

Online Supplement to
‘Efficient Simulation Resource Sharing and Allocation for
Selecting the Best,’
published in *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*

Yijie Peng,* Chun-Hung Chen, Michael C. Fu and Jian-Qiang Hu

Appendix A: Proofs of Theorems 1 through 6

Proof of Theorem 1. The proof for Part (a) is quite straightforward, so we only give the proof for Part (b) here. Suppose N_1^* and N_2^* are optimal solution to (2) such that $N_1^* < N_2^*$. Let $N_1 = N_1^* + x$ and $N_2 = N_2^* - r'x$. We want to show that there exists some x such that (i) $N_1 \geq N_2 \geq 0$, and (ii)

$$\frac{r}{N_1} + \frac{1/r}{N_2} - \frac{2\rho}{N_1} \leq \frac{r}{N_1^*} + \frac{1/r}{N_2^*} - \frac{2\rho}{N_2^*},$$

which implies that N_1 and N_2 are also optimal solution to (2).

To satisfy (i), we only need

$$\frac{N_2^* - N_1^*}{1 + r'} \leq x \leq \frac{N_2^*}{r'}.$$

For (ii), we have

$$-\frac{2\rho}{N_1} \leq -\frac{2\rho}{N_2^*}$$

*Yijie Peng (10110690016@fudan.edu.cn) and Jian-Qiang Hu (hujq@fudan.edu.cn) are with Department of Management Science, School of Management, Fudan University. Chun-Hung Chen is with Department of Systems Engineering & Operations Research, George Mason University (cchen9@gmu.edu). Michael C. Fu is with the Robert H. Smith School of Business and Institute for Systems Research, University of Maryland (mfu@umd.edu). This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation (NSF) under Grants CMMI-0856256, EECS-0901543, by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFOSR) under Grant FA9550-10-10340, by the Department of Energy under Award DE-SC0002223, by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) under Grant 1R21DK088368-01, by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants 71071040, 71028001, 70832002, 71061160506, by the Shanghai Science and Technology PuJiang Funds under Grant 09PJ1401500, by the Program for Professor of Special Appointment (Eastern Scholar) at Shanghai Institution of Higher Learning, and by the National Science Council of Taiwan under Award NSC-100-2218-E-002-027-MY3. This material was also based in part on work supported by the National Science Foundation while M.C. Fu was working at the Foundation. Any opinion, finding, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

if $x \leq N_2^* - N_1^*$ (note that $\rho > 0$), and

$$\frac{r}{N_1} + \frac{1/r}{N_2} \leq \frac{r}{N_1^*} + \frac{1/r}{N_2^*},$$

if

$$x \leq \frac{rN_2^* - \sqrt{r'}N_1^*}{\sqrt{r'} + r'r}.$$

It is also easy to verify that if $\sqrt{r'} \leq r$, then

$$\frac{N_2^* - N_1^*}{1 + r'} \leq \frac{rN_2^* - \sqrt{r'}N_1^*}{\sqrt{r'} + r'r}.$$

Putting the above together, we conclude that (i) and (ii) hold when

$$\frac{N_2^* - N_1^*}{1 + r'} \leq x \leq \min(N_2^* - N_1^*, \frac{rN_2^* - \sqrt{r'}N_1^*}{\sqrt{r'} + r'r}).$$

This concludes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 2. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon^* &= \max \lambda_i / \alpha_i, \\ \Omega_1 &= \{i \in \Omega \mid \lambda_i / \alpha_i = \Upsilon^*\}, \\ \Omega_2 &= \{i \in \Omega_1 \mid N_1^* < N_i^*\}, \\ \Omega_3 &= \{i \in \Omega_1 \mid N_1^* \geq N_i^*\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose the result does not hold, then $\Omega \setminus \Omega_1$ is not empty. Let

$$N'(\varepsilon) = N^* + \varepsilon, \\ N'_i(\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} N_1^* + \varepsilon & i = 1, \\ N_i^* & i \in \Omega_2, \\ N_i^* + \varepsilon & i \in \Omega_3, \\ N_i^* - C_0\varepsilon & i \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_1, \end{cases}$$

where $C_0 = (\sum_{i \in \Omega_3} b_i + b_1 + b_0) / (\sum_{i \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_1} b_i)$, $0 < \varepsilon < \delta = \max\{\min_{i \in \Omega_2} (N_i - N_1), \min_{i \in \Omega_3} (N_i / C_0)\}$.

Note that we still have $\sum_i b_i N'_i(\varepsilon) = T$.

For $i \in \Omega_2$, we have

$$\left(\frac{\sigma_i^2 - 2C_{1i}}{N_i} + \frac{\sigma_1^2}{N_1 + \varepsilon} \right) - \left(\frac{\sigma_i^2 - 2C_{1i}}{N_i} + \frac{\sigma_1^2}{N_1} \right) = -\frac{\sigma_1^2}{N_1(N_1 + \varepsilon)}\varepsilon < 0,$$

and for $i \in \Omega_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\sigma_1^2 - 2C_{1i}}{N_1 + \varepsilon} + \frac{\sigma_i^2}{N_i + \varepsilon} \right) - \left(\frac{\sigma_1^2 - 2C_{1i}}{N_1} + \frac{\sigma_i^2}{N_i} \right) \\ &= -\left[\frac{\sigma_1^2 - 2C_{1i}}{N_1(N_1 + \varepsilon)} + \frac{\sigma_i^2}{N_i(N_i + \varepsilon)} \right] \varepsilon \leq -\frac{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_i^2 - 2C_{1i}}{N_1(N_1 + \varepsilon)} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned}\Upsilon'_1(\varepsilon) &= \max_{i \in \Omega_2 \cup \Omega_3} \{\lambda_i / \alpha_i \mid \sum_i b_i N'_i(\varepsilon) = T\} \\ \Upsilon'_2(\varepsilon) &= \max_{i \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_1} \{\lambda_i / \alpha_i \mid \sum_i b_i N'_i(\varepsilon) = T\},\end{aligned}$$

then $\Upsilon'_1(\varepsilon) < \Upsilon^*$, for $\varepsilon < \delta$. In addition, because $\Upsilon'_2(0) < \Upsilon^*$ and it is a continuous function of ε , we can take ε small enough such that $\Upsilon'_2(\varepsilon) < \Upsilon^*$. Therefore, $\{N'_i(\varepsilon), i = 1, \dots, k\}$ is a better solution than $\{N_i^*, i = 1, \dots, k\}$, which is contradictory to the fact that $\{N_i^*, i = 1, \dots, k\}$ is the optimal solution. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. For $N_1^* \geq N_i^*$, we have

$$\frac{\sigma_1^2 - 2C_{1i}}{N_1^*} + \frac{\sigma_i^2}{N_i^*} = \Upsilon^* \alpha_i,$$

which leads to

$$N_i^* = \frac{\sigma_i^2}{M\alpha_i - \sigma_1^2 + 2C_{1i}} N_1^* \Rightarrow \frac{\sigma_i^2}{M\alpha_i - \sigma_1^2 + 2C_{1i}} \leq 1 \Rightarrow A_i \leq M.$$

For $N_1^* \leq N_i^*$, we have

$$\frac{\sigma_1^2}{N_1^*} + \frac{\sigma_i^2 - 2C_{1i}}{N_i^*} = \Upsilon^* \alpha_i,$$

which leads to

$$N_i^* = \frac{\sigma_i^2 - 2C_{1i}}{M\alpha_i - \sigma_1^2} N_1^* \Rightarrow \frac{\sigma_i^2 - 2C_{1i}}{M\alpha_i - \sigma_1^2} \geq 1 \Rightarrow A_i \geq M.$$

The reverse of the above also holds. In other words,

$$\begin{aligned}A_i \geq M &\Leftrightarrow N_1^* \leq N_i^* \\ A_i \leq M &\Leftrightarrow N_1^* \geq N_i^*.\end{aligned}$$

In the following, we prove $2\rho_{1i} \geq \sigma_i / \sigma_1 \Rightarrow N_i^* \leq N_1^*$. We denote $I' = \{i \in I \mid N_i^* > N_1^*\}$, $J' = \{i \in J \mid N_i^* > N_1^*\}$, $\Omega' = \{i \in \Omega \mid N_i^* \leq N_1^*\}$. If the result does not hold, then J' is not empty, and let

$$\begin{aligned}N'(\varepsilon) &= N_1^* + \varepsilon, \\ N'_i(\varepsilon) &= \begin{cases} N_1^* + \varepsilon & i = 1, \\ N_i^* & i \in I', \\ N_i^* + \varepsilon & i \in \Omega', \\ N_i^* - C_3\varepsilon & i \in J', \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

where $C_3 = (\sum_{i \in \Omega'} b_i + b_1 + b_0) / (\sum_{i \in J'} b_i)$, $0 < \varepsilon < \delta = \max \left\{ \min_{i \in I'} (N_i - N_1), \min_{i \in J'} \frac{N_i - N_1}{1 + C_3} \right\}$.

We still have $\sum_i b_i N_i'(\varepsilon) = T$.

For $i \in I'$,

$$\left(\frac{\sigma_i^2 - 2C_{1i}}{N_i^*} + \frac{\sigma_1^2}{N_1^* + \varepsilon} \right) - \left(\frac{\sigma_i^2 - 2C_{1i}}{N_i^*} + \frac{\sigma_1^2}{N_1^*} \right) = -\frac{\sigma_1^2}{N_1^*(N_1^* + \varepsilon)} \varepsilon < 0.$$

For $i \in \Omega'$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\sigma_i^2 - 2C_{1i}}{N_i^*} + \frac{\sigma_1^2}{N_1^* + \varepsilon} \right) - \left(\frac{\sigma_i^2 - 2C_{1i}}{N_i^*} + \frac{\sigma_1^2}{N_1^*} \right) \\ &= - \left[\frac{\sigma_1^2 - 2C_{1i}}{N_1^*(N_1^* + \varepsilon)} + \frac{\sigma_i^2}{N_i^*(N_i^* + \varepsilon)} \right] \varepsilon \leq -\frac{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_i^2 - 2C_{1i}}{N_1^*(N_1^* + \varepsilon)} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

For $i \in J'$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\sigma_i^2 - 2C_{1i}}{N_i^*} + \frac{\sigma_1^2}{N_1^* + \varepsilon} \right) - \left(\frac{\sigma_i^2 - 2C_{1i}}{N_i^*} + \frac{\sigma_1^2}{N_1^*} \right) \\ &= - \left[\frac{(2C_{1i} - \sigma_i^2)C_3}{N_i^*(N_i^* - C_3\varepsilon)} + \frac{\sigma_1^2}{N_1^*(N_1^* + \varepsilon)} \right] \varepsilon < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\{N_i'(\varepsilon), i = 1, \dots, k\}$ is a better solution to (OP₃) than $\{N_i^*, i = 1, \dots, k\}$, which contradicts to the fact that $\{N_i^*, i = 1, \dots, k\}$ is the optimal solution. This completes the proof. \square

Remark. Note that Theorem 3 implies that $2\rho_{1i} \geq \sigma_i/\sigma_1 \Rightarrow A_i \leq M$, which also follows by applying $N_i \leq N_1$ to (OP₃).

Proof of Theorem 4. Let Υ^*, N_i^* denote the optimal solution. From Theorem 3, for $i \in I$, we have

$$\Upsilon^* \alpha_i = \frac{\sigma_1^2}{N_1^*} + \frac{\sigma_i^2}{N_i^*} - \frac{2C_{1i}}{\max(N_1^*, N_i^*)} \geq \frac{\sigma_1^2}{N_1^*} + \frac{\sigma_i^2 - 2C_{1i}}{\max(N_1^*, N_i^*)} > \frac{\sigma_1^2}{N_1^*},$$

hence, we have $M > \sigma_1^2/\alpha_i$, which further leads to $M \geq A_0$. Denote $x = \Upsilon N_1$. Under (4), we only need to consider $x \geq A_0$ and

$$N_i = \begin{cases} \frac{\sigma_i^2}{x\alpha_i - \sigma_1^2 + 2C_{1i}} N_1 \leq N_1 & \text{if } A_i \leq x, \\ \frac{\sigma_i^2 - 2C_{1i}}{x\alpha_i - \sigma_1^2} N_1 \geq N_1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Substituting (7) and (15) into (5), we have

$$\left[(b_1 + b_0 \mathbf{1}_{K(x)}(1)) + \sum_{i \in J \cup I \setminus I(M)} \frac{b_i \sigma_i^2}{\alpha_i M - \sigma_1^2 + 2C_{1i}} + \sum_{i \in I(M)} \frac{(b_i + b_0 \mathbf{1}_{K(x)}(i))(\sigma_i^2 - 2C_{1i})}{\alpha_i M - \sigma_1^2} \right] N_1^* = T, \quad (15)$$

where

$$j \in K(M) = \{i | N_i(M) = \max\{N_m(M), m = 1, \dots, k\}\}$$

and

$$\left[(b_1 + b_0 \mathbf{1}_{K(x)}(1)) + \sum_{i \in J \cup I \setminus I(x)} \frac{b_i \sigma_i^2}{\alpha_i x - \sigma_1^2 + 2C_{1i}} + \sum_{i \in I(x)} \frac{(b_i + b_0 \mathbf{1}_{K(x)}(i))(\sigma_i^2 - 2C_{1i})}{\alpha_i x - \sigma_1^2} \right] N_1 = T, \quad (16)$$

where

$$j \in K(x) = \{i | N_i(x) = \max\{N_m(x), m = 1, \dots, k\}\}.$$

In addition, $\forall j \in K(x)$, $h(x)$ is constant (so it is well defined). (15) and (16) are equivalent to

$$\frac{h(M)}{T} = \frac{M}{N_1^*} = \Upsilon^* \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{h(x)}{T} = \frac{x}{N_1} = \Upsilon,$$

respectively. Therefore,

$$\frac{h(M)}{T} = \Upsilon^* \leq \Upsilon = \frac{h(x)}{T}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 5. Let

$$d'_i = \begin{cases} b_i(j_n^m) \sigma_i^2 (\sigma_1^2 - 2C_{1i}) / \alpha_i^2, & i \in \Omega \setminus I_n, \\ b_i(j_n^m) \sigma_1^2 (\sigma_i^2 - 2C_{1i}) / \alpha_i^2, & i \in I_n; \end{cases}$$

$$d_i = \begin{cases} (\sigma_1^2 - 2C_{1i}) / \alpha_i, & i \in \Omega \setminus I_n, \\ \sigma_1^2 / \alpha_i, & i \in I_n; \end{cases}$$

$$[h_n^{j_n^m}(x)]' x^2 = b_1(j_n^m) x^2 - \sum_{i=2}^k d'_i - \frac{2d'_i d_i (x - d_i/2)}{(x - d_i)^2}.$$

Differentiating $[h_n^{j_n^m}(x)]' x^2$, we obtain

$$\{[h_n^{j_n^m}(x)]' x^2\}' = 2b_1(j_n^m) x + \sum_{i=2}^k 2d'_i d_i \frac{x}{(x - d_i)^3}.$$

In addition, for $i \in I_n$, by the definition of A_0 , we have $A_{(n)}^{(m)} > A_0 \geq d_i$, and for $i \in \Omega \setminus I_n$, by the definition of A_i , we have $A_{(n)}^{(m)} \geq A_i > d_i$. For all $i \in \Omega$, we have $x > d_i$, $x \in [A_{(n)}^{(m)}, A_{(n)}^{(m+1)}]$, and $\{[h_n^{j_n^m}(x)]' x^2\}' > 0$. Therefore, $[h_n^{j_n^m}(x)]' x^2 = 0$ has at most one solution in $x \in [A_{(n)}^{(m)}, A_{(n)}^{(m+1)}]$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 6. If $x > \max_{i \in \Omega} \{A_i + C(\sigma_1^2 \vee |\sigma_1^2 - 2C_{1i}|)/4\alpha_i\}$, for any $i \in \Omega$, we have both

$$\alpha_i x - \sigma_1^2 + 2C_{1i} > \sigma_i^2 + C|\sigma_1^2 - 2C_{1i}|/4 \geq \sqrt{C\sigma_i^2|\sigma_1^2 - 2C_{1i}|},$$

and

$$\alpha_i x - \sigma_1^2 > \sigma_i^2 - 2C_{1i} + C\sigma_1^2/4 \geq \sqrt{C\sigma_1^2(\sigma_i^2 - 2C_{1i})}.$$

Then, for any $i \in \Omega \setminus K(x)$, we have

$$\left| \frac{b_i \sigma_i^2 (\sigma_1^2 - 2C_{1i})}{(\alpha_i x - \sigma_1^2 + 2C_{1i})^2} \right| < \frac{b_1 b_i}{\sum_{i \in \Omega} b_i + b_0}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{b_i \sigma_1^2 (\sigma_i^2 - 2C_{1i})}{(\alpha_i x - \sigma_1^2)^2} \right| < \frac{b_1 b_i}{\sum_{i \in \Omega} b_i + b_0}$$

For $i_0 \in K(x)$, we have

$$\left| \frac{\sigma_1^2 (\sigma_{i_0}^2 - 2C_{1i_0}) (b_{i_0} + b_0 \mathbf{1}_{K(x)}(i_0))}{(\alpha_{i_0} x - \sigma_1^2)^2} \right| < \frac{b_1 (b_0 + b_{i_0})}{\sum_{i \in \Omega} b_{i_0} + b_0}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} h'(x) &> b_1 - \left| \frac{\sigma_1^2 (\sigma_{i_0}^2 - 2C_{1i_0}) (b_0 + b_{i_0})}{(\alpha_{i_0} x - \sigma_1^2)^2} \right| - \left| \sum_{i \in \Omega \setminus I(x)} \frac{b_i \sigma_i^2 (\sigma_1^2 - 2C_{1i})}{(\alpha_i x - \sigma_1^2 + 2C_{1i})^2} \right| \\ &\quad - \left| \sum_{i \in I(x) \setminus \{i_0\}} \frac{b_i \sigma_1^2 (\sigma_i^2 - 2C_{1i})}{(\alpha_i x - \sigma_1^2)^2} \right| \\ &> b_1 - \frac{b_1 (b_0 + b_{i_0})}{\sum_{i \in \Omega} b_i + b_0} - \sum_{i \in \Omega \setminus I(x)} \frac{b_1 b_i}{\sum_{i \in \Omega} b_i + b_0} - \sum_{i \in I(x) \setminus \{i_0\}} \frac{b_1 b_i}{\sum_{i \in \Omega} b_i + b_0} = 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Appendix B: More Numerical Results

Additional tests with Example 1 ($\tilde{J}_{im} \sim N(10-i, 6^2), i = 1, 2, \dots, 10$) for $n_0 = 5, 10, 15, 20, 25$. In all scenarios, GBA outperforms the other methods for the case of unequal and sharing simulation budget, in particular, when correlations are not too low, and the PCS for GBA is relatively insensitive to changes in parameters $\{b_i\}$ compared with the other methods. As can be seen in Tables 1 through 10 provided here, the OCBA algorithms work well as long as n_0 is not too small.

We also tested four cases with negative correlation in Example 1, which include Case 1: $\rho = -0.1$, Case 2: $\rho_{1,i} = -0.2, \rho_{i,j} = 0.2, i, j = 2, \dots, k, i \neq j$, Case 3: $\rho_{1,i} = -0.5, \rho_{i,j} = 0.5, i, j = 2, \dots, k, i \neq j$, and Case 4: $\rho_{1,i} = -0.9, \rho_{i,j} = 0.9, i, j = 2, \dots, k, i \neq j$. The results shown in Tables 6 through 10 are very similar to the cases with positive correlation (see Tables 1 through 5). Although the performance of all OCBA algorithms deteriorates for negative correlation, GBA still remains the best among the four methods.

Table 1: Estimated PCS with $n_0 = 5$ for Example 1

	$b_0 = 0$				$b_0 = 1$				$b_0 = 2$			
$\rho =$	0	0.2	0.5	0.9	0	0.2	0.5	0.9	0	0.2	0.5	0.9
	$b_i = 1.5, i = 1, \dots, 10$											
<i>GBA</i>	.711	.733	.801	.937	.688	.714	.771	.927	.660	.696	.760	.926
<i>CBA</i>	.716	.733	.793	.938	.680	.720	.772	.928	.658	.694	.760	.922
<i>IBA</i>	.702	.726	.772	.854	.677	.705	.745	.833	.662	.685	.732	.825
<i>EBA</i>	.703	.745	.816	.985	.702	.737	.809	.983	.699	.728	.796	.976
	$b_{2i-1} = 2, b_{2i} = 1, i = 1, \dots, 5$											
<i>GBA</i>	.721	.741	.795	.940	.690	.715	.785	.925	.666	.702	.757	.921
<i>CBA</i>	.702	.726	.781	.919	.679	.697	.760	.882	.648	.686	.737	.864
<i>IBA</i>	.696	.723	.753	.848	.673	.693	.730	.810	.646	.676	.719	.804
<i>EBA</i>	.707	.735	.779	.862	.685	.709	.761	.841	.678	.693	.743	.826
	$b_{2i-1} = 1, b_{2i} = 2, i = 1, \dots, 5$											
<i>GBA</i>	.707	.737	.800	.941	.688	.714	.777	.933	.654	.698	.765	.924
<i>CBA</i>	.725	.756	.796	.899	.696	.716	.766	.878	.662	.696	.749	.855
<i>IBA</i>	.728	.742	.772	.840	.701	.713	.751	.818	.665	.691	.724	.785
<i>EBA</i>	.724	.753	.789	.857	.710	.735	.766	.842	.693	.706	.752	.829
	$b_i \sim U(1, 2), i = 1, \dots, 10$											
<i>GBA</i>	.673	.703	.756	.923	.690	.722	.780	.936	.670	.695	.759	.927
<i>CBA</i>	.658	.689	.747	.896	.675	.710	.769	.923	.659	.686	.749	.905
<i>IBA</i>	.661	.679	.727	.813	.677	.700	.743	.839	.660	.679	.723	.820
<i>EBA</i>	.687	.717	.770	.892	.703	.729	.791	.900	.684	.724	.768	.892

Table 2: Estimated PCS with $n_0 = 10$ for Example 1

	$b_0 = 0$				$b_0 = 1$				$b_0 = 2$			
$\rho =$	0	0.2	0.5	0.9	0	0.2	0.5	0.9	0	0.2	0.5	0.9
	$b_i = 1.5, i = 1, \dots, 10$											
<i>GBA</i>	.778	.811	.868	.974	.756	.777	.848	.969	.730	.766	.828	.962
<i>CBA</i>	.773	.812	.866	.973	.759	.782	.844	.965	.723	.761	.828	.965
<i>IBA</i>	.775	.799	.853	.914	.755	.770	.828	.909	.728	.757	.809	.896
<i>EBA</i>	.704	.748	.817	.984	.701	.733	.811	.983	.697	.727	.799	.976
	$b_{2i-1} = 2, b_{2i} = 1, i = 1, \dots, 5$											
<i>GBA</i>	.783	.818	.864	.974	.748	.781	.837	.964	.731	.767	.826	.963
<i>CBA</i>	.760	.806	.846	.940	.735	.764	.822	.910	.711	.753	.799	.895
<i>IBA</i>	.769	.802	.839	.901	.741	.767	.807	.874	.712	.744	.787	.860
<i>EBA</i>	.705	.729	.781	.854	.691	.716	.763	.842	.671	.702	.743	.838
	$b_{2i-1} = 1, b_{2i} = 2, i = 1, \dots, 5$											
<i>GBA</i>	.784	.810	.875	.970	.746	.782	.847	.970	.733	.760	.827	.963
<i>CBA</i>	.797	.807	.857	.932	.751	.776	.823	.908	.727	.754	.800	.882
<i>IBA</i>	.798	.810	.850	.897	.752	.778	.816	.873	.723	.743	.788	.846
<i>EBA</i>	.720	.749	.784	.848	.696	.731	.777	.847	.683	.718	.760	.829
	$b_i \sim U(1, 2), i = 1, \dots, 10$											
<i>GBA</i>	.787	.816	.870	.971	.752	.782	.848	.968	.738	.773	.839	.965
<i>CBA</i>	.784	.807	.865	.961	.749	.774	.841	.949	.729	.757	.822	.934
<i>IBA</i>	.780	.808	.851	.912	.746	.777	.818	.894	.736	.754	.799	.880
<i>EBA</i>	.709	.740	.801	.908	.702	.732	.781	.899	.692	.706	.777	.890

Table 3: Estimated PCS with $n_0 = 15$ for Example 1

	$b_0 = 0$				$b_0 = 1$				$b_0 = 2$			
$\rho =$	0	0.2	0.5	0.9	0	0.2	0.5	0.9	0	0.2	0.5	0.9
	$b_i = 1.5, i = 1, \dots, 10$											
<i>GBA</i>	.801	.831	.896	.982	.776	.799	.870	.982	.753	.791	.852	.980
<i>CBA</i>	.798	.834	.894	.982	.773	.803	.866	.982	.752	.786	.854	.979
<i>IBA</i>	.792	.830	.879	.939	.777	.795	.853	.939	.743	.781	.834	.934
<i>EBA</i>	.709	.748	.822	.982	.693	.738	.811	.982	.685	.727	.797	.975
	$b_{2i-1} = 2, b_{2i} = 1, i = 1, \dots, 5$											
<i>GBA</i>	.797	.835	.890	.982	.772	.812	.868	.981	.748	.786	.848	.978
<i>CBA</i>	.796	.827	.879	.944	.767	.800	.839	.917	.743	.765	.819	.907
<i>IBA</i>	.792	.827	.869	.923	.760	.793	.841	.899	.742	.766	.818	.892
<i>EBA</i>	.704	.732	.778	.857	.684	.713	.766	.841	.667	.695	.746	.831
	$b_{2i-1} = 1, b_{2i} = 2, i = 1, \dots, 5$											
<i>GBA</i>	.806	.834	.895	.985	.764	.801	.868	.979	.750	.802	.852	.980
<i>CBA</i>	.809	.837	.877	.939	.764	.796	.846	.917	.743	.774	.815	.906
<i>IBA</i>	.804	.839	.872	.923	.765	.794	.839	.893	.743	.771	.808	.878
<i>EBA</i>	.727	.744	.795	.856	.703	.731	.777	.846	.684	.712	.758	.831
	$b_i \sim U(1, 2), i = 1, \dots, 10$											
<i>GBA</i>	.813	.835	.895	.984	.778	.813	.875	.981	.757	.785	.857	.983
<i>CBA</i>	.808	.832	.886	.969	.775	.805	.860	.958	.752	.777	.838	.946
<i>IBA</i>	.813	.834	.881	.940	.780	.803	.851	.925	.749	.771	.833	.917
<i>EBA</i>	.709	.739	.794	.912	.694	.727	.790	.898	.679	.712	.774	.891

Table 4: Estimated PCS with $n_0 = 20$ for Example 1

	$b_0 = 0$				$b_0 = 1$				$b_0 = 2$			
$\rho =$	0	0.2	0.5	0.9	0	0.2	0.5	0.9	0	0.2	0.5	0.9
	$b_i = 1.5, i = 1, \dots, 10$											
<i>GBA</i>	.797	.837	.898	.989	.778	.808	.870	.988	.753	.785	.856	.985
<i>CBA</i>	.798	.840	.894	.991	.774	.809	.876	.989	.747	.784	.853	.986
<i>IBA</i>	.802	.839	.885	.959	.783	.804	.863	.960	.750	.788	.850	.962
<i>EBA</i>	.702	.746	.819	.984	.700	.741	.810	.979	.690	.726	.799	.977
	$b_{2i-1} = 2, b_{2i} = 1, i = 1, \dots, 5$											
<i>GBA</i>	.802	.836	.893	.989	.767	.805	.878	.989	.757	.780	.851	.984
<i>CBA</i>	.798	.828	.881	.944	.765	.798	.845	.925	.744	.771	.824	.909
<i>IBA</i>	.799	.826	.873	.938	.768	.801	.842	.916	.746	.768	.821	.903
<i>EBA</i>	.701	.728	.777	.859	.684	.716	.768	.843	.669	.700	.738	.830
	$b_{2i-1} = 1, b_{2i} = 2, i = 1, \dots, 5$											
<i>GBA</i>	.806	.829	.897	.991	.775	.806	.871	.990	.746	.787	.855	.986
<i>CBA</i>	.805	.826	.877	.941	.769	.799	.840	.920	.743	.770	.821	.904
<i>IBA</i>	.804	.831	.877	.931	.768	.796	.843	.910	.735	.770	.813	.897
<i>EBA</i>	.712	.745	.784	.858	.705	.729	.772	.841	.690	.716	.765	.833
	$b_i \sim U(1, 2), i = 1, \dots, 10$											
<i>GBA</i>	.816	.846	.902	.990	.776	.816	.881	.988	.751	.788	.850	.988
<i>CBA</i>	.813	.843	.896	.976	.769	.802	.869	.961	.750	.788	.839	.948
<i>IBA</i>	.811	.843	.894	.951	.778	.806	.865	.943	.753	.784	.839	.933
<i>EBA</i>	.706	.736	.806	.913	.706	.727	.787	.898	.684	.713	.783	.894

Table 5: Estimated PCS with $n_0 = 25$ for Example 1

	$b_0 = 0$				$b_0 = 1$				$b_0 = 2$			
$\rho =$	0	0.2	0.5	0.9	0	0.2	0.5	0.9	0	0.2	0.5	0.9
	$b_i = 1.5, i = 1, \dots, 10$											
<i>GBA</i>	.792	.823	.889	.994	.754	.790	.862	.992	.735	.766	.835	.987
<i>CBA</i>	.784	.826	.887	.993	.757	.789	.859	.991	.725	.762	.831	.986
<i>IBA</i>	.787	.822	.884	.978	.756	.792	.851	.976	.727	.761	.830	.980
<i>EBA</i>	.703	.745	.819	.984	.702	.735	.806	.983	.691	.727	.803	.978
	$b_{2i-1} = 2, b_{2i} = 1, i = 1, \dots, 5$											
<i>GBA</i>	.798	.821	.890	.993	.759	.792	.858	.993	.721	.764	.837	.987
<i>CBA</i>	.790	.823	.873	.942	.760	.784	.842	.937	.727	.761	.816	.925
<i>IBA</i>	.795	.819	.872	.943	.756	.785	.836	.934	.729	.767	.815	.932
<i>EBA</i>	.702	.725	.782	.867	.681	.710	.747	.839	.662	.695	.731	.835
	$b_{2i-1} = 1, b_{2i} = 2, i = 1, \dots, 5$											
<i>GBA</i>	.792	.823	.889	.992	.760	.792	.863	.990	.732	.770	.833	.988
<i>CBA</i>	.794	.821	.869	.938	.755	.786	.837	.922	.719	.761	.806	.918
<i>IBA</i>	.797	.827	.873	.932	.757	.776	.838	.923	.719	.761	.808	.919
<i>EBA</i>	.733	.751	.797	.854	.704	.723	.777	.842	.692	.714	.760	.826
	$b_i \sim U(1, 2), i = 1, \dots, 10$											
<i>GBA</i>	.802	.834	.894	.994	.768	.799	.869	.992	.733	.773	.848	.988
<i>CBA</i>	.805	.836	.882	.972	.760	.795	.856	.965	.733	.767	.827	.954
<i>IBA</i>	.802	.835	.884	.961	.762	.798	.860	.955	.734	.760	.829	.952
<i>EBA</i>	.714	.747	.801	.911	.695	.734	.778	.894	.688	.723	.776	.887

We define four cases for negative correlation.

Case 1: $\rho = -0.1$;

Case 2: $\rho_{1,i} = -0.2, \rho_{i,j} = 0.2, i, j = 2, \dots, k, i \neq j$;

Case 3: $\rho_{1,i} = -0.5, \rho_{i,j} = 0.5, i, j = 2, \dots, k, i \neq j$;

Case 4: $\rho_{1,i} = -0.9, \rho_{i,j} = 0.9, i, j = 2, \dots, k, i \neq j$.

Table 6: Estimated PCS with $n_0 = 5$ for Example 1

	$b_0 = 0$				$b_0 = 1$				$b_0 = 2$			
Case	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
	$b_i = 1.5, i = 1, \dots, 10$											
GBA	.703	.717	.729	.773	.673	.694	.710	.742	.655	.677	.684	.729
CBA	.703	.712	.728	.766	.670	.685	.709	.749	.652	.671	.683	.723
IBA	.707	.714	.710	.697	.679	.677	.681	.676	.661	.665	.658	.657
EBA	.695	.726	.731	.757	.694	.711	.725	.739	.683	.703	.717	.730
	$b_{2i-1} = 2, b_{2i} = 1, i = 1, \dots, 5$											
GBA	.704	.718	.728	.764	.675	.691	.705	.742	.661	.678	.683	.720
CBA	.690	.712	.721	.763	.657	.673	.699	.733	.638	.657	.674	.704
IBA	.691	.694	.701	.695	.661	.669	.671	.660	.646	.658	.655	.647
EBA	.692	.703	.716	.727	.674	.695	.711	.726	.659	.673	.695	.709
	$b_{2i-1} = 1, b_{2i} = 2, i = 1, \dots, 5$											
GBA	.705	.715	.733	.766	.675	.695	.709	.744	.655	.670	.693	.726
CBA	.719	.734	.738	.774	.687	.704	.715	.742	.656	.677	.691	.721
IBA	.723	.713	.718	.708	.693	.696	.686	.680	.658	.678	.668	.658
EBA	.709	.735	.743	.750	.695	.711	.727	.730	.673	.701	.713	.719
	$b_i \sim U(1, 2), i = 1, \dots, 10$											
GBA	.709	.718	.740	.776	.670	.699	.719	.745	.659	.678	.689	.731
CBA	.703	.720	.735	.781	.665	.684	.708	.747	.644	.669	.682	.719
IBA	.705	.702	.708	.708	.667	.683	.676	.668	.651	.664	.650	.657
EBA	.702	.722	.733	.754	.692	.707	.713	.733	.675	.693	.707	.728

Table 7: Estimated PCS with $n_0 = 10$ for Example 1

	$b_0 = 0$				$b_0 = 1$				$b_0 = 2$			
<i>Case</i>	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
	$b_i = 1.5, i = 1, \dots, 10$											
<i>GBA</i>	.759	.784	.792	.811	.739	.760	.759	.787	.724	.741	.737	.770
<i>CBA</i>	.760	.785	.792	.810	.738	.754	.762	.788	.714	.732	.739	.760
<i>IBA</i>	.757	.772	.773	.759	.736	.746	.742	.730	.720	.731	.719	.714
<i>EBA</i>	.689	.720	.736	.743	.693	.715	.722	.744	.671	.706	.718	.734
	$b_{2i-1} = 2, b_{2i} = 1, i = 1, \dots, 5$											
<i>GBA</i>	.771	.783	.786	.808	.745	.748	.764	.786	.724	.747	.736	.758
<i>CBA</i>	.763	.778	.780	.807	.732	.738	.752	.775	.706	.733	.730	.746
<i>IBA</i>	.760	.767	.759	.748	.738	.730	.730	.717	.709	.725	.715	.699
<i>EBA</i>	.688	.712	.720	.742	.672	.693	.698	.709	.662	.677	.691	.714
	$b_{2i-1} = 1, b_{2i} = 2, i = 1, \dots, 5$											
<i>GBA</i>	.757	.778	.791	.819	.740	.755	.757	.788	.719	.735	.740	.774
<i>CBA</i>	.773	.791	.795	.826	.744	.760	.755	.786	.721	.736	.746	.767
<i>IBA</i>	.775	.783	.775	.767	.743	.749	.740	.735	.725	.725	.725	.730
<i>EBA</i>	.703	.729	.734	.745	.688	.712	.713	.731	.673	.689	.707	.713
	$b_i \sim U(1, 2), i = 1, \dots, 10$											
<i>GBA</i>	.772	.788	.790	.823	.751	.761	.760	.792	.712	.743	.747	.779
<i>CBA</i>	.772	.783	.793	.820	.745	.748	.761	.786	.705	.734	.744	.764
<i>IBA</i>	.765	.782	.773	.765	.743	.741	.730	.733	.702	.726	.728	.714
<i>EBA</i>	.707	.723	.735	.750	.690	.701	.719	.736	.677	.687	.701	.728

Table 8: Estimated PCS with $n_0 = 15$ for Example 1

	$b_0 = 0$				$b_0 = 1$				$b_0 = 2$			
<i>Case</i>	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
	$b_i = 1.5, i = 1, \dots, 10$											
<i>GBA</i>	.789	.803	.808	.827	.753	.775	.782	.799	.739	.754	.761	.779
<i>CBA</i>	.791	.801	.811	.823	.757	.776	.781	.797	.728	.753	.763	.772
<i>IBA</i>	.791	.796	.783	.783	.766	.768	.766	.753	.731	.746	.746	.741
<i>EBA</i>	.703	.718	.734	.748	.690	.717	.717	.743	.673	.693	.713	.735
	$b_{2i-1} = 2, b_{2i} = 1, i = 1, \dots, 5$											
<i>GBA</i>	.793	.796	.802	.822	.755	.776	.784	.788	.735	.748	.768	.769
<i>CBA</i>	.778	.796	.810	.817	.746	.768	.763	.783	.726	.748	.755	.767
<i>IBA</i>	.782	.788	.785	.773	.741	.764	.755	.739	.725	.730	.739	.733
<i>EBA</i>	.702	.707	.720	.730	.674	.705	.706	.714	.656	.687	.689	.712
	$b_{2i-1} = 1, b_{2i} = 2, i = 1, \dots, 5$											
<i>GBA</i>	.790	.807	.810	.831	.763	.782	.790	.803	.735	.760	.758	.779
<i>CBA</i>	.797	.807	.812	.827	.759	.781	.779	.798	.731	.748	.752	.766
<i>IBA</i>	.797	.806	.793	.789	.762	.777	.763	.753	.733	.743	.734	.736
<i>EBA</i>	.712	.730	.737	.738	.683	.711	.724	.739	.672	.701	.706	.727
	$b_i \sim U(1, 2), i = 1, \dots, 10$											
<i>GBA</i>	.799	.808	.809	.832	.756	.779	.783	.803	.739	.756	.764	.779
<i>CBA</i>	.791	.804	.816	.835	.759	.779	.775	.792	.735	.747	.756	.773
<i>IBA</i>	.794	.799	.792	.789	.761	.771	.763	.754	.735	.748	.745	.730
<i>EBA</i>	.702	.718	.730	.753	.686	.709	.715	.737	.674	.699	.716	.717

Table 9: Estimated PCS with $n_0 = 20$ for Example 1

	$b_0 = 0$				$b_0 = 1$				$b_0 = 2$			
<i>Case</i>	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
	$b_i = 1.5, i = 1, \dots, 10$											
<i>GBA</i>	.786	.807	.810	.830	.762	.780	.776	.793	.730	.755	.758	.772
<i>CBA</i>	.793	.812	.813	.823	.762	.772	.776	.791	.729	.753	.753	.769
<i>IBA</i>	.793	.802	.800	.790	.751	.774	.772	.770	.730	.752	.746	.748
<i>EBA</i>	.690	.731	.739	.757	.693	.715	.727	.742	.674	.702	.709	.730
	$b_{2i-1} = 2, b_{2i} = 1, i = 1, \dots, 5$											
<i>GBA</i>	.789	.807	.799	.824	.762	.777	.786	.789	.735	.756	.753	.770
<i>CBA</i>	.793	.805	.800	.816	.754	.774	.781	.785	.733	.746	.748	.762
<i>IBA</i>	.789	.802	.789	.784	.749	.768	.771	.753	.731	.744	.737	.736
<i>EBA</i>	.693	.703	.726	.737	.679	.694	.706	.711	.662	.684	.691	.705
	$b_{2i-1} = 1, b_{2i} = 2, i = 1, \dots, 5$											
<i>GBA</i>	.795	.814	.817	.828	.750	.771	.784	.797	.736	.750	.760	.771
<i>CBA</i>	.793	.814	.807	.820	.761	.770	.782	.784	.732	.751	.753	.767
<i>IBA</i>	.792	.803	.808	.797	.752	.767	.763	.759	.727	.743	.743	.746
<i>EBA</i>	.715	.728	.737	.749	.692	.718	.718	.735	.679	.697	.713	.722
	$b_i \sim U(1, 2), i = 1, \dots, 10$											
<i>GBA</i>	.795	.823	.811	.834	.760	.785	.785	.796	.730	.761	.766	.774
<i>CBA</i>	.800	.815	.815	.836	.766	.779	.785	.796	.730	.760	.762	.779
<i>IBA</i>	.801	.811	.801	.803	.761	.783	.772	.774	.742	.756	.749	.745
<i>EBA</i>	.699	.728	.725	.743	.692	.713	.709	.733	.673	.692	.703	.731

Table 10: Estimated PCS with $n_0 = 25$ for Example 1

	$b_0 = 0$				$b_0 = 1$				$b_0 = 2$			
<i>Case</i>	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
	$b_i = 1.5, i = 1, \dots, 10$											
<i>GBA</i>	.782	.796	.801	.820	.750	.760	.770	.779	.719	.740	.750	.758
<i>CBA</i>	.774	.786	.804	.813	.740	.759	.770	.781	.720	.741	.744	.760
<i>IBA</i>	.781	.786	.789	.786	.746	.763	.763	.762	.719	.739	.743	.749
<i>EBA</i>	.690	.726	.732	.745	.684	.704	.726	.739	.682	.698	.715	.727
	$b_{2i-1} = 2, b_{2i} = 1, i = 1, \dots, 5$											
<i>GBA</i>	.780	.800	.806	.807	.737	.759	.764	.774	.715	.739	.741	.753
<i>CBA</i>	.781	.802	.795	.801	.741	.756	.766	.777	.721	.737	.739	.748
<i>IBA</i>	.775	.796	.790	.791	.734	.754	.750	.761	.715	.740	.731	.739
<i>EBA</i>	.688	.718	.721	.734	.675	.693	.702	.716	.661	.681	.691	.703
	$b_{2i-1} = 1, b_{2i} = 2, i = 1, \dots, 5$											
<i>GBA</i>	.768	.793	.802	.813	.739	.754	.771	.786	.718	.728	.746	.763
<i>CBA</i>	.779	.798	.798	.807	.734	.758	.761	.785	.713	.725	.734	.757
<i>IBA</i>	.788	.795	.793	.784	.733	.762	.759	.760	.711	.721	.741	.742
<i>EBA</i>	.715	.731	.736	.744	.693	.708	.722	.727	.682	.697	.707	.727
	$b_i \sim U(1, 2), i = 1, \dots, 10$											
<i>GBA</i>	.789	.801	.803	.817	.752	.756	.773	.783	.711	.744	.755	.763
<i>CBA</i>	.787	.805	.807	.816	.750	.763	.772	.784	.718	.742	.749	.761
<i>IBA</i>	.788	.800	.798	.800	.750	.763	.762	.770	.715	.737	.746	.748
<i>EBA</i>	.704	.728	.735	.754	.686	.711	.714	.732	.680	.692	.712	.731