WorldPublicOpinion.org

"Who Was Behind 9/11?"

September 10, 2008

Q1. As you know, on September 11, 2001 the United States was attacked. Who do you think was behind the 9/11 attacks? [Open-ended responses were coded according to list below.]

	Al Qaeda/Bin Laden/ Islamic extremists	The US government	Israel	Other Arabs/ Saudis/Egyptians	Other	DK/NS
Mexico	33	30	1	5	13	19
Germany	64	23	1	0	2	9
France	63	8		3	4	23
Great Britain	57	5	1	2	10	26
Russia	57	15	2	4	2	19
Italy	56	15	1	3	4	21
Ukraine	42	15	1	3	2	39
Palestinian ter.	42	27	19	7	2	3
Turkey	39	36	3	1	0	21
Egypt	16	12	43	2	9	18
Jordan	11	17	31	2	2	36
Kenya	77	4	3	3	0	12
Nigeria	71	7	2	4	2	14
Taiwan	53	4	0	5	5	34
South Korea	51	17	1	5	4	22
China	32	9	0	1	2	56
Indonesia	23	14	5	1	0	57
Average	46	15	7	3	4	25

World Public Opinion. org

RESEARCH PARTNERS

Country	Research Center	Contact		
China	Fudan Media and Public Opinion Research Center (FMORC), Fudan University	Dr. Baohua Zhou zhoubaohua@yeah.net		
Egypt	Attitude Market Research	Mr. Mohamed Al Gendy mgendy@attitude-eg.com +202 22711262		
France	Efficience 3	Mr. Samuel Lee samuel.l@efficience3.com +33 3 26 79 03 59		
Germany	Ri*Questa GmbH	Dr. Bernhard Rieder riquesta.rieder@t-online.de +49 (0)7641 934336		
Great Britain	<u>Chatham House</u> (Royal Institute of International Affairs) / <u>Facts International</u>	Dr. Robin Niblett rniblett@chathamhouse.org.uk +44 (0)20 7314 3667		
Indonesia	Synovate	Ms. Eva Yusuf Eva.Yusuf@synovate.com (+62-21) 2525 608		
Italy	<u>Demoskopea</u>	Ms. Clara Mariotti mariotti@demoskopea.it +39 06 85.37.52.26		
Jordan	Center for Strategic Studies, University of Jordan	Dr. Fares Braizat f.braizat@gmail.com (+962 6) 5300100		
Kenya	Research Path Associates Limited	Mr. Stephen Dimolo Ashers steve.ashers@rpa.co.ke +254-20-2734770		
Mexico	<u>Reforma</u>	Dr. Alejandro Moreno <u>alejandro.moreno@reforma.com</u> +52 56 28 72 35		
Nigeria	Market Trends Research International	Mr. Michael Umogun m.umogun@research-intng.com + 234-1 791 79 87		
Palestinian territories	Palestinian Center for Public Opinion	Dr. Nabil Kukali kukali@p-ol.com (+972-2) 2774846		

Russia	<u>Levada Center</u>	Ms. Ludmila Khakhulina lkhahul@levada.ru (+7 095) 229-55-44
South Korea	East Asia Institute	Dr. Han Wool Jeong hwjeong@eai.or.kr +82 02-2277-1683
Taiwan	TVBS	Mr. Wang Yeh-Ding ydwang@tvbs.com.tw +886-2-23568961
Turkey	ARI Foundation / Infakto Research Workshop	Mr. Yurter Ozcan Yurter@arifoundation.org +1 (804) 868 0123 Dr. Emre Erdogan emre.erdogan@infakto.com.tr +90 212 231 07 08
Ukraine	Kiev International Institute of Sociology	Dr. Vladimir Illich Paniotto paniotto@kmis.kiev.ua (+38) 044 537-3376 / (+38) 044 501-7403

METHODOLOGY

Country	Sample Size (unweighted)	MoE (%)	Field dates	Survey methodology	Type of sample	
China	1011	3.2	Jul 26 – Aug 2, 2008	Telephone	National ¹	
Egypt	600	4.0	Jul 21 – Aug 1, 2008	Face-to-face	Urban ²	
France	600	4.1	August 5 - 12, 2008	Telephone	National	
Germany	1008	3.1	Jul 15 – Aug 12, 2008	Telephone	National	
Great Britain	803	3.5	Jul 31 – Aug 8, 2008	Telephone	National	
Indonesia	716	3.7	Jul 26 – Aug 18, 2008	Face-to-face	National ³	
Italy	552	4.3	July 16-30, 2008	Telephone	National	
Jordan	583	4.1	August 12-15, 2008	Face-to-face	National	
Kenya	1000	3.2	July 17-30, 2008	Face-to-face	National	
Mexico	850	3.4	August 9-10, 2008	Telephone	National ⁴	
Nigeria	1000	3.2	August 9-18, 2008	Face-to-face	National ⁵	
Palestinian territories	638	4.0	August 1-7, 2008	Face-to-face	National ⁶	
Russia	3209	3.5	Jul 18-22 and Aug 15- 20, 2008	Face-to-Face	National ⁷	
South Korea	600	4.1	August 28-29, 2008	Telephone	National	
Taiwan	823	4.1	August 22-31, 2008	Telephone	National	
Turkey	1023	3.1	Jul 28 – Aug 18, 2008	Face-to-face	National	
Ukraine	1047	3.1	August 9-21, 2008	Face-to-face	National	

¹ In China, the survey was a probability sample of urban and rural households with land-line telephones in the provinces of Anhui, Hebei, Heilongjiang, Hubei, Jiangsu, Shanxi, Shanghai, Sichuan, and Yunnan—representing approximately 60 percent of the mainland Chinese population. The sample was 40 percent rural, 60 percent urban (rural households make up approximately 55 percent of the population).

² In Egypt, the survey was executed in the urban areas of Cairo, Alexandria, Giza, and Subra. These four urbanized areas represent75 percent of Egypt's urban population, which is 42 percent of the national population.

³ In Indonesia, a national probability sample was conducted in both urban and rural areas and covering approximately 87 percent of Indonesia's population.

⁴ In Mexico, a random telephone sample of adults who had landline telephones was conducted in all 31 states and the Federal District. Telephone penetration in Mexico is 55 percent.

⁵ In Nigeria, the sample was developed by selecting six states, one per geographic region, based upon their size and representativeness. Within each state, sampling points were selected by means of a multi-stage random sample which disproportionately sampled urban areas. The final sample is 75 percent urban; Nigeria is approximately 50 percent urban.

⁶ In the Palestinian territories, a face-to-face national probability survey was conducted among the population of the West Bank, including East Jerusalem, and the Gaza Strip.

⁷ In Russia, all items were half sampled; each item was answered by at least 800 respondents.