break

4:15-5:00 PM
Discussion of Evidence-Based Action
Part I:
BTAA Latin American Collective Collection Study
The conference will explore how member research libraries can move from legacy cooperative collection development activities to a more holistic environment that leverages robust discovery, digitization, delivery, and shared service environments to advance and shape the collective collection.”
Initial Study: Penn State & Iowa

1. Are Spanish-language print materials used beyond the home campus?

2. How do library consortia support resource sharing of Spanish-language books?
Key Findings: Penn State & Iowa

1. Spanish-language materials shared through ILL exhibit similar patterns to the overall ILL trends.

2. A significant number of the Spanish-language materials were loaned within consortia and to doctoral institutions.
Implications: Penn State & Iowa

Demonstration of the distributed collection at work, for Spanish-language material.

More analysis needed in LC Classification for specific contributions of our collections.

Evidence provides justification for potential collaborative efforts.

Show need for an expanded research project on area studies with other academic institutions.
Second Study Parameters

Geography = Central America OR South America OR Caribbean Islands

AND

Language = Spanish OR Portuguese

19 Countries + Puerto Rico
Methodology: ILL Data Analysis

1. ILLiad custom searches or Access queries
2. Python script (Google Language Detection + WorldCat Search API)
3. Access queries

Analysis of ILL borrowing requests by date and country of publication, call number, format, requestor status, lender, and fill rate
Methodology: Collections Analysis

Python script using WorldCat Search API
(tailored according to ILL data)

dt="bks" and yr:2006-2016 and li:UMC and cp:ag and (lc=B1* or lc=B2* or lc=B3* or lc=B4* or ... )

Collection size and duplication percentage by country of publication and call number
Methodology: Measuring Volume v. Uniqueness

\[ H(n) = \text{the number of items held by } n \text{ libraries} \]
\[ T(n) = \text{total collection size.} \]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{c} \frac{H(n)}{n \cdot T(n)}
\]
Limitations

1. Quality of MARC cataloging
2. Language detection algorithm
3. Lack of circulation and other consortial borrowing data
4. Duplication analysis by OCLC number (not title)
Questions about methodology?
Results

2,732,082 ILL requests
29,804 ILL requests for Latin American materials

88% books or chapters
82% loans/returnables (↑53% overall)
91% requested by faculty or graduate students (↑83%)
90% filled (↑84% overall)
9% locally owned (↓30% UBorrow)
Results: Borrowing Library, Country of Publication
Results: Lending Library

- BTAA Libraries: 60.7%
- Other U.S. Libraries: 36.7%
- Latin American Libraries: 0.4%
- Other International Libraries: 2.2%

Latin American libraries filled only 99 requests for BTAA libraries

(> 3 requests per library per year)
Results: Classification

BTAA Latin American Holdings, 2006-2016

BTAA Latin American ILL Requests, 2013-2017
Results: Duplication

Greatest Duplication:
• Classification: F (History)
• Country/Division: Cuba/West

Lowest Duplication:
• Classification: N (Fine Arts)
• Country Division:
  Bolivia/East and Chile/East

Over 60% duplication:
• Cuba-West-H
• Cuba-West-P
• Peru-West-F
• Chile-West-J
Summary of Findings

1. Serious researchers in the BTAA need to borrow Latin American monographs that are not held locally.

2. Their requests are likely to be filled because the BTAA has relatively strong Latin American collections (and items likely to be on shelf).

3. Maintaining this high fill rate in the future will require continued investment and increased collaboration.

4. Areas of concentrated demand and high duplication exist upon which multi-institutional partnerships can focus.
Questions about results?
Part II:
Area Studies Data Activity
Data Activity

Available Data Sets:
• African Publications in the Big Ten Academic Alliance
• Latin American Publications in the Big Ten Academic Alliance
• Slavic Publications in the Ivy Plus Libraries
• South Asian Publications in the Ivy Plus Libraries

Interactive charts available at go.umd.edu/IASC21
Download Excel spreadsheets at go.umd.edu/IASC21x
Spreadsheets & Pivot Charts
Interactive Visualizations

### BTAA African Holdings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Library</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>LC Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>Algeria</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>Angola</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>Benin</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan State</td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>Central African Republic</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern</td>
<td>Congo (Brazzaville)</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>Congo (Democratic Republic)</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn State</td>
<td>Cote dIvoire</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purdue</td>
<td>Djibouti</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutgers</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>Equatorial Guinea</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eritrea</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gambia</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Bar Chart](chart.png)
Guiding Questions & Group Discussion

• How would you apply this methodology to other area studies collections and consortia?
• What other questions would you try to answer with this data?
• What other data might be needed?
Part III:
Discussion of Evidence-Based Action
Group Discussion

• What other data should we consider to demonstrate the value of international print collections?
• How can we engage in multi-institutional collaborations to develop more distinctive local collections?
• Who are the stakeholders? Who needs to be at the table when developing cooperative collecting projects?
BTAA Pilots

Mexico Pilot Participants:
• University of Chicago
• University of Iowa
• University of Minnesota

Brazil Pilot Participants:
• The Ohio State University
• University of Iowa
• University of Michigan

Volume and Uniqueness of Participants’ Mexican Holdings, 2006-2016 (pre-pilot)
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Data visualizations available at go.umd.edu/LACC